Influence of valproate on the required dose of propofol for anesthesia during electroconvulsive therapy of bipolar affective disorder patients
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Background: Propofol is often used as an anesthetic agent for electroconvulsive therapy (ECT). In recent studies, propofol was shown to possess significant seizure-shortening properties during ECT. “Valproate” is a mood stabilizer used mainly in the treatment of bipolar affective disorder. It is reported that valproate, being an anticonvulsant, raises the seizure threshold, thus decreases the efficacy of ECT treatment.

Aim: The purpose of our study was to compare the dose of propofol in valproate-using patients and valproate-free patients.

Methods: In an open design, 17 patients with bipolar affective disorder manic episodes who were to be treated with valproate and ECT in combination, were compared with 16 manic-episode patients who were to be treated with ECT but not valproate. The two groups were compared on the basis of electroencephalography-registered seizure duration and the propofol dosage required to induce anesthesia.

Results: Valproate, compared with no valproate treatment, results in a decrease in the propofol dose required to induce anesthesia. In the valproate group of study participants, seizure duration was significantly shorter than in the valproate-free group.

Conclusion: The results suggest that valproate reduces the dose of propofol required for anesthesia during ECT treatment in patients with bipolar affective disorder manic episodes. Although propofol is a safe and efficacious anesthetic for ECT treatment, lower doses of propofol should be used to induce anesthesia for patients under valproate treatment. When the clinician needs to prolong seizure duration in patients treated with valproate, interruption of the valproate treatment or an anesthetic agent other than propofol should be considered.
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Introduction

Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) is a treatment based on the creation of generalized convulsions through electrical stimulation of the brain and is applied in cases that do not respond sufficiently to psychopharmacological treatment approaches. It has been reported that convulsions lasting less than 15 seconds and seizure activity, monitored on electroencephalography (EEG), of less than 25 seconds duration have inadequate therapeutic efficacy. However, seizure duration alone is not sufficient for the therapeutic effectiveness of ECT.

Today, ECT treatments are performed with anesthesia and muscle relaxants. Most of the anesthetic agents used for general anesthesia have been used in ECT applications. Mostly short-acting intravenous anesthetic agents are used. The impact of different anesthetic agents on seizure duration varies, so it can be assumed that the choice
of anesthetic agent might influence both the main and side effects of ECT.

“Propofol” (2,6-di-isopropylphenol) is a nonbarbiturate-structured anesthetic agent that is metabolized remarkably fast, with 80% transformed into metabolites in 30 minutes. Its metabolites have pharmacological activity. Ninety-eight percent of the products are excreted in the urine, and the remaining 2% in feces. Propofol has been shown to exert significant anticonvulsant activity against pentetrazol- and penicillin G-induced seizures. In recent studies during ECT, propofol has been shown to possess significant seizure-shortening properties.

“Valproate” is a mood stabilizer used mainly in bipolar affective disorder treatment. It is reported that valproate, being an anticonvulsant, raises the seizure threshold, thus decreases the efficacy of ECT treatment. In a review, Sienaert et al suggested that valproate treatment can continue during ECT treatment for epileptic patients. If valproate is used as a mood stabilizer, it is recommended for use after ECT treatment. If it is decided that valproate treatment is to be continued, the dose should be reduced by 40%, and the morning dose should not be taken before ECT. The literature on the use of anesthetic agents with combination ECT and valproate treatment is limited. Sienaert et al reported that in a bipolar affective disorder-diagnosed patient, valproate was added to the treatment protocol during ECT. After changing the anesthetic agent (remifentanil added to a lower dose of methohexital, or etomidate instead of methohexital) due to insufficient seizure duration despite maximum stimulation, sufficient seizure formation was reported despite unchanged valproate dose. Virupaksha et al evaluated 201 consecutive patients who received bilateral ECT. Those on concomitant antiepileptic medication had higher seizure threshold and shorter duration of seizures than those who were not on antiepileptic medication. They found the clinical outcome to be similar at the end of the ECT course with or without concomitant antiepileptic medication, although those with concomitant antiepileptic medication required a greater number of ECT sessions than those without antiepileptic medications.

The aim of the study reported here is to investigate the effect of valproate treatment on the dose of propofol required for anesthesia and seizure duration on ECT.

