An integral topical gel for cellulite reduction: results from a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled evaluation of efficacy
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Background: Cellulite is a serious cosmetic concern for most of the 90% of women affected by it.

Objective: To assess the clinical efficacy of a complex integral anti-cellulite gel.

Methods: This double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study involved 44 healthy women, aged 25–55 years. Subjects had a normal to slightly overweight body mass index and presented slight to moderate cellulite on their thighs, buttocks, and/or hips. Subjects were randomly assigned to either the treated or placebo group and accordingly applied either the active product or placebo on their hips, stomach, buttocks, and thighs, twice daily for 3 months. Skin tonicity, orange-peel aspect, and stubborn cellulite were assessed at day 0, 28, 56, and 84. A self-evaluation questionnaire was completed by all volunteers.

Results: At the end of the study, an average of 81% of the subjects applying the active product presented improvement in their cellulite condition versus 32% for the placebo group (all descriptors and sites combined). At day 84, skin tonicity, orange-peel appearance, and stubborn cellulite were improved in a significant manner (P<0.05) over placebo, on all studied areas. Skin tonicity improved on average by +41% for buttocks, +35% for hips, and +31% for thighs. Orange peel appearance was reduced on average by ~25% for buttocks, ~22% for hips, and ~22% for thighs. Stubborn cellulite was reduced on average by ~19% for buttocks, ~24% for hips, and ~22% for thighs. Circumference measurements decreased in a significant manner (P<0.05) over placebo, for the abdomen (average value of ~1.1 cm) and thighs (average value of ~0.8 cm). The product was well tolerated and perceived by the volunteers themselves as better performing than placebo on all criteria.

Conclusion: All results validate the efficacy of the present integral formulation to significantly reduce signs of cellulite and reshape the silhouette.
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Introduction

Cellulite refers to a local alteration of the relief of the skin which acquires an orange-peel, or mattress, appearance. The orange-peel appearance results from the bulging of fat lobules out of their connective frame, into the dermis. The phenomenon is most commonly seen on hips, buttocks, and thighs but can also touch other areas, including the abdomen. Up to 90% of women, over 20 years of age, are affected at various degrees, against only 2% of men.1–3 Cellulite is seen as a normal condition by the medical community, but it is a serious cosmetic concern for most women affected by it.

Although cellulite involves fat cells, it is not a manifestation of obesity, and even young women with a normal body mass index (BMI) may get it.1 However, being overweight aggravates the presence of cellulite. Other risk factors include a predisposing
genetic background, hormonal imbalance, medication that causes water retention, a sedentary lifestyle, prolonged periods of immobility, wearing tight clothes, smoking, excessive alcohol intake, unhealthy eating habits, stress, and being Caucasian. Some disorders have also been associated with cellulite, such as venous insufficiency, kidney problems, metabolic perturbations, and gastrointestinal alterations.

The exact etiology of cellulite is still a matter of debate, but most scientists will agree on the involvement of reduced microcirculation, interstitial liquid infiltration (edema), localized hypertrophy of adipocytes, oxidative stress, and persistent low grade inflammation, combined with extracellular matrix alterations. The extensibility, elasticity, and resilience of the skin are also abnormal. Figure 1 schematizes all these elements. The condition may start with hormone-induced activation of matrix-metalloproteinases (MMPs), which weakens capillary walls and challenges extracellular matrix integrity. As a result, fluid leaks out of vessels, and inflammatory cells are recruited within tissues where they generate inflammation and release additional MMPs. In an effort to heal, the damaged matrix of the septa becomes fibrosclerotic. Meanwhile, hormones may also stimulate the metabolic activity of adipocytes, which increase in volume. Hypertrophic fat lobules tend to exert pressure on the surrounding capillaries, therefore adding to their fragility and hampering circulation.

The process is a reminder of what happens with aging in the upper layers of skin (dermis and epidermis) where changes are associated with MMP activation, altered biomechanical properties, reduced vessel integrity, and inflammation. Indeed, a clinical study conducted by Ortonne et al confirmed that the presence of cellulite precipitates skin aging in women over 30 years of age. Therefore, it may be advisable to address both conditions simultaneously when treating cellulite. The approach described in this paper follows this lead. The test product is an integral gel, simultaneously addressing skin aging and cellulite. The patent-pending formula combines all active cosmetic ingredients listed in Table 1. The final concentration of cosmetic active ingredients in the formulation reaches 25% (weight per weight [w/w]).

