Effect of blonanserin on cognitive and social function in acute phase Japanese schizophrenia compared with risperidone
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Background: This study aims to determine the effectiveness of blonanserin (BNS) on the cognitive and social functions of patients with schizophrenia compared with risperidone (RIS) during acute-phase (8-week) treatment.

Methods: A total of 39 schizophrenia inpatients were included in this study. The subjects received either BNS (N=20) or RIS (N=19), and the clinical responses were evaluated periodically. The concomitant use of mood stabilizers was not allowed. Efficacy was assessed with the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale for schizophrenia. Cognition was assessed using the Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia, Japanese-language version. Social function was assessed using the Life Assessment Scale for the Mentally Ill.

Results: For both groups, each assessment exhibited a decrease in the mean change from baseline on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale. The depression subscale was significantly improved in the BNS group compared with the RIS group at 8 weeks after administration. BNS improved verbal fluency and executive function (cognitive function) and daily living and work skills (social function). Compared with the RIS group, BNS was observed to improve daily living.

Conclusion: BNS may improve psychotic symptoms, cognitive function, and daily living in patients with acute-phase schizophrenia. BNS may be superior to RIS in the improvement of daily living.
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Introduction

Cognitive improvements in patients with schizophrenia are strongly associated with quality of life and independent living, whereas the successful treatment of positive symptoms has not been demonstrated to significantly improve employment status or social relationships. A number of studies have claimed cognitive benefits from treatment with various atypical antipsychotics; however, the pattern and degree of cognitive improvement differ from drug to drug. The study of social cognition in schizophrenia has increased rapidly during the past decade. Schizophrenia patients exhibit impairments in both low- and high-level social cognitive processes, and their impaired social cognition is consistently related to functional outcome.

Blonanserin (BNS) was developed in Japan as a novel antipsychotic drug, and it was approved for the treatment of schizophrenia in Japan and Korea. BNS has a high affinity for the dopamine D₂ and serotonin 5-HT₂A receptors, but low affinity for the D₄, adrenergic α₁, β₁, 5-HT₁A, 5HT₂B, 5HT₂C, 5HT₃, histamine H₁, and muscarinic M₁ receptors. A preclinical study demonstrated that BNS increased
the extracellular levels of dopamine and norepinephrine in
the prefrontal cortex.\textsuperscript{16} In a recent meta-analysis, the effect
of BNS was demonstrated to be equal to that of haloperidol
and risperidone (RIS) in primary endpoints and superior to
haloperidol in improving negative symptoms in patients with
schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{17} Moreover, BNS improved verbal fluency
and executive function with first-episode schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{18}
However, to our knowledge, no study has evaluated the effects
of BNS on the cognitive and social functions of patients with
acute-phase schizophrenia. Furthermore, in the absence of
direct comparisons with RIS, it remains difficult to reach a
final verdict on the potential additional therapeutic benefits
of BNS. Therefore, we examined BNS’s effectiveness on
cognitive and social function in acute-phase schizophrenia
by comparing it with that of RIS.

Methods
Subjects
Thirty-nine inpatients (18 males and 21 females) were included
in this study. Twenty were receiving BNS treatment and 19
were receiving RIS treatment as a control group. All of the
patients met the diagnostic criteria for schizophrenia based on
the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders,
Fourth Edition, Test Revision.\textsuperscript{19} Patients with concomitant
medical illness (for example, diabetes, high blood pressure,
hypothyroidism, or a chronic respiratory condition) were
eligible for participating in the study if their condition had
been stable for at least 3 months and they had been receiving
standard therapy for the condition for at least 1 month.

Patients were excluded for any untreated or unstable
clinically significant medical condition, or for any clinically
significant laboratory or physical examination abnormality
or thyroid function abnormality. A history of seizures, recent
drug or alcohol abuse, or any principal psychiatric condition
other than schizophrenia were reasons for exclusion, as was
a suicide attempt in the current psychotic episode. Patients
were excluded if they had received RIS or BNS for the cur-
rent psychotic episode or electroconvulsive therapy during
the previous 6 months. In addition, patients were excluded
if they required concomitant therapy with drugs approved
for the treatment of memory deficits. Patients who did not
tolerate or respond to RIS or BNS during a previous psychotic
episode were ineligible. Additionally, patients who had failed
more than one adequate trial of antipsychotic treatment for
the current psychotic episode were excluded.

All of the subjects who participated in this study were
inpatients. Treatment compliance for all of the subjects was
confirmed by a nurse.

