Examining the relationship between anxiety and depression and exacerbations of COPD which result in hospital admission: a systematic review
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Objectives: Exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are the third largest cause of emergency hospital admissions in the UK. This systematic literature review explored the relationship between the hospitalization rates and the COPD comorbidities, anxiety, and depression.

Methods: The Centre for Research Dissemination’s framework for systematic reviews was followed using search terms relating to COPD, anxiety, depression, and hospital admission. Papers identified were assessed for relevance and quality, using a suitable Critical Appraisal Skills Programme tool and Mixed Methods Assessment Tool.

Results: Twenty quantitative studies indicated that anxiety and depression led to a statistically significant increase in the likelihood of COPD patients being hospitalized. These comorbidities also led to an increased length of stay and a greater risk of mortality postdischarge. Other significant factors included lower Body-Mass Index, Airflow Obstruction, Dyspnea, and Exercise scores, female gender, lower socioeconomic status, poorer patient perceived quality of life, increased severity of lung function, and less improvement in dyspnea from admission to discharge. It was also highlighted that only 27%–33% of those with depression were being treated for it. Four qualitative studies revealed that patients saw anxiety and depression as a major factor that affected their ability to cope with and self-manage their condition.

Implications: Findings from the systematic review have highlighted a need for better recognition and treatment of anxiety and depression amongst individuals with COPD. Ongoing research will develop and test strategies for promoting better management and self-management as a means of reducing hospital admissions.
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Background

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a major cause of chronic morbidity and mortality worldwide. The 2002 World Health Report listed COPD as the fifth leading cause of death in the world. Further increases in its prevalence and mortality are expected to make it the third leading cause of mortality by 2015. COPD is a complex disease, triggered mostly by exposure to cigarette smoking. It leads not only to pulmonary damage but also to systemic impairment. There is also a growing awareness of systemic inflammation, cardiovascular, neurologic, psychiatric, and endocrine morbidities that are common comorbidities of the condition and have a long-term detrimental effect on the morbidity and mortality of COPD patients.

COPD has a major effect on the lives of the sufferers, particularly in terms of impaired exercise performance and functional capacity. The presence of daily
Aim and objectives

In this paper, we wanted to examine critically the relationship between anxiety/depression and the exacerbations of COPD that result in hospital admission and readmissions and to investigate whether there are other mediating factors involved.

Objectives

Our objectives were: 1) to clarify the evidence base available regarding the relationship between anxiety/depression and the exacerbations of COPD that lead to hospital admissions and readmissions; and 2) to identify any other factors that might lead to the hospitalization following an exacerbation of COPD patients with the comorbidities of anxiety and depression. These other factors may also include the ability to cope and to self-manage their condition, as well as other comorbidities and social factors.

Materials and methods

A systematic review of the literature was carried out following the University of York’s Centre for Reviews and Dissemination’s (CRD) guidance. The review question was framed in terms of population, investigation, outcome, and study design (PIOS), with the population being anyone with COPD – any age, any gender, and any severity. The conclusion of the investigation was that these people had the comorbidities of anxiety and/or depression, and the outcome was admission to the hospital for the exacerbation of COPD.

The study design for the research studies sourced was not specified and not restricted by date or place where the study was carried out; this was done so as not to restrict the review. The inclusion criteria for the review were studies relating to having COPD and the comorbidities of anxiety and depression and patients being admitted to the hospital with an acute exacerbation of COPD.

Initially, the Cochrane Review database was searched to ensure that a similar review had not already been carried out nor was it in the process of being conducted. Electronic databases were then searched to elicit literature relevant to the review question set. These electronic databases included PubMed/Medline, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, Embase, Web of Science, and PsycINFO. The search terms were broken down in terms of PIOUS and outlined in Table 1.

Following the searches of these individual areas that used OR to combine all the terms, the population search terms were then combined with the outcome search terms with OR; they were then further combined with the intervention search
terms, using the search words “anxiety” and “depression” separately – combining with AND for the final outcome of the searching.

Other potential research papers were identified from the reference lists of the articles read in full text for the final review. Abstracts from relevant conferences that were identified by the database searches were also reviewed, and authors were contacted for further information on relevant abstracts. This extra clarification and checking process has been identified by the CRD as good practice to ensure a complete inclusion of relevant data.