The skin anti-aging aspect of the formulation integrates multiple ingredients addressing all major known mechanisms involved in the process. The components, rationale, and efficacy of this anti-aging approach have been described previously elsewhere. For their part, the anti-cellulite ingredients were selected on the basis of their potential complementarities in addressing the cellulite problem on all fronts, according to published literature. They include cosmetic ingredients with well documented anti-cellulite activity, such as caffeine, retinol, forskolin (Coleus forskohlii), sacred lotus (Nelumbo nucifera), carnitine, and escin, among others. For a list of all ingredients present in the formulation and their respective expected action on skin, please refer to Table 1.

Many of the ingredients included in this formulation have proven their anti-cellulite efficacy in published human clinical studies. For instance, caffeine is a known stimulator of adipogenesis, lipogenesis, and adipogenesis, hence its role in promoting the breakdown of fat cells. Retinol, on the other hand, is a potent antioxidant that can inhibit adipogenesis and reduce inflammation, contributing to the overall anti-inflammatory effect of the formulation. Forskolin, a natural compound found in the root of Coleus forskohlii, has been shown to activate protein kinase A, which in turn stimulates lipolysis and reduces the size of fat cells. Carnitine, a compound essential for lipolysis, has been linked to increased fat loss and improved muscle mass. Escin, a triterpenoid compound found in the root of the senna plant, has been shown to reduce edema and inflammation, making it a valuable addition to the formulation.

Figure 1 Major mechanisms involved in cellulite. The exact etiology of cellulite is still a matter of debate but most scientists agree on the involvement of reduced microcirculation, interstitial liquid infiltration (edema), localized hypertrophy of adipocytes, oxidative stress, and persistent low grade inflammation, combined with ECM alterations. Cellulite and skin aging may influence each other. Abbreviation: ECM, extracellular matrix.
of lipolysis, through inhibition of phosphodiesterase and increased adenosine monophosphate levels in adipocytes, and has had its slimming activity clinically confirmed by Lupi et al. As is the case for the present formulation, caffeine may be vectorized with phospholipids to facilitate skin absorption. As was done here, caffeine may also be mixed with other active ingredients for improved performance. Indeed, a mixture of caffeine and N. nucifera extract was shown by Escudier et al to enhance the benefits of a healthy diet for the treatment of cellulite. A synergistic mixture including caffeine, carnitine, forskolin, and retinol was also reported by Roure et al to improve several parameters linked to cellulite. Moreover, a mixture of retinol, caffeine, and ruscogenin was able to reduce the orange-peel appearance and increase microcirculation in a clinical study reported by Bertin et al. Single ingredients, also found in this formulation have documented anti-cellulite activity as well. This is the case for retinol, which by itself, improves skin thickness in patients with cellulite, as demonstrated clinically by Kligman et al, while Piérard-Franchimont et al reported effects on tensile properties of skin, in the context of cellulite. Acting to strengthen capillaries and limit edema when applied topically, escin, derived from horse chestnut, is another ingredient of the current gel that has found application in anti-cellulite formulations.

The aim of the present study was to assess the clinical efficacy of a multi-active integral anti-cellulite gel, in comparison with a vehicle placebo gel, on a panel of human volunteers. Both products were evaluated and compared for their effect on tonicity, orange-peel aspect, stubborn cellulite, and their potential for reduction in circumference of areas affected by cellulite, over a period of 84 days.

Materials and methods

Products

The test product (from Immanence IDC Inc, Québec, QC, Canada) and the placebo (vehicle only) were supplied as gels of similar appearance and texture. Upon receipt by the testing laboratory, the samples were blindly assigned a code, before being stored at ambient humidity and temperature, in their original container. The active formulation contained several cosmetic actives selected on the basis of their potential to address all major mechanisms generally recognized as being involved in the development of cellulite (see Introduction and Table 1 for more details). The total concentration of cosmetic active ingredients in the formulation reached 25% (w/w). The placebo contained the exact formulation as the testing product, only without the active ingredients listed in Table 1, and consisted of a basic gel containing mainly water, jellifying agents, and preservatives.

