Coccidiosis: recent advancements in the immunobiology of *Eimeria* species, preventive measures, and the importance of vaccination as a control tool against these Apicomplexan parasites
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Abstract: Coccidiosis, caused by parasites of the genus *Eimeria*, is probably the most expensive parasitic disease of poultry. Species of *Eimeria* are ubiquitous where poultry are raised and are known to cause drastic reductions in performance and induce mortality, thereby affecting the overall health status of poultry. Chemotherapy has been the predominant form of disease control for many years, even though vaccination is steadily gaining importance as a feasible control method. The objective of this review is to highlight recent advancements in understanding the role of host immunity against coccidiosis. In addition, pros and cons associated with chemotherapy and the role of vaccination as an increasingly popular disease control method are discussed. Finally, the role played by recombinant vaccines as a potential vaccination tool is highlighted. With interest growing rapidly in understanding host–parasite biology, recent developments in designing recombinant vaccines and potential epitopes that have shown promise are mentioned.
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Introduction

Coccidiosis is caused by several members of the Protista phylum Apicomplexa that are characterized by the presence of an apical complex in their motile stages. All members belonging to this phylum are obligatorily parasitic.¹ Poultry coccidiosis is caused by protozoan parasites belonging to the genus *Eimeria* and is associated with global economic losses in excess of $3 billion annually.² The disease is cosmopolitan in nature and affects chickens, turkeys, geese, and ducks with high specificity,³ frequently causing large-scale production losses. Various species of *Eimeria* are known to cause disease across a wide range of hosts (Table 1). In chickens, seven widely recognized species of *Eimeria* have been well-characterized and are commonly observed within the domestic fowl.⁴ These are *E. acervulina, E. mitis, E. maxima, E. brunetti, E. necatrix, E. praecox*, and *E. tenella*. *E. praecox* and *E. mitis* do not produce gross lesions or cause mortality in infected birds, and are therefore considered mildly pathogenic, although higher challenge levels can potentially cause disease. The other five species, however, are considered highly pathogenic and have been well-characterized on the basis of the pathological conditions they produce, as well as gross lesions that are visible in different areas of the gut, based on tissue trophism (Table 2). *Eimeria* spp. are ubiquitous in poultry and are environmentally resistant. Coccidiosis is transmitted between hosts by the ingestion of feed, water, and litter contaminated with thick-walled
species are intestinal parasites, and therefore, species of major economic importance

- Upper jejunum/middle ileum
  - *Eimeria acervulina*
  - *E. tenella*
  - *E. maxima*

- Lower small intestine, basis of ceca, and rectum
  - Middle ileum/Meckel’s diverticulum
  - Ceca
  - *Isospora suis*, *E. scabra*

- Middle ileum/Meckel’s diverticulum
  - *E. bovis*
  - *E. adenoeides*, *E. meleagrimitis*, *E. dispersa*
  - *E. vermiformis*, *E. pappilata*

- Lower small intestine, basis of ceca, and rectum
  - *E. zuernii*, *E. alabamensis*, *E. maxima*
  - *E. ahsata*, *E. auburnensis*, *E. innocua*
  - *E. ovinoidalis*, *E. zuernii*

- Lower small intestine, basis of ceca, and rectum
  - *E. zuernii*, *E. alabamensis*, *E. maxima*

- Middle ileum/Meckel’s diverticulum
  - *E. gallopavonis*, *E. meleagrimitis*
  - *E. adenoids*, *E. meleagridis*, *E. innocua*, *E. subrotunda*

- Lower small intestine, basis of ceca, and rectum
  - *E. zuernii*, *E. alabamensis*, *E. maxima*

- Lower small intestine, basis of ceca, and rectum
  - *E. zuernii*, *E. alabamensis*, *E. maxima*

- Lower small intestine, basis of ceca, and rectum
  - *E. zuernii*, *E. alabamensis*, *E. maxima*

- Lower small intestine, basis of ceca, and rectum
  - *E. zuernii*, *E. alabamensis*, *E. maxima*

- Lower small intestine, basis of ceca, and rectum
  - *E. zuernii*, *E. alabamensis*, *E. maxima*

Oocysts that are shed in the feces of infected animals and spread by fomites or personnel moving between houses. Broiler chickens and other birds reared commercially for meat are commonly exposed to conditions conducive to developing the disease (Figure 1). Although seven species have been described in turkeys, only four are economically important (*E. adenoids*, *E. galiapavonis*, and *E. meleagrimitis* are highly pathogenic and *E. dispersa* is mildly pathogenic). The rest (*E. meleagridis*, *E. innocua*, and *E. subrotunda*) are nonpathogenic.6,7

Weight loss, poor feed conversion ratio (FCR), and diarrhea are common symptoms of the disease. Variation is demonstrated in terms of the levels of pathogenesis and ranges from mild to moderate to severe.8,10