The selection of the studies retrieved from the electronic searching was done in distinct stages. Initially, all duplications were removed. Then, the titles and abstracts were reviewed against the inclusion criteria for the review. Remaining articles were then screened by reading the full text, which then left the remaining articles to be reviewed in a final review (Figure 1). Two researchers reviewed the full-text articles to decide on the final articles to be included. A third reviewer was available to resolve any disagreements that occurred in this process. This peer review was also carried out during the data extraction stage of the review.

The systematic review of the literature was carried out May 2012–September 2012. It should be stressed however that although the last search was run at the end of September 2012, an alert system was set up on the electronic search databases to highlight any new research being published that may have had relevance to the search area topic. When this paper was submitted for publication, no further research publications had been identified that addressed the question set in this systematic review.

Data extraction was carried out using the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines for the individual study designs that were included in the final review. The methodology of the articles was reviewed using the appropriate Critical Appraisal Skills Programme tool for critique of literature.

During the data-extraction stage of the review, quality checks were carried out on each individual study to be included in the final systematic review. For this process, the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool version 2011 (MMAT, Department of Family Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada) was used.

The MMAT has been designed for the appraisal stage of complex systematic literature reviews that include qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods studies. The MMAT permits the reviewer to appraise concomitantly and describe the methodological quality for the three methodology domains: mixed, qualitative, and quantitative; subdivided into three sub domains; and randomized controlled trials, nonrandomized, and descriptive. The MMAT allows the appraisal of most common types of study methodology and design; different sections of the tools are used for the appraisal of the different study types. For each relevant study selected for a systematic mixed studies review, the methodological quality can then be described using the corresponding criteria. The MMAT has been designed to appraise the methodological quality of the studies retained for a systematic mixed studies review, not the quality of their reporting (writing). This distinction is important – as good research may not be well-reported.

The scoring metrics for the MMAT can be presented as *; **; ***; or ****, where the * represents the number of criteria met, divided by 4. This becomes: the single * represents

### Table 1 Search terms used in the electronic database search

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PIOs component</th>
<th>Search terms used (including MeSH, thesaurus, truncated terms)</th>
<th>How search terms were combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population (having COPD)</td>
<td>COPD; COAD; chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; chronic obstructive airways disease; bronchial disorders; pulmonary emphysema; pulmonary disease</td>
<td>#1 combined terms for Population with OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigation (having comorbidities of anxiety and/or depression)</td>
<td>anxi*; anxiety; anxiety disorders; acute anxiety; depress*; depressive disorder; depression; depressive episode</td>
<td>Combines search #6 with search terms for anxiety with AND (#7) (this gave final articles for anxiety); combined search #6 with search terms for depression with AND (#8) (this gave final articles for depression)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes (having an exacerbation of COPD and being admitted to hospital)</td>
<td>Exacerbat*; disease exacerbation; disease progression; admission; hospital admission; hospitalization; hospital discharge</td>
<td>#2 combined search #1 with terms for exacerbation with OR (#3); #4 combined search #1 with terms for hospital admissions with OR (#5); then, combined search #3 with #5 with OR (COPD, exacerbations and hospital admission searches) (#6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** PIOs, population, investigation, outcome, and study design; MeSH, medical subject headings; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; COAD, chronic obstructive airways disease.
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Due to the heterogeneity of the final articles included in the review, the methodology chosen for the synthesis of the findings was via narrative synthesis, using the guidance developed by Popay et al\textsuperscript{31} to provide some structure and transparency of the results generated.

**Method of synthesis**

“Narrative synthesis” refers to an approach to the systematic review – the synthesis of findings from multiple studies that relies primarily on the use of words and text to summarize and explain the findings of the synthesis.\textsuperscript{31}

The narrative synthesis guidance\textsuperscript{31} identifies four elements of the process of narrative synthesis: 1) developing a theory of how the intervention works, why, and for whom; 2) developing a preliminary synthesis; 3) exploring the relationships within and between the studies; and 4) assessing the robustness of the synthesis.

For each element of the synthesis process, the guidance describes a number of useful tools and techniques. The choice of specific tools and techniques at each point in the process will depend on the type of data being synthesized. Only the tools and techniques actually used in this synthesis are described in this article. Figure 2 illustrates the synthesis process.
Results

Theoretical development

COPD exacerbations are best defined as the worsening of respiratory symptoms. More strategies to reduce exacerbation frequency urgently need to be delivered and evaluated. Clinicians will be in a better position to reduce the morbidity associated with COPD exacerbations significantly and – at last – improve the quality of life for our patients with this debilitating condition. Hence, it is important to understand the relationship between the comorbid conditions of anxiety and depression and the acute COPD exacerbations that result in hospital admissions. Theories can then be developed to postulate on such a relationship and other mediating factors involved, but the facts cannot be unveiled without undertaking this systematic review and conducting a thorough and systematic synthesis of the findings. Only then will the nature of this relationship and, indeed, if other factors are involved, be discovered.

