Profile of ustekinumab and its potential in the treatment of active psoriatic arthritis
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Abstract: Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is a chronic inflammatory arthritis and considered to be a less severe condition than rheumatoid arthritis. PsA patients have been treated for a long time with a number of different agents, from non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs to one or more disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs. In the last decade, recognition of the central role of tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNFα) in the immunopathogenesis of many rheumatic diseases, including PsA, has led to the development of TNFα blockers. In PsA, these agents are uniquely efficacious in the treatment of different patterns of the disease, as well as slowing progression of erosive damage in the peripheral joints. However, a significant number of patients withdraw from therapy because of failure or poor tolerability. Among the novel therapeutic targets, interleukin (IL)-23/IL-12 has been investigated for the treatment of chronic inflammatory disease. In particular, ustekinumab is a human monoclonal antibody that prevents human IL-12 and IL-23 from binding to the IL-12Rβ1 receptor chain of IL-12 (IL-12Rβ1/β2) and IL-23 (IL-12Rβ1/23R) receptor complexes on the surface of natural killer cells and T-cells. Ustekinumab has been approved only for treatment of chronic plaque psoriasis, but also represents an interesting agent for treatment of PsA.
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Introduction
Psoriatic arthritis (PsA) is a chronic inflammatory disease typically characterized by cutaneous (ie, skin and nail disease) and articular/periarticular (peripheral arthritis, axial disease, dactylitis, enthesitis) involvement.¹ Imaging techniques to assess PsA show a combination of destructive changes (joint erosions, tuft resorption, osteolysis) and bone proliferation (periarticular and shaft periostitis, ankylosis, spur formation, nonmarginal syndesmophytes)² with potentially progressive course, requiring an optimal management strategy.³⁴ PsA is considered to be a less severe form of arthritis than rheumatoid arthritis, and has been treated for a long time with a number of different agents, from nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs to one or more disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) to control inflammation and/or prevent damage. The recommended nonbiological DMARDs in PsA are methotrexate (evidence level B), sulfasalazine (evidence level A), leflunomide (evidence level A), and cyclosporine (evidence level B).³ Methotrexate in particular might be considered the nonbiological DMARD of choice for the treatment of PsA, because when the cumulative probabilities of taking the different DMARDs in PsA were analyzed, methotrexate had the best survival rate.⁶ In addition, an observational retrospective study showed that, in real-world clinical practice, methotrexate performed well over 3 years in a group
and the Italian Society for Rheumatology have put forward recommendations for all pharmacological therapies, reporting that new biological agents different from TNFα blockers will emerge over the next few years. In fact, emerging evidence concerning the immunopathogenesis of PsA suggests a role for other therapeutic targets, including interleukin (IL)-1 and IL-6 blockade, B-cell depletion, inhibition of costimulation, and inhibition of receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB (RANK)/receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand (RANKL). Among the novel targets, the IL-23/IL-17 has been investigated as a pathway for the treatment of chronic inflammatory disease.23,24

**IL-23/IL-17 pathway**

Strong association and causality between the T-cell helper (Th1) inducer cytokine, IL-12, and numerous immune-mediated diseases was reported during the mid 1990s and led to the development of therapeutic agents targeting IL-12 function.25 IL-12 was first characterized as a product of Epstein-Barr virus-transformed human B-cell lines.26 IL-12 is a heterodimeric protein formed by two disulfide-linked, glycosylated subunits, ie, a 35 kDa light chain (p35 or IL12α) and a 40 kDa heavy chain (p40 or IL12β), and is secreted by antigen-presenting cells in response to microbial stimulation. The IL-12 receptor (IL-12R) is a heterodimeric complex consisting of IL-12Rβ1 and IL-12Rβ2 chains expressed on the surface of activated T-cells and natural killer cells.27 The IL-12Rβ1 chain binds to the IL-12p40 subunit, whereas IL-12p35 in association with IL-12Rβ2 confers an intracellular signaling ability.28 Signal transduction through IL-12R induces phosphorylation of Janus kinase (Jak2) and tyrosine kinase (Tyk2), that phosphorylate and activate signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)1, STAT3, STAT4, and STAT5. The specific cellular effects of IL-12 are due mainly to activation of STAT4. IL-12 induces natural killer and T-cells to produce cytokines, in particular interferon (IFN)γ, that mediate many of the proinflammatory activities of IL12, including CD4+ T-cell differentiation toward the Th1 phenotype.27