Current preventive measures predominantly include chemotherapy, conventional vaccines, and other in-feed medications administered prophylactically. The use of anticoccidial and vaccination by using live oocysts has been a routine practice since the 1950s. In the face of the development of drug and chemical resistance and increased medication costs, it becomes a challenge for poultry producers to maintain profits. It is estimated that medication costs alone for controlling coccidiosis may be as high as $127 million annually in the United States. Therefore, to economize production and confer long-term disease protection, alternative and sustainable methods of prevention are constantly being sought.8,9

Clinical signs and gross intestinal lesions of coccidiosis are characteristics and are often observed in chickens as hemorrhagic diarrhea caused by *E. tenella*, whereas in turkeys, the signs and lesions are neither pathognomonic nor common, despite the presence of numerous parasites.1,6

Coccidiosis in poultry is complex because of the ability of parasites to interact with various other pathogens, such as *Salmonella*, *Clostridium*, and certain viruses causing disease that is mostly multifactorial.11 Overgrowing resistance to antibiotics and incomplete protection by chemotherapeutic agents has prompted a quest for viable alternatives. In this regard, vaccination against coccidiosis has become an important tool to control the disease. With advancements being made in the design of recombinant vaccines against *Eimeria*, the potential of those vaccine candidates to be universal for other important Apicomplexan pathogens is something that may hold significance in the near future.

**Immunity against coccidiosis**

Infection with an *Eimeria* species results in the activation of multiple facets of the host immune system; protective immunity is long-lasting in subsequent infections, but only against that species of *Eimeria*. Therefore, immunity is extremely species-specific.4,12 Several underlying mechanisms have been described, and the development of immunity can be stimulated by the deliberate inoculation of oocysts or live parasites that are either wild-type or have been attenuated in the form of vaccines.12 Immunity can be mediated by the skin, phagocytes, leukocytes, and complement system or by lymphocytes and their secretions, in the form of antibodies and cytokines.13

The innate immune system interacts with a broad spectrum of pathogens. Choi et al14 demonstrated increased natural killer (NK) cell activity in the duodenum and jejunum compared with in the ileum and cecum during a coccidial infection.14 NK cell activity was heightened during secondary coccidial infections, and cells bearing NK cell markers showed increased activity in vitro, thereby suggesting a possible role in immune surveillance.14,15 Macrophages are an important class of phagocytic cells that act as scavengers. Chicken macrophages are involved in orchestrating adaptive immune responses by interacting with the parasite during passage through the intestinal mucosa and are the major type of inflammatory cell during coccidiosis.16

**Role of gut-associated lymphoid tissue**

*Eimeria* species are intestinal parasites, and therefore, gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) is the first line of defense during infections. A larger group of tissues called mucosal-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) is responsible for conferring immunity across mucosal surfaces in different

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Important coccidial parasites of animals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Host</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chickens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkeys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data from Johnson and Reid.14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Anatomical regions of the chicken intestine evaluated for lesions by the Johnson and Reid scoring system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eimeria species</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. necatrix</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. maxima</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. brunetti</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. tenella</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. acervulina</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data from Johnson and Reid.14
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areas of the body; GALT is a component of MALT. GALT is a multilayered tissue comprised of an outer epithelial layer and a row of lymphocytes above the basement membrane. Immediately below the basement membrane is the lamina propria (LP), housing lymphocytes, followed by the submucosa.

The GALT has evolved into a specialized immune complex with the presence of organs such as Peyer’s patches (PP), bursa of Fabricius, and the cecal tonsils, hosting a variety of specialized immune cells such as epithelial, NK, and dendritic cells. Immune responses are highly coordinated within the GALT and include lymphocyte stimulation, cytokine secretion, and activation of resident immune cells. The inductive sites of GALT, primarily involving the PP, are the primary sites for antigen recognition and immune activation. Following this, activated B and T cells migrate to LP, which serves as the effector site for immune responses. The GALT routinely encounters a large number of pathogens in addition to self antigens and nonpathogenic microbes. Therefore, an understanding of GALT function is helpful in the development of successful vaccination strategies and the prevention of potential autoimmune disorders.

