Cytotoxicity of graphene oxide and graphene oxide loaded with doxorubicin on human multiple myeloma cells
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Abstract: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the cytotoxicity of human multiple myeloma cells (RPMI-8226) treated with graphene oxide (GO), doxorubicin (DOX), and GO loaded with DOX (GO/DOX). Cell viability was determined using the Cell Counting Kit-8 assay and analyzing the cell cycle and cell apoptosis. Cells treated with GO, GO/DOX, and pure DOX for 24 hours showed a decrease in proliferation. GO/DOX significantly inhibited cell proliferation compared with pure DOX (P<0.01). When the effects of GO were removed, there was no observed difference between GO/DOX and pure DOX (P>0.05). Flow cytometry analysis of untreated and GO-, DOX-, and GO/DOX-treated cells found no significant differences in the G1/G0 phase (P>0.05), while significant differences were observed in the total apoptotic rates (P<0.05). No significant differences existed in the total apoptotic rates of GO-treated and untreated cells (P>0.05). These findings suggest that GO caused low cytotoxicity and did not induce cell apoptosis or change the cell cycle in multiple myeloma cells. Moreover, GO did not affect the antitumor activity of DOX. In conclusion, GO would be suitable as an antitumor drug nanocarrier and used to treat hematological malignancies.
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Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) is the second most common hematological malignancy and is characterized by an expansion of abnormal plasma cells in the bone marrow and excessive production of monoclonal immunoglobulin, which often leads to pathologic fractures and hematopoietic function failure.¹ The average survival rate of patients with advanced untreated MM is 6 months, while treatment with conventional chemotherapy increases survival to under 3 years. Overall survival remains approximately 30% at 10 years.² Transplantation treatment increases survival rates and may be considered an effective strategy,³ but the prognosis remains poor. MM is still considered an incurable disease,³ and new ways to improve the prognosis of MM patients are urgently needed.

Doxorubicin (DOX) plays an important role in the treatment of MM. It is a highly effective antitumor antibiotic used in human cancer chemotherapy;¹ however, it can cause cardiotoxicity,⁴ hepatotoxicity,⁵ and nephrotoxicity.⁶ To reduce the side effects and improve the efficacy of drugs, research on drug carriers and synthetic nanodrugs has become the focus of modern medical research. Currently, several nanodrugs, such as Caelyx® (Schering-Plough, Kenilworth, NJ, USA) and Doxil® (Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc., Horsham, PA, USA) injections (liposomal DOX), are approved for use...
in clinics. It is expected that nanodrugs will be used to treat various types of diseases.

Graphene oxide (GO) is a novel carbon nanomaterial with tremendous potential in material science given its unique physical, chemical, and mechanical properties. Recent studies have found great popularity for GO as a drug carrier, suggesting that GO may be suitable as a nanodrug carrier. However, risk assessment of GO is severely lacking, and an urgent demand for identifying the potential hazards of GO remains. It was recently found that both graphene and GO cause low toxicity in cells, including macrophages, human stem cells, and normal human lung cells, although a few reports observed toxicity in adenocarcinoma cells (A549 cells) and fibrosarcoma cells (L929 cells). The in vivo pharmacokinetics and biodistribution of GO in mice was also studied. Very little is known about the cytotoxicity of GO and the antitumor effects of GO loaded with an anticancer drug on hematological tumor cells, and very few studies have assessed the cytotoxic potency of GO associated with cell apoptosis.

The objectives of the study were: 1) to investigate the toxic effects of GO on human MM cells (RPMI-8226), 2) to assess the antitumor effects of DOX and GO loaded with DOX (GO/DOX), and 3) to determine whether GO induces apoptosis and changes the cell cycle. Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8), cell cycle, and cell apoptosis were measured.

