Reviewing the options for local estrogen treatment of vaginal atrophy
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Background: Vaginal atrophy is a chronic condition with symptoms that include vaginal dryness, pain during sex, itching, irritation, burning, and discharge, as well as various urinary problems. Up to 45% of postmenopausal women may be affected, but it often remains underreported and undertreated. This article aims to review the current recommendations for treatment of vaginal atrophy, and current data on the effectiveness and safety of local vaginal estrogen therapies.

Methods: Literature regarding vaginal atrophy (2007–2012) was retrieved from PubMed and summarized, with emphasis on data related to the treatment of vaginal atrophy with local vaginal estrogen therapy.

Results: Published data support the effectiveness and endometrial safety of low-dose local estrogen therapies. These results further support the general recommendation by the North American Menopause Society that a progestogen is not needed for endometrial protection in patients using low-dose local vaginal estrogen. Benefits of long-term therapy for vaginal atrophy include sustained relief of symptoms as well as physiological improvements (eg, decreased vaginal pH and increased blood flow, epithelial thickness, secretions).

Conclusion: Currently available local vaginal estrogen therapies are well tolerated and effective in relieving symptoms of vaginal atrophy. Recent data support the endometrial safety of low-dose regimens for up to 1 year.

Keywords: menopause, estrogen, local estrogen therapy, vaginal atrophy

Introduction

Before menopause, estrogen stimulates the exfoliation of vaginal cells. As these cells exfoliate and die, they release large amounts of glycogen. This glycogen is hydrolyzed to glucose and lactobacillus converts the glucose to lactic acid, which helps maintain vaginal pH between 3.5 and 4.5. After menopause, with declining levels of estrogen, this pathway is less active, which may allow postmenopausal pH to increase to the range of 5.0–7.5. At these higher pH levels, lactobacillus survival is hindered, and vaginal colonization by other bacterial species predisposes women to symptomatic vaginal infection or urinary tract infection.

Vaginal atrophy is a chronic condition resulting from a decline in estrogen in the urogenital tissues that affects up to 45% of postmenopausal women. Vaginal atrophy presents as thin, pale, and dry vaginal walls; reduced elasticity of vaginal tissue; loss of rugae; and a shortened and narrowed vagina. Symptoms include vaginal dryness, pain during sex, bleeding during intercourse/touching, itching, irritation, burning, and discharge, as well as various urinary problems, including increased frequency, urgency, incontinence, dysuria, and infection. These signs and symptoms can have
an enormous impact on a patient’s well-being, especially in the context of sexual function. Lack of lubrication with sexual intercourse causes dyspareunia, which can lead to avoidance of healthy sexual activity and to further atrophy. A woman with vaginal atrophy may also experience bleeding with minimal trauma, such as during a medical examination or exercise.

The lower urinary tract is also directly affected by decreased estrogen, as estrogen receptors are found throughout the epithelial tissues of the urethra, trigone, and bladder, and in some of the surrounding muscles and ligaments. Thinning of the urinary epithelium and weakening of the surrounding tissue may promote reduced urethral closure pressure, reduced sensory threshold in the bladder, and, in some cases, recurrent urinary tract infection.

Methods

PubMed was initially searched using the terms “vaginal” and “atrophy.” To find recent publications focused on the influence of estrogen in the lower urinary tract that may not have been located in the main search, the terms “urogenital,” “atrophy,” and “estrogen” were used. Relevant literature (restricted to works in English and published after January 1, 2007) was reviewed and summarized, with emphasis on data related to treatment with local vaginal estrogen therapy. In addition, references cited within the relevant literature sources identified in the literature search were reviewed for content related to estrogen therapy and considered for use as original data sources. Recommendations from the American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and the North American Menopause Society (NAMS) were also consulted.

Recommendations for the treatment of vaginal atrophy

According to NAMS, first-line treatment for vaginal atrophy should include nonhormonal vaginal lubricants and moisturizers, as well as continued sexual activity. Regular sexual activity helps maintain active blood flow to the vagina and helps produce vaginal lubrication. Moisturizers, such as Replens (Lil’ Drug Store Products, Inc., Cedar Rapids, IA, USA), are intended for regular use, while lubricants, such as K-Y (McNeil-PPC, Inc., Fort Washington, PA, USA) or Astroglide (BioFilm, Inc., Vista, CA, USA), are intended for use during sexual activity. Replens has been shown to increase vaginal moisture, fluid volume, and elasticity, while decreasing pH.