Humoral immune responses to Eimeria

The role of humoral immune responses to coccidial infections is debatable in terms of conferring protective immunity. This is mostly because cell-mediated immunity alone can by their thickened villi and flattened epithelium. There is a plethora of lymphocytes in these regions, organized in the form of germinal centers, similar to those in other lymphoid tissues. Specialized modified (M) epithelial cells located within the PP are involved in antigen uptake and processing. After translocation to the LP, the antigen is phagocytized by resident macrophages within the M cell pocket, which also contains populations of B and T cells. It is unclear whether M cells express surface major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules and present antigens to T cells or stimulate secretory immunoglobulin A (IgA) molecules to generate an antibody response. The immune system responds quickly, as early as 3 hours against an infection, which is predominantly characterized by the accumulation of polymorphonuclear leukocytes (mostly heterophils) in the intestinal villi, the sites of invasion for the parasite. Specific immunity is primarily conferred by populations of T cells, and it is well understood that cell-mediated immunity is protective and centrally important in a coccidial infection, even though humoral immunity has been shown to play a role in protection.
induce protection against secondary infection. Thus, the need to analyze humoral responses may lose priority. However, birds produce parasite-specific antibodies in both circulation and across mucosal surfaces in response to a primary infection. An important role played by maternal immunity has also been suggested, implicating the involvement of passive immunity in coccidial infections. Recently, a subunit vaccine derived from *E. maxima* gametocytes, CoxAbic® (Phibro Animal Health Corp, Teaneck, NJ, USA), was tested in multiple geographic sites to assess the level of protective IgG response the vaccine induced in broiler breeders. In addition to high titers in the hens, reduced fecal oocyst shedding was observed on challenge with *E. tenella* in the progeny, suggesting a protective humoral response against the disease. Furthermore, IgG egg yolk powder prepared from hyperimmunized hens was able to reduce parasite shedding and benefit performance, corroborating the potential role of passive immunity against *Eimeria* spp. Immunodominant surface antigens identified in *E. acervulina* and *E. maxima* have been shown to elicit measurable antibody responses in addition to stimulating cell-mediated immunity. IgA is probably the most important isotype involved in coccidial infections. Secretory IgA has been detected in bile and intestinal washings of *E. tenella*-infected birds as early as 7 days postinoculation. In contrast, studies have shown that bursectomization of chickens followed by an *Eimeria* spp. challenge does not interfere with the acquisition of protective immunity against the disease. However, it has been tedious to decipher the protective effects of a humoral response. Several theories suggest that antibodies prevent the translocation of sporozoites and merozoites at the surface of the lumen. It may be possible that antibodies reduce the degree of invasion in some, but not all, *Eimeria* species. Therefore, it is probably reasonable to surmise that humoral immune responses occupy a minor role in coccidiosis but may help augment cell-mediated responses of the host.

**Cell-mediated immune responses to *Eimeria***

As discussed earlier, the most important effector mechanisms in response to a primary or challenge coccidial infection are brought about by T cells. As in mammals, chicken T cells are of two main types: CD4+ (cluster of differentiation 4+) helper T cells (T<sub>H</sub>) and CD8+ cytotoxic T cells (T<sub>C</sub>). Most T cells have the αβ form of T-cell receptor, whereas a small population has the γδ form of T-cell receptor. An absolute requirement for the activation of T cells is the presence of MHC. T<sub>C</sub> cells recognize MHC class 2 molecules in association with a processed antigen, and T<sub>H</sub> cells recognize MHC class 1 molecules in association with a processed antigen. Helper T cells are known to stimulate antibody production by plasma B cells and activation of cytotoxic T cells. Cytotoxic T cells identify pathogen-infected host cells, resulting in selective killing of those cells; the intracellular localization of *Eimeria* spp. parasites within their hosts explains why T<sub>C</sub>-mediated cytotoxicity plays a central role in the control of a coccidial infection.

The γδ forms of T cells are not abundantly found in circulation, but these are predominantly intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs). *Eimeria* infections occur naturally in the epithelial cells, and therefore, it may be hypothesized that these types of T cells form the first line of defense. After an initial infection with *E. acervulina*, increased populations of γδ T cells are seen in the duodenum. Studies done with *Eimeria* spp. in mice show that T<sub>H</sub> cells are active during primary infection, generating an active subset of T<sub>H</sub> cells and the cytokine interferon gamma (IFN-γ) that are involved in several effector functions. Therefore, CD4+ T<sub>H</sub> cells are believed to initiate an immune response, and CD8+ T<sub>C</sub> cells are known to bring about effector responses.

The critical role played by CD8+ T<sub>C</sub> cells has been shown in several parasite infections such as *Toxoplasma* or *Plasmodium*. Intestinal IELs are highly active in coccidial infections, and it has been found that 75%–80% of IELs express the CD8+ T<sub>C</sub> markers. Selective elimination of CD8+ T<sub>C</sub> cells using specific monoclonal antibodies has resulted in increased infection and oocyst shedding when challenged with *E. acervulina* and *E. tenella*. In general, CD8+ T<sub>C</sub> cells are observed in the LP less than 24 hours postinfection. During a primary (first) infection with *E. acervulina*, numerous sporozoites were found inside or around cytotoxic T cells and macrophages. During secondary infections, there is rapid activation of heterophils and T<sub>C</sub> cells in circulation.

In general, host immune responses toward coccidial infections are species- or sometimes strain-specific. Depending on the particular *Eimeria* species, a completely protective immune response may be elicited after a single infection with as few as a hundred oocysts or repeated infections with many thousands of oocysts.

**The role of cytokines in coccidiosis**

Cytokines are important secondary messengers that play a key role in regulating immune responses. The importance of several cytokines in coccidiosis has been well-studied,
as summarized in Table 3. Cytokine dynamics seem to be dependent on the species of *Eimeria* and also change dramatically with successive rounds of infection with the same species of *Eimeria*. In some cases, a cytokine storm resulting in severe inflammation, necrosis, and opportunistic bacterial infections such as necrotic enteritis has been seen (Hargis and Barta, unpublished data, 2014). Therefore, analysis of immune responses specifically associated with cytokines may provide insights into the modulation of B- and T-cell responses against coccidiosis.

