Pharmacology and clinical efficacy of dimethyl fumarate (BG-12) for treatment of relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis
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Abstract: The last two decades have seen the introduction of several therapies for multiple sclerosis (MS). These therapies are intended to work at different levels of the disease, typically targeting direct symptom management, brief corticosteroid administration for acute exacerbations, and the regular use of disease-modifying drugs. Nevertheless, in clinical practice, disease-modifying drugs or immunosuppressive treatments are frequently associated with suboptimal response in terms of efficacy and several side effects leading to poor patient adherence, so the proportion of relapsing–remitting MS patients not adequately responding to disease-modifying therapy have been reported to range from 7% to 49%. Natalizumab and fingolimod are the newest US Food and Drug Administration-approved agents that have been added to the MS treatment armamentarium, but their use is limited by a less known safety profile and recognized specific risk. Thus, there is an important need for new therapeutic strategies, especially those that may offer greater patient satisfaction and safer risk profile in order to optimize therapeutic outcomes. A number of potential therapies for MS are now in late-stage development. Effective, safe, and well-tolerated therapies may improve compliance and empower patients with a level of independence not presently possible. To meet these characteristics, most of these therapies are oral compounds. Herein, we review the pharmacology and efficacy of dimethyl fumarate (BG-12) to date and its role in the evolving marketplace.
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Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory immune-mediated disease of the central nervous system (CNS). Disease onset usually occurs in young adults, and it is more common in females than in males (3:1). MS affects about 2.5 million people worldwide, and incidence and prevalence are highly variable, depending on genetics and several environmental factors.⁴ Although having wide incidence variability, MS is still the commonest nontraumatic cause of neurological disability in young patients in Western Europe and North America.⁵ Most patients (80%) present with a relapsing and remitting (RR) course, which is characterized by recurring attacks of acute focal neurological deficits or exacerbations of existing deficits (relapses) followed gradually by partial or full recovery (remission).⁶ The combination of inflammation and neurodegeneration makes MS a progressive disease wherein the severity and specific symptoms are unpredictable and often vary from one person to another. The multifocal nature of the disease manifests clinically as a range of sensorimotor, cerebellar, visual, sphincteric, brain stem, cognitive, and neuropsychiatric symptoms. In the
natural history of MS, approximately 80% of patients convert to the secondary progressive course after 20 years, in which there is acceleration of disability and accumulating irreversible neurologic deficits in the absence of clinical relapses. The remaining 20% with progressive clinical deterioration from the onset of the disease have primary progressive MS. According to more recent studies, the natural history of the disease in patients that have been treated with immunological agents is significantly changed, showing a more favorable prognosis.

The exact cause of MS is still unknown, but breakdown of the blood–brain barrier (BBB) is a requirement for immune system access to the CNS, infiltration of the inflammatory cells into the CNS, and subsequent autoimmune demyelination. Pathological studies have shown that inflammatory cells not only lead to demyelination, but may also be involved in axon injury. MS and its animal model experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) have long been regarded as primarily T-helper (Th) cell type 1-mediated diseases; however, recent evidence suggests that Th17 cells, a mostly unexplored subset of Th cells, may be even more pathogenic than Th1 cells. In the EAE model, this cell type is crucial for the recruitment of leukocytes into the CNS and for triggering parenchymal inflammation. In humans, Th17 cells are found in acutely active and on the borders of chronically active lesions. Overall, CD4+ T-cells only recognize antigens presented on major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II complexes, and these are seldom found in the CNS. MHC class I, in contrast, can be induced on neurons and myelin. This also makes CD8+ T-cells promising candidates as effector cell types. Indeed, CD8+ T-cells outnumber CD4+ T-cells in the lesions of MS patients and can induce axonal pathology. MS lesions contain many inflammatory components, including T-cells (CD4, CD8, and gamma delta), plasma cells, macrophages, antibodies, and complement factors. Activated T-cells cross the BBB, a process that is mediated by interaction of very late antigen-4 on the T-cell surface and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) on the brain vascular endothelium; infiltrating T-cells increase the permeability of the BBB by matrix metalloproteinases, which degrade the extracellular matrix. Activated immune cells in the brain interact with their responsive antigen presented by macrophages or microglia and secrete cytokines and chemokines that further permeabalize the BBB and further reinforce the immune response, thus resulting in tissue damage. Even macrophages, which are the predominant cell type along the periphery of lesions, secrete tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and oxygen-free radicals, leading to tissue damage. B-cells can both provide signaling to T-cells and differentiate into plasma cells, secreting antibodies that can bind to their antigen and activate complement, leading to myelin breakdown. The oligodendrocytes are damaged by a combination of cytokines released during the inflammatory reaction and possibly by direct cellular contact. Axons may be damaged and transected by direct attack by inflammatory cells and their cytokines, or by loss of trophic support or protection provided by the oligodendrocytes and myelin membranes, respectively. Noninflammatory mechanisms, such as mitochondrial dysfunction, may also contribute to neurodegeneration in MS. Excessive release of free radicals may play an important role in MS pathogenesis and promote transendothelial leukocyte migration, as well as contribute to oligodendrocyte damage and axonal degeneration. Thus, oxidative stress, stemming from different cell types and targeting several cellular components of the CNS to a variable extent, is involved in this detrimental concert.