Figure 3 illustrates the theoretical relationship between anxiety and depression and the acute exacerbations of COPD that result in admission or readmission to the hospital.

Preliminary synthesis

The guidance suggests that the way in which a reviewer approaches the preliminary synthesis will depend – in part – on whether the evidence to be synthesized is qualitative, quantitative, or both. In this synthesis, the data to be synthesized is predominantly quantitative from the methodologies of cohort and case control/series nature, amounting to 20 studies.

There were also three qualitative studies and one mixed-method study. With this in mind, the tools utilized in this preliminary synthesis are textual description, tabulation of data, groupings, and clusters.

The purpose of this preliminary synthesis is to deliver an initial description of the results of the individual studies.
included in the systematic review, which relate to the primary research question asked at the start of the systematic review. Through this, the reviewer will begin to construct an explanation of how and why a particular factor had the relationships reported, of how and why particular factors impinged in situations, and to test the robustness of the results of the synthesis.

Tabulation of data was considered the most natural starting point for the synthesis while extracting data from the included studies during the review process. This is shown in Table 2, which provides a summary of all the studies included in the systematic review.

It is apparent from Table 2 that the majority of the studies directly supported the notion that there was a positive relationship between anxiety and depression and the resultant admissions or readmissions to the hospital for an acute exacerbation of COPD. Findings also highlighted other mediating factors that were involved in this relationship. These included: perceived quality of life; severity of disease; female sex; lower body mass index, airflow obstruction, dyspnea, and exercise (BODE) scores (an index of COPD mortality; the lower the score, the more chance of death from COPD); low socioeconomic status; persistent smoking; long-term oxygen therapy (LTOT); decreased self-efficacy and compliance; increased dyspnea; a sense of loss; and an inability to cope and previous admissions for acute exacerbation of COPD.

Another important factor demonstrated by the results in Table 2 was the heterogeneity of the studies, in terms of populations, country of origin, sample sizes, statistical tests applied, and outcomes. The data extraction table (Table 2) was examined in more depth to determine the presence of dominant groups or clusters of characteristics, by which the subsequent synthesis could be organized. These groupings incorporated study methodology, populations under study and settings, factors under investigation, and outcomes recorded. Table 2 was organized into the different methodologies. From this, it was apparent that in studies of the same basic methodology, different factors were included in the models under investigation. Some used the measurement of depression and anxiety; some used one of these conditions alone. There was also variation in the tools used to measure these factors.

In addition, some studies used depression and anxiety as secondary outcome measures, with the quality of life and BODE scores as the primary outcome measures under investigation. The end results, though, still yielded information that could contribute to the answering of the research question set at the start of the systematic review.

From comparing and cross reading the included studies in the final systematic review, there is evidence that the comorbidities of depression and anxiety do have a significant relationship with higher rates of admissions and readmissions for the acute exacerbation of COPD. This was found in 17 of the final 24 (71%) studies.

Other areas shown from the included studies were that the presence of the comorbidities of depression and anxiety meant that once admitted, patients had longer lengths of stay in the hospital and a greater risk of mortality postdischarge.

Mediating factors observed to be significant in this relationship between the comorbidities of anxiety, depression, and the acute exacerbation of COPD included: lower BODE scores; perceived quality of life, which also had a direct correlation with worsening depression and increased risk of exacerbations; lower socioeconomic status; increased severity of anxiety; female sex; increasing rate of decline in lung function; less improvement in dyspnea; increased partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂); decreased partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂); and increased pH from admissions to discharge.

It was also highlighted that only 27%–33% of patients with depression and COPD were being treated with antidepressant medication, which suggests the underdiagnosis and undertreatment of depression in the COPD population. This proposition – that depression is underdiagnosed – is also supported by the findings of Fan et al, who stated that although there is a high prevalence of depression in COPD patients, only 33% receive any medication for it. There was no data relating to nonpharmacological-management techniques.

