Matched control study of visual outcomes after arteriovenous sheathotomy for branch retinal vein occlusion
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Background: The purpose of this study was to evaluate visual outcomes of arteriovenous sheathotomy for macular edema due to branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO).

Methods: The medical records of 45 eyes from 45 patients who had undergone vitrectomy surgery with arteriovenous sheathotomy for BRVO were studied. Forty-five eyes of 45 patients with a BRVO but without intervention were studied as the control group. The best-corrected visual acuity and central macular thickness were compared between the two groups at baseline and at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively.

Results: Improvement of best-corrected visual acuity was 0.42 logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) units in the sheathotomy group and 0.22 logMAR units in the control group (P=0.007). The mean postoperative central macular thickness was significantly thinner in the sheathotomy group at 1 month (P=0.01), but not at 3, 6, and 12 months (P=0.75, P=0.81, and P=0.46, respectively). Improvement of best-corrected visual acuity at 12 months was significantly correlated with baseline best-corrected visual acuity, age, duration of symptoms, and sheathotomy (P<0.05).

Conclusion: Arteriovenous sheathotomy for BRVO improves best-corrected visual acuity significantly more than the natural course of the BRVO disease process.
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Introduction

A branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO) is a relatively common retinal vascular disorder, and results in a severe and irreversible decrease in best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) due to persistent macular edema.1-3 The BRVO usually occurs at an arteriovenous crossing where an artery and vein share a common adventitial sheath.4 The thickened and rigid arteriosclerotic arterial wall can compress the vein, causing turbulence of blood flow. The turbulence results in injury of the vascular endothelium and secondary thrombosis.5,6 Decompression of the artery at the arteriovenous crossing has been considered to be effective in improving retinal blood flow and resolving the mechanism causing the turbulence.

Osterloh and Charles were the first to report a surgical procedure for decompressing the arteriovenous crossing in 1988.7 Since then, several groups have reported good results after arteriovenous sheathotomy in managing macular edema due to a BRVO.8-15 However, some reports have stated that the effectiveness of arteriovenous sheathotomy is still uncertain.16-22
Considering the mechanism of vein occlusion, arteriovenous sheathotomy would appear to be a rational treatment for BRVO. However, the natural course of BRVO can be relatively good in some eyes, and thus to determine the efficacy of arteriovenous sheathotomy, an appropriate untreated control group must be used. Only three studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of arteriovenous sheathotomy compared with the natural course of BRVO.10,14,22

Thus, the purpose of this study was to determine the efficacy and safety of arteriovenous sheathotomy for macular edema secondary to BRVO. To accomplish this, we compared the visual and anatomical outcomes of arteriovenous sheathotomy with outcomes after the natural course of BRVO in a control group.

Materials and methods
This was a retrospective, consecutive, matched, case control study of eyes undergoing arteriovenous sheathotomy for macular edema secondary to BRVO. The surgeries were performed at the Yokohama City University Medical Center and the Osaka University Hospital between January 2005 and December 2010. All patients received complete information about the natural course of BRVO and advantages and disadvantages of the treatment available and chose the treatment. An informed consent was obtained from all patients who opted to undergo arteriovenous sheathotomy. Patients with BRVO who did not have surgical intervention were followed as controls to determine the natural course of the disease process.

The exclusion criteria were age <45 or >75 years, BCVA >20/40, vein lying over the artery at the arteriovenous crossing, presence of collateral vessels, central macular thickness <350 μm, follow-up period <12 months, prior laser photocoagulation, intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide or anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy, prior ocular surgery except for cataract surgery, moderate or severe cataract that could cause vision decrease, and glaucoma, diabetic retinopathy, or any other disease that could cause vision reduction.

The study protocol was approved by the institutional review committee at Yokohama City University Medical Center and Osaka University Hospital, and the procedures used conformed to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

All patients underwent a standard ophthalmologic examination, including measurements of BCVA with a Landolt chart at 5 m, slit-lamp examination, measurement of intracocular pressure, and dilated indirect slit-lamp biomicroscopy at all visits. The central macular thickness was measured by optical coherence tomography (Cirrus high-definition OCT; Carl Zeiss, Dublin, CA, USA) using the macular thickness map program for the central 1 mm.