On the basis of this pathologic pathway and immune cascade, most research is addressed at blocking a particular step of the immunological cascade.

**Background**

The arsenal for MS therapy includes treatment of acute relapses with corticosteroids, symptomatic treatment with appropriate agents, and disease modification with immunomodulatory agents. Relapses of MS are commonly treated with high-dose intravenous methylprednisolone given over a period of 3–5 days. This shortens relapse duration, but long-term effects have been poorly investigated. The past 20 years have seen the introduction of mainly immunomodulatory agents for MS, such as interferon (IFN)-β and glatiramer acetate (GA). The main therapeutic goals of these treatments consist of clinical relapse rate reduction, extension of time to next relapse, reduction of new lesions detectable by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and decreasing the long-term accumulation of disability. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the first disease-modifying drug (DMD) for MS, subcutaneous IFN-β-1b (marketed as Betaseron® [Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Montville, NJ, USA]) in USA and as Betaferon [Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Berlin-Wedding, Germany] in the rest of the world, for RRMS in 1993. IFN-β acts as an anti-inflammatory drug and has several mechanisms of action, including a reduction in the production of IFN-γ and TNF-α, inhibition of T-cell activation and clonal expansion, modulation of cytokine and matrix metalloproteinase production, and inhibition of T-cell migration and entry into the CNS. Currently approved immunomodulator treatments for RRMS include GA and recombinant interferons.
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Introduction to the compound

Fumaric acid esters (FAEs) are a group of similarly structured compounds that have been used in the treatment of psoriasis since 1958, originally proposed by the German biochemist Schweckendiek,25 who was afflicted by the disease himself. FAEs have been used off-label for treatment of psoriasis
in Europe for some time before a mixture of compounds consisting of DMF and three salts of ethyl hydrogen fumarate were licensed in Germany in 1994 as oral therapy for severe psoriasis under the brand name Fumaderm® (Biogen-Idec, Weston, MA, USA) (Figure 1).

Fumaderm® is approved as second-line therapy for severe systemic psoriasis in Germany but not in the USA.26 Today, the overall treatment experience comprises more than 180,000 patient years and suggests a beneficial side effect profile. Over the past 15 years, there have been many clinical trials that demonstrated the immunomodulatory efficacy and safety profile of oral FAEs in systemic psoriasis.27

Based on similarities in the inflammatory cascade of psoriasis and MS, researchers hypothesized that FAEs may also have beneficial effects in CNS autoimmune disease, where MS represents the prototypic inflammatory autoimmune disorder of this system. This hypothesis became stronger with the accidental observation of MS symptom relief and disease stabilization in patients treated with oral fumarate for concomitant psoriasis.