Overall, the immune response clearly involves numerous complex reactions during a coccidial infection. Specific interplay of both humoral and cell-mediated immunity is evident. Further research is needed to delineate the exact roles played by different facets of the immune system and their importance in sustaining, preventing, or eliminating coccidial infections.

Dalloul et al. found that gene expression of the proinflammatory cytokine interleukin 1β (IL-1β) was enhanced when macrophages were stimulated by *Eimeria* sporozoites in vitro. IL-1β is a chemoattractant for other heterophils, macrophages, and lymphocytes, and thereby further amplifies the immune response.

### Table 3 The role of cytokines during a coccidial infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type and cytokine</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proinflammatory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>Prevents invasion and development of parasite stages, adjuvant properties</td>
<td>9,13,75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>Chemokine and inflammatory cell stimulation</td>
<td>34,76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-17</td>
<td>Costimulation of other cytokines, mucosal responses</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-like factor</td>
<td>Costimulation of immunity, increased during 6 days postinfection</td>
<td>4,15,75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>Development of acquired immunity</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>Chemokine recruits CD4+ helper T cells and macrophages to site of infection</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-18</td>
<td>Upregulation of CD4+ helper T-cell response, may be <em>Eimeria</em> species-specific</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-cell differentiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>B-cell and NK cell activation, adjuvant properties</td>
<td>15,75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-cell regulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-4, IL-10</td>
<td>Reduction of tissue damage</td>
<td>76,77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-inflammatory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β</td>
<td>Mucosal repair, downregulation of immune system</td>
<td>13,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-cell regulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12/IL-15</td>
<td>Regulation of CD4+ helper T-cell 1 and CD4+ helper T-cell 2 response</td>
<td>13,78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** IFN, interferon; IL, interleukin; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; TGF, transforming growth factor; NK, natural killer; CD, cluster of differentiation.

### Conventional coccidiosis control strategies

*Eimeria* oocysts are ubiquitous in environments in which poultry are raised. Replacing litter within poultry houses between successive flocks can help reduce coccidiosis to a certain extent but is not fully effective in preventing an outbreak. Traditional methods of control include effective management of sanitary procedures, strict biosecurity, prophylactic use of either in-feed synthetic drugs (chemicals) or ionophorous antibiotics, use of disease-resistant chicken lines, and boosting immunity. However, a serious problem that has surfaced with extensive use of drugs is resistance; this problem needs immediate attention.

The protective effects of sulfonamides have been evaluated extensively and used as the first effective anticoccidial agents. In 1939, Levine first reported the use of sulfanilamide against coccidiosis, and it was hypothesized that these compounds helped the bird acquire immunity against coccidiosis. Several studies carried out with *E. tenella*, a principal pathogen that was of concern, have confirmed that these compounds are effective in controlling infection, in addition to boosting immunity. Similar results were documented in studies with other species such as *E. necatrix* or *E. acervulina* of chickens and *E. meleagritmis* of turkeys.

The use of ionophores as effective coccidiostats is well-documented and has been used for decades. Ionophores act on the sporozoite/merozoite stages of the parasite life cycle, binding to cations and interfering with osmotic potential, thereby disrupting membrane integrity. However, the biochemical basis and the specificity of these compounds to parasites is not clearly elucidated. Studies demonstrate that the parasite dies on absorption of the ionophore in the gut. Monensin, the first polyether ionophore, was introduced in the United States in 1971, and the first evidence of monensin-resistant *Eimeria* isolate was seen as early as 10 years later.

Research shows that monensin-resistant strains of *Eimeria* display altered characteristics; specifically, increased esterase activity. However, little is understood regarding resistance associated with other ionophores.

In the early 1970s, several synthetic drugs were commercially introduced and used from the day of hatch up to a day before slaughter. This conferred almost complete protection, and it became seemingly irrelevant to study the relationship between anticoccidial drugs and host immunity. Amprolium, nicarbazin, diclazuril, and toltrazuril have been
successfully used in the control of coccidiosis for many years. The mode of action of these chemicals is similar, and most are known to inhibit sporozoite/merozoite development. In addition, it is also hypothesized that these compounds do not interfere with the development of natural immunity against coccidiosis. The action of synthetic chemicals is long-lasting and protects against infection, even after withdrawal of medication. McDougald and Seibert showed that dicyclazuril and related compounds can remain as residuals in the intestinal mucosa for several days, exerting their protective effects.

