Frailty syndrome: an overview
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Abstract: Frailty is a common and important geriatric syndrome characterized by age-associated declines in physiologic reserve and function across multiorgan systems, leading to increased vulnerability for adverse health outcomes. Two major frailty models have been described in the literature. The frailty phenotype defines frailty as a distinct clinical syndrome meeting three or more of five phenotypic criteria: weakness, slowness, low level of physical activity, self-reported exhaustion, and unintentional weight loss. The frailty index defines frailty as cumulative deficits identified in a comprehensive geriatric assessment. Significant progress has recently been made in understanding the pathogenesis of frailty. Chronic inflammation is likely a key pathophysiologic process that contributes to the frailty syndrome directly and indirectly through other intermediate physiologic systems, such as the musculoskeletal, endocrine, and hematologic systems. The complex multifactorial etiologies of frailty also include obesity and specific diseases. Major clinical applications include risk assessment and stratification. This can be applied to the elderly population in the community and in a variety of care settings. Frailty may also be useful for risk assessment in surgical patients and those with cardiovascular diseases, cancer, or human immunodeficiency virus infection, as well as for assessment of vaccine effectiveness in older adults. Currently, exercise and comprehensive geriatric interdisciplinary assessment and treatment are key interventions for frailty. As understanding of the biologic basis and complexity of frailty further improves, more effective and targeted interventional strategies and innovative geriatric-care models will likely be developed.
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Introduction

Identification of older individuals who are frail or at risk of becoming frail with appropriate subsequent evaluation and intervention constitutes a cornerstone of geriatric medicine and quality care for the ever-growing elderly population.¹⁻³ While health care providers and researchers in the field of aging have long been aware of the term of “frailty”, defining this syndrome proved to be elusive until recently. Geriatricians used to say, “I know it when I see it, but what I see may not be the same as what everyone else sees.” Impressive progress has been made in the past decade also, and the number of scientific publications on this topic has grown exponentially. Efforts have also been made internationally to reach frailty consensus. This article provides an overview of the current state of our knowledge about the frailty syndrome: its definitions and pathogenesis, as well as clinical applications and potential preventative and therapeutic interventions.
Definitions
Frailty is conceptually defined as a clinically recognizable state of older adults with increased vulnerability, resulting from age-associated declines in physiologic reserve and function across multiple organ systems, such that the ability to cope with everyday or acute stressors is compromised. Based on this conceptual framework, two major definitions with proposed assessment tools have emerged over the past decade also: the frailty phenotype (FP), also known as Fried’s definition or Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS) definition, and the frailty index (FI). A number of other definitions have also been described in the literature, including FRAIL (Fatigue, Resistance, Ambulation, Illnesses, Loss of weight) (International Academy of Nutrition and Aging), Frailty Instrument for Primary Care of the Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE-FI), and the Groningen Frailty Indicator. Major international efforts have recently been made to reach frailty consensus. Although no single operational definition or simple assessment tool has been agreed upon, a consensus has been established that frailty 1) is a clinical syndrome, 2) indicates increased vulnerability to stressors, leading to functional impairment and adverse health outcomes, 3) might be reversible or attenuated by interventions, and 4) is useful in primary care. This review will focus on FP and FI.

Frailty phenotype
The phenotypic definition of frailty as a geriatric syndrome was proposed by Fried et al and tested in the CHS, a large-cohort study of over 5,300 community-dwelling older men and women in the US. Frailty is operationalized as a syndrome meeting three or more of five phenotypic criteria: weakness as measured by low grip strength, slowness by slowed walking speed, low level of physical activity, low energy or self-reported exhaustion, and unintentional weight loss (Table 1). A prefrail stage, in which one or two criteria are present, identifies a subset at high risk of progressing to frailty. Older individuals with none of the above five criteria are classified as nonfrail. This definition recognizes frailty as a distinct clinical entity distinguished from disability, as measured by impairment in activities of daily living (ADL) and comorbidity defined by two or more diseases; two other prevalent conditions in older adults. All three conditions are predictive in varying degrees of adverse health outcomes, and therefore have a certain level of overlap (Figure 1). However, the main features of frailty, such as decreased functional reserve, impairment or dysregulation in multiple physiological systems, and reduced ability to regain physiological homeostasis after a stressful and destabilizing event, make the distinction of frailty from disability or comorbidity relatively easy. Disability suggests chronic limitations or dependence in mobility and/or ADL or instrumental ADL. While many (but not all) frail individuals are disabled, not all disabled persons are frail. For example, older patients who suffer severe disability secondary to a major accident or stroke may maintain relatively intact function in other physiological systems, and thus are not frail. Comorbidity indicates the presence of multiple chronic diseases. Not surprisingly, comorbidity is associated with increased risk of adverse clinical outcomes, as evidenced by higher short-term and long-term mortality and significantly increased physical disability compared with those without diseases. However, the mere presence of two or more clinical diagnoses in itself may not identify the vulnerable group of older patients or those who are frail. When comorbid conditions worsen, are

