Biological responses according to the shape and size of carbon nanotubes in BEAS-2B and MESO-1 cells
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Abstract: This study aimed to investigate the influence of the shape and size of multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) and cup-stacked carbon nanotubes (CSCNTs) on biological responses in vitro. Three types of MWCNTs – VGCF®-X, VGCF®-S, and VGCF® (vapor grown carbon fibers; with diameters of 15, 80, and 150 nm, respectively) – and three CSCNTs of different lengths (CS-L, 20–80 μm; CS-S, 0.5–20 μm; and CS-M, of intermediate length) were tested. Human bronchial epithelial (BEAS-2B) and malignant pleural mesothelioma cells were exposed to the CNTs (1–50 μg/mL), and cell viability, permeability, uptake, total reactive oxygen species/superoxide production, and intracellular acidity were measured. CSCNTs were less toxic than MWCNTs in both cell types over a 24-hour exposure period. The cytotoxicity of endocyotosed MWCNTs varied according to cell type/size, while that of CSCNTs depended on tube length irrespective of cell type. CNT diameter and length influenced cell aggregation and injury extent. Intracellular acidity increased independently of lysosomal activity along with the number of vacuoles in BEAS-2B cells exposed for 24 hours to either CNT (concentration, 10 μg/mL). However, total reactive oxygen species/superoxide generation did not contribute to cytotoxicity. The results demonstrate that CSCNTs could be suitable for biological applications and that CNT shape and size can have differential effects depending on cell type, which can be exploited in the development of highly specialized, biocompatible CNTs.
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Introduction
Due to their unique physicochemical properties, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have applications in a wide variety of industries. One major area of application is in the manufacture of biomaterials and devices, which include biosensors and drug and vaccine delivery vehicles.1,2 CNTs have the advantage of superior mechanical strength, and carbon materials in general are considered inert and therefore biocompatible.3,4 However, before CNTs can be incorporated into new and existing biomedical devices, their toxicity and biocompatibility need to be thoroughly investigated. Mice injected intraperitoneally with CNTs exhibited toxicological changes similar to those induced by exposure to asbestos,5,6 and CNTs have been linked to the induction of mesotheliomas.7,8 Although some in vivo studies have been conducted on the safety of CNT exposure by inhalation or intratracheal administration, their findings have been indeterminate.9–13 Results from in vitro studies have also been ambiguous, with some studies reporting that CNTs induce cytotoxicity and cytokine production,14–18 and others showing that no significant biological responses are elicited.19,20
Many reasons have been proposed for these contradictory findings. First, CNTs can be single-walled, multi-walled (MWCNTs), as well as cup-stacked (CSCNTs), and can differ in terms of length and diameter as well as physiochemical properties such as shape, agglomeration, surface structure, and carbon defects, any of which can influence the toxicological evaluation.\(^{21,27}\) Moreover, impurities in CNTs have been shown to induce oxidative stress, resulting in cellular damage.\(^{28,29}\) Other factors besides the CNT itself, such as experimental conditions, have also been suggested to contribute to a misleading toxicity evaluation.\(^{14,30,31}\) Two recent studies by our group examined the possible factors contributing to the variable cytotoxicity of CNTs in vitro. In one study, it was found that cytotoxicity differed according to the dispersant that is used.\(^{32}\) CNTs dispersed with gelatin or 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine were endocytosed and induced concentration-dependent cytotoxicity and cytokine secretion, while the same was not observed when carboxymethyl cellulose was used instead. Varying degrees of cytotoxicity were also observed in different cell lines.\(^{33}\) MWCNTs were endocytosed by, and were toxic to, malignant pleural mesothelioma, bronchial epithelial, and macrophage-like cells, but not neuroblastoma and monoblastic cells. Endocytosed MWCNTs accumulated in the lysosome, causing injury to the membrane. However, endocytosed carbon black, a carbon allotrope, had no cytotoxic effects despite settling in the lysosome. This indicates that the toxicity associated with CNTs, which are internalized due to their nanosize, is not an inherent property of the constituent carbon (which is considered inert), but is actually due to other factors.

In the present study, we investigated whether the physical dimensions and type of CNTs influence cellular response. MWCNTs (Showa Denko KK, Tokyo, Japan) and CSCNTs (GSI Creos, Tokyo, Japan) of various diameters and lengths were tested in two different epithelial cell lines, in which responses were evaluated based on several biological parameters. The findings indicate that the cellular response to CNTs is dependent on multiple factors, which should be considered while developing CNTs that have optimal biocompatibility.