Analysis for the qualitative studies, which examined patients’ perceptions and subjective experiences, suggested that anxiety should be seen as an important issue for COPD patients. Anxiety might provide an important indicator of actual illness severity and assist clinicians in determining the support and care that these people require. It is essential, then, that anxiety is recognized as an important sign but not necessarily the cause of dyspnea for patients with COPD in acute exacerbation. It was also highlighted that depression is significantly related to decreased quality of life, which – in turn – can lead to decreased compliance, resulting in an increased incidence of exacerbations and the associated risk of mortality on discharge following the exacerbations. The relationship between depression and lower levels of quality of
Table 2 Data extraction table of all articles included in the systematic review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (author/date)</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Study setting</th>
<th>Length of follow-up</th>
<th>Participant characteristics</th>
<th>Outcomes/findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cohort studies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcazar et al33</td>
<td>Cross-sectional observational (cohort) × 2 groups</td>
<td>n=127</td>
<td>Spain hospital outpatients</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>COPD, had/had not had admission in previous 12 months, &gt;40 years of age</td>
<td>Univariate analysis; anxiety and depression significant predictors/factors for exacerbation/admission, but did not show in multivariate analysis; also related to lower BODE score; HADS used to measure anxiety and depression. Those admitted &gt;1 per year had increased depression and also increased mortality; depression associated with increased mortality (3× higher) as well as more frequent readmission; Yesavage scale26 used to measure depression. Only significant factors were age and level of daily functioning; depression not significant; rural and cultural subgroups may have affected patients’ perceptions of their condition and depression, also QOL. Depression significant factor leading to readmission; anxiety, depression, QOL deteriorated over 12-month follow-up period; low socioeconomic status also leads to increased readmissions; frequent exacerbators are more depressed; HADS used to measure anxiety and depression. Higher ER and GP visits in those who had anxiety and depression, as well as COPD. Higher cumulative all cause costs and higher COPD-related costs. Study concentrates on anxiety, not depression; increased disease severity linked to increased anxiety and increased exacerbations (exposure/response) relationship; dyspnea showed strongest association to anxiety; anxiety associated with younger age, females, nonwhite race, lower socioeconomic class, current smokers; anxiety also leads to increased perception of symptoms; (HADS, BODE, MRC, SF12 used).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almagro et al26</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>n=135</td>
<td>Spain, acute inpatient hospital</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>All patients admitted with AECOPD October 1996–May 1997</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen and Narsavage51</td>
<td>Longitudinal cohort study</td>
<td>n=145</td>
<td>Six rural hospitals in Taiwan</td>
<td>90 days (3 months)</td>
<td>Patients admitted for AECOPD; excluded those with psychiatric problems and included different cultural subgroups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coventry et al37</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study (frequent exacerbators versus infrequent exacerbators)</td>
<td>n=79</td>
<td>Secondary care trusts in Manchester which had SED teams</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>Participants were in SED follow-up system so had social support; excluded if had mental illness (self-selected group); investigator not blinded to their baseline psychological status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalal et al60</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study using US claims data; COPD + anxiety/depression and COPD alone</td>
<td>n=3,483</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Claims databases used to identify those who had been admitted and also had diagnosis of anxiety and/or depression and then followed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisner et al88</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study (control group used)</td>
<td>n=1,202</td>
<td>US, Kaiser Permanente (KP) Medical Care program</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Already on KP program in the US (care program)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (author/date)</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Study setting</th>
<th>Length of follow-up</th>
<th>Participant characteristics</th>
<th>Outcomes/findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fan et al\textsuperscript{47}</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>n=610</td>
<td>US (NETT trial; patients on medical strand)</td>
<td>3 years; used clinical trial records to compare all-cause mortality</td>
<td>Had severe COPD; already on medical strand of NETT trial</td>
<td>26.9% of participants were hospitalized; had ER visits for exacerbations; no association was found with depression and 1-year mortality, but it was for 3-year mortality; female sex associated with increased risk of hospitalization, but no significant relationship between female and depression; high prevalence of depression in severe patients, but only 37% receiving medication; neither depression nor anxiety associated with exacerbations or hospitalization; BDI, state trait anxiety inventory used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gudmundsson et al\textsuperscript{37}</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>n=406</td>