As for most antimicrobial chemicals, resistance to these drugs has become a problem. According to Chapman, diclazuril-resistant field isolates could not be found, even though it was possible to confer resistance to the drug in experimental conditions. Kawazoe and Fabio observed variability in field isolates with respect to resistance to diclazuril, including a number of isolates that were completely resistant. Recently, it has been observed that a very high percentage of field isolates of *E. acervulina* and *E. maxima* and a significantly high percentage of *E. tenella* obtained locally from 26 broiler farms in 12 states of the US showed either complete or partial resistance to the combination mixture of nicarbazin and narasin that has been used for a long time in the poultry industry. Surmounting social pressures to withdraw the use of drugs has resulted in poultry producers being judicious with the use of drugs and using alternative methods, including vaccination.

To control coccidiosis in turkeys, most of the commercially raised turkeys are given an anticoccidial agent in feed. Only some ionophore and synthetic anticoccidials are currently approved for use in turkeys in the United States and the European Union.

**Drug-free alternatives for coccidiosis control**

Recent advances have led researchers to look for drug-free methods of coccidiosis control. A *Lactobacillus*-based probiotic, Primalac® (Star-Labs/Forage Research, Inc., Clarksdale, MO, USA), was able to reduce oocyst shedding and increase T- and B-cell-specific cytokines in response to an *E. acervulina* infection. Oocyst shedding dropped by 14% in the probiotic-treated chicks, with a concomitant increase in the proinflammatory cytokine IFN-γ 3 days postinoculation, suggesting the potential immunomodulatory activities of probiotics. Similarly, Lee et al. looked at the protective effects of a *Pediococcus*-based probiotic (MitoGrow®, Imagilin Technology, Frederick, MD, USA) against coccidiosis and found that there were improvements in performance in probiotic-fed birds against a challenge with *E. acervulina* or *E. tenella*. Necrotic enteritis (NE) commonly occurs as a sequela to coccidiosis, and probiotics seem to be useful in controlling this inadvertent NE. In unpublished observations, our laboratory has seen the occurrence of subclinical NE associated with coccidial challenge. The severity of NE was reduced by the administration of a lactic acid bacteria-based probiotic.

Phytochemicals are plant-derived products that find use in several aspects of prophylactic disease control. Some of them have been reported to be helpful during coccidial infections. Youn and Noh tested the action of several species of herbs with known anticoccidial and antimalarial activities. Herbal extracts from at least five different plant species have a protective effect against *E. tenella* infections. Xanthohumol, a flavonoid from the flower of hops, was tested as an anticoccidial feed additive and is effective at concentrations as low as 20 ppm. It is predicted that these compounds alter the structure of sporozoites/merozoites, possibly interfering with the spread of infection. More recently, Lee et al. demonstrated the immunomodulatory effects of carvacrol, cinnamaldehyde, and oleoresins from capsicum and turmeric when administered in combination with a recombinant vaccine. An increase in T-cell components and a decrease in proinflammatory cytokines were observed in the treated chicks. In spite of demonstrated benefits with all of the above, with varied success and incomplete protection to subsequent infections, it becomes important to realize that long-term protection may only be conferred by vaccination. Because of the emergence of drug-resistant strains and associated problems with vaccination by using live oocyst vaccines, alternative control strategies should be focused on the integration of already-proven alternatives into an integrated coccidiosis control program. A review of the most studied alternatives has been written recently by Abbas et al.

**Vaccination against coccidiosis**

Poultry production becomes an expensive process because of diseases that increase FCR, cause mortality, and reduce performance parameters. This is compounded by problems associated with antimicrobial drug resistance. The importance of vaccination as the best-suited control strategy has been investigated for several decades now. Some species of *Eimeria*, such as *E. maxima*, are highly immunogenic, and
a primary infection can result in the development of solid immunity; however, in general, repeated infections and a large number of oocysts are required to generate a good immune response against *Eimeria*. Vaccination against coccidiosis relies on this natural development of protective immunity. Conventional vaccines incorporate live or attenuated parasites as a mixture of multiple species, or sometimes even multiple *Eimeria* strains. Even though there is tremendous antigenic variation between *Eimeria* spp., the early developmental stages within the host are considered most important for the promotion of a protective immune response.

**Live vaccines**

A live vaccine for coccidiosis containing wild-type virulent oocysts of *E. tenella* was introduced in the United States nearly 60 years ago (DM® Cecal Coccidiosis Vaccine; Dorn and Mitchell Inc., Orange, CA, USA). There has been a significant increase in the number and formulations of coccidiosis vaccines that are commercially available in recent years (Table 4).