### Pharmacological properties and mechanism of action of FAEs

After oral intake, DMF, the main component of Fumaderm®, is rapidly hydrolyzed by esterases to its metabolite monomethyl fumarate (MMF). Ingestion of DMF is associated with lower gastrointestinal side effects compared with MMF. After complete absorption in the small intestine,28 it can interact with immune cells in the blood circulation.29 Absorption of MMF is decreased by concurrent ingestion of food, though it remains highly bioavailable.29 MMF is the most bioactive

![Figure 1 Chemical structure of dimethyl fumarate (BG-12).](https://www.dovepress.com/)

### Table 1 Summary of oral drugs for the treatment of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Pivotal trials</th>
<th>Principal proposed mechanism of action</th>
<th>Administration</th>
<th>Common adverse events</th>
<th>Serious adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fingolimod</td>
<td>TRANSFORMS33, FREEDOMS33</td>
<td>Decreased expression of S1p1 on lymphocytes, resulting in sequestration of lymphocytes in lymphoid tissue.</td>
<td>0.5 mg oral tablet taken daily</td>
<td>Nasopharyngitis; headache; fatigue; lymphopenia; nausea; increased liver enzymes; back pain; diarrhea.</td>
<td>Disseminated varicella zoster; herpes simplex encephalitis; bradycardia; cardiac arrhythmias; bronchoconstriction; macular edema; skin neoplasms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teriflunomide</td>
<td>TEMSO33, TENERE36</td>
<td>Inhibition of pyrimidine biosynthesis in rapidly dividing cells.</td>
<td>14 mg oral tablet taken daily</td>
<td>Nasopharyngitis; gastrointestinal disturbance; back pain; elevated alanine aminotransferase; headache; fatigue; limb pain; urinary tract infection.</td>
<td>Hepatotoxicity; neutropenia; rhabdomyolysis; trigeminal neuralgia; neoplasm (solid tumors).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl fumarate (BG-12)</td>
<td>DEFINE45, CONFIRM44</td>
<td>Decreases proinflammatory cytokines; decreases entrance of lymphocytes into CNS by decreased expression of adhesion molecules.</td>
<td>240 mg oral tablet taken either twice or thrice daily</td>
<td>Episodic flushing; gastrointestinal disturbance; headache; nasopharyngitis; fatigue.</td>
<td>Serious infection; gastroenteritis; neoplasm (solid tumor); gastritis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laquinimod*</td>
<td>ALLEGRO57, BRAVO58</td>
<td>Unknown in EAE; decreases entrance of lymphocytes into CNS; axon protection; decreases proinflammatory cytokines; increases levels of brain neurotrophic growth factor.</td>
<td>0.6 mg oral tablet taken daily</td>
<td>Chest pain; arthralgia; viral infection.</td>
<td>Hepatotoxicity; abnormal menstrual bleeding; exacerbation of preexisting glaucoma.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Laquinimod has not yet been approved for the treatment of MS.

Abbreviations: CNS, central nervous system; EAE, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis; MS, multiple sclerosis
metabolite,\textsuperscript{30} and typically reaches serum peak concentrations around 20 µM. MMF is eliminated mainly through breathing, while only small amounts of intact MMF are excreted through urine or feces. There is no evidence for a cytochrome P450-dependent metabolism in the liver,\textsuperscript{29} thus few drug interactions would be expected. MMF’s half-life in vivo is around 12 hours.

The exact mechanism of action by which DMF and its primary metabolite MMF exerts its effects is still unclear and under research. It is now accepted that it could work at different levels, acting at different stages and modulating different cells involved in the immune cascade (Figure 2).

DMF seems to interfere with cellular redox system by modulating intracellular thiols and thereby increasing the level of reduced glutathione. The major involved transcription factor in this process is nuclear factor erythroid-derived 2 (E2)-related factor (Nrf2), which is released from binding to Keap1 via the activity of fumarates. BG-12 induces the cleavage of Kelch-like erythroid cell-derived protein with cap “n” collar homology-associated protein 1 (Keap1) from Nrf2 in the cell cytoplasm. By cleaving this Keap1–Nrf2 complex, Nrf2 is free to cross the nuclear membrane and interact with other nuclear transcription factors to upregulate the antioxidant response element.\textsuperscript{31} Moreover, studies in Nrf2 knockout mice showed that most of the therapeutic ability of FAEs was abolished in the absence of Nrf2. Further support for these mechanisms appeared in a recently published study\textsuperscript{32} that better characterizes the potential neuroprotective, as well as cytoprotective effects, of DMF and MMF on cellular resistance to oxidative damage in primary culture of CNS cells. DMF and MMF treatment increase the cellular redox potential, glutathione as well as adenosine triphosphate (ATP) levels, and the mitochondrial membrane potential in a concentration-dependent manner. Similarly, both DMF and MMF improve cell viability after reactive oxygen species challenge. This effect is lost in cells that have eliminated or reduced Nrf2 levels. These data suggest that DMF and MMF are cytoprotective for neurons and astrocytes against oxidative stress-induced cellular injury and loss via upregulation of an Nrf2-dependent antioxidant response.\textsuperscript{32} Nrf2 also contributes to the long-term effect of DMF in neuronal cells, which may also involve other reported mechanisms such as the inhibition of the nuclear translocation of nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B-cells (NF-κB); this means an inhibition of the translocation of NF-κB into the nucleus and a decreased expression of NF-κB-dependent genes that regulate the expression of a cascade of inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and adhesion molecules.\textsuperscript{33}