In addition, Osphena (Shionogi Inc., Florham Park, NJ, USA), an estrogen agonist/antagonist, is an oral treatment for moderate to severe dyspareunia that was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in February 2013.

The Vaginal Health: Insights, Views and Attitudes (VIVA) survey was designed to assess the knowledge of vaginal atrophy in postmenopausal women with the goal of increasing awareness of vaginal atrophy, aiding in increasing physician-patient dialogue, and therefore allowing women to make better-informed treatment decisions. Among the 1,578 respondents who reported experiencing vaginal discomfort, 49% reported trying lubricating gels and creams; 22% reported not using any treatment.

Although over-the-counter treatments may work for women with mild symptoms, they are often inadequate for women with moderate to severe symptoms. If nonhormonal
options are not sufficient, ACOG has also noted that estrogen therapy, whether topical (local) or systemic, is an effective treatment for vaginal dryness or atrophic changes that impede sexual function.\textsuperscript{14}

Although many systemic estrogen hormone products are approved for the prevention of osteoporosis and the treatment of moderate to severe vasomotor symptoms associated with menopause, there is a risk for deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, coronary heart disease, and endometrial and breast cancer.\textsuperscript{15-18} Long-term systemic hormone therapy is no longer recommended if considered solely for the treatment of vaginal atrophy, and therefore local vaginal estrogen therapy is advised.\textsuperscript{1} Low-dose, local vaginal estrogen therapy reduces the risk associated with long-term systemic estrogen exposure, and is effective and well tolerated in most women.\textsuperscript{5}

\textbf{Efficacy and safety of local vaginal estrogen treatment}

Four local vaginal estrogen treatments are available in the United States for the treatment of vaginal atrophy (two vaginal creams, one vaginal ring, and one vaginal tablet). They are well tolerated and considered equally effective by NAMS.\textsuperscript{5} In the VIVA survey, 13\% of respondents who reported experiencing vaginal discomfort reported they had used vaginal hormone creams, 12\% reported they had used vaginal hormone tablets, and 1\% reported having used a vaginal hormone ring.\textsuperscript{13} Because vaginal estrogens fall into the general category of estrogen therapies, package inserts on local vaginal estrogen treatments carry the same warnings as systemic estrogens. The following sections aim to provide a concise review of the most recent and relevant data concerning the efficacy and safety of local vaginal estrogen treatments.

\textbf{Vaginal creams}

Two vaginal creams are available: Premarin\textsuperscript{19} (Wyeth Pharmaceuticals Inc., part of Pfizer, Inc., New York, NY, USA) and Estrace\textsuperscript{20} (Warner Chilcott [US], LLC, Rockaway, NJ, USA). Premarin vaginal cream contains 0.625 mg conjugated equine estrogens (CEE) per gram of cream. Its composition is unique in that it does not solely contain estradiol as the active ingredient. CEE cream contains a mixture of estrogenic compounds, predominantly estrone, equilin, 17α-dihydroequilin, 17α-estradiol, and 17β-dihydroequilin.\textsuperscript{19} Recommended dosing is 0.5–2.0 g once daily for 21 days, followed by 7 days without treatment (repeated in a 28-day cycle) or 0.5 g twice weekly. In a randomized, placebo-controlled study, postmenopausal women with moderate to severe vaginal atrophy who received low-dose CEE cream (0.3 mg CEE, equivalent to 0.5 g Premarin vaginal cream) according to either the cyclic or the twice-weekly regimen exhibited improved VMI, improved vaginal pH, and improved most bothersome symptom scores, including those for dyspareunia, at week 12 of treatment.\textsuperscript{21} After the initial 12 weeks, open-label treatment was continued for 40 weeks, consistent with the patient’s prior regimen. Endometrial hyperplasia or carcinoma did not develop in any patients, based on 155 biopsy samples, and the CEE and placebo groups reported adverse events (AEs) of similar type and frequency. None of the serious AEs were considered related to the study drug.