The surgery consisted of 25-gauge microincision vitrectomy (CONSTELLATION® Vision System; Alcon Laboratories Inc., Fort Worth, Texas, USA) under local anesthesia. After removal of the posterior hyaloid membrane, the internal limiting membrane was removed at the macular area and at the site of arteriovenous crossing. The sheath over the arteriovenous crossing was incised with a modified 25-gauge microvitreoretinal blade that was bent at the tip. The incision separated the overlying artery from the vein. A complete arteriovenous dissection was confirmed by lifting the artery away from the underlying vein. Combined cataract surgery was performed prior to vitrectomy in 34 cases aged >55 years.

The mean BCVA was compared between the two groups preoperatively and at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively. Multiple regression analyses were used to determine correlations between improvement in BCVA and baseline age, sex, BCVA, central macular thickness, duration of symptoms and signs, and combined sheathotomy and cataract surgery. Intraoperative and postoperative complications were recorded.

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 17 software (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA). The decimal BCVA was converted to the logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR), and significant differences in BCVA between the two groups were determined using the Mann–Whitney U test. The Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to determine the significance of any association between preoperative and postoperative visual acuity and retinal thickness within the groups. An improvement or worsening of BCVA was defined as changes greater or less than 0.2 logMAR units. The ratio of BCVA improvement, worsening, or unchanged was compared between the two groups using the chi-squared test. A P-value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results
The medical records of 90 eyes from 90 patients with a BRVO were studied. Of these 90 eyes, 45 underwent arteriovenous sheathotomy (sheathotomy group) and 45 were followed without surgery (control group). No significant difference except for duration of symptoms was found in patient demographics between the two groups (Table 1). Arteriovenous sheathotomy was accomplished successfully in all eyes using a 25-gauge vitrectomy system. Fluid-air exchange was not performed in any of the eyes. Combined cataract surgery was performed in 34 of the 45 (75.6%) eyes. No serious intraoperative complications, such as retinal detachment or choroidal hemorrhage, occurred except for mild hemorrhage at the arteriovenous crossing site.
Hemorrhage at the arteriovenous crossing site was seen in 11 of the 45 (24.4%) eyes and stopped spontaneously within a few minutes. No vitreous hemorrhage, retinal detachment, endophthalmitis, or iris neovascularization was seen during follow-up period in either group.

In the sheathotomy group, mean preoperative BCVA was 0.63 logMAR units, and improved to 0.41 logMAR units at 1 month, 0.32 logMAR units at 3 months, 0.25 logMAR units at 6 months, and 0.22 logMAR units at 12 months postoperatively (Figure 1). Mean postoperative BCVA was significantly better than preoperative BCVA at all times (P<0.001). In the control group, mean BCVA was 0.62 logMAR units at baseline, 0.52 logMAR units at 1 month, 0.44 logMAR units at 3 months, 0.43 logMAR units at 6 months, and 0.40 logMAR units at 12 months postoperatively (Figure 1). Mean BCVA were significantly better than baseline at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months (P<0.05).

BCVA in the sheathotomy group was significantly better than in the control group at 6 and 12 months postoperatively (P<0.05; Figure 1). Mean improvement in BCVA was 0.42 logMAR units in the sheathotomy group and 0.22 logMAR units in the control group (P=0.007). The ratio of BCVA improvement >0.2 logMAR units, worsening >0.2 logMAR units, or unchanged from baseline to 12 months was significantly different between the sheathotomy and control groups (P=0.004, chi-squared test; Figure 2).

Mean baseline central macular thickness was 570.2 µm in the sheathotomy group and 578.3 µm in the control group (P=0.99). Mean postoperative central macular thickness was significantly decreased from the baseline thickness in both groups at all times (P<0.05; Figure 3). Mean postoperative central macular thickness was significantly narrower in the sheathotomy group than in the control group at 1 month (P=0.01), but not at 3, 6, or 12 months (P=0.75, P=0.81, and P=0.46, respectively).