Materials and methods

Chemicals

GO (Go-L-L-NA) was purchased from Nanoon Nanomaterial and Technology Co, Ltd (Beijing, People’s Republic of China); DOX was obtained from Zhejiang Hisun Pharmaceutical Co, Ltd (Zhejiang, People’s Republic of China); fetal bovine serum (FBS) was purchased from Gibco (Gaithersburg, USA). RPMI-1640 medium, penicillin and streptomycin for cell culture was purchased from Beijing Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biological Technology Co, Ltd (Beijing, People’s Republic of China). Ten microliters of CCK-8 was added to each well, and the absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Spectrafluor; Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). Cell viability was expressed as a percentage of the control cell culture value. A control was performed in parallel to monitor the influence of RPMI-1640 medium on the assays. The cell viability was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Cell viability} = \frac{\text{OD (test)} - \text{OD (blank)}}{\text{OD (control)} - \text{OD (blank)}} \times 100
\]

Flow cytometry analysis of the cell cycle

RPMI-8226 cells were prepared at a concentration of 5.0×10⁶ cells/mL and then seeded at a density of 1.0×10⁷ cells/well in 6-well culture plates, treated with the indicated concentrations of GO, DOX, and GO/DOX (Fourier transform infrared spectrum of GO/DOX confirmed the effective loading of DOX on GO), and cultured for 24 hours at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 1,000×g for 5 minutes at room temperature, washed twice.
with ice-cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and fixed with 70% ethanol at 4°C overnight. The fixed cells were suspended in PBS and further treated with propidium iodide (PI) for 30 minutes at 37°C in the dark. The cells were then centrifuged at 1,000×g, and the number of cells at the different phases of the cell cycle was analyzed using flow cytometry (Cytomic™ FC500; Beckman Coulter, Miami, FL, USA) equipped with the SYSTEM II™ analysis software (Multicycle AV for Windows). In cell-cycle analysis, the RPMI-8226 cells were gated on linear forward scatter and linear side scatter in order to remove fragments or impurities.

**Flow cytometry analysis of cell apoptosis**

RPMI-8226 cells were prepared at a concentration of 6.0×10⁶ cells/mL and then seeded at a density of 1.2×10⁵ cells/well in 6-well cell culture plates, treated with the indicated concentrations of GO, DOX, and GO/DOX, and cultured for 24 hours at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator. Cells were collected by centrifuging at 1,000×g for 5 minutes at room temperature and washing twice with ice-cold PBS. The cell density was calculated and the cells resuspended in 1× annexin-binding buffer to obtain a final density of 1×10⁶ cells/mL. One-hundred microliters of the cell solution was placed into 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes and 5 µL annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and 1 µL PI (100 µg/mL) working solution added. The RPMI-8226 cells were incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes. After incubation, 400 µL of 1× annexin-binding buffer was added, gently mixed, and the samples kept on ice. The DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid) content of the cells was analyzed by flow cytometry (Cytomic™ FC500). All testing was required to be completed within an hour.

**Statistical analysis**

Three replicates of each treatment concentration were performed for each analysis. Values were expressed as mean ± standard deviation of three independent experiments. Comparisons between two groups were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance, with P<0.05 taken as statistically significant.

**Results**

**Effects of GO on cell viability**

RPMI-8226 cells were treated with different concentrations of GO for 24 hours, and the effect of GO on cell viability assessed using the CCK-8 assay. Treated cells showed a GO dose-dependent decrease in cell viability (Figure 1). The control had 100% viable cells. Increasing GO concentration from 10 to 100 mg/L decreased cell viability from 95.6% to 79.6%, respectively. These results suggest that GO caused low cytotoxicity in RPMI-8226 cells.

**Effects of DOX and GO/DOX on MM cell morphology**

Morphological studies were performed to identify the mode of cell death in MM cells. The morphology of untreated,
GO-treated, DOX-treated, and GO/DOX-treated cells was monitored by optical microscope at 24 hours. Untreated cells were round and large, with a bright cytoplasm and good refraction (Figure 2A). GO-treated cells were round with evidence of cell shrinkage and a translucent cytoplasm (Figure 2B). DOX-treated cells exhibited typical apoptotic features, such as membrane blebbing and cell shrinkage (Figure 2C). GO/DOX-treated cells showed significant morphological changes, including cell shrinkage (Figure 2D). These results suggest that DOX and GO/DOX might have induced apoptosis in RPMI-8226 cells but not GO.