Study design
Twenty patients were recruited to the present study and
assigned to the acute-phase schizophrenia. Patients were
administered BNS monotherapy for 8 weeks. The daily
doses of the drug were individually adjusted according to
the patient’s clinical status, and no additional drugs, except
lorazepam, were permitted during the study period.

The clinical improvement of the patients was evaluated
using the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS)\textsuperscript{20}
on days 0, 28 (4 weeks), and 56 (8 weeks). Cognitive
function and social functions were measured using the Brief
Assessment of Cognition in schizophrenia, Japanese language
version (BACS-J) and the Life Assessment Scale for the Men-
tally III (LASMI) on days 0 and 56 (8 weeks).

To exclude possible learning effects on BACS-J, 19 patients with acute-phase schizophrenia as a RIS group.
The RIS group’s dosage and additional drugs were performed
on the same conditions as BNS group. The raters were blinded
about the treatment status.

Patients with at least a 30% or more decrease in their
baseline PANSS scores were defined as responders, whereas
those with less than a 30% decrease were regarded as nonresponders. The protocol for this study was approved by
the Ethics Committee of the University of Occupational and
Environmental Health (Kitakyushu, Fukuoka, Japan). All
participants provided their consent to participate after being
informed of the study’s purpose.

Cognitive functions, social functions,
intelligence test, and clinical assessment
The primary outcome measures were the changes in
cognitive functions and social functions from baseline
to the endpoint. The secondary outcome measures were
changes in psychiatric symptoms and the severities of the
psychopathologies. The cognitive functions were assessed
by trained psychiatrists using the BACS-J.\textsuperscript{21} The BACS-J
has established reliability and validity and is designed to
measure cognitive function in schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{21,22} The metric
includes brief assessments of verbal memory, working
memory, motor speed, verbal fluency, attention and process-
ing speed, and executive function. The primary measures
from each BACS-J subtest were standardized by creating
z-scores (the mean of healthy controls was set to 0, and
the standard deviation was set to 1). All of the data from
the healthy controls were obtained from a study by Kaneda
et al.\textsuperscript{23} and a composite score was calculated by averaging all
of the z-scores for the six primary measures. The influence
of age was adjusted using age-matched cohorts of controls.
to calculate the BACS-J z-scores for each schizophrenia patient in the present study.

We assessed functional outcomes in this study. The LASMI was developed to assess disability in daily life or community functioning,24–26 and it is one of the most commonly used scales to evaluate community functioning in Japan. The LASMI is composed of the following five categories: 1) daily living; 2) interpersonal relations; 3) work skills; 4) endurance and stability; and 5) self-recognition. Each category consists of several items, with each item being rated on a 5-point scale (responses range from no problem =0 to a serious problem =4). Lower scores indicate higher degrees of independent living in the community. The mean score for each category was calculated by dividing the total score for that category by the number of items. The LASMI scores were assigned based on observations of patient behavior and information from the patients and their families.

Statistical analysis

Differences between the RIS and BNS groups in terms of demographic and baseline characteristics were assessed using independent samples t-tests and the chi-square test.

This study’s primary aim was to clarify the effects of RIS and BNS on cognitive and social function, as measured by the BACS-J and the LASMI. A repeated measures analysis of covariance was performed for each cognitive and social variable with the baseline data serving as the covariate. For the primary analysis, the between-subjects factor was the group (RIS group and BNS group) and the within-subjects factor was time (day 0 and day 56). The effects of group, time, and group-by-time (interaction effect) were examined. Additionally, we used a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons of the BACS-J and LASMI data. In a secondary analysis, within-group improvements in cognitive performance and social function over time were evaluated using paired t-tests. All statistical tests were two-tailed, and a P-value <0.05 was considered significant. Effect size (Cohen’s d) was calculated as the within-group differences between the mean values divided by the pooled standard deviation.

Results

A total of 20 patients in the BNS group and 19 patients in the RIS group were recruited and tested at baseline. Of these, 33 patients completed this study (BNS, number [n]=17; RIS, n=16). Baseline demographics or clinical characteristics were comparable between treatment groups (Table 1). During the acute treatment phase, three patients in the BNS group (15.0%) and three patients in the BNS group (15.8%) discontinued their participation prematurely, most of them because of adverse events (Figure 1). Seventeen in the BNS and 16 in the RIS groups were retested on the cognitive measures after 8 weeks. Thus, data from these 17 patients in the BNS group and 16 patients in the RIS group were used for a more complete analysis.

Five patients in the RIS group and 12 in the BNS group used lorazepam for sleep during the trial.