IL-23 is a member of the IL-12 cytokine family, is a heterodimer formed by a subunit or IL-23p19 (molecular weight 19,000 kDa), and is disulfide-linked to an additional distinct subunit or IL-12p40 (molecular weight 40,000 kDa).28,29 Human p19 displays 70% structural homology with mouse p19, and shows homology with the p35 subunit of IL-12, IL-6, and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor.30 IL-23 is mainly secreted by activated macrophages and dendritic cells in peripheral tissue (skin, intestinal mucosa, lung)
suggesting an important role in driving the early local immune response. IL-23 binds to the IL-23 receptor and IL-12Rβ1, but not to IL-12Rβ2. The IL-23 receptor is expressed mostly on activated memory T-cells, natural killer cells, monocytes/macrophages, and dendritic cells, whereas the IL-12Rβ1 chain is expressed on T-cells, natural killer cells, and dendritic cells.

IL-23 uses Jak2 and Tyk2 to phosphorylate and activate STAT3 and STAT4. Phosphorylation of STAT4 is crucial for production of IFNγ and subsequent differentiation of Th1 cells, whereas phosphorylation of STAT3 is essential for the development of Th17 cells. Proliferation of Th17 cells, amplified and stabilized by IL-23, results in production of IL-17. The ability of IL-23 to produce IL-17, a proinflammatory cytokine, is unique in the development and maintenance of autoimmune inflammation, compared with IL-12.

IL-17a is a primary cytokine synthesized by Th17 cells, and has a biological role in innate and adaptive immunity. In fact, IL17a induces macrophages to produce IL-1 and TNFα and synovial fibroblasts secrete IL-6 and IL-8; moreover, IL17a promotes upregulation of RANKL, an inducer of osteoclastogenesis, and depletion of metalloproteinases and proteoglycans, promoting degradation of cartilage. Amplified synthesis of IL-17 has been described in patients with PsA. Activity of Th17 cells is strongly correlated with disease activity and systemic inflammation, in both early and established disease, suggesting a role of Th17 cells in both phases.

The discovery of the IL-23/IL-17 axis has largely dispelled the paradigm that PsA is a Th1-mediated disease. Recognition of the fundamental role of the IL-23/IL-17 axis in the immunopathogenesis of PsA has led to the development of new agents, eg, ustekinumab, that target IL-17a signaling directly or indirectly by blocking IL-23.

**Ustekinumab in the treatment of PsA**

Ustekinumab is a human IgG1 kappa (κ) monoclonal antibody against the IL-12/IL-23 p40 subunit that prevents its interaction with IL-12Rβ1, thereby blocking subsequent signaling. Ustekinumab was generated using human Ig transgenic mice with four distinct genetic modifications that replaced the mouse Ig loci with human antibody transgenes. In vitro, ustekinumab blocks responses mediated by IL-12 and IL-23 equally. Ustekinumab has been investigated in four indications, ie, psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, Crohn’s disease, and multiple sclerosis, but the drug has been approved only for chronic plaque psoriasis in 74 countries all over the world, and for PsA in the US, Europe, and Japan.