Evidence shows that DMF can modulate different type of cells in the immune system. In almost all patients treated with Fumaderm\textsuperscript{6}, a decrease of T-cells was observed.\textsuperscript{34} A subsequent in vitro study detected how fumarate can induce apoptosis in human T-cells.\textsuperscript{35} MMF can induce an immune deviation, with the skewing of IFN-γ inducing antigen-specific Th1 cells to switch to an interleukin (IL)-4-dominated Th2 phenotype. Th2 cells are still reactive to

![Figure 2: Effects of fumaric acid esters on immune and accessory cells.](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Abbreviations:** Th, T-helper; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; ICAM-1, intercellular adhesion molecule 1; VCAM-1, vascular cell adhesion molecule 1.
antigen, but provide a different cytokine pattern. MMF was shown to increase the production of the Th2 cytokines IL-4 and IL-5 without having an effect on the production of the Th1 cytokines IFN-γ and IL-2, or the proliferation of T-cells. In another study, MMF-treated monocytic-derived dendritic cells downregulated Th1 cell responses by significantly decreasing the production of IFN-γ after stimulation by lipopolysaccharide.

In an experiment with umbilical vein endothelial cell cultures, DMF inhibited the TNF-α-induced expression of intercellular adhesion molecule-1, E-selectin, and VCAM-1, impairing the migration of immune cells inside tissues. This may correlate with the described activity of FAEs on NF-κB. Another consequence of the decreased expression of NF-κB can be speculated as an effect on B-cells. Although there have not been any studies on direct FA effects on B-cells, there is evidence that the downregulation of NF-κB in turn inhibits the antiapoptotic protein Bcl-2, thus leading to apoptosis in B-cells.

**Efficacy of oral fumarate and clinical trials**

Given the immunomodulatory properties of FAEs, it was suggested that they could be also a beneficial effect in MS. Thus, the effects of FAEs in myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein-induced EAE was investigated. Both esters had a significant therapeutic effect on the disease course, and histology showed a strongly reduced macrophage inflammation in the spinal cord. Cytokine analysis detected an increase of IL-10 in the treated animals.

**Phase II studies**

An open-label, exploratory, prospective study in ten subjects with RRMS demonstrated that FAEs produced significant reductions from baseline in number (P<0.05) and volume (P<0.01) of gadolinium-enhancing (Gd+) lesions after 18 weeks of treatment at a target dose of 720 mg/day administered orally; this effect persisted during the second 48-week treatment phase at half the target dose, after a 4-week washout period. Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) score, ambulation index, and nine-hole peg test remained stable or slightly improved from baseline in all patients.