Subjects

Forty-four healthy women, aged 25–55 years (mean age of 39.8 years), were recruited for this study. Twenty-two subjects (mean age of 39.1 years) were randomly assigned to the active product group, while the other 22 (mean age of 40.2 years) formed the placebo group. All subjects presented slight to moderate cellulite on their thighs, buttocks, and/or hips, at baseline. The subjects had a normal to slightly overweight BMI of between 20.0 and 28.0 kg m² and agreed to maintain their usual diet and level of physical activity throughout the study. People having taken, within 7 days of study start, medication, treatment, or natural products that could affect the outcome of the study, were excluded from the present protocol. Participants were asked to refrain from applying other anti-cellulite treatments, cosmetic products, or moisturizers to the studied areas for the duration of the study. Participants were neither allowed to receive additional massage treatment, nor to use any massage accessory during the whole length of the study. Participants were also instructed not to take medication or health supplements capable of affecting bodyweight for the length of the study.

Study design

The current study No 12F-0201 was a randomized, parallel-group, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, with one group assigned to the active gel and one group assigned to a placebo gel. Neither the participants nor the evaluators were aware of the nature (active or placebo) of the product being individually used. Subjects were instructed to apply the gel (active or placebo) on their hips, stomach, buttocks, and thighs, on a clean and dry skin, and to gently massage, with the palm only, until complete skin penetration. The procedure was repeated twice a day (morning and evening) for a total of 84 consecutive days (12 weeks). Clinical evaluation was performed in a laboratory room under controlled temperature (22°C±3°C) and relative humidity (30%±5%), at day 0 (baseline), day 28 (week 4), day 56 (week 8), and day 84 (week 12). The weight of each volunteer was also recorded at each visit to determine their BMI and assess their compliance with protocol. The clinical data obtained at each time-point were compared with baseline for each group and also between groups in the search for statistically relevant differences. A self-assessment questionnaire was filled in on day 14 (week 2), day 28 (week 4), and day 84 (week 12) to document the subjects’ own subjective
Table 1 Actsives versus proposed actions on skin. List of all active ingredients found in IDC™ (Immanence IDC Inc, Québec, QC, Canada) anti-cellulite gel, with their proposed actions on skin, according to published literature and/or patent documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actives versus proposed actions (with reference number)</th>
<th>Anti-cellulite</th>
<th>Anti-aging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adenosine</td>
<td>29 30 29</td>
<td>31 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alteromonas ferment extract</td>
<td>38 38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>39 39 8</td>
<td>40 41 42 43 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-Bisabolol</td>
<td>45 46 46 47</td>
<td>46 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeine</td>
<td>51 51</td>
<td>51 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caprylic/capric triglyceride</td>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carica papaya extract</td>
<td>54 54</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnitine</td>
<td>56 58 58</td>
<td>59 58 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centella asiatica extract</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chenopodium quinoa seed extract</td>
<td>65 65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleus forskohii extract</td>
<td>18 66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine</td>
<td>69 69</td>
<td>70 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipalmitoyl hydroxyproline</td>
<td>72 73 74</td>
<td>72 75 72 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipeptide-2</td>
<td>76 77 79 78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escin</td>
<td>77 79, 79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbisiminomethylguaiacol-manganese chloride</td>
<td>81 82</td>
<td>83 84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutathione</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerine/glycerol</td>
<td>87 88 87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycyrrhizate (licorice extract)</td>
<td>89 89 90 89</td>
<td>91 92 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedera helix extract</td>
<td>94 94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hesperidin methyl chalcone</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hesperidin methyl chalcone</td>
<td>76 100 101</td>
<td>102 103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hesperidin methyl chalcone</td>
<td>76 100 101</td>
<td>102 103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingredient</td>
<td>Lines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperata cylindrica extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris (iris) florentina extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kigelia africana extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lotus martimus leaf extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lupine protein</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicago sativa seed extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelumbo nucifera leaf extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitoyl oligopeptide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitoyl tetrapeptide-7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentapeptide-25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyglucuronic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumex occidentalis extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruscus aculeatus root extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesame seed oil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium hyaluronate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium salicylate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squalane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEA-hydroiodide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theobroma cacao extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripeptide-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocopheryl acetate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubiquinone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat germ oil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Numbers refer to references supporting the corresponding activity for each ingredient. The anti-cellulite action (in orange) pertains to cellulite mechanisms; the anti-aging action (in black) pertains to aging mechanisms that have been described elsewhere. Cellulite and aging mechanisms partially overlap to affect microcirculation and matrix elements, as well as inflammation and oxidation status in skin.