A major hurdle associated with live vaccines is that in commercial applications, there is large-scale dosing of birds. During such operations, it is important that dosing conditions and methods be carefully controlled, lest it lead to nonuniform immunization. The biggest hurdle caused by *Eimeria* spp. is their ability to cycle environmentally among birds via fecal–oral transmission and cause subsequent infections. Vaccination with live vaccines may result in the onset of severe reactions of flocks in poorly managed farms, affecting the performance. Environmental cycling of vaccinal parasites is required to establish protective immunity. This variable exposure across large populations of birds may result in asynchronous immunity across multiple flocks, causing reduced performance and increased susceptibility to disease. The alternative, therefore, was to improve vaccine uptake; this has been achieved through the introduction of efficient methods such as feed/water administration, spray, or gel pucks. Another complexity with these vaccines is the relative antigenic diversity that is observed in geographically distinct species of *Eimeria*. Long and Millard reported immunological differences in *E. maxima*, the most antigenically diverse species. Later, Danforth et al observed that the *E. maxima* strain in Immucox® (Ceva, Libourn, France) was unable to protect against an indigenous *E. maxima* strain isolated from a peninsula in the Eastern Shore of Maryland. Therefore, careful evaluation may need to be done before

**Table 4** Commercially available coccidiosis vaccines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Method of administration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advent®</td>
<td>Novus International Inc.,</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td><em>E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. tenella</em></td>
<td>Oral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St Charles, MO, USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coccivac-B®</td>
<td>Merck Sharp and Dohme Ltd,</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td><em>E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. mivati, E. tenella</em></td>
<td>Oral, spray, intraocular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coccivac-D®</td>
<td>Merck Sharp and Dohme Ltd</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td><em>E. acervulina, E. brunetti, E. hagani, E. maxima,</em></td>
<td>Oral, spray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>E. mivati, E. necatrix, E. praecox, E. tenella</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coccivac-T®</td>
<td>Merck Sharp and Dohme Ltd</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td><em>E. adenoeides, E. dispersa, E. gallopavonis,</em></td>
<td>Subcutaneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>E. meleagris</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eimeriavax 4M®</td>
<td>Bioproperties Pty Ltd,</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td><em>E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. necatrix, E. tenella</em></td>
<td>Intraocular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ringwood, Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inovocox®</td>
<td>Pfizer Inc., New York, NY,</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td><em>E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. tenella</em></td>
<td>In ovo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immucox I®</td>
<td>Ceva, Libourn, France</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td><em>E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. necatrix, E. tenella</em></td>
<td>Oral, gel spray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immucox II®</td>
<td>Ceva, Libourn, France</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td><em>E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. necatrix, E. tenella</em></td>
<td>Oral, gel spray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immucox-T®</td>
<td>Ceva, Libourn, France</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td><em>E. adenoeides, E. meleagris</em></td>
<td>Oral, gel spray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatchpak Cocci III®</td>
<td>Sanofi, Paris, France</td>
<td>Live</td>
<td><em>E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. tenella</em></td>
<td>Spray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracox-5®</td>
<td>Merck Sharp and Dohme Ltd</td>
<td>Attenuated</td>
<td><em>E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. mivati, E. tenella</em></td>
<td>Oral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracox-8®</td>
<td>Merck Sharp and Dohme Ltd</td>
<td>Attenuated</td>
<td><em>E. acervulina, E. brunetti, E. mivati, E. tenella</em></td>
<td>Oral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livacox Q®</td>
<td>Biopharm, San Mateo, CA, USA</td>
<td>Attenuated</td>
<td><em>E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. necatrix, E. tenella</em></td>
<td>Oral, Spray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livacox T®</td>
<td>Biopharm, San Mateo, CA, USA</td>
<td>Attenuated</td>
<td><em>E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. tenella</em></td>
<td>Oral, Spray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coxabic®</td>
<td>Philbro Animal Health Corp,</td>
<td>Subunit</td>
<td>Purified <em>E. maxima</em> antigens from microgametocyte stages</td>
<td>Oral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teaneck, NJ, USA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipracox</td>
<td>Hipra, Girona, Spain</td>
<td>Attenuated</td>
<td><em>E. acervulina, E. maxima, E. mivati, E. praecox, E. tenella</em></td>
<td>Oral</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
formulating live vaccines, and those formulations may need to be fine-tuned according to experiences gained after implementation in the industry.

**Attenuated/precocious vaccines**

The use of attenuated strains of parasites in vaccine formulations has been in practice since the 1970s. In general, attenuation can be accomplished by irradiation, chemical treatments, or passing through the same or different species of hosts or a combination of these conditions. In the case of *Eimeria* spp., attenuated parasites can be obtained through selection for "precociousness," in which strains with abbreviated lifecycles are selected from a parent line of parasites. To select precocious lines, drug-sensitive, virulent strains of *Eimeria* spp. are passaged repeatedly through their native host, but only the first few oocysts shed after every infection are used to initiate the next passage. After a variable number of passages, strains of *Eimeria* characterized by abbreviated development within their host, when compared with their wild-type parents, are ultimately selected, and these precocious parasites are then used in the development of attenuated vaccines.