<td>Nordic countries</td>
<td>12 months (contacted 1 year after discharge; number of readmissions, also checked records)</td>
<td>Admitted &gt;24 hours with AECOPD; moderate-to-severe COPD</td>
<td>60.6% readmitted in 1 year; more likely to readmit if had decreased QOL, decreased lung function, on LTOT, had previous admissions; there was no significant difference between the group with anxiety and depression and the group without. Significant correlation between QOL and depression in relation to admission and anxiety; HADS; SGRQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennings et al\textsuperscript{4}</td>
<td>Retrospective then prospective for 1 year after completing PR program</td>
<td>n=194</td>
<td>US tertiary care referral center where PR took place</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>All patients completed PR program with moderate-to-severe COPD</td>
<td>Patients with depression were more likely to have exacerbations and readmit (independent predictor); they were 2.8× more likely to have an exacerbation; females with COPD more likely to be depressed; (BDI, SF36, Charlson Comorbidity Index)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ng et al\textsuperscript{45}</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>n=376</td>
<td>Singapore ×2 secondary care hospitals</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>FEV\textsubscript{1} % predicted &lt;70%; 20 pack-years; (self-report hospital admissions)</td>
<td>44.4% depressed in total sample; more deaths after discharge in depressed group (21.5% v10.5%); increased LOS in depressed group; decrease SGRQ scores in depressed group; (HADS, SGRQ cut-off point for depression 8 on HADS used)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quint et al\textsuperscript{42}</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study; (frequent versus infrequent exacerbators)</td>
<td>n=169</td>
<td>London secondary care</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>Taken from London COPD study. Had no exacerbations in the previous 12 months</td>
<td>Frequent exacerbators had higher baseline depression scores; females more depressed than men; depression significantly increased from baseline to exacerbation and admission. (CES-D, SGRQ, MRC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Case control/case series studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Hospital</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Patient Population</th>
<th>Significant Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Almagro et al.</td>
<td>Prospective observational (case series) no comparison group</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Spanish hospitals in Barcelona</td>
<td>7 months</td>
<td>All those admitted with AECOPD between October 1996–May 1997</td>
<td>Significant factors associated with readmission were increased PaCO₂ and decreased QOL. Depression a factor in bivariate analysis only not multivariate analysis (Yesavage scale used to measure depression). Lower QOL related to depression, also lower FEV₁; those who were depressed had more readmissions for AECOPD and longer LOS; 56% of study population had depression. Anxiety/depression not shown to be significant factors, but there may have been underdiagnoses due to study being retrospective therefore true numbers of cases not picked up; showed that underweight and hypercapnia on discharge were significant factors for frequent readmission with exacerbation of COPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carneiro et al.</td>
<td>Case series study</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Portuguese hospital</td>
<td>66 weeks (16 months)</td>
<td>All those admitted with AECOPD between September 2006–January 2008</td>
<td>Lower QOL related to depression, also lower FEV₁; those who were depressed had more readmissions for AECOPD and longer LOS; 56% of study population had depression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al.</td>
<td>Retrospective case series study</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Korea University hospital</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>All those admitted to respiratory wards in the hospital over a 3-year period; notes examined for 12 months of data</td>
<td>Anxiety/depression not shown to be significant factors, but there may have been underdiagnoses due to study being retrospective therefore true numbers of cases not picked up; showed that underweight and hypercapnia on discharge were significant factors for frequent readmission with exacerbation of COPD.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Prospective cohort study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Hospital</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Patient Population</th>
<th>Significant Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regvat et al.</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Slovenia Hospital based</td>
<td>3 months (June–August, summer); interviewed on day of discharge</td>
<td>Successive patients admitted to a hospital with COPD exacerbation between two dates; physician diagnosed hospitalized patients showed a higher prevalence of anxiety and/or depression (50%); showed less improvement in their dyspnea; increased PaO₂; decreased PaCO₂; increased pH on admissions; hypersensitivity of receptors in respiratory center so sense of exacerbation felt much earlier and leads to panic (PRIME-MD: but did not do the questionnaire, just the interview section).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu et al.</td>