Clinical evidence for the use of ustekinumab in psoriasis comes from the pivotal Phase III PHOENIX 1, PHOENIX 2, and ACCEPT studies. In PHOENIX 1, 766 patients in the US, Canada, and Belgium were randomized to receive ustekinumab or placebo for moderate-to-severe psoriasis. In this study, 255 patients received ustekinumab 45 mg at weeks 0 and 4 and then every 12 weeks, 256 patients received ustekinumab 90 mg in the same weeks, and 255 patients received placebo at weeks 0 and 4, with subsequent crossover to ustekinumab at week 12. The primary endpoint was the proportion of patients who achieved a ≥75% improvement in baseline Psoriasis Activity Score Index (PASI) at week 12. After two doses of ustekinumab, 67% and 66% of patients treated with 45 mg and 90 mg, respectively, achieved PASI-75 compared with 3% of placebo-treated patients. A total of 42% and 37% of patients in the ustekinumab 45 mg and 90 mg groups achieved PASI-90 or nearly complete clearance of psoriasis, respectively, compared with 2% of patients in the placebo group. Of those patients that continued on ustekinumab after week 40, 87% and 90% of subjects in the 45 mg and 90 mg groups, respectively, had a sustained PASI-75 response, compared with 64% and 62% of patients who switched to placebo. Further, 66% and 73% of patients achieved PASI-90 after 45 mg and 90 mg of ustekinumab, respectively, and response rates were maintained until week 52 with continued treatment.

In PHOENIX 2, which was conducted in the US and Canada, 1,230 patients with moderate to-severe plaque psoriasis were randomly assigned to receive subcutaneous ustekinumab 45 mg (n=409), ustekinumab 90 mg (n=411), or placebo (n=410) at weeks 0 and 4, and then every 12 weeks until week 52. The primary endpoint was the proportion of patients who achieved a ≥75% improvement in PASI at week 12. At week 12, 67%, and 76% of patients in the 45 mg and 90 mg groups achieved a PASI-75 response, respectively, compared with 4% of placebo-treated patients. In the same week, 42% and 51% of patients in the 45 mg and 90 mg groups achieved a PASI-90 versus 1% of placebo-treated patients. A number of patients who received an additional dose at week 16 maintained a response through to week 28. Moreover, significant improvements in quality of life measures were seen within 4 weeks of treatment in ustekinumab-treated patients compared with placebo patients. Dermatology Life Quality Index scores of 6.0 were observed in both ustekinumab dosage groups, compared with a score of 1.0 in the placebo group. At week 12, 72% and 77% of 45 mg-treated and 90 mg-treated patients had a significant reduction in their Dermatology Life Quality Index score, compared with 21%
of placebo-treated patients. A total of 49%, 53%, and 48% of placebo-treated, 45 mg-treated, and 90 mg-treated patients, respectively, experienced adverse events. In general, these were mild and did not require adjustment of treatment. In all, 2% and 1% of 45 mg-treated and 90 mg-treated patients experienced at least one serious adverse event, compared with 2% of placebo-treated patients.29,30

ACCEPT was a randomized, 12-week, Phase III clinical trial comparing ustekinumab with etanercept. In this study, 903 subjects with moderate-to-severe psoriasis were randomized to receive ustekinumab 45 mg or ustekinumab 90 mg at weeks 0 and 4, or etanercept 50 mg twice weekly. The primary endpoint was PASI-75 at week 12, which was achieved by 74% of the ustekinumab 90 mg arm, 68% of the ustekinumab 45 mg arm, and 57% of the etanercept arm. Ustekinumab (45 mg or 90 mg) was superior to etanercept, and 90 mg of ustekinumab was significantly more efficacious than etanercept (P<0.001). Further, ustekinumab at both doses resulted in a better physician global assessment than in the etanercept group.30

Clinical studies on ustekinumab in PsA included a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover trial30 and the Phase III, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled PSUMMIT 1 trial. The first study30 included 24 sites in North America and Europe, and investigated 146 patients with active PsA. Using a crossover study design, the investigators randomly assigned the patients to receive subcutaneous injections of either ustekinumab 90 mg every week for 4 weeks (weeks 0–3; total dose 360 mg) followed by placebo at weeks 12 and 16 (group 1, 76 patients) or placebo (weeks 0–3) followed by ustekinumab 90 mg at weeks 12 and 16 (total dose 180 mg, group 2, 70 patients). Of these, 31 (21%) had terminated study participation and 24 (16%) had discontinued the study drug by week 36. Masking was maintained up to the infusion at week 16, and patients were followed up to week 36. The primary endpoint was American College of Rheumatology (ACR) 20 response at week 12. At this time point, 32 (42%) patients in group 1 and ten (14%) in group 2 had achieved the primary endpoint (P=0.0002). Peak ACR20, ACR50, and ACR70 responses were found at weeks 16–20 in group 1 patients, and decreased slowly to week 36. Group 2 patients had ACR20 responses similar to those in group 1 at weeks 24 (51%, 28/55), 28 (45%, 24/53), and 36 (42%, 21/50).