**Abbreviations:** INCI, International Nomenclature of Cosmetic Ingredients; TEA, triethanolamine.
perception of product efficacy. The full detailed protocol is available from the sponsor of the study (Immanence IDC Inc).

**Study location**
The study took place in Montréal, Canada, from the end of February to the end of May, for a total of 84 consecutive days (12 weeks) following first application of the product. The study was conducted by an independent contract testing laboratory specialized in claim validation for cosmetic products, under the control of a dermatologist. The testing laboratory was responsible for the selection and randomization of all participants, as well as the gathering and statistical analysis of results.

**Clinical assessment**

**Evaluation of skin tonicity**
Skin tonicity was assessed using an analogical scale developed by the testing laboratory responsible for clinical evaluation of the product. For this purpose, a tubular device was designed and filled with layers of foam of increasing density in order to reproduce variations in skin tonicity, on a scale ranging from 1 “minimum firmness” to 7 “maximum firmness” (Figure 2). Grading of tonicity was performed by comparing the resistance of skin versus the resistance of this dedicated foam-like device, when applying a constant pressure with fingers. The repeatability and reproducibility of the procedure was validated by applying analysis of variance. In the present study, grading was performed by the same trained technician, on a precisely localized area of interest on hips, buttocks, and thighs.

**Evaluation of cellulite appearance**
“Orange peel” aspect on relaxed skin and “stubborn cellulite” on pinched skin (thighs and hips) or on contracted buttocks were assessed using an analogical validated scale (from 0 “no intensity” to 8 “maximum intensity”) on hips, buttocks, and thighs (Figure 3). The scale used in the present study was an adaptation of a scale initially developed by Hexel et al.24 Orange peel and stubborn cellulite evaluation were performed by the same trained technician at each visit, in the same room (under controlled conditions of lighting, temperature, and humidity), and on the same body areas of interest for each subject, with the volunteer standing in a standardized upright position (ground references for feet repositioning).

Each measurement site was localized precisely, with the help of a graduated rule and a laser beam to determine the site position with respect to the ground and ensure a correct vertical positioning. For reproducibility, the length of the laser beam was recorded at the first visit, and the same length was used at all subsequent visits. Additionally, a mapping of the skin’s surface features (eg, brown spots and scars) for each measurement site on each volunteer was recorded in order to precisely reposition during subsequent measurements.

**Circumference measurements**
Circumference measurements were obtained using a measuring tape, with the volunteers standing in a standardized upright position. Each measurement site was localized precisely, with the help of a graduated rule and a laser beam to determine the site position with respect to the ground and ensure a correct vertical positioning. For reproducibility, the length of the laser beam was recorded at the first visit, and the same length was used at all subsequent visits. Additionally, a mapping of the skin’s surface features (eg, brown spots and scars) for each measurement site on each volunteer was recorded in order to precisely reposition during subsequent measurements. The circumference of the following sites was measured: abdomen (2–3 cm below the navel), hips/buttocks, and both thighs (in the middle).

**Qualitative survey**
Treatment efficacy was also qualitatively assessed through a survey. The self-evaluation questionnaire was designed to gauge volunteers’ perception of the overall performance of
products (active or placebo). All subjects were requested to fill in a questionnaire pertaining to skin firmness and smoothness on day 14 (week 2), as well as reduction of cellulite, attenuation of “orange skin” appearance, and improvement of skin texture on day 28 (week 4) and day 84 (week 12) of product application. Additionally, volunteers were asked to evaluate the perceived slimming effect after 84 days of twice-daily treatment. For a list of all evaluation criteria, please refer to Table 2.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out on all pertinent parameters. Results obtained at day 28, 56, and 84 for both treatments (test product and placebo) were compared with baseline results (day 0) using the Student’s t-test (paired two-sample t-test for means), allowing the evaluation of the effect of each treatment. Whenever appropriate, results were expressed as the mean of measurements obtained from all volunteers within each group. All relevant anti-cellulite results were noted and analyzed using a hypothesis test (two-sample t-test, assuming equal or unequal variance), allowing the comparison of the mean value of both groups at day 28 (week 4), day 56 (week 8), and day 84 (week 12), in order to determine whether there was any significant difference between the two treatments.

