Effects of fluoxetine on brain-derived neurotrophic factor serum concentration and cognition in patients with vascular dementia
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Background: Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors improve cognition in patients with stroke and increase the expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in the rat hippocampus. However, the effects of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors on cognition and serum BDNF levels in patients with vascular dementia are largely unknown. We performed an open-label study to investigate the effects of fluoxetine, a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, on cognition and serum BDNF levels in patients with vascular dementia.

Methods: Fifty patients with vascular dementia were randomly allocated to receive fluoxetine (20 mg/day; n=25) or no fluoxetine (control group; n=25) for 12 weeks. Both groups received secondary prevention of stroke. Serum BDNF level, Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score, Ten-Point Clock Drawing score, and Digit Span Test and Verbal Fluency Test scores were measured at baseline and at week 12 in the both groups.

Results: The baseline serum BDNF level correlated significantly with the MMSE score. MMSE score, Ten-Point Clock Drawing score, and serum BDNF level increased significantly in the fluoxetine group but not in the control group. The increase in serum BDNF level correlated with the increase in MMSE score in the fluoxetine group.

Conclusion: Fluoxetine may potentially improve cognition in patients with vascular dementia and requires further investigation. BDNF may play an important role in cognitive recovery.
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Introduction

Vascular dementia is a severe stage of vascular cognitive impairment, which is a spectrum of cognitive impairments caused by or associated with vascular factors.1 In the People’s Republic of China, up to 30% of stroke patients develop post-stroke cognitive impairment or delayed dementia after stroke.2 However, no drugs are licensed for the treatment of vascular cognitive impairment, and treatment is limited to controlling known vascular risk factors. Recently, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), which are antidepressants, have been shown to improve motor function and cognition in patients with stroke.3,4 A small retrospective clinical study of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors showed benefits on the Executive Interview (EXIT-25), an executive function test, in patients with vascular cognitive impairment.5 A preclinical study found that antidepressants including SSRIs had direct effects on brain function associated with plasticity, neurogenesis, and neuronal differentiation.6

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) is an important member of the neurotrophin family of growth factors. BDNF is abundant in the brain and periphery, and plays
a key role in regulating survival, growth, and maintenance of neurons. In addition to its involvement in neuronal survival, growth, and differentiation, BDNF plays a crucial role in learning and memory. A recent study showed that older adult heterozygous BDNF knockout mice, which have a chronic 50% lack of BDNF, are deficient for extinction memory. BDNF has been shown to play a large role in reducing neuroplasticity in aged rats. Numerous clinical studies have found that chronic use of antidepressants increases serum BDNF levels in patients with depression. Further, studies have also shown that chronic administration of antidepressants increases the expression of cyclic adenosine monophosphate response element binding protein, an important upstream signaling molecule of BDNF, and neurogenesis in the adult rat hippocampus. In light of the observed beneficial effects of antidepressants, we hypothesized that SSRIs would improve cognitive function and increase serum BDNF levels in patients with vascular dementia.

**Patients and methods**

**Study design and patients**

This prospective, open-label study was designed to detect a clinically meaningful difference in cognition between two groups. Assuming a within-group standard deviation of 2.0 for the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) test and 1.0 for the Ten-Point Clock (TPC) drawing test, we calculated that a sample size of 50 (minimum of 25 treated subjects and 25 controls) would be needed to detect a one-point difference in mean change from baseline on the MMSE test and a 0.5-point difference on the TPC test with a statistical power of 80% at a 5% significance level according to the results of previous trials. Patients with vascular dementia were recruited from Zhongnan Hospital at Wuhan University and Xiangyang Central Hospital from June 2012 to June 2013.

The inclusion criteria were: age 45–80 years; history of stroke and/or neuroimaging (computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging) showing evidence of cerebrovascular disease; cognitive impairment meeting the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) criteria for dementia; cognitive impairment deemed to have a vascular cause (by evidence of sudden onset, stepwise progression, patchy cortical deficits on cognitive testing, other evidence of atherosclerosis, focal neurological findings, and neuroimaging data); and a Hachinski Ischemic Score of more than 7.

