Sacroiliac joint pain: burden of disease
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Objectives: The sacroiliac joint (SIJ) is an important and significant cause of low back pain. We sought to quantify the burden of disease attributable to the SIJ.

Methods: The authors compared EuroQol 5D (EQ-5D) and Short Form (SF)-36-based health state utility values derived from the preoperative evaluation of patients with chronic SIJ pain participating in two prospective clinical trials of minimally invasive SIJ fusion versus patients participating in a nationally representative USA cross-sectional survey (National Health Measurement Study [NHMS]). Comparative analyses controlled for age, sex, and oversampling in NHMS. A utility percentile for each SIJ subject was calculated using NHMS as a reference cohort. Finally, SIJ health state utilities were compared with utilities for common medical conditions that were published in a national utility registry.

Results: SIJ patients (number [n]=198) had mean SF-6D and EQ-5D utility scores of 0.51 and 0.44, respectively. Values were significantly depressed (0.28 points for the SF-6D utility score and 0.43 points for EQ-5D; both \( P < 0.0001 \)) compared to NHMS controls. SIJ patients were in the lowest deciles for utility compared to the NHMS controls. The SIJ utility values were worse than those of many common, major medical conditions, and similar to those of other common preoperative orthopedic conditions.

Conclusion: Patients with SIJ pain presenting for minimally invasive surgical care have marked impairment in quality of life that is worse than in many chronic health conditions, and this is similar to other orthopedic conditions that are commonly treated surgically. SIJ utility values are in the lowest two deciles when compared to control populations.
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Introduction

Chronic lower back pain carries a significant public health burden, with an estimated 83 million well years of life lost every year due to ill health, disability, or early death.1 Lower back pain is more burdensome than many other highly impactful conditions, such as cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and it is the sixth most common cause of decrements in global disability-adjusted life years.2 In highly developed countries, lower back pain is among the top three causes of years with disability, and the disutility of chronic lower back pain has been rated as high in most countries.3

The sacroiliac joint (SIJ) is well recognized as a source of pain in many patients who present with chronic lower back pain.3 In two large retrospective reviews of patients referred for the outpatient evaluation of back pain, SIJ pain was a common diagnosis, occurring in 14% and 22% of cases, respectively.4,5 Among the patients evaluated for...
residual off-center lower back pain after lumbar fusion, the SIJ was diagnosed as the source of pain in approximately 40% of patients.\textsuperscript{6,7} Treatment options for SIJ pain include physical therapy,\textsuperscript{8} SIJ steroid injections,\textsuperscript{9,10} radiofrequency ablation of the SIJ,\textsuperscript{11,12} and open\textsuperscript{13} or minimally invasive\textsuperscript{14–18} SIJ fusion.

The impact of pain from the SIJ has not been well described.\textsuperscript{19,20} Because the burden of orthopedic disorders on the health economy is high, it is important to define the components of the burden of musculoskeletal disorders for funding and allocation of care. The contribution of the SIJ to the overall burden of lower back pain and the impact of SIJ pain on the health status of affected patients has not been defined. The purpose of this paper is to report the impact of SIJ pain on patients’ self-assessments of their health status, and to compare the burden of SIJ pain to the health status of patients derived from a nationally representative cohort of free-living individuals and to other common orthopedic conditions.

\textbf{Methods}

\textbf{Cohorts}

Two cohorts were used in this study. The SIJ cohort consists of 198 patients enrolled in two ongoing, prospective, multicenter clinical trials of minimally invasive SIJ fusion (NCT01640353, NCT01681004).\textsuperscript{21,22} To qualify, adult patients between the ages of 21 years and 70 years had to have carefully diagnosed chronic SIJ pain due to degenerative sacroilitis or sacroiliac joint disruption, as outlined in Table 1. Eligible patients completed Short Form (SF)-36 (SF-36v2\textsuperscript{33}) and EuroQol’s EQ-5D\textsuperscript{24} health-related quality of life (HRQoL) questionnaires at baseline and at selected follow-up time points. In one study (NCT01640353), all subjects underwent immediate minimally invasive SIJ fusion using a series of titanium implants. In the other study (NCT01681004), subjects were assigned at random to either immediate minimally invasive SIJ fusion using titanium implants or nonsurgical management (medication optimization, physical therapy, SIJ steroid injection, and/or radiofrequency ablation of the SIJ).