Table 2 Questionnaire and schedule for self-evaluation of product efficacy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schedule</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 2 (day 14)</td>
<td>My skin seems smoother</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>My skin seems firmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4 (day 28)</td>
<td>The texture of my skin has improved (at touch)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>My skin seems firmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The “orange peel” appearance is attenuated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The signs of cellulite are visibly reduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 12 (day 84)</td>
<td>The texture of my skin has improved (at touch)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>My skin seems firmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The “orange peel” appearance is attenuated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The signs of cellulite are visibly reduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>My skin seems more hydrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>My skin silhouette seems reshaped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>My skin silhouette seems svelter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I feel like I have less water retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>My skin looks more radiant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ethics

The standard procedure and associated documents were reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of Evalulab Inc. prior to commencement of the clinical trial. The ethics committee was an independent organization whose members’ responsibility was to ensure the protection of the rights, security, and wellbeing of the volunteers participating in the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to any trial procedure. This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards formulated in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments.

Results

Participants

Of the 44 volunteers initially recruited, 40 completed the study. Two participants from each group (active and placebo) did not complete the study, the reason being unanticipated schedule incompatibilities. The remaining 40 volunteers completed the study without any adverse event and were included in the statistical analysis of the results by original assigned groups.

Efficacy results

Bodyweight evolution

The BMI of all volunteers did not vary significantly throughout the study. For the active product group, the average BMI was 24.8 kg m\(^{-2}\) at day 0 and 28, 24.6 kg m\(^{-2}\) at day 56, and 24.5 kg m\(^{-2}\) at day 84. For the placebo group, the average BMI was 24.5 kg m\(^{-2}\) at day 0, 24.7 kg m\(^{-2}\) at day 28, 24.6 kg m\(^{-2}\) at day 56, and 24.5 kg m\(^{-2}\) at day 84. Therefore, it is considered that all participants adhered to the protocol by maintaining their weight and lifestyle.

Evaluation of skin tonicity

After 84 days of twice-daily treatment the active gel significantly (\(P<0.05\)) improved skin tonicity, over baseline, on all studied areas (Figure 4). When compared with baseline, results reached average values of +9% at day 28, +39% at day 56, and +41% at day 84 on the buttocks. For hips, results gave average values of +10% at day 28, +17% at day 56, and +35% at day 84. For thighs, results were on average +9% at day 28, +17% at day 56, and +31% at day 84. Placebo treatment resulted in limited improvement of skin tonicity (Figure 4).

At day 84, statistical analysis (\(P<0.05\)) on all studied areas demonstrated that the active gel was better performing than placebo at increasing skin tonicity (Figure 4). Also, at the end of the study, a larger number of subjects presented improvement in skin tonicity when applying the active product, compared with placebo (95% versus 55% on thighs, 70% versus 40% on hips, and 70% versus 30% on buttocks) (Table 3).

Evaluation of orange-peel appearance

After 84 days of product use, treatment with the active gel significantly (\(P<0.05\)) reduced the orange-peel appearance...
of the skin (no pinching), over baseline, on all studied areas (Figure 5). When compared with baseline, results obtained for buttocks reached average values of −9% at day 28, −16% at day 56, and −25% at day 84. For thighs, results were on average −5% at day 28, −11% at day 56, and −22% at day 84. For hips, results gave average values of −8% at day 56 and −22% at day 84. Placebo treatment resulted in limited improvement of orange-peel appearance (Figure 5).

At day 84, statistical analysis (P<0.05) on all studied areas demonstrated that treatment with the active gel was better performing at reducing the orange-peel appearance than placebo treatment (Figure 5). Also, at the end of the study, a larger number of subjects presented improvement in orange-peel appearance when applying the active gel, compared with placebo (95% versus 40% on thighs, 65% versus 10% on hips, and 80% versus 20% on buttocks) (Table 3).