Methods

This study was performed after approval by the local ethics committee of Uskudar University and informed consent obtained from all patients. The study group was composed of 17 patients with a diagnosis of bipolar affective disorder manic episodes, receiving valproate treatment and ECT in Neuropsychiatry Istanbul Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey between December 2010 and May 2013. The control group consisted of 16 patients with diagnosis of bipolar affective disorder manic episodes who were receiving ECT but not valproate. Prior to the ECT sessions, to determine patients’ plasma levels of valproate, 5mL samples of venous blood were taken. Valproate plasma levels were analyzed with liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry.

All ECT applications were administered through brief-pulse bidirectional square-wave machine, (System IV Thymatron®) ECT device, Somatics, LLC, Lake Bluff, IL, USA). All of the patients received bilateral bi-temporal ECT. For the formation of an effective convulsion, 20–60 joule current was applied. ECT-induced seizure duration was monitored with EEG using a MECTA spECTrum device (MECTA Corporation, Portland, OR, USA). ECT was applied three times a week after 12-hour fasting and psychoactive medications stopped for 12 hours before ECT. Age-based method was used as ECT stimulus dosing protocol. In age-based dosing, the initial dose (in % Energy) is typically one-half age for bilateral placements and full age for right unilateral. The % energy refers to the percent of the ECT device maximum charge, 504 mC at 900 mA current.

SPSS software (version 15.0.1; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. All data are given as mean ± standard deviation and percentage value. In comparing both group means, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test, a nonparametric alternative to a paired sample Student’s t-test, was used. The Wilcoxon test compares data from paired (or matched) samples. In all assessments, 0.05 was used as the level of statistical significance.

Sedation protocol

All patients were administered propofol infusion until the bispectral index (BIS) sensor value reached 60. The BIS sensor probe was placed on the patient’s forehead and connected to the BIS monitor to evaluate the sedation level. For both groups, the dose of propofol was recorded. After the BIS value decreased below 60, 1 mg/kg succinylcholine was administered intravenously to provide muscle relaxation.

Results

The study group comprised 17 patients with whom oral valproate was used during ECT; of these, 52.9% (n=9) were male and 47.1% (n=8) female, with an average age of 32.6 years. The control group consisted of 16 with whom valproate...
was not used during ECT; 56.3% (n=9) of the patients in this group were male and 43.8% (n=7) were female, with an average age of 33.1 years. Sociodemographic data for the groups are summarized in Table 1. The two groups did not differ significantly in terms of age, sex, or body mass index. Concurrent psychotropics during ECT also did not reveal any differences between the two groups that were statistically significant, with 16 patients in the valproate group (typical neuroleptics, n=5; atypical neuroleptics, n=11) and 15 patients in the valproate-free group (typical neuroleptics, n=6; atypical neuroleptics, n=10). Other drugs remained unchanged during the course of treatment. None of the patients were on a benzodiazepine or other mood stabilizer such as lithium or carbamazepine.

In the valproate group, the mean propofol dose used in the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth ECT session was 1.06, 1.15, 1.08, 1.05, and 1.06 mg/kg, respectively. The average plasma valproate level measured before the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth ECT session was 22.4, 26.5, 22.4, 20.0, and 24.5 mcg/mL, respectively. The mean seizure duration was measured as 29.7, 27.4, 24.1, 30.2, and 26.0 seconds for the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth ECT session, respectively, while in the valproate-free control group, the mean propofol dose used in the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth ECT session was 1.50, 1.55, 1.50, 1.60, and 1.63 mg/kg, respectively. The mean seizure duration was measured as 44.5, 35.2, 54.0, 43.5, and 51.7 seconds for the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth ECT session, respectively.

The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare the groups in terms of the mean propofol dose. There was 5% significance for asymptotic significance (two-tailed) between the two groups P=0.05. For the first session of ECT, the difference in mean propofol dose had a level of significance of 0.03. For the second, third, fourth, and fifth ECT sessions, the levels of significant difference in mean propofol dose between the valproate group and valproate-free group were 0.01, 0.03, 0.01 and 0.01, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Valproate group</th>
<th>Valproate-free group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years ± SD</td>
<td>32.6±6.5</td>
<td>33.1±5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration, years ± SD</td>
<td>3.8±1.5</td>
<td>3.9±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9 (52.9)</td>
<td>9 (56.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8 (47.1)</td>
<td>7 (43.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²) (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>22.9±3.13</td>
<td>22.18±3.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation.