**Materials and methods**

**CNT preparation**

The properties of the MWCNTs are listed in Table 1, and those of the CSCNTs\(^{14}\) are listed in Table 2. Three types of MWCNTs – VGCF\(^®\)-X, VGCF\(^®\)-S, and VGCF\(^®\) (vapor grown carbon fibers; with diameters of 15, 80, and 150 nm, respectively) – and three CSCNTs of different lengths (CS-L, 20–80 μm; CS-S, 0.5–20 μm; and CS-M, of intermediate length) were tested. The CNTs were sterilized by autoclaving at 121°C for 15 minutes, then dispersed in 0.1% gelatin in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and sonicated in a water bath for 30 minutes.

**Cell culture**

The BEAS-2B human bronchial epithelial cell line was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), (Manassas, VA, USA). The ACC-MESO-1 human malignant pleural mesothelioma cell line\(^{35}\) was purchased from RIKEN (Wako, Ibaraki, Japan). BEAS-2B cells were cultured in Ham’s Nutrient Mixture F-12 (Nacalai Tesque) with 10% fetal bovine serum ([FBS] Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and MESO-1 cells were cultured in RPMI1640 supplemented with 10% FBS. Both cell lines were cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO\(_2\) humidified incubator and passaged twice a week. For each study, cells were seeded at a density of 2×10\(^4\) or 5×10\(^4\) cells/mL and adhered for 24 hours.

**alamarBlue® (AB) assay**

To assess cell viability upon exposure to CNTs, an AB assay (Life Technologies) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C in culture medium with 1, 10, or 50 μg/mL CNTs in 96-well culture plates. Control cells were cultured in medium containing the dispersant medium (0.001% gelatin). Viable cells metabolized the dye, resulting in an increase in fluorescence by excitation/emission at 550/600 nm, which was recorded by a fluorescence multiplate reader (PowerScan 4; DS Pharma Biomedical, Tokyo, Japan). The relative fluorescence intensity (RFU) was calculated using the formula: RFU = Tn/Tc, where Tn is the fluorescence of the treated well and Tc is the fluorescence of the control well.

**Table 1** Properties of multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MWCNTs</th>
<th>VGCF(^®)</th>
<th>VGCF(^®)-S</th>
<th>VGCF(^®)-X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length (μm)</td>
<td>20–80</td>
<td>0.5–20</td>
<td>20–80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (nm)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agglomeration size (nm)</td>
<td>2,029±79</td>
<td>1,833±201</td>
<td>1,547±15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Properties of cup-stacked carbon nanotubes (CSCNTs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSCNTs</th>
<th>CS-L</th>
<th>CS-M</th>
<th>CS-S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length (μm)</td>
<td>20–80</td>
<td>0.5–20</td>
<td>0.5–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (nm)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agglomeration size (nm)</td>
<td>2,029±79</td>
<td>1,833±201</td>
<td>1,547±15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** CSCNTs were provided by GSI Creos (Tokyo, Japan). Blank space denotes that CS-M is between CS-L and CS-S in terms of length.
Olsaka, Japan). Cytotoxic activity was calculated as follows:

Percent cytotoxicity

\[ \frac{100 \times \text{experimental value}}{\text{control value}} \]  

(1)

Each sample was assayed six times.

**Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release assay**

To determine plasma membrane permeability of cells exposed to CNTs, cells grown in 24-well plates were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C with or without CNT (10 µg/mL). LDH activity in the culture medium was measured using an LDH Cytotoxicity Assay Kit (Cayman Chemical Co, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The red formazan product was measured at 490 nm using a multiplate reader (VERSA max; Molecular Devices LLC, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Positive control cells were cultured in medium containing 0.01% Triton X-100 and permeability was defined as 100%. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Assessment of CNT uptake by laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSM)**

Cells were treated with CellLight® Lysosomes-RFP and Early Endosomes-GFP (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and cultured on μ-Slide 8-well chambered slides with an ibiTreat surface (ibidi GmbH, Martinsried, Germany) for 24 hours in a 5% CO₂ incubator. After the cells were treated, they were incubated with or without CNTs (1 µg/mL in BEAS-2B and 10 µg/mL in MESO-1 cells) for 24 hours. Before observation, the cells were stained with bisbenzimide H33342 fluorochrome trihydrochloride (H33342) 1 µg/mL for 30 minutes. Cells were visualized with differential interference contrast optics and by fluorescence using an LSM510 NLO confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany) equipped with blue diode (360 nm), argon (488 nm), and helium–neon (543 nm) lasers for excitation of H33342, GFP, and RFP, respectively.