Use of precocious parasites in developing a live, attenuated coccidiosis vaccine is an advantage because replicative potential of such parasites is greatly reduced when compared with wild-type parasites, but they still retain their immunogenicity. The reduced numbers of developing parasites in the mucosal layer still leads to an effective immune response, but with negligible tissue damage. In the United States, precocious lines of all seven species of *Eimeria* were generated using laboratory-established and field strains isolated from different parts of the country. Precocious lines are typically characterized by a decrease in the number and/or size of the merogonic stages during endogenous development. With reduced virulence and the same levels of immunogenicity as nonattenuated parasites, this type of vaccine may reduce the degree of infection as a cause of vaccination. In addition, the ability of select strains of *E. tenella* to grow in the chorioalantoic membrane of embryonating eggs has been exploited, leading to the development of egg-adapted lines of *E. tenella* that have been used in the Livacox® (Biopharm, San Mateo, CA, USA) vaccine. Individual studies carried out with both Paracox® (Merck Sharp and Dohme Ltd, Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA) and Livacox® have reduced the severity of infection in response to vaccination and increased performance in birds, thereby suggesting the use of these vaccines as a viable alternative.

Several issues restrict the use of live vaccines. Production costs are extremely high, especially if this process involves attenuation or in ovo development of parasites. In addition, antigenic diversity has been an issue, challenging the efficacy of these vaccines. Given the ease with which strain variability is observed in different *Eimeria* spp., disease protection may not be comprehensive. Therefore, it is common to use rotation programs in the field that involve vaccination and a feed-based anticoccidial. In the case of attenuated vaccines, the presence of wild-type *Eimeria* strains may intrinsically interfere with the precocious strains that are administered as vaccines. It has been found that protection is incomplete and that immunized birds shed oocysts at about 3–5 weeks of age. At this point, it is hard to differentiate whether oocyst shedding is a result of vaccination or the presence of endemic, wild-type populations of *Eimeria* that display a great degree of variability. With regard to egg-adapted lines, it is difficult to obtain both reduced virulence and immunogenicity. Complete development of egg-adapted lines of *E. acervulina*, *E. maxima*, and *E. praecox* has not been achieved, in contrast to *E. tenella*. Even though conventional vaccines offer a plethora of benefits, the risks associated with potential disease outbreak and daunting production costs have urged researchers to adopt a cautious approach to vaccination against coccidiosis.

**Conventional vaccines: what is protection?**

In the case of live anticoccidial vaccines, poultry are generally immunized with more than one *Eimeria* species simultaneously. An accurate assessment of protection may be achieved by challenging individual groups of vaccinated birds with all species of *Eimeria* that are part of the vaccine. In practice, this may be laborious and time-consuming. Nevertheless, a challenge model gives an accurate estimate of vaccine efficacy. Traditionally, reduced lesion scores and oocyst shedding from challenged, vaccinated birds compared with unvaccinated control birds challenged similarly have been used as measures of protection against coccidiosis. In addition, the severity of lesion scores has been correlated with the functional efficacy of vaccines. In the case of vaccinated birds, lesions may appear because of challenge but may also be associated with pathophysiological changes and with the development of protective immunity. This can be confounding, and therefore disrupt the ability to differentiate between actual lesions and a successful host immune response. Counterintuitively, in vaccinated poultry, the appearance of lesions may actually indicate response to coccidial infection and the development of protective immunity against the disease (Barta, unpublished data, 2014).
Therefore, a comprehensive analysis of the clinical state of vaccinated birds may be a more traceable approach to determine vaccination efficacy. Performance parameters such as growth rate and FCR are useful for this purpose. For this reason, a novel protocol to test the efficacy of Paracox® was developed. Broilers were vaccinated with Paracox®, and individual groups of birds were challenged with virulent isolates of the same strains incorporated in the vaccine (homologous challenge). Weight gain at 7 days postchallenge was considered the most important parameter to indicate protection. This corresponded well with the FCR, which was also determined 7 days postchallenge.57 As discussed earlier, lesion scores are not necessarily associated with weight gain; however, they are frequently evaluated as another parameter of protection.

The role of recombinant, vectored vaccines

The development of recombinant, vectored vaccines has been pursued for more than 2 decades in an attempt to improve the efficacy of vaccination against coccidiosis. The biggest advantage of recombinant vaccines is that they do not carry the live parasites or any of the developmental stages. In general, the vectors used to deliver the vaccine are safe, and in many cases, an immune response is elicited against the vector as a protective measure. In addition, in most cases, the vector organism will be attenuated during vaccine development, making it safe for the host.35

Cell surface expression of candidate antigens is probably the most critical factor for the design of recombinant vaccines. Several antigens, both in their native form and as recombinant proteins, have been successfully employed in various studies.27,59–66 Their efficacy in terms of stimulating a robust immune response is remarkable, as they are involved in establishing a well-defined host–parasite relationship. Even though recombinant vaccines have not been successful commercially, efforts are being made to decipher host–parasite interactions, leading to much more meaningful approaches for recombinant vaccine development.4

So far, several antigens from sporozoites or merozoites have been chosen as vaccine candidates. A distinct advantage of using antigens from these stages is the conserved nature of epitopes across several species. These stages are the most motile and functionally important phases of the parasite cycle. One of the well-studied components of sporozoites/merozoites is microneme proteins. Micronemes are a set of organelles located at the tip of the apical complex, and their proteins are extensively involved in locomotion and invasion of the active stages, efficiently aiding in translocation of the infective stages through the lumen into epithelial cells.65,67–69 Rhoptries are apical extrusomes that help modify the host cell plasmodiaema during host cell penetration by Apicomplexan zoites. The central role in motility (micronemes) and cell penetration (both rhoptries and micronemes) of these apical organelles has ensured that components of micronemes and rhoptries, including locomotory proteins, have been incorporated as potential targets in experimental recombinant vaccines (Table 5).