The normal cohort consisted of patients participating in the United States National Health Measurement Study (NHMS), for which datasets are publicly available.\textsuperscript{25} NHMS was designed to compare commonly used preference-based HRQoL instruments (SF-36,\textsuperscript{21} SF-6D,\textsuperscript{26} EQ-5D,\textsuperscript{24} and other surveys) in an oversampled cross-sectional sample of 3,844 US adults. Interviews were conducted by trained staff at the University of Wisconsin (Madison, WI, USA). Summaries of the distribution of these health measures in the target survey population have been described.\textsuperscript{27}

\textbf{Transformations}

All analyses are based either on SF-36 summary scales (physical component summary [PCS] and mental component summary [MCS]) or transformations of responses to HRQoL surveys into health state utilities. The NHMS dataset contains these transformations for SF-6D and EQ-5D. Transformations for the SIJ cohort were performed similarly, using published transformations.\textsuperscript{28,29}

\textbf{Statistical evaluation}

The NHMS and SIJ cohorts were combined with an additional grouping variable representing the cohorts (SIJ...
cohort or NHMS). Mean values were calculated across the NHMS age groups and sexes using SAS PROC SURVEYMEANS (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA), which accounts for both oversampling and sampling strata. SAS PROC SURVEYREG was used to model mean PCS, MCS, SF-6D, and EQ-5D values, controlling for age group and sex, age × sex interaction, and accounting for oversampling.

An alternative approach to estimating the health impact is calculating each SIJ patient’s percentile for a selected index using the NHMS cohort as a reference standard. That is, kernel density estimates of the NHMS values for SF-36 PCS, MCS, SF-6D, and the EQ-5D index were produced by age group and sex, taking into account survey sampling weights. Then, for each SIJ subject, the corresponding age- and sex-matched NHMS kernel density estimate function was used to estimate the subject’s percentile when compared to the NHMS reference population. The mean percentile for the SIJ cohort is indicative of HRQoL with SIJ pain compared to an age- and sex-matched reference standard; a mean percentile of 5% indicates that the quality of life of patients with SIJ pain is worse than 95 out of 100 age- and sex-matched peers. In a randomly selected subset of the reference population, the median percentile estimate should be 50. Therefore, percentiles for the SIJ population were compared against a median of 50 using a Wilcoxon test.

**Comparison to other illnesses**

To put the quality of life impact of SIJ pain into perspective, we compared the reported SIJ utility values to the published utility values for other common medical and surgical disorders. Estimates of the utility of other common medical and surgical disorders were taken from entries in the Cost-Effectiveness Analysis Registry, a utility clearinghouse overseen by the Center for the Evaluation of Value and Risk in Health (Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA). Calculations were performed using SAS (version 9.0; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and R.31

**Results**

The study included 198 patients with SIJ pain and 3,844 people in the NHMS normal cohort. Compared to the NHMS normal cohort, clinical trial subjects with diagnosed SIJ pain were somewhat younger and more likely to be women (Table 2). Unadjusted mean SF-36 subdomain values were lower in the SIJ cohort compared to the NHMS cohort (Table 3 and Figure 1). Controlling for the differences in age and sex between the two cohorts, the mean HRQoL summary values were substantially lower in the SIJ cohort compared to the normal cohort (Table 4): mean SF-36 PCS and MCS values were 17.8 and 14.3 points lower in the SIJ group compared to normals, respectively; the mean SF-6D and EQ-5D index utility values were depressed by 0.28 and 0.43 points, respectively, compared to normals. All differences were statistically significant.

To put these figures into perspective, Figure 2 shows age- and sex-matched individual utility values in the SIJ cohort compared with the kernel density estimates for SF-36 PCS, SF-36 MCS, SF-6D, and EQ-5D. Each plot shows the kernel density estimates for the reference NHMS cohort as a smoothed curve and the distribution of individual scores or utility values in the SIJ cohort as dot plot histograms for each combination of age and sex. As expected, density plots of the reference cohort – especially for SF-36 PCS – are sensitive to age, with a broadening and leftward shift in the older ages. For each measurement, values in the SIJ cohort were substantially lower and fell in the lower tails of the NHMS kernel densities, indicating poor health compared to the reference population. For each member of the SIJ cohort, the age- and sex-specific percentile was determined. For all four health state measurements, the age- and sex-adjusted percentiles for the SIJ patients (Figure 2 and Table 5) were low (10th–20th percentiles across age groups), especially for SF-36 and EQ-5D, indicating poor physical health compared to the reference standard. The SIJ cohort percentiles were statistically below the 50th percentile for all measures ($P<0.0001$, Wilcoxon test).

