Drug adherence to olmesartan/amlodipine fixed combination in an Italian clinical practice setting
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Objective: To investigate the criteria for prescribing a combination pill for hypertensive patients, and whether the combination pill improves medication adherence.

Materials and methods: This was a retrospective cohort study, performed in three Italian local health units. We selected all adult subjects who received at least one prescription of anti-hypertensive drugs between September 1, 2011 and December 31, 2011 (the enrollment period). The date of the first antihypertensive claim was defined as the index date. For each patient, we documented the antihypertensive drug treatments and evaluated patients’ adherence to treatment, which was calculated, separately, as the proportion of days covered in the two 6-month periods preceding and following the index date. Only patients treated with olmesartan and/or amlodipine as a single therapy, or as a two-pill combination in the period prior the index date were included. Changes in adherence levels were compared in subjects who moved to the fixed combination of olmesartan/amlodipine after the index date and in subjects who did not.

Results: A cohort of 21,008 subjects with a 6-month history of a prescription of olmesartan and amlodipine as two pills in a combination treatment, or as single-pill treatment, was obtained. Subjects treated with the two-pill combination treatment moved to the olmesartan/amlodipine fixed combination treatment more frequently than did subjects with a single-pill treatment ($P<0.001$). Comparing the postindex date period to the preindex date period, adherence to treatment was found to be higher in the 239 subjects who moved to the olmesartan/amlodipine fixed combination therapy (from 59.0% to 78.7%; $P<0.001$), than in the 20,769 subjects who did not move to the olmesartan/amlodipine fixed combination therapy (from 56.3% to 63.0%, $P<0.001$).

Conclusion: The results of the present study show that the fixed combination of olmesartan/amlodipine contributes to increasing treatment adherence in subjects previously treated with a two-pill combination therapy or a single-pill therapy.
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Introduction
Hypertension is a highly prevalent condition and an important risk factor for cardiovascular (CV) morbidity and mortality.$^{1,2}$ Hypertension affects nearly one out of four adults in Italy, with a prevalence of about 60% in a population ≥65 years old.$^3$ Disappointingly, only two-thirds of treated hypertensive patients had controlled blood pressure (BP),$^4$ and most of them required more than one antihypertensive agent to achieve and maintain recommended BP goals.$^5-^7$ This lack of medical success and the need for multidrug therapy are some of the reasons why new antihypertensive drugs continue to be developed, and it also explains the interest in
fixed-combination antihypertensive agents. The European Society of Hypertension–European Society of Cardiology guidelines recommend combination therapy as a first-line treatment option for hypertension that will likely not be controlled on monotherapy (systolic BP >20 mmHg or diastolic BP >10 mmHg above target BP) because of evidence showing that only a minority of patients will achieve and maintain BP goals on monotherapy.7 Increasingly, a single-pill combination containing two antihypertensive drugs with complementary mechanisms of action offers potential advantages, including simplification of treatment regimens, more convenient drug administration, increased compliance, and reduced health care costs.6,8,9 Several fixed-combination therapies consisting of two antihypertensive agents are available.9 Preferred drug classes for combination regimens include angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin II receptor blockers, calcium channel blockers, and diuretics, with selection dependent on individual patient factors, including additional CV risk factors and comorbidities.5,7 Recently, a single-pill combination of olmesartan medoxomil/amlodipine has become available for clinical practice use in Italy. Hence, in the present study, we attempted to investigate the criteria for prescribing the combination pill for hypertensive patients in the context of clinical practice, and to determine whether the combination pill improves medication adherence. The study was conducted using data from the pharmaceutical services database.

Materials and methods

Data source

The study was based on administrative databases maintained by three local health units (LHU) located in three Italian regions: Lombardy; Emilia-Romagna; and Campania. The LHU Ethics Committees approved of the study. In order to guarantee patient privacy, each subject was assigned an anonymous and unique alphanumeric code.

Cohort definition

This was a retrospective cohort study, which selected subjects aged 18 years and older, who received at least one prescription of antihypertensive drugs (diuretics [ATC code C03]; beta-blockers [ATC code C07]; calcium channel blockers [ATC code C08]; angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors [ATC code C09A/B]; angiotensin-receptor blockers [ATC code C09C/D]; and/or other antihypertensive drugs [ATC code C02] between September 1, 2011 and December 31, 2011 [enrollment period]). The date of the first antihypertensive claim was defined as the index date. We excluded records of subjects who died or moved to other LHU during the study period. For each subject, we compared the antihypertensive drug treatments (ADTs), as well as the patient’s adherence to treatment in the 6 months preceding and following the index date. For analysis purposes, we included only subjects who, in the previous 6-month period, received at least a prescription of olmesartan (ATC code C09CA08, C09DA08) and/or amlodipine (ATC code C08CA01) as a single therapy or in a two-pill combination. In order to define the patients’ clinical characteristics, in the 12 months before the index date, we evaluated prescriptions of hypoglycemic drugs (ATC code A10; at least two prescriptions) and hospital admissions for hypertension (ICD-9-CM code 401–405), myocardial infarction or other ischemic heart disease (ICD-9-CM code 410–414), heart failure (ICD-9-CM code 428), cerebrovascular disorders (ICD-9-CM code 430–438), or vascular diseases (ICD-9-CM code 440–442).