### Evaluation of stubborn cellulite appearance

After 84 days of product use, treatment with the active gel significantly (P<0.05) reduced stubborn cellulite (with pinching), over baseline, on all studied areas (Figure 6). When compared with baseline, results obtained for hips gave average values of −6% at day 28, −17% at day 56, and −24% at day 84. For thighs, the average values were −6% at day 28, −15% at day 56, and −22% at day 84. For buttocks, the average values were −9% at day 28, −15% at day 56, and −19% at day 84. Placebo treatment resulted in limited improvement of stubborn cellulite (Figure 6).

At day 84, statistical analysis (P<0.05) on all studied areas demonstrated that treatment with the active gel was better performing at reducing stubborn cellulite than placebo treatment (Figure 6). Also, at the end of the study, a larger number of subjects presented improvement in stubborn cellulite appearance when applying the active gel, compared with placebo (95% versus 40% on thighs, 75% versus 20% on hips, and 85% versus 35% on buttocks) (Table 3).

### Circumference measurements

After 84 days of product use, treatment with the active gel significantly (P<0.05) reduced, over baseline, the circumference

---

**Table 3** Percentage of volunteers with improvement at the end of the study (day 84)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Thighs</th>
<th></th>
<th>Hips</th>
<th></th>
<th>Buttocks</th>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonicity</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange peel</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stubborn cellulite</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *Statistically significant versus baseline (P<0.05); §statistically significant versus placebo (P<0.05).
of all studied areas. When compared with baseline, results obtained for the abdomen gave average values of −0.4 cm at day 28, −0.9 cm at day 56, and −1.1 cm at day 84. For the right thigh, the average values were −0.3 cm at day 28, −0.6 cm at day 56, and −0.8 cm at day 84. For the left thigh, the average values were −0.1 cm at day 28, −0.4 cm at day 56, and −0.8 cm at day 84. For buttocks, the average values were −0.4 cm at day 28, −0.7 cm at day 56, and −0.8 cm at day 84 (Table 4). Placebo treatment resulted in limited improvement of circumference measurements (Table 4).

At day 84, statistical analysis ($P<0.05$) demonstrated that treatment with the active gel was better performing than placebo at reducing the circumference of the abdomen and thigh areas (Table 4). Also, at the end of the study, a larger number of subjects presented a reduction in circumference measurements on all studied areas when applying the active gel, compared with placebo (80% versus 35% on the abdomen, 45% versus 35% on the right thigh, and 70% versus 35% on the left thigh) (results not shown).

**Qualitative survey**

The overall scores for perceived performance of the test product (active gel or placebo) collected from the self-evaluation questionnaires completed by all volunteers are presented in Figure 7.

At day 14 and 28, statistical analysis of the data did not demonstrate any significant difference between the two groups in the perception of treatment efficacy.

At the end of the study (day 84), statistical analysis showed that the active gel performed significantly better than the placebo, on the following parameters (Figure 7).

- Firmness: 85% versus 45% ($P<0.05$)
- Orange peel appearance: 65% versus 25% ($P<0.05$)
- Silhouette seems more svelte: 45% versus 15% ($P<0.05$)

At day 84, results reached near significance on the following parameters (Figure 7).

- Silhouette seems reshaped: 50% versus 20% ($P<0.1$)
- Less water retention: 40% versus 15% ($P<0.1$)
- Signs of cellulite are visibly reduced: 55% versus 30% ($P<0.1$)

No statistical difference was observed for the other parameters.

**Table 4** Means of the evolution (Dx–D0) of circumference measurements (in cm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Day 28</th>
<th>Day 56</th>
<th>Day 84</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen</td>
<td>−0.4*</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
<td>−0.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right thigh</td>
<td>−0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>−0.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left thigh</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>−0.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hips/buttocks</td>
<td>−0.4</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
<td>−0.7*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** *Statistically significant versus baseline ($P<0.05$); †statistically significant versus placebo ($P<0.05$).
Discussion

The present study was rigorously designed on a pharmaceutical model. This was a double-blind, parallel group, randomized, placebo-controlled study. The study establishes the efficacy of the test product (from Immanence IDC Inc) to improve the appearance of cellulite and reduce the circumference of the affected areas. At the end of the study period, statistical analysis on all pertinent parameters clearly demonstrated significant performance superiority for the active product over placebo. The test product was a gel integrating several cosmetic active ingredients (listed in Table 1) selected on the basis of their potential to address all major mechanisms generally recognized as being involved in the development of cellulite (Figure 1 and Table 1), according to published literature and/or patent documents. The formulation also covers all major skin aging mechanisms, since skin aging and cellulite may influence each other, as outlined in the Introduction.