Groups 1 and 2 were significantly different with regard to percent improvement from baseline to week 12 in tender joint count (P<0.0001), patient assessment of pain (P=0.0002), global assessment of disease activity by patient and doctor (P<0.0001), and Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ) disability index (P=0.0075). At week 12, 59% (43/73) of group 1 patients and 30% (19/63) group 2 patients achieved a good-to-moderate DAS28 rating (P=0.0009). At week 24, when patients in group 2 had crossed over from placebo to receive two doses of ustekinumab, 60% (33/55) of patients achieved a good-to-moderate DAS28 rating.

At week 12, there was a significantly (P=0.0163) lower proportion of patients with enthesopathy in group 1 (23%) compared with group 2 (42%). Similar proportions of group 1 patients (38%) and group 2 (33%) patients had dactylitis at week 12 (P=0.5429). However, at week 12, patients with dactylitis at baseline showed improved median scores in group 1 versus group 2 (2.0 versus 0.0; P=0.0107). By week 12, adverse events were found in 90 (62%) patients, and discontinuation was necessary in five cases (3%). Infectious complications occurred in a third of patients up to week 12 (36% of group 1 and 30% of group 2). No serious adverse events occurred in group 1 patients up to week 12, whereas three were recorded in group 2 (myocardial infarction in a 35-year-old woman, non-cardiac chest pain, and a hemorrhagic gastric ulcer). Another serious adverse event was reported after week 12 by a group 2 patient (a 40-year-old woman with a hemorrhagic abdominal cyst) who did not receive ustekinumab at weeks 12 and 16. The pattern of adverse events at week 36 was similar to that seen during the initial placebo-controlled, 12-week period. Six additional ustekinumab-treated patients had serious adverse events. A basal cell cancer was reported in an individual who had had two previous basal cell cancers. No deaths, cases of tuberculosis, or opportunistic infections occurred during the study. Over the 36 weeks, 11% (14/124) of patients developed antibodies to ustekinumab, most of which were low titer, but injection site reactions did not appear.30

More recently, a multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase III trial (PSUMMIT1) was undertaken at 104 sites in 14 countries, including North America, Europe, Australia, and New Zealand.41 The study population comprised 615 adults with active PsA. Although 319 (51.9%) patients were not taking methotrexate in association, most (roughly 75%) had received methotrexate previously. Patients were randomly assigned to 45 mg or 90 mg of ustekinumab or placebo at week 0, 4, and every 12 weeks thereafter.