**Table 3 SF-36 values in SIJ cohort compared to NHMS normals**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SF-36 subdomain</th>
<th>Mean (95% CI)*</th>
<th>NHMS</th>
<th>SIJ cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>84.9 (83.8–86)</td>
<td>23.4 (21.2–25.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP</td>
<td>81.1 (80.2–82.3)</td>
<td>21.9 (19.3–24.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>72.9 (71.7–74.1)</td>
<td>21.0 (19.3–22.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH</td>
<td>71.0 (69.9–72.2)</td>
<td>59.6 (56.7–62.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>65.7 (64.8–66.7)</td>
<td>30.7 (28.1–33.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>87.4 (86.4–88.4)</td>
<td>35.7 (32.7–38.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>90.5 (89.6–91.4)</td>
<td>42.2 (38.0–46.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>82.6 (81.8–83.3)</td>
<td>55.1 (52.1–58.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *Calculated with SAS PROC ANSURVEYMEANS (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA); SF-36 subdomains include PF, RP, BP, GH, MH, RE, SF, and VT. Abbreviations: SF-36, Short Form-36; NHMS, National Health Measurement Study; CI, confidence interval; SIJ, sacroiliac joint; PF, physical function; RP, role physical; BP, bodily pain; GH, general health; VT, vitality; SF, social function; RE, role emotional; MH, mental health.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>NHMS</th>
<th>SIJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>54.3 (13.5)</td>
<td>51.6 (11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% female</td>
<td>2,203 (57.3%)</td>
<td>134 (67.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** NHMS, National Health Measurement Study; N, number; SIJ, sacroiliac joint; SD, standard deviation.
Finally, to put SIJ pain into perspective, the utility values shown in Table 4 were compared with the utility estimates entered into the Cost-Effectiveness Analysis Registry for selected major conditions (Figure 3). The impact of SIJ pain on quality of life appears to fall into the spectrum of the disorders that are severe and have a major impact on HRQoL, indicating that SIJ pathology has a similar impact when compared to other severe disorders.

**Discussion**

Our analysis shows that patients with SIJ pain report significant compromise of their health status as compared with normal controls, and when compared with other common medical and surgical conditions. SIJ pain results in a high burden of disease, with an estimated disutility of approximately 0.5 QALYs (quality-adjusted life years). The study used responses to two commonly used HRQoL surveys (SF-36 and EQ-5D) along with well-accepted utility transformations, both of which arrived at similar results. This convergence of information increases the validity of the estimation of the impact of SIJ problems on health. The impact of SIJ pain on HRQoL was high, with marked, clinically significant decrements in health associated with an SIJ pain diagnosis. Compared to nationally representative age- and sex-matched peers, patients with SIJ pain had a quality of life in the 20th percentile or less.

The burden of SIJ pain appears to be higher than in many common medical conditions that are considered to be very disabling (including COPD, coronary heart disease, angina, asthma, and mild heart failure), and it is roughly equivalent to that of chronic depression or severe COPD, and slightly less than that of decompensated liver cirrhosis, lumbar stenosis, or severe Parkinson’s disease. Importantly, the impact of SIJ pain appears to be similar to that of other prominent orthopedic surgical conditions, such as hip osteoarthritis, degenerative spondylolisthesis, spinal stenosis, and knee osteoarthritis, all of which are well recognized as significantly debilitating conditions.

The prevalence of SIJ pain in the US is not known, but it can be estimated. SIJ pain, a subtype of lower back pain, could represent up to 15% of all patients seen in outpatient clinics with chronic lower back pain. The prevalence of lower back pain in the US has been reported variably and is in the range of 19%–29%, and this number may be rising. With 234 million adults in the US, the national burden of SIJ pain could be as high as 234,000,000 × 29% × 15%, or 10 million. This large number, combined with the substantial impact on quality of life, indicates that SIJ pain imposes a large health burden. Although based on rough estimates, these estimates may be acceptable from a health policy perspective in the absence of more precise data. As shown by our analyses, SIJ pain has a significant impact on affected populations; moreover, SIJ pain is a common cause of chronic lower back pain, a ubiquitous disease. Therefore, the burden of SIJ pain is high, and the disorder should be a priority for further research and treatment.