Effects of DOX and GO/DOX on cell viability
RPMI-8226 cells were treated with DOX (2 mg/L) and GO/DOX (GO 50 mg/L + DOX 2 mg/L) for 24 hours, and the cell viability effects assessed using the CCK-8 assay. Treated cells showed an obvious decrease in cell viability (Figure 3), with the cell viability of GO/DOX-treated cells significantly (P<0.01) inhibited as compared with that of DOX-treated cells. However, when the effects of GO were excluded, there was no difference between GO/DOX and pure DOX (P>0.05). These results suggest that GO did not influence the antitumor effects of DOX but may have rapidly adsorbed onto DOX via the unique one-atom-thick structure, hydrogen bonding, electrostatic interaction, and π–π stacking interaction.18–21
Cell-cycle analysis

Cell-cycle analysis was performed in GO-, DOX-, and GO/DOX-treated RPMI-8226 cells by flow cytometry. The fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) analyses of untreated cells showed 36% G0/G1 phase population (Figure 4). GO- (GO 50 mg/L), DOX- (DOX 2 mg/L), and GO/DOX- (GO 50 mg/L + DOX 2 mg/L) treated cells at 24 hours showed minimal difference with 30.4%, 30.5%, and 25.3% G0/G1 phase population, respectively (Figures 4 and 5). When compared, the GO/DOX and DOX groups showed no statistically significant differences (P > 0.05). These results clearly indicate that there was no change in the cell population at the G0/G1 phase in GO-, DOX-, and GO/DOX-treated cells. The G0/G1 population was expressed as a percentage of the total number of cells.

Effect of GO on cell apoptosis

The above results suggest that GO caused low cytotoxicity in RPMI-8226 cells, so annexin V-FITC/PI staining by flow cytometry was used to determine the effects of GO on cell apoptosis. RPMI-8226 cells were treated with different concentrations of GO for 24 hours. The treated cells were then collected, washed, and stained with PI and annexin V-FITC. The results showed a cell apoptotic rate of 5.1% in the control, 4.6% in the 10 mg/L GO, 5.0% in the 50 mg/L GO, and 5.1% in the 100 mg/L GO groups (Figure 6), respectively. There were no significant differences in the cell apoptotic rate between the untreated and GO-treated cells. These results indicate that GO might not have induced cell apoptosis.

Effect of DOX and GO/DOX on apoptosis

RPMI-8226 cells were treated with GO 50 mg/L, DOX 2 mg/L, and GO 50 mg/L + DOX 2 mg/L for 24 hours, and cell apoptosis detected with annexin V-FITC/PI staining by flow cytometry. The observed apoptotic rates were 5.1% in untreated, 5.0% in GO-treated (50 mg/L), 13.69% in DOX-treated (2 mg/L), and 14.2% in GO/DOX-treated (GO 50 mg/L + DOX 2 mg/L) cells, respectively (Figures 7 and 8). There was no statistical difference between the untreated and GO-treated cells (P > 0.05), suggesting that the decrease in cell viability caused by GO might not have been attributed to apoptosis. In contrast, there were significant differences between the apoptotic rates of untreated cells and that of DOX- and GO/DOX-treated cells (P < 0.05) but not between that of GO/DOX- and DOX-treated cells (P > 0.05). These results indicate that DOX could have induced cell apoptosis, and GO did not affect the ability of DOX to induce apoptosis.

Discussion

MM is a malignant proliferation of abnormal plasma cells. In recent years, the treatment of MM has improved rapidly.
with the advancement of cytogenetics and molecular biology, prolonging the overall survival rate and improving the prognosis of MM. However, MM remains a difficult-to-control hematologic malignancy.

Nanodrug research has become the focus of modern medicine, as nanodrug carriers can increase the biocompatibility of drugs and reduce their adverse effects. Many nanodrugs have proven clinical success in hematological malignancy, including liposomal DOX, which has been found to significantly decrease cardiotoxicity and increase therapeutic efficacy.\(^9,30\) It is necessary to better understand this new nanodrug carrier.

Inorganic nanomaterials are of particular interest as drug carriers because of their good stability, high yield, and low cost. The new carbon nanomaterial, GO, was successfully prepared in 2004, and several studies have found GO to be a suitable drug carrier.\(^{14,18,31}\) Nevertheless, there have been no reports on the toxic effects of GO and the antitumor effects of GO/DOX on human MM cells.