A Phase II, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study of DMF in 257 RRMS patients has been completed. All 257 patients were randomized to DMF 120, 360, or 720 mg/day for 6 months. There was a subsequent 6-month safety extension study, in which the placebo group received the highest dose of 720 mg/day. When treated with 240 mg DMF three times daily, a 69% reduction in the mean cumulative number of new Gd+ lesions was observed when compared with placebo (P=0.002). Treated subjects also demonstrated advantages versus placebo in other MRI parameters: a 48% reduction in the mean number of new or newly enlarging T2-hyperintense lesions (P<0.001) and a 53% reduction in the mean number of new T1-hypointense lesions (P=0.014). Although the study was not powered to evaluate the effects of DMF on clinical measures, clinical efficacy end points of the intent-to-treat population were evaluated as exploratory measures. There was a 32% reduction in annualized relapse rate (ARR) and a 24% reduction in the proportion of relapsing subjects in the group treated with a high dose (240 mg three times daily), which was not statistically significant when compared with placebo. A retrospective analysis of the BG-12 Phase II trial was performed to evaluate the evolution of the new Gd+ lesions, developed between weeks 4 and 12, into T1 black holes. The odds ratio (OR) for this MRI parameter in the 720 mg/day BG-12 group compared with placebo was 0.51 (P<0.0001). The treatment effect was greater for smaller lesions (OR 0.30) than for large lesions (OR 0.62). Analysis of the lower dose was not reported since it did not show a significant reduction in enhancing lesions.

**Phase III studies**

The efficacy and safety of oral BG-12 have been further evaluated in two large Phase III studies (DEFINE and CONFIRM). Both studies were designed to investigate the clinical efficacy and risk–benefit profile of BG-12, were scheduled for 24 months, and enrolled in each case over 1,200 adults with RRMS.

The DEFINE study was a three-arm trial over 96 weeks evaluating BG-12 in two dosages against placebo. Patients were randomly assigned to receive oral BG-12 at a dose of 240 mg twice daily, BG-12 at a dose of 240 mg three times daily, or placebo. The primary end point was the proportion of patients who had a relapse by 2 years. Other end points included the ARR, the time to confirmed progression of disability defined as an increase in EDSS score of 1.0 point from baseline and sustained for 12 weeks, and findings on MRI, such as the number of Gd+ lesions and the number of new or enlarging T2 lesions. A total of 1,237 patients were randomly assigned to a treatment or placebo group, and 952 patients completed the study. The estimated proportion of patients who had a relapse was significantly lower in the two BG-12 groups than in the placebo group (27% with BG-12 twice daily and 26% with BG-12 thrice daily versus 46% with placebo; P<0.001 for both comparisons).
The annualized relapse rate at 2 years was 0.17 in the twice-daily BG-12 group and 0.19 in the thrice-daily BG-12 group, as compared with 0.36 in the placebo group, representing relative reductions of 53% and 48% with the two BG-12 regimens, respectively ($P<0.001$ for the comparison of each BG-12 regimen with placebo). The estimated proportion of patients with confirmed progression of disability was 16% in the twice-daily BG-12 group, 18% in the thrice-daily BG-12 group, and 27% in the placebo group, with significant relative risk reductions of 38% with BG-12 twice daily ($P=0.005$) and 34% with BG-12 thrice daily ($P=0.01$). BG-12 also significantly reduced the number of Gd+ lesions and of new or enlarging T2-weighted hyperintense lesions ($P<0.001$ for the comparison of each BG-12 regimen with placebo).

The CONFIRM study had a similar trial design to DEFINE, with an additional, open fourth arm rater-blinded, and aimed to compare daily subcutaneous injections of 20 mg GA, or BG-12 at the dosage of 240 mg two or three times daily and placebo. The primary end point was the ARR at 2 years. Secondary end points were the proportion of patients with a relapse within 2 years, disability progression, the number of new or enlarging hyperintense T2 lesions, and other MRI parameters. BG-12 and GA were not directly compared in the CONFIRM trial, as the study was not powered to make such a comparison. In this study, a total of 1,430 patients were randomly assigned to receive treatment or placebo, and 1,417 were included in the intent-to-treat population. The ARR at 2 years was 0.40, 0.22, 0.20, and 0.29 for placebo, BG-12 twice daily, BG-12 thrice daily, and GA, respectively, ($P=0.001$ for the comparison of each BG-12 regimen with placebo). In post hoc comparisons of these observed reductions were due to the oral formulation of BG-12 or differences in the patient population. Infections were reported in similar proportions of BG-12 and placebo patients (34% in both groups).