**Assessment of CNT uptake by transmission electron microscopy (TEM)**

Cells grown on cover slips in a 3.5 cm culture dish were exposed to CNTs (1 µg/mL in BEAS-2B and 10 µg/mL in MESO-1 cells) for 24 hours. Cells were washed twice in PBS, fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde, postfixed with 1% osmic acid, and embedded in Epon. Sections were cut at 60 nm, stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and visualized under a JEM1400 transmission electron microscope (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) at 80 keV.

**Total reactive oxygen species (ROS)/superoxide production**

Total ROS/superoxide production in cells exposed to CNTs was determined using a total ROS/superoxide detection kit (Enzo Life Sciences, Inc., Farmingdale, NY, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After the cells had adhered for 24 hours in 12-well plates, they were pretreated with oxidative stress detection reagent and superoxide detection reagent for 30 minutes before CNT solution (1 µg/mL in BEAS-2B and 10 µg/mL in MESO-1 cells) was added. Pyocyanin (100 µM) was used to induce ROS production. After 60 minutes, the cells were washed once with 1× wash buffer and harvested through a nylon mesh; then, they were subjected to flow cytometry (FACSCalibur™; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) using the FL1 and FL2 channels for oxidative stress detection reagent and superoxide detection reagent signals, respectively, until 10,000 cells were recorded. Cell suspensions were assayed in triplicate for each treatment condition.

**Evaluation of intracellular acidity**

To assess lysosomal acidity, cells were adhered in a 12-well plate for 24 hours and exposed to CNTs (1 or 10 µg/mL in BEAS-2B and 10 µg/mL in MESO-1 cells) for 24 hours. Cells were washed twice with PBS, and then incubated for 30 minutes under growth conditions in prewarmed medium containing 1 µM LysoSensor™ Green DND-189 dye (Life Technologies). After washing with PBS, cells were resuspended in PBS containing 10% FBS and subjected to flow cytometry until 10,000 cells were recorded. Cell suspensions were assayed in quadruplicate for each treatment condition and the LysoSensor intensity (%) was calculated. Since CNTs may interfere with the fluorescence signal during flow cytometry, control cells that were not exposed to CNTs prior to incubation with LysoSensor were prepared as a CNT blank, and CNTs were added before resuspension. CNT blank samples were assayed and the CNT inhibition intensity (%) was calculated. The change in % intensity was calculated as follows:

\[ \Delta \% \text{ intensity} = \frac{\text{LysoSensor intensity} - \text{CNT inhibition intensity}}{\text{LysoSensor intensity}} \]  

(2)
To obtain images of intracellular acidity levels, BEAS-2B cells treated with Lysosomes-RFP were adhered on µ-Slide 8-well chambered slides for 24 hours and incubated with or without 1 μg/mL CNT for 24 hours. Cells were washed twice with PBS, and then incubated for 30 minutes under growth conditions in prewarmed medium containing 1 μM LysoSensor dye. Cells were visualized by fluorescence microscopy (Axio Observer.Z1; Carl Zeiss) using a 40× objective.

Statistical analysis
All data are presented as mean ± standard error. Values were obtained from at least three independent experiments. The Student’s t-test was used to compare means, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
CSCNTs have lower toxicity than MWCNTs
The cytotoxicity of CNTs was assessed using the AB assay (Figure 1A). The toxicity of MWCNTs in BEAS-2B cells was concentration dependent, but did not vary as a function of length or diameter. The toxicity of CSCNTs in BEAS-2B cells was dependent on concentration and length (Figure 1B). In MESO-1 cells, MWCNT toxicity varied by concentration and was consistently lower than in BEAS-2B cells (Figure 1C). CSCNTs were not toxic to MESO-1 cells, except at the highest concentrations (50 μg/mL) of CS-L and CS-M (Figure 1D). MWCNTs were more toxic than CSCNTs in both cell lines.