The results of the multiple regression analyses are shown in Table 2. Improvement in visual acuity was significantly better in eyes with lower baseline BCVA, younger age, and shorter duration of symptoms and signs, and in eyes that had undergone sheathotomy (P<0.05). However, correlations between improvement in visual acuity and sex, central macular thickness, and combined cataract surgery were not significant.

**Discussion**

Our results show that arteriovenous sheathotomy for BRVO improved BCVA significantly at 6 and 12 months after surgery. Although improvement of macular edema and visual acuity can occur spontaneously during the natural course of BRVO, our findings show that arteriovenous sheathotomy...
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led to significantly better BCVA than that observed in the control group without surgery.

Since the first report by Osterloh and Charles, several authors have performed arteriovenous sheathotomy to treat macular edema due to BRVO, although only a few studies compared their results with the natural course of BRVO.8–22

The results of a matched control study comparing the effects of arteriovenous sheathotomy with that in a matched control group of observation alone and laser-treated eyes demonstrated better visual outcomes in an arteriovenous sheathotomy group than in a matched control group.14

However, the sample size of this study was 20 surgical, ten observation only, and ten laser-treated eyes. In another study, eight natural course eyes had better visual outcomes than eight arteriovenous sheathotomy eyes at 12 and 36 months.22 Another study reported better visual outcomes for 43 arteriovenous sheathotomy eyes than in 25 observation only eyes.10 Unfortunately, the follow-up period was only 6 weeks in this study.

We studied 45 surgical and 45 observation only eyes with a 12-month follow-up. Eyes with a vein lying over the artery at the arteriovenous crossing and collateral vessels were excluded, considering the mechanism of BRVO and arteriovenous sheathotomy. In addition, multiple regression

Figure 2 Ratio of changes in BCVA at 12 months. Improvement was defined as an increase of >0.2 logMAR units, while a decrease was defined as a reduction of >0.2 logMAR units. *P<0.05, chi-squared test.

Abbreviations: BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; logMAR, logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution.

Figure 3 Changes in mean central macular thickness determined by optical coherence tomography. *P<0.05, Mann–Whitney U test.

Abbreviation: BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity.
analyses strengthen the evidence of the effect of arteriovenous sheathotomy for BRVO.

There are some treatments for macular edema due to BRVO besides arteriovenous sheathotomy. The Branch Vein Occlusion Study reported on the efficacy of grid laser photocoagulation for macular edema secondary to BRVO, and this treatment has become the standard treatment. Patients treated with laser photocoagulation showed a significant mean improvement of 1.33 Snellen lines compared with 0.23 lines in the control group. Intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide has also been used to treat macular edema in BRVO. However, triamcinolone acetonide is a steroid, and some of the eyes had an increase in intraocular pressure and cataract formation. These agents are now used widely because of their favorable outcomes.

A prospective randomized multicenter trial demonstrated better visual outcomes in eyes after ranibizumab injections than control eyes with sham injections. The mean change in BCVA from the baseline letter score at 12 months was 18.3 in eyes injected with 0.5 mg ranibizumab. In our study, the mean change in BCVA from baseline was 0.42 logMAR units at 12 months. Although the baseline characteristics were different in these studies, the effect of arteriovenous sheathotomy was comparable with that of ranibizumab injection for visual recovery at 12 months. However, the cost-benefit ratio of arteriovenous sheathotomy may be lower than that for ranibizumab injections.

There are limitations to our study. First, patients were not randomly assigned to the treatment groups. Second, combined cataract surgery and internal limiting membrane peeling might affect visual outcomes. However, multiple regression analysis showed that the correlation between visual improvement at 12 months and combined cataract surgery was not significant. Further study is required to exclude the effect of internal limiting membrane peeling. Third, the duration of symptoms was significantly longer in the sheathotomy group than in the control group due to the waiting time before surgery (2.0 ± 1.3 months). Earlier treatment might have resulted in better visual outcomes. We are presently planning a prospective randomized multicenter study to confirm the efficacy of arteriovenous sheathotomy.

In conclusion, arteriovenous sheathotomy results in significantly better visual outcomes compared with the natural course of BRVO. A randomized controlled study comparing arteriovenous sheathotomy, grid laser photocoagulation, and anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy is required to determine which treatment is most efficacious.
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