Table 1 The frailty phenotype (FP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FP criteria</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weakness</td>
<td>Grip strength: lowest 20% (by sex, body mass index)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slowness</td>
<td>Walking time/15 feet: slowest 20% (by sex, height)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low level of physical activity</td>
<td>Kcal/week: lowest 20% Males: 383 Kcal/week Females: 270 Kcal/week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaustion; poor endurance</td>
<td>“Exhaustion” (self-report)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>&gt;10 lb lost unintentionally in prior year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 1 Venn diagram of the frailty syndrome, activities of daily living (ADL) disability, and comorbidity (two or more diseases) in the Cardiovascular Health Study dataset, demonstrating frailty as a distinct geriatric syndrome with some overlap with disability and comorbidity. Fried LP, Tangen C, Walston J, et al. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2001;56A:M1–M11, by permission of Oxford University Press. Note: "Two or more out of the following nine diseases: myocardial infarction, angina, congestive heart failure, claudication, arthritis, cancer, diabetes, hypertension, COPD."
not adequately treated, and/or more diseases are accumulated, these patients may develop frailty. Nevertheless, diseases and disability are important confounding factors that deserve careful consideration in frailty assessment.

To test the internal validity of the FP, Bandeen-Roche et al analyzed the data from a combined sample of women aged 70–79 years from the Women’s Health and Aging Studies (WHAS) I and II and identified such patterns of co-occurrence of the five phenotypic criteria as manifestation in a critical mass and aggregation in a hierarchical order, as would occur in a cycle in which dysregulation in a sentinel system may trigger a cascade of alterations across other systems. These findings support the internal validity of the FP vis-à-vis the stated theory characterizing frailty as a clinical syndrome, and provide justification for the current counting strategy for defining frailty categories (ie, nonfrail, prefrail, and frail). Various adaptations of this definition have been described in the literature, and were often based upon available measures in specific studies rather than meaningful conceptual differences.

Based on the FP and its various modified versions, the overall prevalence of frailty in community-dwelling older adults aged 65 years and over in the US ranges from 7% to 12%. It increases with age from 3.9% in the age-group 65–74 years to 25% in the age group older than 85 years. The prevalence of frailty is higher in women than in men (8% versus 5%) and higher in African Americans than in Caucasians (13% versus 6% in the CHS and 16% versus 10% in the WHAS). It also appears to have geographic differences. The overall prevalence is 17% in Europe, ranging from 5.8% in Switzerland to 27% in Spain, while the prevalence in Latin American and Caribbean cities is much higher, ranging from 30% to 48% in women and from 21% to 35% in men.

Frailty index
The FI was developed by Rockwood et al based on a comprehensive geriatric assessment by counting the number of deficits accumulated, including diseases, physical and cognitive impairments, psychosocial risk factors, and common geriatric syndromes other than frailty. The criteria for a variable to be considered as a deficit are that the variable needs to be acquired, age-associated, associated with an adverse outcome, and should not saturate too early. The last criterion means that the proportion of older adults who have the deficit should not be close to 100%, because the deficit is uninformative at that point. For example, nocturia, although it is age-associated, disrupts sleep, and is a deficit, cannot be counted in the FI, because it is so common that it is typically seen in more than 90% of men 75 years or older. The total number of deficits that can be used in the FI is considered to be 80, with 30–70 items being typically counted.

Compared to the FP definition described earlier, the FI appears to be a more sensitive predictor of adverse health outcomes, because of its more finely graded risk scale and inclusion of deficits that likely have causal relationships with adverse clinical outcomes. While the FI may have clinical utility in risk assessment and stratification, it is not clear if it adds significant value to comprehensive geriatric assessment. In addition, the FI does not attempt to distinguish frailty from disability or comorbidity. Instead, it includes them or their associated deficits. Moreover, counting the number of accumulated deficits does not constitute a clinical geriatric syndrome per se. As such, the FI makes it difficult, if not impossible, to further investigate underlying mechanisms and etiology of frailty. Therefore, recent advances in the pathogenesis of frailty described in the following section are almost exclusively based on the FP definition.