Beas-2B cells are more permeable to MWCNTs than CSCNTs
The LDH assay was used to evaluate plasma membrane permeability. BEAS-2B cells were more permeable to MWCNTs (>50%) than CSCNTs (<50%) at a CNT concentration of 10 μg/mL (Figure 2A). Among MWCNTs, permeability to VGCF-X was highest at 77%, followed by VGCF-S and VGCF; for CSCNTs, permeability was CS-M > CS-L > CS-S. The permeability of MESO-1 cells to both types of CNT was <30%, with cells being most permeable

Figure 1 Cell viability upon exposure to CNTs.
Notes: Cells were exposed to the indicated concentrations of CNT for 24 hours. BEAS-2B cells exposed to (A) MWCNTs (VGCF®-X, VGCF®-S, and VGCF®) and (B) CSCNTs (CS-L, CS-S, and CS-M); MESO-1 cells exposed to (C) MWCNTs and (D) CSCNTs. DM (0.001% gelatin) served as the control, and data are expressed as mean ± standard error (n = 6). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. MWCNTs were provided by Showa Denko KK (Tokyo, Japan); CSCNTs were provided by GS! Creos (Tokyo, Japan); VGCF, vapor grown carbon fibers; CS-L, CSCNT of length 20–80 μm; CS-S, CSCNT of length 0.5–20 μm; CS-M, CSCNT of intermediate length.
Abbreviations: CNT, carbon nanotube; CSCNT, cup-stacked CNT; DM, dispersant medium; MWCNT, multi-walled CNT; VGCF, vapor grown carbon fibers; CS-L, CSCNT of length 20–80 μm; CS-S, CSCNT of length 0.5–20 μm; CS-M, CSCNT of intermediate length.
to VGCF at 29% (Figure 2B). In general, permeability followed a trend that was similar, but not identical, to cytotoxicity in both cell types, with membrane permeability at 10 µg/mL reflecting the trend for cytotoxicity at 50 µg/mL.

**MWCNTs and CSCNTs aggregate in the lysosome of BEAS-2B cells**

BEAS-2B cells that had endocytosed CNTs were observed by LSM and TEM (Figures 3A and 4A). Cells were treated with fluorescent protein-signal peptide fusion molecules to visualize the lysosome (RFP) and early endosome (GFP). GCF, VGCF-S, and CS-L were visible as multiple long fiber bundles adjacent to the nucleus and were seen protruding from the lysosome (Figure 3Ab, 3Ac, and 3Ae). Although a similar distribution of the fibers was observed with VGCF-X and CS-S, for the former, only a portion of the agglomerate was inside the lysosome while the majority of fibers penetrated the plasma membrane, as opposed to CS-S fibers, which were mostly in the lysosomal compartment (Figure 3Ad and 3Ag). CS-Ms were observed as both single fibers and aggregates and appeared as a mixture of CS-Ls and CS-Ss (Figure 3Af). There was no overlap in the signals of early endosomes and CNTs in the cytoplasm of BEAS-2B and MESO-1 cells. The lysosomal distribution of VGCF, VGCF-S, and CS-L was more clearly visible by TEM (Figure 4Ab, 4Ac and 4Ae). Two pits were observed in the process of endocytosis of VGCF-X aggregates (Figure 4Ag).

Although MESO-1 cells were exposed to MWCNTs and CSCNTs at a tenfold higher concentration than BEAS-2B cells (Figure 3B), CNT fibers and agglomerates were not specifically associated with the lysosome and were instead distributed throughout the cytoplasm while being excluded from the nucleus, with no obvious effects on adhesion or viability. In TEM images, a few isolated VGCF and VGCF-S fibers were observed adjacent to nuclei (Figure 4Bb and 4Bc), while the other CNTs were present as aggregates (Figure 4Bd–g).

**MWCNTs stimulate ROS production in BEAS-2B cells**

The total ROS production in BEAS-2B cells exposed to MWCNTs and CSCNTs is shown in Figure 5A. Oxidative stress was significantly upregulated, and superoxide dismutase activity was slightly increased by VGCF-X compared to that for the positive control pyocyanin. An increase in oxidative stress level was observed in MESO-1 cells exposed to VGCF-X (Figure 5B).