Participants were excluded if they met the DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for depressive disorder. Other exclusion criteria included: heart failure, respiratory failure, other organ failure, pregnancy, or a tumor that could put the patient at special risk; a history of neurodegenerative diseases including Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease, multiple system atrophy, and Huntington's disease that could interfere with the efficacy evaluations; inability to perform neuropsychological tests due to severe aphasia; history of alcohol and/or drug abuse; and use of anticholinesterase, anticoagulant, or other drugs that could potentially improve cognition within one year prior to enrollment.

All patients underwent a standardized baseline assessment including a physical and neurological examination. In addition, computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging was performed to validate the diagnosis of vascular dementia. Lues serology (a serologic test for syphilis), vitamin B12, folic acid, and thyroxine levels were examined to exclude other causes of dementia. Patients were interviewed to ascertain whether they met the DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for depression at the 12-week follow-up and, if so, they were excluded.

Allocation of patients to the fluoxetine group or the control group was determined by reference to a statistical series based on a random number table drawn up for each patient at each center by one of the investigators (DS). The investigators were aware of the allocation of participants but the data collectors were not. Data analysis was done by the first author using a deidentified database. Patients with vascular dementia in the fluoxetine group received fluoxetine 20 mg/day and secondary prevention of stroke for 12 weeks, whereas the control group received only secondary prevention of stroke for 12 weeks. Secondary prevention of stroke involves controlling known vascular risk factors. Patients were followed up at weeks 4 and 8 by telephone and at week 12 by a visit to the clinic. Information regarding any adverse reactions was collected at each follow-up visit.

The primary outcome in both groups was cognitive function as assessed by an experienced neuropsychologist using the MMSE and TPC at baseline and week 12. The secondary outcomes in both groups were serum BDNF levels and Digit Span Test and Verbal Fluency Test (VFT) scores at baseline and at week 12. The MMSE was used to assess global cognitive function, and executive function was assessed using the TPC. The Digit Span Test required participants to repeat orally verbally presented series of numbers of increasing length, first forward and then backward. The VFT evaluates the ability to form and fluently utter words compatible with given criteria. The participants were required to list in one minute as many words as possible that belong
Measurement of serum BDNF level
Blood (4 mL) was drawn into serum tubes between 9 am and 11 am and kept for one hour at room temperature followed by one hour at 4°C. Serum samples were then isolated (by centrifugation at 2,000×g at 4°C for 15 minutes) and stored at −80°C until further analysis. BDNF levels were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using a commercially available kit (RayBiotech, Inc., Norcross, GA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, samples were added to 96-well plates coated with anti-BDNF monoclonal antibody, incubated at 37°C for 2 hours, and washed five times with appropriate washing buffer. The plates were incubated with anti-human BDNF polyclonal antibody at room temperature for 2 hours, washed, and incubated with anti-IgY antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase for one hour at room temperature. The plates were then incubated in peroxidase substrate and tetramethylbenzidine solution to produce a color reaction. The reaction was terminated with 1.0 M HCl, and the optical density of the color reaction in the wells was measured at 450 nm using an Emax plate reader to determine BDNF values. All samples and standards were assayed in duplicate. The minimal concentration of BDNF for detection is commonly lower than 80 pg/mL.

Statistical analysis
The data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Baseline characteristics were compared across groups using a two-samples t-test (or the Mann–Whitney U test for data with a non-normal distribution). The sex distribution was compared across the groups using Pearson’s χ² test. Correlations between serum BDNF levels and clinical variables were assessed using bivariate Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficients. Changes in MMSE scores, TPC scores, Digit Span Test scores, VFT scores, and serum BDNF levels were compared between the two groups using the two-samples t-test (or the Mann-Whitney U test for data with a non-normal distribution). The statistical significance of the results was set at P<0.05. All statistical analyses were carried out using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 12 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results
Between June 9, 2012 and June 10, 2013, a total of 50 patients were enrolled in the study. Twenty-five patients were assigned to the fluoxetine group and 25 patients were assigned to the control group. All participants had a history of stroke at least 3 months earlier and attributed to large artery atherosclerosis (14 in the fluoxetine group, 15 in the control group), small artery occlusion (five in the fluoxetine group, six in the control group), cardioembolism (three in the fluoxetine group, two in the control group), or unidentified/other (three in the fluoxetine group, two in the control group). All patients completed their 12-week follow-up (see Flow diagram).

Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of patients in the fluoxetine and control groups. We found no statistically significant differences between the two groups with respect to age (P=0.562), sex (P=0.777), body mass index (P=0.734), years of education (P=0.306), smoking (P=0.771), hypertension (P=0.564), diabetes mellitus (P=0.395), hypercholesterolemia (P=0.571), MMSE score (P=0.490), TPC score (P=0.330), Digit Span Test score (P=0.251), VFT score (P=0.231), or serum BDNF level (P=0.885).

Table 2. There was a significant change in mean serum BDNF levels from baseline to week 12 was −0.360 (P=0.076), body mass index (r=−0.019, P=0.895), or years of education (r=−0.160, P=0.268). Further, in the fluoxetine group, the change in serum BDNF level from baseline to week 12 was significantly correlated with the change in MMSE score (r=−0.413, P=0.04, Figure 3).

Fluoxetine was well tolerated. The main adverse events were dry mouth (four in the fluoxetine group, three controls) and transient gastrointestinal symptoms, including nausea...
(six in the fluoxetine group, seven controls) and diarrhea (three in the fluoxetine group, three controls). No deaths occurred in either group, and no differences between groups in the frequency of any of these events were found. Treatment was not interrupted in any patients with adverse events.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we investigated the effects of fluoxetine on cognition and serum BDNF levels in patients with vascular dementia. Compared with patients receiving only secondary prevention of stroke, patients also receiving fluoxetine showed higher scores in a neuropsychological test assessing global cognitive function and executive function. Previous studies found that fluoxetine improved cognitive impairment after neonatal hypoxic-ischemic brain injury in rats. In addition, a clinical study reported that fluoxetine enhanced memory and cognition in patients with mild cognitive impairment, and sertraline improved executive function in patients with vascular cognitive impairment. Moreover, citalopram improved dexterity in chronic stroke patients, and escitalopram was associated with improved cognitive recovery following stroke compared with placebo and problem-solving therapy.

**Table 1** Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with vascular dementia randomized to the fluoxetine and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Fluoxetine group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female), n</td>
<td>13/12</td>
<td>14/11</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>66.4 (7.7)</td>
<td>67.8 (9.2)</td>
<td>0.562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²), mean (SD)</td>
<td>23.3 (1.8)</td>
<td>23.5 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>8.6 (4.5)</td>
<td>9.4 (3.8)</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>15.1 (3.0)</td>
<td>15.7 (2.6)</td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.7 (1.2)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFT score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>14.7 (4.5)</td>
<td>15.7 (4.2)</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Span score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>4.6 (1.3)</td>
<td>4.9 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDNF (ng/mL), mean</td>
<td>21.2 (3.2)</td>
<td>21.4 (5.8)</td>
<td>0.885</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations**: BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; BMI, body mass index; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; n, number of patients; SD, standard deviation; TPC, Ten-Point Clock Drawing; VFT, Verbal Fluency Test.

**Table 2** Mean change from baseline and week 12 in MMSE score, TPC score, Digit Span Test score, and VFT score, and serum BDNF level in patients with vascular dementia stratified by treatment group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 12</th>
<th>Mean change from baseline to week 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluoxetine mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>16.1 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC</td>
<td>4.5 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit</td>
<td>5.0 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFT</td>
<td>15.0 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDNF (ng/mL)</td>
<td>25.7 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations**: BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; SD, standard deviation; TPC, Ten-Point Clock Drawing; VFT, Verbal Fluency Test.
Figure 2 Correlation between baseline serum BDNF level (across groups) and MMSE score \( (r=0.326, P=0.021) \).
Abbreviations: BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination.

Figure 3 Correlation between change in serum BDNF level and change in MMSE score in the fluoxetine group. Note that “change in MMSE score” is the week 12 score minus the baseline score and that “change in BDNF level” is the week 12 serum BDNF level minus the baseline serum BDNF level \( (r=0.413, P=0.04) \).
Abbreviations: BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination.
Our study is consistent with these previous studies. We found improvement in cognitive function, especially executive function, following treatment with fluoxetine in patients with vascular dementia. Thus, SSRIs may be an alternative treatment for improving cognitive impairment in patients with vascular dementia. Growing evidence suggests that serotonin may have a regulatory effect on memory function in healthy individuals, particularly for restoring impaired cognition due to serotonin dysfunction. For instance, acute tryptophan depletion, which reduces serotonergic neurotransmission, is associated with long-term memory impairment independent of mood or attentional changes.

