The exclusion of hypochromia from the iron deficiency screen
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Dear editor

When the screening strategy for iron deficiency makes use of mean corpuscular volume (MCV) to the exclusion of mean corpuscular hemoglobin (MCH), as was the case in the recent study by Radia et al1 there is a risk of repeating the mistakes highlighted in a retrospective analysis of the management of anemia, microcytosis, and hypochromia in preoperative subjects in South Australia.2

In David et al’s2 retrospective analysis of data from 943 adults, the overall prevalence of anemia was 25.2%, and the median age of the 238 anemic subjects was 73 years old. Among the 238 anemic subjects, the prevalence of hypochromia (characterized by MCH <27 pg) was 19.3%, and the prevalence of microcytosis (characterized by MCV <80 fl) was 5.9%. Among the 705 non-anemic subjects the prevalence of hypochromia and microcytosis was 3.8% and 1.9%, respectively.2 Had the flowchart for the Rapid Access Anemia Patient pathway depicted in Radia et al’s Figure 31 been followed, none of David et al’s2 patients with hypochromia (who were in the overwhelming majority) would have been further investigated. In that study, regardless of either MCH or MCV status, none of the 238 anemic patients received the benefit of further investigation; notwithstanding the fact that the study by Jolobe had shown that iron deficiency, when characterized by serum ferritin <18 mcg/L, was more commonly associated with hypochromia than with microcytosis, and that in some patients (the majority), hypochromia occurred in the absence of microcytosis and, in others (the minority), the opposite was the case.3

In the scenario where hypochromia and/or microcytosis coexist with a normal serum ferritin level, the hematological response to a diagnostic trial of intravenous iron replacement therapy could be decisive in validating or refuting a provisional diagnosis of iron deficiency anemia with all that it implies in terms of future management, as depicted in Figure 3.1 This is a diagnostic and therapeutic opportunity to be optimized, and this will not happen as long as we continue to perpetuate the notion that iron deficiency is characterized by microcytosis to the total exclusion of hypochromia.4
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Dear editor
We do look at all the full blood count (FBC) indices and a
typical microcytic, hypochromic picture in our South London
population is also more than likely to represent a thalassemia/
hemoglobinopathy patient.

The aim of the Rapid-Access Anemia clinic is to detect
iron deficiency anemia/hematinic deficiency that can be
corrected, and treat the cause – mainly to facilitate surgical
intervention in a timely manner.

In patients who have a normochromic anemia – regardless
of hypochromasia we would check the hematinics (serum
iron, total iron-binding capacity, transferrin saturation,
holo-transcobalamin and folate) and this would also uncover
any significant iron deficiency. In these days of increasing
demand management and decreasing funds we try to follow
a pragmatic approach using a complete and thorough clinical
history as a guideline to investigation.
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