<td>Multicenter prospective cohort study</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>People’s Republic of China</td>
<td>12 months monitored by telephone after discharge</td>
<td>&gt;30 years of age, COPD diagnosis, no deterioration or change in meds or symptoms 4 weeks prior to start of the study</td>
<td>Depressed patients had a higher proportion of concurrent anxiety and more severe dyspnea; had more exacerbations and hospital admissions and lower levels of self-efficacy, social support, and QOL. Depression identified in patients with stable COPD was significantly associated with a higher risk of exacerbations and hospital admissions (overall worse health profile). HADS used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study (author/date)</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Study setting</td>
<td>Length of follow-up</td>
<td>Participant characteristics</td>
<td>Outcomes/findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurin et al.</td>
<td>Prospective follow up study (case series)</td>
<td>n=110</td>
<td>Canada outpatients at two hospitals</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>All patients on self-management program; all had an exacerbation previously</td>
<td>Patients with psychiatric disorder had significantly higher rates of exacerbation (outpatient); no difference between inpatient exacerbations; had exacerbations sooner on discharge, particularly outpatient ones; (psychiatric interview/anxiety disorders interview schedule, MRC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soler et al.</td>
<td>Case control study (control group COPD but no admissions)</td>
<td>n=64</td>
<td>Valencia, Spain secondary care hospitals</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>FEV(_1)% predicted &lt; 50%, admission in previous 12 months; all male and all severe COPD; taken from a larger study looking at hospital care impact on COPD</td>
<td>QOL significantly poorer in those admitted to hospital; depression not mentioned, only anxiety; STAI-S/T-anxiety; inhaled salmeterol and cardiac arrhythmias seen as significant factors but they were all severe patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey design</td>
<td>Abrams et al.</td>
<td>Survey (review of electronic databases and records)</td>
<td>n=26,591</td>
<td>US, Veterans administration hospitals</td>
<td>N/A; no face-to-face contact</td>
<td>Excluded patients not having any follow up after discharge. Mostly men, &gt;50 years of age, numerous comorbidities as from Veteran association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cao et al.</td>
<td>Cross-sectional survey</td>
<td>n=186; decreased to 146 postdischarge</td>
<td>General hospitals in Singapore</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>50 years of age and only COPD; excluded those with the most severe COPD and also those with psychiatric disorders</td>
<td>Significant factors were long duration of having COPD &gt;5 years; severity of COPD (FEV(_1)% &lt; 50%); consumption of antipsychotic drugs; depression; poor family support; no PR; HADS used to measure anxiety and depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative design</td>
<td>Bailey</td>
<td>Focused ethnography and narrative analysis</td>
<td>Ten patients, ten nurses, 15 family caregivers</td>
<td>Two hospitals in mining community of Northern Ontario, Canada</td>
<td>4 months; 1 interview with each while in hospital</td>
<td>Extreme dyspnea &gt; 2 previous admissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicolson and Anderson</td>
<td>Focus groups</td>
<td>n=20</td>
<td>UK ×3 GP surgeries in Sheffield</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Diagnosed with chronic bronchitis only (excludes emphysema)</td>
<td>SGRQ; sense of loss, psychological distress, disruption; coping with limitations imposed on them; less autonomy which increased dependence; loss of control which leads to increased depression/decreased QOL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Methodologies</td>
<td>Study Population</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maurer et al</td>
<td>Mixed methods (Workshop of chest physicians)</td>
<td>Patients admitted to DGH, UK</td>
<td>Depression undertreated; depression linked to decreased QOL, which leads to decreased QOL, the need for increased exacerbations, admissions, and also higher mortality risk on discharge following exacerbation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gruffydd-Jones et al</td>
<td>Prospective observational study, semistructured interview</td>
<td>Patients admitted to DGH, UK</td>
<td>Depression undertreated; depression linked to decreased QOL, which leads to decreased QOL, the need for increased exacerbations, admissions, and also higher mortality risk on discharge following exacerbation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ng et al</td>
<td>Prospective observational study, semistructured interview</td>
<td>Patients admitted to DGH, UK</td>
<td>Depression undertreated; depression linked to decreased QOL, which leads to decreased QOL, the need for increased exacerbations, admissions, and also higher mortality risk on discharge following exacerbation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An examination of the patients’ perceptions and experiences in the qualitative and mixed methods studies showed that they felt a real sense of control over their health, which led to an increased dependence and loss of autonomy. These consequences imposed on the patients also triggered an increase in depression and a resultant decrease in the quality of life. Their ability to cope was compromised, which again, led to increased levels of anxiety and depression. These perceptions and experiences were also confounded by the perceived lack of information and guidance given to patients postdischarge, which led to increased levels of anxiety.