Cognitive recovery is a complex process that is mediated by multiple mechanisms, including resolution of diaschisis, enhanced regional metabolism, and neuroplastic changes. Increasing evidence also shows that SSRIs produce neuroplastic changes in the hippocampus and cerebral cortex. For instance, fluoxetine increased the proliferation of neuronal precursors in the subgranular zone of the dentate gyrus and restored cortical plasticity in the visual system of adult amblyopic rats, fostering the recovery of visual function. This effect is probably mediated by a decrease in intracortical inhibition and increased expression of BDNF. Further, SSRIs may have improved motor performance, prevented brain atrophy, and delayed mortality in a rat model of Huntington’s disease. Thus, we speculate that the improvement in global cognitive function observed in our study may be partly related to remodeling of the hippocampal circuitry and increased dentate gyrus neurogenesis. However, a small clinical study did not find improved memory in patients with Alzheimer’s disease who were treated with fluoxetine. The utility of SSRIs for cognitive recovery in patients with vascular dementia should be investigated further in future studies.

We also investigated the effect of fluoxetine on serum BDNF levels in patients with vascular dementia and found that fluoxetine significantly increased the level of serum BDNF. In 2002, Karege et al reported that the serum BDNF level was lower in depressed individuals than in healthy controls, and antidepressant treatment increases the serum BDNF levels in patients with major depressive disorder. In a post mortem human brain tissue study, an increase in BDNF expression was reported in subjects treated with fluoxetine, suggesting involvement of BDNF in antidepressant-like effects of fluoxetine, suggesting involvement of BDNF in antidepressant actions. Further, the evidence also shows that blockade of hippocampal neurogenesis diminishes the antidepressant-like effects of BDNF or lowering expression of TrkB in neural progenitor cells of the hippocampus diminished antidepressant efficacy.
in animal models. The findings of these previous studies illustrate how BDNF is influenced by a complex cascade of molecular mechanisms mediated by SSRIs. Thus, upregulation of BDNF may mediate at least part of the neuroprotective effect of SSRIs. Given that fluoxetine administration upregulates BDNF, it is intriguing that the effect of fluoxetine in improving cognitive recovery in patients with vascular dementia may be related to this mechanism.

We also found that a higher serum BDNF level was correlated with a better MMSE score in patients with vascular dementia. Gunstad et al found that higher serum BDNF levels were associated with better neuropsychological function in healthy older adults. In addition, past clinical studies have shown that higher serum BDNF levels are related to better MMSE test performance in patients with early Alzheimer’s disease or vascular dementia. Our findings are consistent with these studies, and suggest an important role for BDNF in learning and memory and in maintaining synaptic plasticity, which is involved in functions such as long-term potentiation. Our findings are also consistent with findings showing that BDNF is affected at different levels in aged rats with global impairment, including reduced transcription, impaired protein synthesis and processing, and decreased activation of TrkB receptors. Further, the increase in serum BDNF level was significantly correlated with the increase in MMSE score, and the upregulation of serum BDNF levels was paralleled by cognitive improvement in patients with vascular dementia in our study. Our findings are in line with the neurotrophin hypothesis, which states that an increase in BDNF level is an attempt to reorganize neuronal connectivity, leading to increased neuronal survival and differentiation. These findings suggest a relationship between expression and secretion of BDNF and improvement in cognition in patients with vascular dementia treated with fluoxetine. BDNF may play an important role in cognitive recovery. Thus, further studies are needed to reach a clear conclusion about the role of BDNF in patients with vascular dementia treated with fluoxetine.

To our knowledge, this is the first study describing the impact of SSRIs on serum BDNF level and MMSE score in patients with vascular dementia. However, we must note that this analysis is limited by the small sample size and open-label drug administration protocol used. Another limitation of the study is that the patients were followed for only 12 weeks, and maintenance therapy was not included. The benefits observed in our study may have been only transient. Larger double-blind, placebo-controlled studies with a longer period of follow-up are needed to confirm these findings.

Conclusion
In our study, we found that fluoxetine was associated with improved cognitive recovery and a significant increase in serum BDNF levels in patients with vascular dementia when compared with matched controls who did not receive fluoxetine. The utility of SSRIs in patients with vascular dementia requires further investigation.
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