**Table 4** Mean (95% CI) measures by group and decrement in quality of life measures, controlling for age, sex, age × sex interaction, and sampling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Decrement*</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHMS</td>
<td>SIJ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-36 PCS</td>
<td>49.23 (48.74–49.70)</td>
<td>31.80 (31.06–32.54)</td>
<td>17.82 (16.89–18.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-36 PCS</td>
<td>53.78 (53.35–54.20)</td>
<td>39.24 (37.67–40.82)</td>
<td>14.25 (12.61–15.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-36 utility</td>
<td>0.789 (0.782–0.796)</td>
<td>0.512 (0.502–0.522)</td>
<td>0.277 (0.264–0.290)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ-5D index</td>
<td>0.868 (0.861–0.876)</td>
<td>0.442 (0.416–0.468)</td>
<td>0.427 (0.399–0.454)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *Mean (95% CI). Values show the age- and sex-adjusted decrement associated with membership in the SIJ cohort; calculations were performed using SAS PROC SURVEYREG (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

**Abbreviations:** CI, confidence interval; NHMS, National Health Measurement Study; SIJ, sacroiliac joint; SF-36, Short Form-36; PCS, physical component summary; MCS, mental component summary; EQ-5D, EuroQol-5D.
From an economic perspective, the burden of SIJ disease is similarly high. Annual expenditures in the US for chronic back pain are as much as $7.4 billion–$13.9 billion in indirect costs and $12 billion–$90 billion in direct costs, with total costs exceeding $100 billion. Chronic low back pain is a symptom that encompasses a broad spectrum of specific diagnoses, including lumbar degenerative pathology, deformity, trauma, and tumor. The SIJ is a significant contributor to the prevalence of lower back pain, and patients with SIJ pain are likely to be an important part of the overall economic burden of the disorders that comprise low back pain. Compared to patients without pain, US patients with chronic lower back pain have twice the yearly health expenditures, with total mean direct annual costs of >$8,000. Again, these figures
provide an "order of magnitude" estimate rather than a precise calculation. The NHMS and SIJ cohorts had different age criteria for participation (NHMS: 35 to 89 years old; SIJ: 18 to 70 years old). Nonetheless, the mean age of members of the SIJ cohort was young at 52. Given an expected retirement age of 65 years, our data suggest that a substantial period of working years can be impacted by SIJ pain, increasing both the direct costs of health care and the indirect care costs due to lost productivity and caregiver burden. This has additional significant societal health care cost implications.

This study has both strengths and limitations. The primary strength of the study is that it compares a highly selected and
Table 5 Estimated percentile for SIJ cohort compared to the NHMS reference population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>SF-36 PCS</th>
<th>SF-36 MCS</th>
<th>SF-6D utility</th>
<th>EQ-5D index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44 years</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54 years</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64 years</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74 years</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SIJ, sacroiliac joint; NHMS, National Health Measurement Study; SF-36, Short Form-36; PCS, physical component summary; MCS, mental component summary; EQ-5D, EuroQol-5D.

A homogeneous patient population (patients eligible for two multicenter clinical trials of minimally invasive SIJ fusion) to members of a free-living nationally representative cohort (NHMS). The primary limitation of the study is that the target patient population may represent the most severe end of the spectrum of SIJ disease, since all trial participants were candidates for SIJ fusion. It should be noted, however, that health state estimates for other orthopedic conditions shown in Figure 3 (hip and knee osteoarthritis, degenerative spondylolisthesis, and so on) were also estimated in the preoperative setting. A population-based study is also of interest to better reflect the burden of disease within all patients with SIJ joint pain.

Given its probable high prevalence and demonstrated large impact on HRQoL, SIJ disease carries a very high burden of disease. This burden is greater than that of many common health conditions, is equivalent to the burden of common orthopedic conditions (hip and knee osteoarthritis, degenerative spondylolisthesis, and spinal stenosis), and it is only slightly less than the burden of very severe conditions, such as severe Parkinson’s disease, ankylosing spondylitis, and decompensated liver failure. SIJ disease appears to affect patients in their mid-life productive years, resulting in a very high economic burden of disease related to both the number of years of living with the disease, as well as associated limitations on productivity. The significant burden of SIJ disease makes it an excellent target for optimizing therapeutic interventions.

Figure 3 Comparison of utility of SIJ pain with utility values for major diseases, ordered by impact on quality of life.

Abbreviations: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; SIJ, sacroiliac joint; CHF, congestive heart failure; AIDS, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; HIV+, human immunodeficiency virus positive.
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