It can be seen from this synthesis of the data that the relationship of anxiety and depression to the acute exacerbation of COPD is significant, but it is also complex, multifaceted, and concerns many interrelated factors. These factors build on the preliminary theoretical model shown in Figure 2; the expanded theoretical perspective of this relationship is shown in Figure 4.

It is important to point out that there were two studies which reported that anxiety and depression were not significant factors in the relationship between the exacerbations and the hospital admissions. These two studies were by Soler et al and Chen and Narsavage. On closer examination of these two studies, the study by Chen and Narsavage was carried out in rural Taiwan. It was acknowledged within the paper itself that rural and cultural subgroups may have different perceptions of their condition, especially of having depression, which is regarded quite negatively by some cultures. This may have affected the perceptions and feedback from the participants about their condition when interviewed and even how they presented to the hospital, as some cultures would suffer at home rather than go to the hospital. It was also noted that the participants would access different hospitals in a wide area, which could make the collection of quality data difficult to achieve.

The study by Soler et al only examined anxiety and – although it showed itself to be a significant factor in the relationship under question – depression was excluded. Very often, however, these two conditions were present together in the person. They highlighted that significant factors were the use of inhaled salmeterol (a long-acting bronchodilator inhaler medication) and, also, cardiac arrhythmias.

On a closer examination of the study group characteristics, the majority of participants were on salmeterol at the start of the study, which was not the case in the other studies included in the review. Also, the study group only

Abbreviations: AE-COPD, acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; QOL, quality of life; NEET, National Emphysema Treatment Trial; TAI, Pahang Regional Respiratory Unit; BL, Beck Depression Inventory; C/S, Centre for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; CeS-D, Centre for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; PRIME-MD, Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders; DGH, district general hospital.
consisted of people with severe COPD, in which cardiac arrhythmias are commonly found as a comorbidity. It is not surprising, therefore, that both of these factors had a higher chance of showing themselves to be significant. Although these findings are relevant if relating the findings to only people with severe COPD, this review is considering the relation of anxiety and depression to acute exacerbations and resultant hospital admissions and readmissions to the general COPD population, which the other studies in the review consider.

This model (Figure 4) builds on the original theory of the relationship between anxiety and depression and the exacerbations of COPD. The additional variables are those discovered from the systematic review of the literature, and they enhance the preliminary theoretical model further in the quest to gain a deeper understanding of the relationship under review.

Finally, during the process of data extraction, quality checks on the methodology of all the included articles in the review were carried out using the MMAT version 2011.\textsuperscript{30} Quality appraisal of all the included studies in this systematic review showed that 16 of 24 (66\% or two-thirds) had a **** rating. Therefore, they met 100\% of the quality criteria, and eight (33\% or one-third) had a quality rating of ***. Therefore, they met 75\% of the quality criteria used, a number that was still symbolic of high-quality studies. The reasons for the drop in the star rating to three stars were: because there was no information about the missing data or the dropout rate of the participants (n=6); invalid tools were used to measure the outcomes (n=1); and there was no consideration of how the researcher involved in the study influenced the participants (n=1). Overall, the quality of the included studies in this systematic review was of high, if not good, quality – meeting between 75\%–100\% of the quality criteria in the MMAT evaluation tool.

**Reflecting critically on the process of narrative synthesis**

The synthesis of mixed study results does not have the rigorous techniques and tools that are available to meta analysis. This is not to say that the quality of the content and results of the systematic review are any less valuable. The question that was originally identified lent itself to both qualitative and quantitative study results; this is why the inclusion criteria were kept wide.

The problem with the narrative synthesis up until now has been that there was no standard way in which the literature was synthesized. It was left to the personal preference and also to the experience of the reviewers; therefore, transparency and rigor could be arguably flawed. The guidance on the narrative synthesis\textsuperscript{31} helps to enhance the quality and transparency of narrative synthesis and, hence, why it was chosen for the synthesis stage of the overall systematic review. The amount of methodological
heterogeneity in the studies included in the final systematic review also led to the decision to undertake such a method of synthesis.

The levels of bias were reduced by having a second reviewer (an experienced qualitative researcher) at the selection and data extraction stages of the systematic review; this reviewer also reviewed the narrative synthesis once completed. It was also reviewed by an expert in the field of narrative synthesis and one of the main authors of the narrative synthesis guidance. This peer review process aimed to reduce any possible bias that may have resulted if the whole process had been undertaken single-handed.

**Discussion**

For decades, the psychiatric morbidity of medically ill patients has been acknowledged. However, there has been relatively little focus on psychiatric disorders in patients with COPD, except for prevalence studies. The results of this systematic review have highlighted a relationship between anxiety and depression and the admission to the hospital with acute exacerbations of COPD. It has also been observed that more evidence is needed to substantiate the evidence further.