### Safety and tolerability

The safety and tolerability of FAEs has been well known on the basis of the acquired experience using Fumaderm in psoriasis patients. With FAE treatment of psoriasis, cases of acute renal failure have been reported, though other literature seems to refute this risk. No serious infections or neoplasm have occurred with any frequency with FAE treatment. Evidence-based guidelines for the treatment of psoriasis report very good long-term safety with FAEs, with more than 50,000 patient-years on file. In a retrospective safety study in patients treated with FAEs for severe psoriasis for up to 14 years, the most frequently reported adverse events (AEs) were flushing, diarrhea, and nausea. Excluding these, clinically relevant AEs included gastrointestinal complaints, flushing, lymphopenia, and eosinophilia.

On the basis of such evidence, a new oral formulation of BG-12 was developed to address the gastrointestinal complaints seen with FAE treatment in psoriasis. In Phase II and III clinical trials, FAEs were well tolerated, especially after using BG-12 containing only DMF in enteric-coated microtablets to improve gastrointestinal tolerability.

In a Phase IIb study in patients with RRMS, the most commonly reported AEs besides MS relapses were flushing and headache. Generally, flushing with BG-12 started within 30 minutes of drug administration, subsided within 90 minutes, and did not lead to discontinuation. Gastrointestinal events were more commonly seen with BG-12 than with placebo (30%–41% with BG-12 versus 25% with placebo); however, these were mainly mild to moderate and were reported mostly in the early administration of treatment. While no head-to-head studies have been conducted, these events also appeared to occur less frequently and with a lower severity than seen with FAEs in psoriasis treatment, although it is not known if these observed reductions were due to the oral formulation of BG-12 or differences in the patient population. Infections were reported in similar proportions of BG-12 and placebo patients (34% in both groups).

In Phase III studies, the overall incidence of AEs was similar across all treatment groups, highlighting the favorable safety profile of BG-12. AEs that occurred more frequently in patients receiving BG-12 than in patients receiving placebo included flushing, gastrointestinal events (eg, diarrhea, nausea, upper abdominal pain, abdominal pain, and vomiting), proteinuria, and pruritus. The incidences of flushing and gastrointestinal events were highest in the first month of the study and decreased thereafter. Overall, the incidence of AEs leading to discontinuation of the study drug was similar across the groups in both studies, although discontinuations due to flushing and overall gastrointestinal events occurred more frequently in patients who received BG-12 than in patients who received placebo.
of infections was similar across the study groups with the most common infections detected being nasopharyngitis, upper respiratory tract infection, urinary tract infection, and influenza. No opportunistic infections were observed in the BG-12 groups, and no serious infections were reported in patients with lymphocyte counts of less than $0.5 \times 10^9$ per liter.\textsuperscript{45,46} There were no cases of malignancies associated with the study drug. The incidence of serious adverse events was similar across the study groups and there were no cases of renal failure classified by the investigator as serious AEs.\textsuperscript{45,46} As expected, the mean white cell count and lymphocyte count decreased in patients treated with BG-12. Usually, the mean white cell count and lymphocyte count decreased over the first 12 months and then plateaued, remaining within normal range. Overwhelming decreases of the white cell count and lymphocyte count ($3.0 \times 10^9$/L and $0.5 \times 10^9$/L, respectively) occurred in 4%–10% of patients treated with BG-12. Decreases of the mean white cell count and lymphocyte count were not associated with an increase of infections.\textsuperscript{45,46}

In both trials, researchers observed an increased incidence of elevations in liver aminotransferase levels, primarily between months 1 and 6. No such elevations of aminotransferase levels were concurrent with increases in bilirubin that were more than two times the upper limit of the normal range. There were no reports of hepatic failure.\textsuperscript{45,46}

Recently, four cases of PML treated with Fumaderm\textsuperscript{8} or self-compounded DMF for psoriasis have emerged.\textsuperscript{48,49} Only two cases\textsuperscript{48,49} may be classified as directly related to fumarates. In these cases, there was a clear violation of prescription information for patients with a severely reduced lymphocyte count or severely impaired immune system.\textsuperscript{50} Regarding the other two cases,\textsuperscript{51} one of the patients had a history of treatment with efalizumab, a monoclonal antibody against lymphocyte function-associated antigen 1 that has been withdrawn from the market because of the risk of developing PML,\textsuperscript{51} and the other patient had neurosarcoidosis, which primarily predisposes to PML.