Eight weeks into treatment, the mean (standard deviation) daily doses of RIS and BNS were 3.1 (1.3) mg and 14.6 (4.0) mg, respectively.

Efficacy

Sixteen patients on RIS and 17 on BNS completed the study. Twelve (63.2%) RIS and 12 (60%) BNS patients met the criteria for being responders.

For both groups, each assessment exhibited a decrease in the mean change from baseline on the PANSS (Table 2).

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patient sample at baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>RIS group</th>
<th>BNS group</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>31.1±8.8</td>
<td>29.6±8.3</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>9/11</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>13.6±2.4</td>
<td>13.0±2.2</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handedness (right/left)</td>
<td>19/0</td>
<td>19/1</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (yes/no)</td>
<td>7/12</td>
<td>8/12</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness (years)</td>
<td>7.7±5.7</td>
<td>6.1±4.6</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PANSS</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-P</td>
<td>29.5±4.1</td>
<td>29.0±6.1</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-N</td>
<td>29.2±6.9</td>
<td>28.2±7.3</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-G</td>
<td>47.2±8.6</td>
<td>47.2±7.9</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-T</td>
<td>105.8±13.8</td>
<td>104.3±14.4</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BACS-J</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Verbal learning</td>
<td>−1.7±0.8</td>
<td>−1.5±0.8</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working memory</td>
<td>−1.7±0.7</td>
<td>−1.7±0.8</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor function</td>
<td>−1.3±0.7</td>
<td>−0.9±0.9</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal fluency</td>
<td>−1.5±0.8</td>
<td>−1.3±0.5</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention and</td>
<td>−1.9±0.5</td>
<td>−1.6±0.4</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>processing speed</td>
<td>−1.2±0.5</td>
<td>−1.1±0.6</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LASMI</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily living</td>
<td>1.8±0.7</td>
<td>2.0±0.7</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal relations</td>
<td>2.1±0.6</td>
<td>2.2±0.6</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work skills</td>
<td>2.1±0.6</td>
<td>2.3±0.6</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endurance and stability</td>
<td>3.2±0.9</td>
<td>3.1±1.1</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-recognition</td>
<td>2.3±0.8</td>
<td>2.4±0.7</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: RIS, risperidone; BNS, blonanserin; M, male; F, female; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; P, positive scale score; N, negative scale score; G, general psychopathology subscale score; T, total score; BACS-J, Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia, Japanese-language version; LASMI, Life Assessment Scale for the Mentally Ill.
A significantly larger reduction was observed in the RIS group compared to the BNS group for changes in the scores on two PANSS items: the excitement and hostility scores at 4 weeks. However, these significant differences were not observed at 8 weeks. That is, the BNS group displayed a faster decrease in mean PANSS depression scores ($P < 0.05$ for week 8).

**Cognitive function change**

Comparisons of the changes in the neurocognitive functions of the treatment groups are summarized in Table 3. No significant differences in the changes were observed in the six BACS-J domains measured.

Paired $t$-tests demonstrated that the $z$-scores for verbal fluency and executive function were significantly improved after treatment with BNS (Table 4), and the $z$-scores for verbal fluency were significantly improved after treatment with RIS (Table 5).

**Social function change**

Comparisons of the changes in the social functions of the treatment groups are summarized in Table 3. The changes in daily living were significantly larger in the BNS group than in the RIS group. No significant differences between the RIS and BNS groups were observed for the four other domains measured.

Paired $t$-tests demonstrated that the daily living and work skills scores were significantly improved after treatment with BNS (Table 4), and that the work skills scores were significantly improved after treatment with RIS (Table 5).

**The relationship between the RIS and BNS doses and the BACS-J scores at 8 weeks**

A significant negative correlation was found between RIS dosage and the scores for motor function, attention,
processing speed at 8 weeks in the RIS group. A significant negative correlation was also found between BNS and the motor function scores (Table 6).

**Discussion**

In this study, it is noteworthy that BNS has an improving effect on daily living when compared with RIS, although the ameliorating effect on psychiatric symptoms is comparable between the two drugs. Recently, much importance has been attached to the ameliorating effects of antipsychotic drugs, not only on psychiatric symptoms, but also on cognitive and social functions. More notably, it appears that BNS significantly improves social function. Activities of daily living are often habitual and are less influenced by antipsychotic therapy. A previous study showed that there was a positive correlation between improving executive function and improving daily living.\(^{27}\) Although the precise mechanism behind this effect remains unknown, BNS has been shown to improve daily living by improving executive function. Furthermore, this outcome may be explained by the simple pharmacological profile and lower sedative effect of BNS, although the mechanism underlying the action of BNS has not been fully clarified. Two previous studies reported that RIS has been shown to improve daily living.\(^{28,29}\) The discrepancy between the studies could be due to differences in the sample, the sample size, or the study periods.