Adherence to ADT

The adherence to ADT was determined separately in the two 6-month study periods, grouping the enrolled subjects as follows: subjects treated with olmesartan or amlodipine in a single-pill treatment; or subjects treated with a free-drug
combination in the preceding period, who either moved or did not move to a fixed combination of olmesartan/amlodipine in the following period. Adherence to ADT was estimated as the percentage of days a subject had tablets available (proportion of days covered [PDC]), from the first delivery of ADT until the last day of the 6-month follow-up period, regardless of any gap in therapy. Based on the method of Catalan and LeLorier,\textsuperscript{14} the 6-month intervals were separated into treatment episodes of continuous ADT use. The PDC corresponded to the total number of days’ supply of medication dispensed within each episode, divided by the 6-month follow-up period, and multiplied by 100. The period covered by a prescription was calculated by the number of tablets in the dispensed packs of drugs. We assumed a treatment schedule of one tablet per day regarding prescriptions of agents from the same drug class, considering a possible stockpiling of medication for future use, while we assumed one tablet per agent per day regarding prescriptions containing agents from antihypertensive different classes, identifying these as a combined therapy. The method we used avoided double counting in the case of overlapping refills. Any remaining tablets at the end of the study period were not accounted for. Consistent with data in the literature, subjects with a PDC $>80\%$ were defined as adherent to ADT.\textsuperscript{15}

Statistical analysis

Data were summarized as the mean ± standard deviation for continuous variables, and as numbers (percentages) of subjects for categorical variables. Pearson's chi-square and one-way analysis of variance tests were used to evaluate differences in baseline characteristics across the cohort of patients. McNemar's test was used to assess the change in the proportion of adherent patients from the pre- to the postindex date period. Two-tailed $P$-values $<0.05$ were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were conducted using Stata software, version 12.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Out of a population of about 2,140,000 beneficiaries, 432,253 subjects had at least a prescription of antihypertensive drugs filled from September 1, 2011 to December 31, 2011. Of these, 2,395 were previously treated with olmesartan and amlodipine as a two-pill combination treatment and 18,613 were previously treated with olmesartan or amlodipine as a single-pill treatment (Figure 1). Subjects treated with the two-pill combination treatment were significantly ($P<0.001$) more frequently diabetic and had significantly ($P<0.001$) more previous CV events than subjects treated with the single-pill treatment (Table 1). Subjects treated with the two-pill combination treatment moved to olmesartan/amlodipine fixed combination treatment more frequently than did subjects treated with a single-pill treatment ($P<0.001$) (Figure 1), who were independent from the presence of diabetes and/or previous CV events (Table 1). Of the 21,008 enrolled patients (Table 2), 239 (1.1\%) moved to olmesartan/amlodipine fixed combination.
Hypertension represents a significant economic burden, absorbing a large and growing share of health care resources. The economic burden of uncontrolled hypertension is primarily clinical, related to CV morbidity, including coronary heart and cerebrovascular diseases, and consequently economic due to the costs of managing cardiovascular events as well as the cost of medications and physician visits.\(^6\) The high prevalence of hypertension in the real-world clinical setting is partly due to a lack of awareness of BP levels, but also to

### Table 1 Characteristics of the enrolled subjects according to the pretreatment index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Subjects enrolled (N=21,008)</th>
<th>P-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Previously treated with olmesartan and amlodipine</td>
<td>Previously treated with olmesartan or amlodipine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects, n (%)</td>
<td>2,395 (11.4)</td>
<td>18,613 (88.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) mean ± SD</td>
<td>67.9±11.9</td>
<td>64.4±12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex %</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes %</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous cardiovascular events %</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: N, total number of subjects; n, sample number; SD, standard deviation.

### Table 2 Characteristics of the subjects who moved to olmesartan/amlodipine fixed combination therapy, and those who did not move to olmesartan/amlodipine fixed combination therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Subjects enrolled (N=21,008)</th>
<th>P-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moved to fixed combination olmesartan/amlodipine</td>
<td>Treated with other antihypertensive drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects n (%)</td>
<td>239 (1.1)</td>
<td>20,769 (98.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) mean ± SD</td>
<td>64.4±11.6</td>
<td>64.8±12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex %</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>50.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes %</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous cardiovascular events %</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous antihypertensive treatment, n (%)</td>
<td>104 (43.5)</td>
<td>2,291 (11.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmesartan and amlodipine</td>
<td>135 (56.5)</td>
<td>18,478 (89.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: N, total number of subjects; n, sample number; SD, standard deviation.
Treatment adherence to antihypertensive drugs has been associated with significantly greater rates of treatment persistence, when compared with corresponding free-drug therapies, when compared with corresponding free-drug therapies. The use of an antihypertensive, single-pill combination therapy, such as olmesartan and amlodipine, can have a value 