The safety and tolerability of BG-12 has been studied within the clinical setting for up to 2 years, in randomized controlled studies, and will be further studied in the 5-year extension study of the ENDORSE Phase III clinical trials.\textsuperscript{52}

During the ENDORSE phase extension, an interim safety result reported 14 malignancies (13 patients), diverse in type/location: six in patients who continued on DMF and eight in patients who switched to DMF. There were three deaths, none considered to be related to the study drug.\textsuperscript{52}

Regarding pregnancy issues, the FDA classification for dimethyl fumarate is “pregnancy category C”.\textsuperscript{53}

**Future perspectives**

On the basis of the results of the CONFIRM and DEFINE Phase III studies, the sponsors submitted regulatory approval to the FDA and European Medicines Agency in 2012. In March 2013, the FDA gave its approval to use of DMF as first-line therapy in patients affected by RRMS.\textsuperscript{54} Further, the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use adopted a positive opinion, recommending the granting of a marketing authorization for the medicinal drug, intended for the treatment of adult patients with RRMS.\textsuperscript{54}

Further information on long-term safety and efficacy of DMF treatment, including its suitability for use in pregnancy, will be obtained via implementation of respective registries for pregnancy and the ENDORSE study.

**Conclusion**

The therapeutic pipeline for MS is enriched with novel agents that have the potential to provide improved efficacy and ease of administration for patients. The development of drugs with easier administration, such as oral agents, would further promote adherence and could increase the number of patients with MS in treatment, reducing discomfort and inconvenience and making it easier for patients to adhere to their treatment regimen, thus improving efficacy. In view of these requests, the most recent therapeutic strategies have been developed with the intent to target specific steps in the pathogenesis of MS. Recent understanding of the pathogenic mechanisms underlying MS have helped to extend the research field to different new drugs suitable for treating MS. Among these new treatment options, BG-12 seems to be at least equally effective as, if not more effective than, established injectable therapies. Moreover, the ability of DMF to activate Nrf2 underpins the cytoprotective modality that further augments the natural antioxidant responses in MS tissues. The neuroprotective effect of Nrf2 is not yet targeted by other MS therapies and renders the compound a candidate for the treatment of progressive forms of MS. In addition to trials on secondary or primary progressive MS forms, the substance may also be an effective option for the treatment of neurodegenerative disease.

The most challenging aspect for physicians will be making their patients understand the need to balance the pros and cons of new oral treatments, where serious side effects may outweigh benefits in certain individuals. With gold standard agents such as IFN and GA, the main complaints are injection-site reactions and flu-like symptoms; compared with the higher stakes of new agents, these side effects seem to be more acceptable. Safety is likely to become the most
important factor in prescribing MS drugs in future clinical practice, while certainly more research is also needed to compare escalation, different treatment combinations, and induction treatment strategies.

The safety profile of BG-12 is well known from its use in thousands of patients with psoriasis, and undoubtedly qualifies the drug for long-term use. Tolerability could, however, become an issue, as about 15% of patients initially experience diarrhea and up to 30% experience flushing. For this reason, a Phase IV postmarketing study is focusing purely on tolerability, with the primary objective of evaluating the effect of symptomatic therapies on gastrointestinal-related events reported by patients with relapsing forms of MS by initiating therapy with DMF in the clinical practice setting. Patients will have to be educated about AEs and be closely supported to avoid early discontinuation of treatment. BG-12 looks set to be very successful, as it combines a degree of clinical efficacy at least equal to, and probably higher than, established injectable therapies, with an excellent long-term safety profile.

The four PML cases recently reported, highlight the importance of close monitoring when patients undergo a new compound. More frequent complete blood count analyses may be advisable, especially after the onset of therapy, and an accurate evaluation of patients eligible to receive the drug. Similar to treatment with natalizumab, prior treatment with other immunosuppressants may have increased one of the patient’s risk of PML.

Depending on the exact licensing and on acceptance by both patients and neurologists, clinical routine will eventually determine where DMF treatment will be positioned in terms of MS therapy. On the basis of all available data, a widespread first-line use in treatment-naïve MS patients can be expected. In summary, it is anticipated that DMF will soon become an integral part of MS immunotherapy.

The choice between these new therapies will most likely be based on an overall assessment of efficacy, safety, tolerability, and adherence over the postmarketing period.
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