The use of innocuous vectors to deliver target epitopes is the hallmark of recombinant vaccine development. Escherichia coli has been the preferred vector to deliver foreign antigens to a plethora of biological systems. Several studies report the use of E. coli and Salmonella to deliver Eimeria epitopes.27,70,71 Some other researchers have been able to use attenuated Salmonella successfully as a vaccine delivery tool.61,62 Eimeria spp., being intestinal parasites, have a predisposition to the gut mucosa, and therefore, mucosal delivery of antigens is the preferred target for optimum vaccine efficacy.

Even though comparatively little work has been done with respect to recombinant coccidiosis vaccines, limited results have been promising. Part of the problem is the complexity of the Eimeria genome, which makes it tedious to analyze and structure antigens into vaccine candidates that can stimulate an efficacious immune response. In addition, it is important to understand whether all immunogenic antigens are indeed immunoprotective; this may need to be assessed for all future/prospective antigenic targets. Finally, during the design of recombinant vaccines, it is essential not only for incorporated

| Table 5 Target epitopes from Eimeria species for recombinant vaccine development |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Protein target | Source | Function attributed | References |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| EtMIC1          | E. tenella     | Motility, invasion | 65,67           |
| EtMIC2          | E. tenella     | Host cell attachment and invasion | 67,79           |
| EmTFFP250      | E. maxima      | Motility, invasion | 69              |
| α-tubulin       | E. acervulina  | Polymization of microtubules | 80              |
| SO7             | E. tenella     | Refractile body | 71,81           |
| Gam82           | E. maxima      | Oocyst wall formation | 27,28           |
| EAMZ250        | E. acervulina  | Host T-cell activation | 62,70           |
| EASZ240        | E. acervulina  | Host T-cell activation | 62,70           |
| P250            | E. acervulina  | Host T- and B-cell activation | 60              |
| Profilin        | E. acervulina  | Gliding motility, parasite migration | 63,82           |
| 3-1E            | E. acervulina  | Host T-cell activation | 83              |
antigens to stimulate a robust immune response but also, critically, for potential vaccine antigens to elicit measurable, protective immunity against the disease.¹³

Plants are a promising system for the development of edible vaccine against poultry coccidiosis, as they can be genetically engineered to express parasitic antigens and to produce vaccines against various diseases.¹⁴ Tobacco has been used to expressed EtMIC1, along with EtMIC2, two of the microneme proteins of E. tenella, as poly histidine-tagged fusion proteins. Birds fed with this plant showed high antibody production, along with a reduction in oocyst output.¹⁵

**Future direction**

Coccidiosis continues to be an expensive disease in poultry production, causing significant and ongoing economic losses to the industry. As the importance of poultry as a pivotal component of the food industry continues to grow rapidly, much has been done to optimize performance parameters and reduce the incidence of enteric diseases such as coccidiosis. During the last 7 decades, extensive research has been undertaken in an effort to understand disease progression and design effective ways of controlling the disease. Chemotherapy has set the gold standard for disease control since the early 1940s, followed shortly thereafter by vaccination. Although traditional coccidiosis control programs emphasized the use of at least two different kinds of drugs, most of today’s poultry-rearing programs rely on vaccination in combination with in-feed anticoccidials as an important tool to reduce the incidence of coccidiosis. However, some basic questions that need to be answered include: How can we achieve cross-protection without incorporating multiple strains in a vaccine? Can we allow genetics to select for coccidiosis-resistant lines? How can we minimize vaccine-related pathogenesis or secondary infections such as NE?

During the last few years, advances in molecular biology, genomics, and proteomics have enabled researchers to better understand the biology of these parasites. Techniques such as microarray can be employed to understand the function and importance of several parasite genes and give a comprehensive map of host–parasite interactions. Using this, specific targets may be chosen to achieve optimum stimulation of host immunity. Apicomplexan parasites are complex with large genomes (eg, >60 million bp for E. tenella), and therefore, insights into the biology and biochemical interactions of these parasites may help researchers design effective ways to control not just coccidiosis but the whole realm of Apicomplexan diseases of profound human and veterinary medical importance, such as malaria or toxoplasmosis.

Advancements are being made in the rational design of vaccines, including dose, formulation, and methods, to assess vaccine efficacy. Recombinant vaccines that constitute conserved epitopes may serve as a broad-spectrum tool to control multiple species of Eimeria. As we go forward, one may predict that vaccination combined with good management practices will provide a feasible and sustainable strategy to control coccidiosis and improve the overall health of poultry.

**Disclosure**

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

**References**