In the present study, we found the seizure duration significantly low in the valproate group, when compared with the control group. Although there were no seizures of less than 20 seconds, seizure duration in the valproate group was shorter than in the control group.

**Discussion**

Influence of valproate on propofol during ECT of bipolar patients

In the present study, we found the seizure duration significantly low in the valproate group, when compared with the control group. Although there were no seizures of less than 20 seconds, seizure duration in the valproate group was shorter than in the control group.

ECT seizure duration and propofol doses for both groups are summarized in Table 2.

When the two groups were compared in terms of ECT seizure duration, for the first ECT session there was a significant difference (P=0.031), while for the second session there was no significant difference (P=0.069) between the two groups. For the third, fourth, and fifth sessions, seizure durations were significantly different between the valproate and valproate-free groups.

**Discussion**

In this study, the effect of valproate on propofol dose and seizure duration during ECT was investigated. To the best of our knowledge, there has been no other study investigating the interaction between propofol and valproate during ECT application.

In both the valproate and valproate-free groups, there was no significant difference detected in terms of age, sex, weight, or duration of disease. In anesthetized ECT treatment with propofol, a statistically significant decrease in the dose of propofol required for sedation was detected in the valproate group when compared with the control group. This finding is consistent with the literature.

In an in vitro study, valproate was reported to inhibit cytochrome P450 2C9 which has a role in the metabolism of propofol, while in another study, valproate was reported to inhibit UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A9 enzyme system, which is essential in the metabolism of propofol. This might result in a decrease in the dose required for sedation. In a study performed with epileptic patients, it was reported that valproate-using patients required lower doses of propofol for sedation in dental treatment than those not receiving valproate. Additionally, valproate increases the rate of protein-unbound free propofol in human serum, which results in an increase in sedative effect due to the free propofol.

In terms of pharmacodynamics, both valproate and propofol act on gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptors. The reason for the lower dose of propofol required for sedation in the valproate group compared with the control group in this study may be due to this synergic effect. In a recent study, it was reported that continuing the administration of sodium valproate neither adversely affected nor enhanced cognitive impairment or seizure duration during ECT in patients suffering from manic episodes. In the present study, we found the seizure duration significantly low in the valproate group, when compared with the control group. Although there were no seizures of less than 20 seconds, seizure duration in the valproate group was shorter than in the control group.
Propofol is potentially a strong anticonvulsant and has been reported to shorten the duration of seizures in ECT.\(^2\,22,23\) Propofol has also been reported to block Na\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) channels, nicotinic receptors, the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor, and activate the rectifying outward K\(^+\) currents.\(^24\) In this respect, the mechanisms of propofol’s activity resemble to some extent those of carbamazepine, and this effect may result in propofol’s action on seizure duration. However, studies have reported that the reduction in seizure duration depended on the dose of propofol.\(^25,26\) In a study comparing ECT parameters and response to ECT in patients anesthetized with methohexitone, propofol, or etomidate, the mean seizure duration was found to be lowest for propofol, but the choice of anesthetic did not seem to influence therapeutic response to ECT.\(^5\)

Valproate’s mechanism of action is associated with its ability to suspend recovery from the inactivation of Na\(^+\) channels.\(^27\) In research, combinations of valproate and propofol were found to lead to synergistic interactions.\(^28\) In the present study, although the valproate-free group had higher doses of propofol, seizure duration was found to be higher than in the valproate group. On the other hand, valproate also influences seizure duration.\(^29\) The lower seizure duration in the valproate group was considered related to the drug’s anticonvulsant effect.

The currently available literature indicates that ECT can be safely and effectively administered to patients treated with various anticonvulsants. No serious adverse effects or complications have been reported.\(^32\) However, anticonvulsants may alter seizure expression and seizure duration and, therefore, diminish the therapeutic effectiveness of treatment. Zarate et al reported the case of 65-year-old man with the diagnosis of bipolar affective disorder who was treated with ECT for depression while he was on valproate treatment.\(^30\) With a valproate dose of 1000 mg/day, ECT was not able to induce a seizure in four consecutive trials. When the dose of valproate was reduced to 750 mg/day, there was no further difficulty in the elicitation of seizures.