Figure 5 The effect of GO, DOX, and GO/DOX on the cell cycle of RPMI-8226 cells for 24 hours.

**Note:** Each bar represents the mean ± SD of three independent experiments.

**Abbreviations:** DOX, doxorubicin; GO, graphene oxide; GO/DOX, GO loaded with DOX; SD, standard deviation.

Figure 6 The effect of GO (10, 50, and 100 mg/L; size <100 nm) on apoptosis of RPMI-8226 cells for 24 hours.

**Abbreviation:** GO, graphene oxide.

Figure 7 The effect of GO, DOX, and GO/DOX on apoptosis of RPMI-8226 cells for 24 hours.

**Notes:** Each bar represents the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. \(^*P<0.05\) denotes significant differences between mean values measured in the DOX and GO/DOX group and that in control group.

**Abbreviations:** DOX, doxorubicin; GO, graphene oxide; GO/DOX, GO loaded with DOX; SD, standard deviation.
(RPMI-8226). In the present study, we focused particularly on the cytotoxicity of GO and GO/DOX on MM RPMI-8226 cells.

Our results found that GO treatment resulted in a dose-dependent inhibition of cell growth in RPMI-8226 cells and that GO was not highly toxic to MM cells. This was similar to the results of Chang et al., in which the cell viability of GO-treated A549 cells at lower concentrations was the same as that of the control cells. Their research also found that GO statistically significantly increases intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS). Oxidative stress is a well-recognized toxicological mechanism of various nanoparticles. One study found that GO induced ROS generation in A549 cells, but no obvious toxicity was observed. Another study also found GO induced ROS generation in Hep G2 cells. It can be concluded that the low cytotoxicity of GO may be due to ROS generation, but the precise mechanism is vague.

Flow cytometry analysis of annexin V-FITC staining revealed that GO/DOX and DOX increased the apoptotic populations of RPMI-8226 cells, although there was no significant differences between the GO/DOX- and DOX-treated cells ($P>0.05$). However, GO did not affect the ability of DOX to induce cell apoptosis, and GO did not induce cell apoptosis in RPMI-8226 cells. These results confirmed the apoptotic effects of DOX and show that GO did not induce cell apoptosis or affect the ability of DOX to induce cell apoptosis. There are few studies on the cell apoptosis of GO. Li et al. and Vallabani et al. found that exposure of cells to graphene caused an increase in the proportion of cell apoptosis. Thus, the low cytotoxicity of GO might have been produced by oxidative stress.

In cell-cycle analysis using PI staining, we observed that the cell cycle was not arrested at the $G_0/G_1$ phase after 24 hours of treatment in RPMI-8226 cells. This confirms that GO did not affect the cell cycle of RPMI-8226 cells and, as a result, may be a suitable nanocarrier for transporting anticancer drugs against hematological malignant cells.

In conclusion, we examined the toxic effects of GO using RPMI-8226 cells and found that GO caused low cytotoxicity, could not induce cell apoptosis, and did not affect the antitumor effects of DOX. Therefore, GO has high biocompatibility and can be used efficiently with DOX.

To the best of the authors’ knowledge, no study has been reported thus far elaborating on the effects of GO, DOX, and...
GO/DOX on RPMI-8226 cells. Our findings prove that GO is suitable as a nanocarrier of anticancer drugs, with potentially successful application in hematological malignancy therapy in the future.

Conclusion
In the present study, we used RPMI-8226 cells to assess the effects of GO and GO/DOX on hematological tumor cells. CCK-8 assay data found that GO caused low cytotoxicity in RPMI-8226 cells and that GO/DOX was more cytotoxic than pure DOX at the same concentration. When the cell viability impact of GO was removed, there were no significant differences between GO/DOX- and DOX-treated cells. The main form of cell death with GO/DOX and DOX was cell apoptosis. These results indicate that GO did not decrease antitumor activity of DOX. Furthermore, the study found that GO did not induce apoptosis nor alter the cell cycle in RPMI-8226 cells. These results demonstrate that GO may be a highly biocompatible nanomaterial with practical applications in the therapy of hematological malignancy. Although the clinical significance of our findings remains to be elucidated, the information may contribute to a better understanding of the interactions between MM cells and GO nanomaterials.
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