COPD is a severe and irreversible pulmonary disease that impacts the patient’s general physical condition, functioning, and quality of life. COPD patients constitute a substantial part of the medically ill patients, both in hospital wards and in outpatient clinics. They present a considerable challenge to the health care system.

The association between COPD and psychiatric disorders – in particular generalized anxiety, panic disorder, and depression – has been acknowledged for many years. The prevalence of psychiatric comorbidity in these patients, as well as the effect of treatment and the prognosis, remains unclear. The diagnostic procedure is complicated by an overlap or close association of somatic and psychiatric symptoms in COPD patients who suffer from comorbid anxiety and depression.

Caring for such an irreversible condition is challenging, with the most important task being not to cure but to optimize the quality of life of the individual patients. The quality of life of a chronically ill patient may be particularly complicated by a concurrent depressive disorder that may bring the patient into a vicious circle. The depressed mood will lower the ability needed to cope with the chronic disease. The physical symptoms will then become less tolerable, and the psychosocially debilitating effect of the disease may be enforced by the depressed mood.

The impact of anxiety and depression on COPD patients, their families, and society is significant. Depressed patients with a chronic medical illness are usually sicker than their counterparts and have lower treatment adherence. Depression adversely affects physical functioning in people with COPD, such as a reduced 12-minute walk distance. By compromising health status, mood disorders may lead to an increased risk of hospitalization and rehospitalization. Depression may also be a significant predictor of mortality following hospitalization for acute exacerbation.

Exacerbations of COPD cause morbidity, hospital admissions, and mortality; they strongly influence health-related quality of life. Physiological recovery after an exacerbation is often incomplete, which decreases the health-related quality of life and resistance to future exacerbations. It is important to identify COPD patients who suffer from frequent exacerbations.

More strategies to reduce exacerbation frequency urgently need to be delivered and evaluated. We will then, as clinicians, be in a better position to reduce the morbidity associated with COPD exacerbations significantly and, at last, improve the quality of life of patients with this debilitating condition. Therefore, there is a need to understand the relationship of the comorbid conditions of anxiety and depression to COPD and the acute exacerbation that results in hospital admissions, and a need to determine if there are any other factors involved. This systematic review has highlighted that there is a relationship between anxiety and depression and an increased number of exacerbations, resulting in hospital admission for people with COPD. This relationship, however, is not a simple linear one; many other mediating factors have also been discovered. It has also highlighted the heterogeneity of the evidence base that is currently available and the need for a more consistent approach in future research strategies to address this area of clinical importance so that clinical decision making can be made with accuracy.

**Conclusion**

Following the synthesis of the data from the systematic review to answer the question “what is the relationship of anxiety and depression to the exacerbations of COPD and the resultant admissions/readmissions to hospital, and are there any other mediating factors involved,” the concluding remarks are:

1. Some literature supported the fact that anxiety and depression were significant factors in patients’ admissions and readmissions to the hospital following an acute exacerbation of COPD.
2. The literature also highlighted other significant factors in the relationship between anxiety, depression, and the acute exacerbation of COPD resulting in admission and readmission to hospital. These factors included: reduced quality of life; increased severity of disease; female gender; lower BODE scores; low socioeconomic status; persistent smoking; increased dyspnea; PaCO₂ and decreased PaO₂, from admission to discharge; LTOT; sense of loss and inability to cope; decrease in self-efficacy and compliance with treatment; and previous admissions for acute exacerbation of COPD.

Although this goes some way to answering the research question set at the start of the systematic review, for any significant changes to be implemented into clinical practice, further research needs to be carried out. The examination of literature review indicated several implications for the conduct of research in this area; and further research should consider using standardized tools to measure the outcomes, using more comparative study participants and not just men, or groups of COPD patients who were already involved in another study about their disease state. Some studies in this systematic review were done in different countries with different cultural groups who have differing beliefs about diseases. This was discussed by these study authors as being a significant confounding factor; future research should consider and accommodate for this in study design and methodology.

This systematic review was a preliminary review of the literature into this important area of clinical care. Managing depression and anxiety starts with an accurate diagnosis. Many COPD patients have transitory mood symptoms during exacerbations that improve spontaneously as their physical state improves. The challenge is: to identify those who have more permanent and sustained anxiety and depression; to develop ways of screening for and then implementing effective management strategies to alleviate the impact of these comorbidities; and to enable them to cope better with their COPD. The end result should be a reduction in the number of exacerbations that result in unplanned admissions to hospital, which have a devastating effect on the patients and their families.
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