Pathogenesis
Frailty is characterized by multisystem dysregulations, leading to a loss of dynamic homeostasis, decreased physiologic reserve, and increased vulnerability for subsequent morbidity and mortality. This is often manifested by maladaptive response to stressors, leading to a vicious cycle toward functional decline and other serious adverse health outcomes. A large body of literature, most rapidly accumulated in the past few years, suggests several important multisystem pathophysiologic processes in the pathogenesis of the frailty syndrome, including chronic inflammation and immune activation, and those in musculoskeletal and endocrine systems. Chronic inflammation is likely a key underlying mechanism that contributes to frailty directly and indirectly through other intermediate pathophysiologic processes (Figure 2). Potential etiologic factors include genetic/epigenetic and metabolic factors, environmental and lifestyle stressors, and acute and chronic diseases. This section provides a brief overview of our current understanding of each of these key pathophysiologic processes.

Chronic inflammation and immune activation
Direct association between frailty and elevated circulating levels of interleukin (IL)-6, a proinflammatory cytokine, was first observed in community-dwelling older adults. A large number of studies in many cohorts of older adults and under various care settings have since provided evidence supporting the role of chronic inflammation and immune activation in
the pathogenesis of the frailty syndrome. While in-depth review of this active area of research is beyond the scope of this overview, several lines of scientific evidence should be emphasized here.

Molecular markers of chronic inflammation and immune activation in frailty
Subsequent studies in cell culture, mouse model, and large older-adult cohorts have confirmed the association between elevated IL-6 levels and frailty. Other inflammatory molecules, including C-reactive protein and tumor necrosis factor-α, have also been shown to have elevated levels in frail older adults. Moreover, elevated levels of neopterin, a well-known molecular marker for immune activation mediated by monocytes and macrophages, are associated with frailty in community-dwelling older adults independently of IL-6 levels, suggesting that immune activation can potentially be a preceding process leading to chronic inflammation in the pathogenesis of frailty.

Cellular components of inflammatory/immune system and pathway activation in frailty
Drastic increase (above the normal range) of total white blood cell (WBC) count, which is routinely measured as part of the complete blood counts in clinical practice, is recognized as a laboratory indicator for systemic inflammation frequently secondary to acute bacterial infections. Recent studies have demonstrated direct associations between frailty and increased total WBC count, albeit still under the upper limit of the normal range, and counts of its specific subpopulations including neutrophils and monocytes. In the T-lymphocyte subpopulation, frailty is associated with increased counts of cluster of differentiation (CD)8+ CD28- T cells and CCR5+ T cells, the latter of which has a type 1 proinflammatory phenotype. A recent study of inflammatory pathway activation through in-depth analyses of pathway-specific gene expression by purified monocytes from frail older individuals has shown upregulation in ex vivo expression of several stress-responsive inflammatory pathway genes in frailty. This inflammatory pathway activation as a molecular mechanism leading to chronic inflammation in the frailty syndrome is suggested by the observed correlation between frailty-associated upregulated monocytic expression of CXCL10, a potent proinflammatory chemokine, and elevated circulating IL-6 levels. However, etiologies and mechanisms that contribute to immune and inflammatory pathway activation in frailty remain to be investigated. One possibility is chronic/persistent Cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection, as positive anti-CMV immunoglobulin G titers have been shown to be associated with frailty. In addition, chronic CMV infection, as defined by the presence of CMV deoxyribonucleic acid in peripheral blood monocytes, which distinguishes persistent from past CMV infections, is associated with expansion of CMV-specific CD8+ T cells and elevated neopterin levels in older adults.

Direct and indirect contribution of chronic inflammation to frailty
As discussed earlier, the relationship between frailty and common molecular and cellular inflammatory mediators is well documented. The critical question is whether chronic inflammation plays a role in the pathogenesis of frailty.
Individual inflammatory molecules, such as IL-6, may directly contribute to frailty or its central components (such as decreased muscle mass, strength, and power, and slowed motor performance). As frailty involves multisystem physiologic dysregulation, it is conceivable that chronic inflammation contributes to frailty through its detrimental effects on other physiologic organ systems, such as musculoskeletal and endocrine systems (see following), anemia, clinical and subclinical cardiovascular diseases, and nutritional dysregulation. In fact, studies have shown that elevated cellular and molecular inflammatory mediators have inverse associations with hemoglobin concentrations, insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1 levels, and levels of albumin, micronutrients, and vitamins. Given these factors, it has been proposed that the heightened inflammatory state plays a key role in the pathogenesis of frailty directly or indirectly through other intermediate pathophysiologic processes (Figure 2). However, factors other than chronic inflammation may also be important in the pathogenesis of frailty, as no consistent associations were observed between elevated IL-6 levels and prevalent or incident frailty in some studies and the use of statins, which are known to have anti-inflammatory effects, had no association with reduction of incident frailty.