By the end of the clinical trial (day 84), following twice-daily application of the test product, all studied parameters relating to cellulite, including skin tonicity, orange-peel appearance, and stubborn cellulite, were statistically improved over placebo (P<0.05) on all studied areas, ie, buttocks, thighs, and hips. Results obtained for skin tonicity reached average values of +41% for buttocks, +35% for hips, and +31% for thighs. Results obtained for orange-peel appearance (no pinching) reached average values of −25% for buttocks, −22% for hips, and −22% for thighs. Results obtained for stubborn cellulite (with pinching or on contracted buttocks) reached average values of −19% for buttocks, −24% for hips, and −22% for thighs. For the treated group, benefits were already seen on all parameters by day 28, improving constantly over time until the end of the study. The absence of a plateau effect suggests that the full potential for improvement had not been reached within 84 days (12 weeks) of twice-daily application of the test product, and that further amelioration might be seen with longer application periods.

At the end of the study (day 84), an average of 81% of the subjects applying the active gel presented improvement in their cellulite condition versus 32% for the placebo group (all descriptors and sites combined). The slight benefits obtained with the placebo gel are most likely related to a massaging effect upon application of the gel. Massaging is known to impact positively on cellulite appearance possibly by improving microcirculation and drainage in the affected area. In support of that, a combination of mechanical and manual lymphatic drainage has been reported to reduce body measurements in areas with cellulite. However, in the present study, the potential benefits from massaging are expected to be comparable for both groups since the placebo and treatment products contained the exact same gel base, had similar rheological characteristics, and were applied in the same manner.

The effect of the product on the appearance of the silhouette was assessed through circumference measurements of the abdomen, thighs, and hips/buttocks areas. By the end of the study (day 84), a significant reduction in circumference
was observed over placebo (P<0.05) for the abdomen (mean of −1.1 cm) and for both thighs (mean of −0.8 cm). Again, limited benefits were obtained with the placebo gel; we believe this to reflect the contribution of massaging upon application. Importantly, all volunteers maintained a constant BMI throughout the study, attesting that the reduction in circumference and remodeling effects were not due to weight loss but most likely to better fluid drainage of the cellulite-affected areas and possibly also through a reduction in aging symptoms.

Treatment efficacy was also evaluated by the volunteers themselves. As could be expected, there was no difference in efficacy perception between the active product and the placebo group at day 14. Cellulite is a complex condition that cannot improve rapidly. However, slight differences between the two groups started emerging at day 28, and were neatly confirmed at day 84, with better performance for the active product over placebo. This progression in efficacy perception mirrors the progression documented through trained specialist evaluation. At the end of the study, statistically significant difference (Δ%) in terms of criteria appreciation between groups was seen for skin firmness, orange-peel appearance, and reshaped silhouette (Figure 7).

**Conclusion**

All results validate the efficacy of the present integral formulation to significantly reduce the signs of cellulite and reshape the silhouette, but do not provide information on the performance of individual ingredients within it. Cellulite is a complex phenomenon that requires a complex approach, and it is likely that no single ingredient is solely responsible for the benefits reported here. In support of this, synergistic action of anti-cellulite ingredients has been described in the literature previously.\(^{17-19,27,28}\) In fact, a multi-target/multi-component strategy is increasingly seen as the best approach to improve the appearance of cellulite.

Another limitation of the present study comes from the fact that it does not allow evaluating the contribution of anti-aging actives, found in the formulation, to the overall anti-cellulite effects. This could be the subject of future studies. The concept of fighting the appearance of cellulite by including both anti-aging and anti-cellulite actives in one integral formula is an interesting and promising approach that certainly deserves a closer look.

Yet another limitation of the study is linked to the fact that it was stopped before any plateau effect was reached. The maximum efficacy of the gel remains unknown, as well as its sustainability in time. More prolonged studies may be advisable in the future when assessing the effect of anti-cellulite products.

Nevertheless, the clear anti-cellulite beneficial effects of the blend of actives tested here support the use of a combination of ingredients exploiting different mechanisms of action and complementarily working to improve the condition.
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