Estrace\textsuperscript{20} contains 0.1 mg estradiol per gram of cream and recommended dosing is 2–4 g daily for 1–2 weeks, a gradual reduction to half the initial dose over 1–2 weeks, and then 1 g 1–3 times weekly for maintenance. For the initial period of treatment, it is important to adhere to the medication schedule while waiting for a response. No randomized controlled trials have been performed, and no results of observational studies have been published in the past 10 years.

\textbf{Vaginal ring}

The Estring\textsuperscript{22} vaginal ring (Pharmacia and Upjohn Company, Division of Pfizer, Inc., New York, NY, USA) contains a 2 mg estradiol reservoir. When inserted into the upper third of the vagina, the ring releases approximately 7.5 μg per day for 90 days. In an open-label study, women using Estring for 12 months experienced an improvement in qualitative cytological evaluations (generally atrophic at baseline to proliferative [moderate estrogen effect] or highly proliferative [high estrogen effect] at week 48).\textsuperscript{23} Patient-reported symptoms and clinical signs on inspection improved greatly. The primary endpoint—endometrial safety—was assessed at baseline and at week 48 by ultrasound measurement of endometrial thickness and a progestogen challenge test.\textsuperscript{23} In women using Estring, the mean endometrial thickness remained constant, and no women experienced withdrawal bleeding or spotting during the progestogen challenge test. Only two of 126 women had significant endometrial thickening (13.0 and 19.0 mm).\textsuperscript{23} One of these women had a uterine myoma, while the other experienced a rapid reduction in endometrial thickness to 4 mm without bleeding; neither had evidence of proliferation on endometrial biopsy. The low-dose Estring should not be confused with Femring\textsuperscript{24} (Warner Chilcott [US]), a vaginal ring that delivers systemic levels of estrogen replacement. Less than 2\% of women experience ulcers with vaginal rings (2 of 136 women in a 1-year study).\textsuperscript{25}
Vaginal tablet
The Vagifem® vaginal tablet (Novo Nordisk A/S, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) contains 10 µg estradiol. This ultra-low-dose vaginal tablet, introduced in 2010, replaced a 25 µg vaginal tablet that was previously available. As both regulatory authorities and therapeutic experts from menopause societies agree that the minimum effective dose of estrogen should be used for the treatment of vaginal atrophy, the ultra-low-dose (10 µg) vaginal tablets were developed to provide symptom relief while reducing systemic exposure to estrogen. The recommended dosing schedule of Vagifem 10 µg is one tablet daily for 2 weeks, followed by one tablet twice weekly for maintenance, with an annual estrogen exposure of 1.14 mg. The efficacy and safety of this ultra-low-dose regimen for the treatment of vaginal atrophy in postmenopausal women has been established in recent studies. At 12 weeks of treatment, significant improvement in VMI, maturation value, grading of vaginal health, vaginal pH, and most bothersome symptom score was seen. Improvement over baseline was maintained through 52 weeks. One participant (from 164 treated participants completing the study) lacked an evaluable biopsy at baseline and was found to have endometrial adenocarcinoma stage II, grade 2 after approximately 10 months of treatment; de novo development was considered unlikely because of the clinical time frame. To further assess safety, endometrial biopsy was performed at the beginning and end of a 52-week study. No endometrial hyperplasia or endometrial cancer was found. Over the course of the study, endometrial thickness measured by transvaginal ultrasound decreased from 2.04 mm (n=334) to 1.94 mm (n=293), which may reflect the expected decrease in thickness with age, with no interfering endometrial stimulation. None of the serious AEs were considered related to the study drug. A larger pooled analysis (based on 386 evaluable biopsy samples) determined that ultra-low-dose estradiol vaginal tablets did not increase the risk for endometrial hyperplasia or carcinoma in postmenopausal women for 1 year under study conditions.

Lack of need for progesterone
In its 2013 position statement, NAMS stated that the primary indication for progesterone is to reduce the risk of endometrial cancer and should be used in patients who are on systemic estrogen therapy and have an intact uterus. However, progesterone is “generally not indicated when low-dose vaginal estrogen is administered.” Because low doses of vaginally administered estrogen, such as those delivered by a low-dose CEE regimen, the vaginal ring, and the vaginal tablet, have not been associated with increased risk for endometrial hyperplasia, progestogen is not generally indicated when local estrogen products are administered. However, no recommendations have been made regarding the need for progestogen with creams that deliver higher doses of estrogen.