The American Psychological Association advises against the combination of anticonvulsant agents and ECT, unless anticonvulsants are used for antiepileptic purposes.\(^31\) In contrast, British practice recommendations state that anticonvulsants can be continued during a course of ECT, even if they are used as a mood stabilizer.\(^32\) Valproate can be continued during a course of ECT when it is planned to continue mood-stabilizer treatment with valproate. It might be useful to start valproate before the end of the ECT course to obtain a therapeutic plasma level of the drug. However, administering valproate concurrently with ECT may alter seizure duration and increase the risk of subthreshold seizures and necessity of restimulations, leading to an increased risk of bradycardia and postictal agitation. The combination of ECT and anticonvulsants may be considered in patients for whom prophylaxis with anticonvulsant drugs is planned. In this study, we decided to continue valproate as a mood stabilizer after finishing the ECT course, so valproate doses were tapered off before the ECT course was started and plasma levels were maintained in the 20–30 mcg/mL range.

In clinical practice, the measurement of seizure duration is hypothesized to be a useful surrogate marker of therapeutic effectiveness.\(^33\) In their historical research, Cronholm and Ottosson examined the effect of lidocaine pretreatment in ECT and reported that when seizures were shortened due to lidocaine, the depression-relieving effect of ECT was decreased.\(^34\) In a recent research study, it was reported that seizure durations may have some predictive value, in addition to other markers of EEG morphology.\(^35\) There have also been contrary opinions, suggesting that seizure duration alone should not serve as a marker of the adequacy of treatment – there are complex relations between stimulus dosing and seizure duration, with the possibility that substantially suprathreshold stimulation may result in shorter durations, particularly early in the treatment course.\(^36,37\)

The application of anesthetic for ECT can be tailored to patients. Propofol causes fewer hemodynamic changes than

---

### Table 2 Propofol dose, valproate plasma levels, and seizure durations of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>1st session</th>
<th>2nd session</th>
<th>3rd session</th>
<th>4th session</th>
<th>5th session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Propofol dosage (mg/kg)</td>
<td>Valproate using</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valproate free</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure duration (seconds)</td>
<td>Valproate using</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valproate free</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>51.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic range:</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–100 mcg/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
etomidate or thiopentone, so may be useful in patients with cardiac disease. Further, propofol may be the anesthetic of choice in adolescents and young adults as well as in patients who have prolonged seizure.

**Limitations**

This was a retrospective study without patient randomization to the valproate or valproate-free groups. Since the sample size was small, the capacity of the study to demonstrate the differences between the two groups may have been reduced. Although it is limited by a relatively small sample size, the significance of the results highlights the need for further investigation in a significant clinical debate: the effect of anesthetic agents and valproate on ECT, and the interaction between valproate and the concomitant administration of an anesthetic agent. Although the sample size was small, a constant stimulus dose technique was used during the ECT of a homogeneous group. Only patients diagnosed with bipolar affective disorder manic episodes were included, and results were based on five sessions. The study group was not large but was similar to those of other retrospective studies, and larger than those of previous studies with a crossover design. A well-designed prospective study would largely overcome the small number limitation and could provide more convincing evidence.

In future research, as patients on concomitant antiepileptic medication receive higher electrical charges, it would be important to assess if this is associated with greater cognitive adverse effects. The American Psychological Association task force recommends reducing the dose or stopping the medication completely if a patient is on antiepileptic drugs for mood stabilization. If antiepileptic drugs are for the treatment of epilepsy, then reducing the dose or skipping the morning dose is recommended. Very little empirical evidence is available to support these guidelines. This underscores the need for systematic studies of these aspects.

**Conclusion**

The normal dose of propofol may be excessive for patients receiving oral valproate treatment and may induce complications or delay recovery from anesthesia in ECT. Although the required propofol dose was significantly reduced in ECT-receiving valproate-using patients, the use of another anesthetic agent is recommended to prevent shorter seizure duration.
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