**CNTs induce lysosomal acidification upon uptake**

An acidotropic probe, which accumulates in acidic organelles and exhibits pH-dependent increases in fluorescence intensity upon acidification, was used to evaluate changes in intracellular acidity upon exposure to CNTs. Cells that had internalized CNTs were isolated by flow cytometry (Figure 6A and B). BEAS-2B cells exposed to 50 µg/mL MWCNTs were not analyzed because a sufficient number of living cells could not be obtained for analysis due to the toxicity of MWCNTs at this concentration. Increases in intensity upon exposure of BEAS-2B cells to 1 µg/mL VGCF, VGCF-S, and VGCF-X were 10.8%, 7.5%, and 17.5%, respectively, while, for CSCNTs at the same concentration, the values were <5% (Figure 6A). However, at 10 µg/mL, all CNTs induced increases in intensity of >10% in BEAS-2B cells.
In contrast, in MESO-1 cells, changes were mostly $\leq 10\%$, with VGCF and VGCF-S (at 50 $\mu$g/mL) accounting for more than 30% of the total increase (Figure 6B). To determine whether acidification was due to CNT uptake, the lysosomes of BEAS-2B cells exposed to 10 $\mu$g/mL CNT and treated with the acidotropic probe were visualized, while control cells were double-stained with Lysosomes-RFP dye and emitted orange fluorescence (Figure 6C). Small vacuoles were observed in CNT-exposed cells, but CNT aggregates were visible only in lysosomes, confirming that the observed increases in intensity were due to lysosomal CNT uptake.

Discussion

A major concern for the use of CNTs is their safety, since their shape is similar to that of asbestos. Although CNTs need to be internalized by cells in order to be useful as carriers, intracellular accumulation can be cytotoxic. A number of studies have investigated the biodegradability of CNTs in an attempt to address this issue. However, CNTs are inherently stable, and degradation by chemical modification has yet to be developed. Therefore, the present study examined the optimal CNT shape and size that can maximize biocompatibility using two different types of CNT.

Both BEAS-2B and MESO-1 cells internalized MWCNTs, but different cytotoxic effects were observed in each cell line. In BEAS-2B cells, toxicity varied as a function of diameter, such that the toxicity was VGCF > VGCF-X > VGCF-S, while for MESO-1 cells, the order was VGCF > VGCF-S $\approx$ VGCF-X (Figure 1). In another study, macrophages, but not mesothelial or
Figure 4 Transmission electron micrographs of BEAS-2B and MESO-1 cells exposed to CNTs.

Notes: (A) BEAS-2B cells were exposed to 1 μg/mL MWCNT (VGCF) or CSCNT (CS) for 24 hours. (a) DM (control); (b and c) VGCF®; (d and e) VGCF®-S; (f and g) VGCF®-X; (h and i) CS-L; (j and k) CS-M; and (l and m) CS-S. Red arrow indicates VGCF-X agglomerates, which were not taken up by BEAS-2B cells, nor did they fully penetrate the cell membrane. (B) MESO-1 cells were exposed to 10 μg/mL MWCNT or CSCNT for 24 hours. (a) DM (control); (b and c) VGCF®; (d and e) VGCF®-S; (f and g) VGCF®-X; (h and i) CS-L; (j and k) CS-M; and (l and m) CS-S. MWCNTs were provided by Showa Denko KK (Tokyo, Japan); CSCNTs were provided by GSI Creos (Tokyo, Japan).

Abbreviations: CNT, carbon nanotube; CSCNT, cup-stacked carbon nanotube; DM, dispersant medium; MWCNT, multi-walled carbon nanotube; CS-L, CSCNT of length 0.5–20 μm; CS-M, CSCNT of intermediate length; VGCF, vapor grown carbon fibers.
Figure 5  Total reactive oxygen species production upon exposure to CNTs.

Notes: (A) BEAS-2B cells were exposed to 1 µg/mL MWcNT (VGCF®, VGCF®-S, and VGCF®-X) or CSCNT (CS-L, CS-M, and CS-S) for 1 hour. (B) Meso-1 cells were exposed to 10 µg/mL MWcNT (VGCF, VGCF-S, and VGCF-X) or CSCNT (CS-L, CS-M, and CS-S) for 1 hour. Pyocyanin (100 µM) was used to induce the production of reactive oxygen species. Data are expressed as mean ± standard error (n=3). *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001. MWcNTs were provided by Showa Denko KK (Tokyo, Japan); CSCNTs were provided by GSI Creos (Tokyo, Japan).