Table 1 Recent clinical trials assessing the safety of local vaginal estrogen therapies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Duration and design</th>
<th>Treatment groups</th>
<th>Safety assessment</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premarin vaginal cream</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>12-week double-blind phase, followed by 40-week open-label phase consistent with previous regimen</td>
<td>Premarin cream (0.3 mg CE) or placebo cream once daily (21 days on/7 days off) or twice weekly (first 12 weeks), then all women taking Premarin cream according to prior regimen</td>
<td>No hyperplasia or carcinoma, as assessed by transvaginal ultrasound and endometrial biopsy</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estring vaginal ring</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>12-month open-label</td>
<td>Estring vaginal ring (7.5 µg estradiol released daily) compared with Vagifem vaginal tablet (25 µg estradiol, which has since been discontinued and replaced by a 10-µg estradiol version) daily for 2 weeks, then twice weekly</td>
<td>No increase in average endometrial thickness No bleeding/spotting in Estring group with PCT</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vagifem vaginal tablet</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>52-week double-blind</td>
<td>Vagifem vaginal tablet (10 µg estradiol) or placebo tablet assigned in 2:1 ratio daily for 2 weeks, then twice weekly</td>
<td>No major safety findings regarding physical, gynecologic, or laboratory assessments No cases of endometrial hyperplasia or endometrial cancer Decrease in mean endometrial thickness</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>336</td>
<td>52-week open-label</td>
<td>Vagifem vaginal tablet (10 µg estradiol) daily for 2 weeks, then twice weekly</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CE, conjugated estrogens; PCT, progestogen challenge test.
of estrogen. Key safety information from recent clinical trials is summarized in Table 1.

**Benefits of long-term therapy for vaginal atrophy**

All of the aforementioned treatments, when used as directed, are well tolerated and effective for relieving symptoms of vaginal atrophy. They provide vaginal estrogen, while minimizing systemic exposure, and result in increased blood flow, increased epithelial thickness, and increased secretions, as well as reduced pH. These physiological improvements represent a reversal of atrophy and lead to a positive clinical outcome for most women.

The urogenital symptoms of estrogen deficiency that present in the postmenopausal years may require long-term therapy, since women have reported the return of symptoms during washout periods between different treatments, even after just a few weeks. Given the comparable efficiency of the low-dose, locally administered estrogen products, NAMS recommends that severity of symptoms, effectiveness and safety of therapy for the individual patient, and patient preference guide the choice of treatment. It is important that patients accept and adhere to their treatment in order to fully realize the benefits. Clinicians can be instrumental in educating patients about vaginal atrophy as a chronic condition; the advantages of low-dose, local vaginal estrogen therapy; and the importance of long-term management.

**Conclusion**

Vaginal atrophy is a common, underreported, and undertreated chronic condition that results from a decrease in estrogen levels during menopause. When over-the-counter treatments fail to relieve symptoms, NAMS recommends low-dose, local vaginal estrogen therapy. A variety of formulations (ie, creams, ring, and tablet) are available, and based on the collective results of many studies conducted over the past two decades, NAMS considers all of these effective and well tolerated.

Because vaginal atrophy is a chronic condition, treatment should be continued for as long as symptoms persist and may need to be continued indefinitely. Long-term studies (up to 1 year) have shown that low-dose, local vaginal estrogen therapy has an excellent safety profile marked by no increased risk for endometrial hyperplasia, which is a common concern with estrogen-alone therapies. Such data should provide reassurance to women with vaginal atrophy who may otherwise hesitate to accept a hormonal form of treatment.

Finally, many women remain untreated because they avoid reporting symptoms of vaginal atrophy to their health care provider. Clinicians may take an active role in encouraging such discussions by asking their postmenopausal patients whether they have experienced any vaginal dryness or discomfort, with or without sexual activity. By initiating the conversation and then following up on any complaints with an examination or a referral, it may become easier to diagnose and appropriately treat postmenopausal women with vaginal atrophy.
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