patients not achieving recommended treatment targets. Failure to achieve target BP levels may be related to physicians’ prescribing habits, and/or patients’ adherence and persistence to their antihypertensive medications. Treatment adherence is an important issue for a chronic disease such as hypertension, with improvements in adherence expected to result in better long-term clinical outcomes, including reduced CV and renal morbidity/mortality and, consequently, containment of health care costs. In fact, some studies have shown a direct correlation between noncompliance to antihypertensive therapy and increased health care expenditures. In the presence of cases of overprescription of the drug prescribed medications would benefit. This dichotomous cutoff value was found to be reasonable for CV medications, even in the presence of cases of overprescription of the drug. Hence, we believe our definition is relevant to clinical practice goals for treatment. Evidence from meta-analyses has shown that the use of an antihypertensive, single-pill combination therapies, when compared with corresponding free-drug combinations, is associated with significantly greater rates of treatment adherence to medications, as well as with potential

Table 3 Postindex treatment of subjects who did not move to olmesartan/amlodipine fixed combination therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of treatment</th>
<th>Treated with other antihypertensive drugs</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td>20,769</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmesartan and amlodipine</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,997</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmesartan or amlodipine</td>
<td></td>
<td>15,735</td>
<td>75.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olmesartan or amlodipine, with other antihypertensive drugs</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,521</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other CCBs/All antagonists</td>
<td></td>
<td>211</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other antihypertensive drugs</td>
<td></td>
<td>305</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Other antihypertensive drugs include diuretics, beta blocking agents, and ACE inhibitors.

Abbreviations: N, total number of subjects; n, sample number; CCBs, calcium channel blockers; AI, angiotensin II; ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme.

Figure 2 Adherence to treatment in subjects who moved to olmesartan/amlodipine.

Notes: Comparison between the 6-month period before and after the index date. Analysis of the 239 subjects who moved to olmesartan/amlodipine: 104 previously treated with olmesartan and amlodipine as a two-pill combination treatment, and 135 previously treated with olmesartan or amlodipine as a single-pill treatment. *P<0.001 postindex date period versus preindex date period.
advantages in terms of BP improvements and reductions in adverse effects.26,27 A large retrospective database study of an angiotensin II receptor blocker plus a calcium channel blocker in a two-drug, single-pill combination has also shown greater levels of adherence when compared with corresponding regimens consisting of a free-pill angiotensin II receptor blocker plus a calcium channel blocker.28 Moreover, Chang et al29 have shown that patients using a valsartan-based, single-pill combination were more adherent to pharmacological treatment, as well as more prone to achieve BP goals, than patients treated with an angiotensin II receptor blocker in free combination with other antihypertensive drugs.

The results of the present study performed in a clinical practice setting confirm that the rate of adherence on antihypertensive treatment is higher for hypertensive patients treated with a single-pill combination of olmesartan/amlodipine, as compared to patients treated with the two-pill combination or a single pill, or with other single or multipill ADTs. We suggest the possibility that the tolerability profile of the fixed combination of olmesartan/amlodipine might contribute to the patient's persistence on treatment. Unfortunately, the occurrence of drug-related adverse effects was not reported in pharmaceutical databases; however, some evidence might be obtained by the analysis of data collected from clinical trials comparing olmesartan/amlodipine with their respective monotherapies. These studies described an incidence of drug-related adverse events in the range of 5.3%–7.7% among patients receiving approved doses of olmesartan/amlodipine, compared with the incidence rates of 7.4% and 8.9% among patients receiving amlodipine or olmesartan monotherapy, respectively.30,31 Our findings suggest that the combination pill improves medication adherence. Further analyses are needed to confirm whether a higher proportion of patients who persist on treatment are associated with greater BP decreases in response to antihypertensive drugs.

The point of this study is that the pharmaceutical databases were constructed to serve a billing role for the reimbursement of services provided; thus, information on patients’ BP levels was not available. However, a recent meta-analysis of 147 trials showed a BP-lowering benefit following the use of antihypertensive drugs, irrespective of what the patients’ BP levels were, thus avoiding the need to measure BP routinely.32 This supports the hypothesis that the overall impact of the BP-lowering treatments in clinical practice may actually result from the treatments’ absolute BP-lowering effect and their capacity to positively promote patients’ persistence on treatment. From a public health perspective, studies based on administrative databases, like the present one, offer several advantages, including a prompt, easily updated, and representative picture of monitored cohorts with highly generalizable results. The ability for a health care system to be supported also depends on accurate and comprehensive data for good clinical management, administration, financial control, and general management.33 Thus, government institutions have stressed the importance of implementing patient-oriented clinical information systems, and of using the administrative databases in monitoring clinical practice.34

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the results of the present study show that the rate of adherence to therapy can significantly differ among patients treated with several classes of antihypertensive drugs (even within the same pharmacological family), and among patients...
treated with the fixed combination of olmesartan/amlodipine. Additional studies are needed to assess whether these differences will be maintained in the following years, and whether the differences are associated with better health outcomes.
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