Abbreviations: CNT, carbon nanotube; CSCNT, cup-stacked carbon nanotube; DM, dispersant medium; MWcNT, multi-walled carbon nanotube; OSDR, oxidative stress detection reagent; SDR, superoxide detection reagent; CS-L, CSCNT of length 20–80 µm; CS-S, CSCNT of length 0.5–20 µm; CS-M, CSCNT of intermediate length; VGCF, vapor grown carbon fibers.
epithelial cells, were shown to take up MWCNTs, whereas cytotoxicity was comparable to what was observed in BEAS-2B cells or Meso-1 cells in the present study.\(^8\) The discordance between those results and ours may be the difference in internalization time. The previous study evaluated CNT uptake after 3 hours of MWCNT exposure, and evaluated cytotoxicity on the fourth day. Cellular uptake is dependent on time and cell type, and therefore a 3-hour exposure may be too short to evaluate the full extent of endocytosis.\(^{14,32}\) In contrast, CSCNTs were not toxic to Meso-1 cells; however, in BEAS-2B cells, toxicity was also dependent on size (in this case, length), with toxicity values of CS-L > CS-M > CS-S (Figure 1). These results are consistent with reports that have demonstrated a positive association between CNT length and cytotoxicity.\(^{5,40,41}\) Although the cytotoxicity of CSCNTs of a given diameter was comparable between cell lines, VGCF-X, which is shorter than VGCF-S, was more toxic to BEAS-2B cells. VGCF-X had the shortest length and smallest diameter among the CNTs tested in this study. However, VGCF-X agglomerates had the largest diameter, and, as such, were not taken up by BEAS-2B cells, nor did they fully penetrate the cell membrane (Figures 3d and 4f). We previously reported that the volume of BEAS-2B cells is less than half of that of Meso-1 cells.\(^{32}\) Smaller cells may be more susceptible to cytotoxicity because of incomplete endocytosis of a CNT aggregate. This is supported by the observation that LDH activity was highest in BEAS-2B cells exposed to VGCF-X. Moreover, the fact that CS-L and CS-M induced cytotoxicity to a comparable or lesser degree than VGCF despite their greater lengths could indicate that cytotoxicity is related to CNT rigidity, which has been previously suggested.\(^8\) Although CSCNTs were similar in diameter to VGCF-S, they have greater flexibility, which predisposes them to
becoming more easily tangled. Indeed, CS-M and CS-S appeared to be tangled inside lysosomes in the TEM and LSM images (Figures 3 and 4). Furthermore, CS-L and VGCF-S aggregates have similar shape (Figure 3) and comparable cytotoxicity values. These results demonstrate that CNT toxicity is a function not only of tube length and rigidity, but also cell and aggregate sizes, and it is therefore imprudent to make generalizations about toxicity without considering multiple parameters.

VGCF-X was the only CNT that caused an increase in total ROS (Figure 5). This indicates that CNTs are not, in general, inducers of oxidative stress in cells. It was recently shown that CNTs can act as OH radical scavengers.\textsuperscript{12,42–44} However, VGCF-X has a higher iron content than VGCF or VGCF-S, since it was not subjected to iron removal heat treatment, and iron is a potential source of ROS. Nonetheless, stimulation of intracellular total ROS production did not directly contribute to cytotoxicity in MESO-1 cells.

We speculated that damage to the lysosome could be the cause of cytotoxicity. In fact, non-aggregated VGCF and VGCF-S penetrated the lysosomal membrane, as seen in the TEM images (Figure 4Ac and e and 4Bc and e). Despite this, the signal intensity of injured, fluorescently labeled lysosomes remained unchanged. We recently reported that highly purified MWCNTs induced the expression of the autophagic marker light chain 3B, and 3-MA – a widely used inhibitor of autophagosome formation – reduced MWCNT-induced cytotoxicity in BEAS-2B cells.\textsuperscript{45} This was further validated by the present observation that cells exposed to CNTs showed increased acidification as a result of autophagy.\textsuperscript{46} Intracellular acidification was observed in MESO-1 cells only when exposed to VGCF and VGCF-S at a concentration of 50 µg/mL, whereas VGCF-X used at the same concentration did not have this effect while inducing a comparable degree of cytotoxicity as VGCF-S. This study was unable to clarify how autophagy was triggered. However, since acidification was unlikely to occur in lysosomes that had endocytosed CS-S, as in the case of carbon black (reported in our previous study),\textsuperscript{33} it is probable that the shape and size of CNTs are involved in promoting autophagy.

**Conclusion**

The cellular response to different types of CNTs of various dimensions was evaluated. CSCNTs, with their larger surface area, are more useful for functionalization, and the length can be adjusted by ball milling.\textsuperscript{13,47} CSCNTs were also more biocompatible than MWCNTs, and therefore have greater potential as a nanobiomaterial, despite being slightly toxic. The cytotoxicity of both CNTs was dependent on many factors, including CNT shape (length, diameter, and aggregation), but also varied according to cell type. Therefore, it is necessary to confirm biocompatibility in each target cell type when considering the use of CNTs as a nanobiomaterial.
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