At week 16, patients receiving placebo with less than 5% improvement in both tender and swollen joint counts switched to ustekinumab 45 mg, while patients receiving ustekinumab 45 mg with less than 5% improvement in joint counts were given ustekinumab 90 mg. Patients receiving
Ustekinumab 90 mg continued their masked dose regimen. Patients taking placebo who did not escape early, crossed over to receive ustekinumab 45 mg at week 24, week 28, and every 12 weeks thereafter. Ustekinumab-treated patients received placebo injections at week 20 and week 24 to maintain masking.21 The primary endpoint of SUMMIT I was the proportion of patients with at least an ACR20 response at week 24. A significantly higher proportion of patients in the ustekinumab groups than in the placebo group achieved an ACR20 response at week 24 (P<0.0001). ACR20 responses in the ustekinumab groups differed significantly from those in the placebo group by week 8 (P<0.0001) and improved with time. The highest ACR20 response rates occurred at week 28, and were maintained at week 52. Significant differences were found at week 24 for ACR50 response (26.4% in the combined ustekinumab group; 24.9% for ustekinumab 45 mg; 27.9% for ustekinumab 90 mg; and 8.7% for placebo; P<0.0001 for all placebo comparisons) and for ACR70 response (13.2% for the combined ustekinumab group; 12.2% for ustekinumab 45 mg; 14.2% for 90 mg ustekinumab; and 2.4% for placebo; P<0.0001 for all placebo comparisons). The proportion of patients achieving an ACR50 response (38% of patients on placebo switched to the 45 mg group and 34.2% to the combined ustekinumab group) and an ACR70 response (16.3% on placebo switched to the 45 mg group and 19.6% to the combined ustekinumab group) improved further from week 24 to week 52. Of the patients with dactylitis at baseline, significantly lower proportions in the ustekinumab treatment groups (56.2% in the combined group, 56.6% in the ustekinumab 45 mg group, and 55.8% in the ustekinumab 90 mg group) had digits with dactylitis at week 24 (P=0.0013, P=0.0050, and P=0.0038, respectively) compared with the placebo group (76.1%). Compared with placebo, the ustekinumab groups showed significantly greater improvements in dactylitis scores (P=0.0003) and enthesitis scores (P=0.0019 for the 45 mg group and P<0.0001 for the 90 mg group). Of the patients with spondylitis at baseline, a significantly (P<0.0137) greater proportion in the ustekinumab 90 mg group achieved responses on the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index 50 compared with those on placebo. In patients with at least 3% of body surface area with psoriasis at baseline, at week 24, significantly greater proportions of patients in the ustekinumab groups than in the placebo group achieved PASI-75 (P<0.0001) or at least a 90% improvement in baseline scores on the index (42.5% patients in the ustekinumab groups versus 2.7% in the placebo group, P<0.0001). At week 52, 69.1% of ustekinumab-treated patients achieved PASI-75 and 49.5% achieved PASI-90. Even improvements in HAQ Disability Index scores at week 24 were significantly greater in patients given ustekinumab compared with those on placebo (P<0.0001 for all comparisons). Significantly more ustekinumab-treated patients achieved a clinically meaningful improvement of 0.3 or more in HAQ Disability Index scores than in the placebo group (47.7% versus 28.2%, respectively, P<0.0001). Improvements in physical function were maintained at week 52.

At week 16, the appearance of adverse events was similar in the ustekinumab and placebo groups (41.8% versus 42%, respectively); similar rates of infections and serious adverse events were also described. The most common adverse events in ustekinumab-treated patients were nasopharyngitis (4.6% of patients), upper respiratory tract infections (3.4%) and headache (3.4%). The proportions of patients reporting adverse events and the types of adverse events did not seem to differ according to whether or not methotrexate was being administered concomitantly. Adverse events increased in frequency at week 52, but this did not seem to be dose-related. No opportunistic infections (including tuberculosis), death, or malignancies were noted by week 52. After week 24, cholecystitis was noted in two patients (one in the placebo group who escaped early to 45 mg ustekinumab, and one in the 45 mg group), salpingitis in one patient in the 45 mg group, erysipelas in one patient in the 90 mg group, and a pharyngolaryngeal abscess in one patient in the 90 mg group. No major adverse cardiovascular events appeared by week 16. Between week 16 and week 24, a nonfatal stroke was reported in a 53-year-old former smoker with pre-existing hypertension and hyperlipidemia who had had a previous cerebrovascular event necessitating internal carotid artery stenting. This patient was initially assigned to ustekinumab 45 mg and did not escape early. Between week 24 and week 52, two additional patients (who were both originally given placebo and escaped early to 45 mg ustekinumab) had myocardial infarctions. By week 52, injection site reaction was noted in four (1%) patients in the ustekinumab 45 mg group, five (2.1%) in the ustekinumab 90 mg group, and ten (1.6%) in the placebo group. Injection site reactions did not require discontinuation of the study drug. By week 52, no associated anaphylactic or serum sickness-like reactions were noted.21

Conclusion
Beyond TNFα blockade, the relevant immunopathogenetic role of the IL-23/IL-17 axis has modified the paradigm that PsA is a Th1-mediated disease and stimulated the development of new drugs that interfere with this pathway.
Thus, new biological agents with other mechanisms of action might prove to be the optimal approach for treatment of this intriguing disease. Ustekinumab represents a valid approach beyond anti-TNFα. Whether ustekinumab is able to halt the progression of the disease is still debatable.
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