Second, it should be noted that BNS may have a more potent effect on depressive symptoms than RIS in the treatment of patients with acute schizophrenia, whereas RIS manifests its effect on symptoms such as agitation and hostility early after administration. A recent meta-analysis\(^{17}\) demonstrated that the effect of BNS is equivalent to that of RIS, which was also supported by the present study. When BNS is used in patients who exhibit strong agitation or hostility during the acute phase, the temporary use of concomitant drugs may be beneficial. In fact, when BNS is used during the acute phase, a concomitant drug reportedly increases the drug’s continuation rate.\(^{30}\) However, the effect of RIS on depressive symptoms is poor for the first 8 weeks after administration, and if the patient remains depressed, it may be necessary to consider administering a concomitant drug.

The results of the present study suggest that both RIS and BNS have improving effects on cognitive and social function. Moreover, RIS improved verbal fluency, whereas BNS improved not only verbal fluency, but also executive function. A previous report demonstrated that the improving effect of RIS on verbal fluency is unsatisfactory.\(^{31}\) However,

### Table 3
"Time × group" interaction effect on analysis of variance with BACS-J and LASMI data when compared with the BNS group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BACS-J</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal learning</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working memory</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor function</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal fluency</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention and processing speed</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LASMI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily living</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal relations</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work skills</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endurance and stability</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self recognition</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BNS, blonanserin; BACS-J, Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia, Japanese-language version; LASMI, Life Assessment Scale for the Mentally Ill.

### Table 4
Paired t-test results on BACS-J and LASMI data for the BNS group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(N=17)</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>8 weeks later</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Cohen’s d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BACS-J</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal learning</td>
<td>–1.53±0.81</td>
<td>–1.46±0.83</td>
<td>–0.42</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working memory</td>
<td>–1.66±0.76</td>
<td>–1.60±0.19</td>
<td>–0.58</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor function</td>
<td>–0.89±0.94</td>
<td>–0.84±0.24</td>
<td>–0.31</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal fluency</td>
<td>–1.27±0.55</td>
<td>–0.94±0.67</td>
<td>–2.23</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention and processing speed</td>
<td>–1.48±0.50</td>
<td>–1.40±0.59</td>
<td>–0.81</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive function</td>
<td>–1.13±0.57</td>
<td>–0.77±0.69</td>
<td>–2.22</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LASMI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily living</td>
<td>1.96±0.16</td>
<td>1.77±0.61</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal relations</td>
<td>2.23±0.61</td>
<td>2.19±0.61</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work skills</td>
<td>2.27±0.62</td>
<td>1.98±0.60</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endurance and stability</td>
<td>3.06±1.12</td>
<td>2.94±1.13</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-recognition</td>
<td>2.37±0.72</td>
<td>2.25±0.69</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BACS-J, Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia, Japanese-language version; LASMI, Life Assessment Scale for the Mentally Ill; BNS, blonanserin; N, number.
the present study’s results indicated that RIS significantly improved verbal fluency and that the effect size was moderate. BNS exerted improving effects on verbal fluency and executive function, as previously reported.18 A previous study indicated that the effect size of antipsychotic drugs is small in terms of many variables related to the improvement of cognitive function.31 However, in this study, this effect was relatively potent. RIS may be effective in improving work skills, whereas BNS may be effective in improving both daily living and work skills. Both drugs appear to improve patients’ social lives.

These results suggest that both RIS and BNS are effective in the treatment of schizophrenia. However, the proper use of concomitant drugs may be required, depending on the symptoms that occur during the acute stage. Moreover, both drugs are likely to improve cognitive and social function. BNS may be superior to RIS in the improvement of daily living.

This study had a relatively small sample size, was short-term (8 weeks), and open-label, but it was not double-blind. Therefore, the possibility that bias was introduced to the results cannot be ruled out and, consequently, there are limits to the conclusions that can be drawn from this study. A double-blind, randomized, controlled study on acute schizophrenia may be necessary to clarify the efficacy and safety of RIS and BNS. One must remember that other factors besides antipsychotic drugs, such as the patient’s period of illness, preillness intelligence quotient, or cognitive function levels, may influence the present study’s results.

In conclusion, RIS and BNS may improve psychotic symptoms, cognitive function, and daily living in patients with acute-phase schizophrenia. BNS may be superior to RIS in the improvement of daily living.
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