**Musculoskeletal system**

Given that weakness and slowed motor performance are cardinal features of the frailty syndrome, sarcopenia is likely a key pathophysiologic contributor to frailty. In fact, investigators in Europe and Asia consider sarcopenia research a potentially useful initial step toward interventional studies of the frailty syndrome. Sarcopenia is defined as the loss of muscle mass and strength, which can occur rapidly after the age of 50 years. It can be further accelerated by chronic diseases, and is a major contributor to disability. Its causes include age-related changes in α-motor neurons, type I muscle fibers, muscular atrophy, poor nutrition, growth hormone (GH) production, sex-steroid levels, and physical activity. As discussed earlier, chronic inflammation is also an important contributor to sarcopenia. Skeletal muscle provides important support for bone health. The frailty syndrome has also been shown to have direct relationships with osteopenia and osteoporosis.

**Endocrine system**

Sex steroids and IGF-1 are essential to skeletal muscle metabolic dysregulation. For example, age-related rapid decrease of estrogen in postmenopausal women and gradual decrease of testosterone in older men lead to decline in muscle mass and muscle strength. Circulating levels of the sex hormone dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate and IGF-1, a signaling target of GH, are significantly lower in frail than nonfrail older adults. Several other hormones, including cortisol and vitamin D, have also been associated with the frailty syndrome in the elderly. For example, a positive association between higher levels of evening cortisol, 24-hour mean cortisol, and blunted diurnal variation of cortisol with frailty burden and clinical presentation has been observed in frail older women living in the community. In addition, recent findings from prospective cohort studies in older adults suggest that vitamin D insufficiency is associated with both prevalent and incident frailty, particularly in older men. Taken together, these studies suggest the potential role for dysregulations of the GH–IGF-1 somatotropic axis, the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis, and other hormones in the pathogenesis of frailty.

**Complex multifactorial etiology**

Figure 2 provides a simplified illustration of current understanding of the pathogenesis of the frailty syndrome. However, much remains to be learned about the complex multifactorial etiology of this geriatric syndrome. For example, Blaum et al showed significant association between obesity and frailty (defined by FP) in community-dwelling women aged 70–79 years. This association has been confirmed with FI and in other large-cohort studies. In addition, midlife obesity is shown to be predictive for the development of frailty in older men and women. Diseases may play an important etiologic role driving the development of frailty. They may also mimic the clinical manifestation of frailty. Moreover, acute episodes of illness or exacerbation of chronic conditions may accelerate the development of frailty or worsen its clinical presentation and adverse outcomes. Therefore, further clinical and biological investigations are needed to delineate the complex multifactorial etiology of frailty.

**Clinical applications**

As frailty is conceptualized as a vulnerable state associated with high risk for increased morbidity and mortality when exposed to a stressor, the frailty syndrome is considered a useful clinical tool for risk stratification in the highly heterogeneous elderly population. Evidence supporting this notion includes data from several large-cohort studies that demonstrated that frailty predicts increased falls, hospitalization, dependence, and mortality. The challenge is to develop a standardized frailty definition and screening.
tool that can be easily implemented in clinical practice. The European Union has placed special emphasis on defining frailty, as frail elders are high users of community resources, hospitalization, and nursing homes. A frailty consensus has recently been established through concerted international effort. It is hoped that this and other collaborative efforts will address the pressing challenge and make frailty a useful clinical assessment tool to identify those who are frail, so that targeted interventions can be developed to improve their health and quality of life as well as utilization of health care resources. In fact, promising results from such efforts in France were presented at the International Association of Gerontology and Geriatrics Global Aging Research Network symposium on “implementing frailty into clinical practice and clinical research” at the 20th World Congress of Gerontology and Geriatrics in June 2013.

Emerging evidence suggests that frailty is a useful risk assessment tool for preoperative evaluation in elderly patients who undergo surgery. Both the FI and FP have been shown to be predictive for increased postoperative complications. Frailty may also be useful for risk assessment in older patients with cardiovascular conditions, as it predicts increased morbidity and mortality in this elderly patient population, including patients undergoing cardiac surgery. Furthermore, frailty may be a clinical marker for overall immune functional decline in older adults, as the FP has been shown to identify those who fail to mount adequate immune responses to influenza and pneumococcal immunizations and are at high risk for these common infections and their complications.

Frailty assessment may provide novel insight into heightened vulnerability and risk stratification of older patients with cancer. Therefore, the frailty syndrome constitutes a critical issue in geriatric oncology. This is because traditional tools, including chronological age and the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status, do not assess physiological reserve and have poor predictive value for poor clinical outcomes in this patient population. Frailty, on the other hand, has been shown to be predictive for postoperative complications among elderly patients with malignant gynecologic tumors. In addition, Aaldriks et al have demonstrated that the presence of frailty is highly predictive for increased mortality in older patients with advanced colorectal cancer receiving chemotherapy. Moreover, recent data have shown that frailty and prefrailty are common in breast cancer survivors and may occur at an earlier age in these survivors than in those with no history of breast cancer. Taken together, these studies suggest that frailty assessment is useful for risk stratification in geriatric oncology patients. Specific cancers may play an important etiologic role in the development of frailty.

Other areas of active research include human immuno-deficiency virus (HIV) infection and aging. In two large-cohort studies of HIV infection, frailty or frailty-related phenotype was shown to have a significant association with mortality and accelerated immune-function deterioration. In addition, recent studies have shown associations between frailty and cognitive decline and dementia. While the key pathophysiologic processes in the frailty syndrome described herein, including chronic inflammation, likely have adverse impacts on the aging brain, terms like “the frail brain” or “cognitive frailty” are controversial, because they may not be easily distinguishable from mild cognitive impairment or dementia. Moreover, it is unclear whether these terms add significant values beyond mild cognitive impairment or dementia.

Potential interventions

Broadly speaking, interventions for the frailty syndrome should aim to 1) prevent, delay, reverse, or reduce the severity of frailty, and 2) prevent or reduce adverse health outcomes in those whose frailty is not reversible. Effective interventional strategies likely have large benefits for elderly individuals, their families, and the whole society.

To date, exercise is the interventional modality that has most consistently shown benefit in treating frailty and its key components. Exercise has physiologic impacts on almost all organ systems, particularly musculoskeletal, endocrine, and immune systems. A large number of trials (albeit validated FP or FI was often not used at baseline or follow-up) have demonstrated the positive impact of exercise intervention on key components of the frailty syndrome, including muscle strength and functional mobility. Nutritional intervention is another nonpharmacological modality that may correct nutritional deficits, including that of micronutrients, and address weight loss of the frailty syndrome. However, evidence supporting its efficacy is currently lacking, and vigorous clinical evaluation is needed.

Effects of a pharmacological approach in the treatment of the frailty syndrome have not been adequately evaluated. Such hormonal therapy as testosterone, while it improves muscle strength, has significant systemic side effects. Estrogen-replacement therapy in postmenopausal women also has an unfavorable safety profile. Friedlander et al reported that IGF-1 therapy had a beneficial impact on bone density, muscle strength, or physical function in elderly women with no clinical IGF-1 deficiency. Currently available
anti-inflammatory agents, while not formally evaluated in clinical trials in treating the frailty syndrome, also have significant adverse effects, particularly in the elderly. While vitamin D and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors have favorable pharmacological and safety profiles, their clinical utility in the prevention and treatment of frailty has yet to be investigated.93

Another important area of interventions is to prevent biological, socioeconomic, and environmental stressors and improve clinical outcomes in elderly patients whose frailty is not reversible. Comprehensive geriatric interdisciplinary assessment and treatment has been demonstrated to improve health outcomes in frail older adults. The overall objectives of this interventional modality are to improve physical and psychological function, reduce hospitalization and iatrogenic adverse events, develop adaptive strategies addressing disability and dependence, improve quality of life, and decrease early mortality in older adults. The interdisciplinary assessment and care team usually consists of a geriatrician, a gerontologically trained nurse, a social worker, a pharmacist, and occupational and physical therapists. Patient assessment includes data collection via detailed medical history, physical examination, and limited ancillary evaluation (laboratory and/or imaging), as well as a thorough discussion and synthesis of relevant psychosocial and medical data and environmental resources, followed by the formulation of treatment goals and management plans developed with the direct participation of the patient and caregivers.

In summary, exercise and comprehensive geriatric interdisciplinary assessment and treatment are the key interventions for the frailty syndrome at the present time. Given the complex nature of this geriatric syndrome, any single agent or approach targeted to one single organ system may not achieve optimal results. Multimodality strategies intervening in potential biological, sociobehavioral, and environmental stressors should be considered for the frail elderly. As understanding of the biologic basis of frailty further improves, more effective interventional strategies that target specific physiologic systems and innovative geriatric care models are likely to be developed.
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