Olanzapine use in a manic patient during second and third trimester pregnancy

Abstract: Women with bipolar disorder have a high risk for symptom exacerbation during pregnancy and the risk is elevated further when mood stabilizers are discontinued. This report describes a 31-year-old bipolar woman who discontinued medication before pregnancy but had to resume her pharmacotherapy due to manic episodes that recurred during the second trimester. Olanzapine, an atypical antipsychotic, was administered from week 25 of gestation and then replaced with quetiapine in week 35 of gestation. Even though a consensus on clinical interventions for pregnant patients with symptom relapse has not been reached, clinicians should still discuss pregnancy and therapeutic management with every female bipolar patient of childbearing age. This discussion is important because treatment can be managed most effectively in these individuals if pregnancy is planned. Ultimately, clinical decisions should be made on a case-by-case basis, weighing the risks to the mother and fetus between the disorder itself and the teratogenicity of pharmacotherapy.
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Introduction
During pregnancy and the postpartum period, women with psychiatric disorders are at high risk for relapse and symptom exacerbation, and even show de novo emergence of psychiatric disorders. In the case of bipolar disorder, pregnancy has historically been regarded as a protective factor and to improve the clinical course of the illness. However, recent studies have shown that relapse rates for bipolar disorder during pregnancy can reach 50%, casting doubt on the role of pregnancy as a protective factor. A recent prospective study found that 70.7% of patients with bipolar I or II disorder underwent at least one mood destabilizing episode during pregnancy, and the risk of relapse was higher for those who stopped taking their medication. Even with a high risk of recurrence without treatment, only 5.5% of patients receive appropriate pharmacotherapy, which is most likely to be due to incomplete safety data for pregnant patients and the known teratogenicity of agents frequently employed to manage the illness. Herein, we describe a pregnant patient with bipolar disorder and discuss clinical issues regarding her psychopharmacotherapy.

Case report
A 31-year-old woman with a history of four psychiatric hospitalizations (for a depressive episode in 2002 and three manic episodes thereafter) visited our clinic in 2010 and began a course of valproic acid 500 mg/day and olanzapine 5 mg/day. She demonstrated stable progress and was in a euthymic state while discussing pregnancy.
The clinical staff planned to taper out the mood stabilizer slowly, use only quetiapine and clonazepam just prior to pregnancy, and cease all medication by June 1, 2012. After one month, her pregnancy was confirmed.

In September 2012, the patient experienced nausea and vomiting and was admitted to the obstetric department for conservactive care. She then complained of depressed mood, avolition, and loss of appetite in weeks 9–10 of gestation. After some time, the patient developed a manic episode with elated mood, decreased need for sleep, talkativeness, hyperactivity, and distractibility, at which time she was admitted to the inpatient psychiatric department (January 2013).

After hospitalization, the clinical team decided to control the patient’s symptoms by close monitoring without pharmacotherapy. However, due to her persistent irritability and violent behavior, it was decided that overall harm to the fetus from the disorder exceeded that from pharmacotherapy. Electroconvulsive therapy, which the clinical team considered as the first-line treatment, was not carried out due to her family’s refusal. Therefore, a course of olanzapine, which was shown to be effective in previous years, was reinstated at week 25 of gestation with a dose of up to 7.5 mg/day. However, she was discharged early, even with symptom persistence, due to the family’s demands. Despite using a 7.5 mg/day dose of olanzapine, she was readmitted in March 2013 (week 33 of gestation) for persecutory delusions and delusions of infidelity in addition to manic symptoms.

Despite amelioration of the delusions after taking olanzapine up to 20 mg/day, the patient still continued to show irritability and behavioral disturbances. Therefore, during week 35 of gestation, her medication was switched from olanzapine to quetiapine. Her sleep duration lengthened and she showed some improvements in irritability and hyperactivity afterwards with 800 mg/day of quetiapine, but still appeared to be talkative and sometimes temperamental. She was found to have oligohydramnios on follow-up sonogram, and emergency cesarean section was carried out at week 37 of gestation. The patient experienced no postsurgical complications, and the newborn’s Apgar score was 9. The baby was deemed to be healthy by the pediatrician and there were no congenital malformations.

Beginning the day after surgery, the patient was started on valproic acid 1,000 mg/day and quetiapine 800 mg/day and showed improvement in her irritability, loss of impulse control, and distractibility. After agreeing not to breastfeed, the valproic acid was increased to 1,500 mg/day based on blood levels of 44.53 µg/mL while taking 1,000 mg/day. She tolerated the medication even after quetiapine was increased to 1,200 mg/day; she showed stable progress and was discharged on the 59th inpatient day.

Discussion

The present case describes a bipolar patient who ceased pharmacotherapy ahead of pregnancy but had to resume medication in her second trimester due to recurrence of manic episodes. A recent prospective study found a higher risk of recurrence of mood episodes for patients who discontinued mood stabilizers (85.5%), as compared with those still taking mood stabilizers (37%), and the risk of recurrence was even higher for those who discontinued medication abruptly. Other predictors of recurrence of mood episodes following discontinuation of mood stabilizers include a diagnosis of bipolar II disorder, early onset, unplanned pregnancy, more recurrences per year, recent illness, use of antidepressants, and use of anticonvulsants instead of lithium. Some investigators have concluded that biological factors such as younger age, onset of illness at an early age, prenatal mood episodes, and obstetric/somatic complications during pregnancy were associated with childbearing-related episodes.

In this case, the patient had a thorough discussion about pregnancy with her clinician, tapered off her medication slowly ahead of conception, and showed stable progress. However, she developed unexpected depressive and manic episodes at 3 and 5 months’ gestation.

Clinicians must weigh the relative risks of medications administered during pregnancy and the associated risk of relapse if pharmacologic treatment is discontinued. For women who are required or prefer to continue pharmacotherapy during the first trimester, the lowest effective dose of a medication must be used, and agents with the lowest teratogenic potential should be selected.

The US Food and Drug Administration classifies drug use during pregnancy into five categories to address and inform clinicians about the risks of fetal exposure, and this classification is currently being revised. Lithium, a gold standard treatment for bipolar disorder, was classified as a category D medication, as were valproate and carbamazepine. Historically, it was recommended that patients cease lithium during pregnancy because first-trimester exposure yielded greater rates of cardiovascular malformation compared with that in the general population. However, recent studies have shown the teratogenic risk to be lower than originally believed and advise continuation of lithium given the effectiveness of this pharmacotherapy for mood episodes.

Because exposure to valproate 17–30 days after conception is associated with a 5%–9% risk of neural tube defects, it is
generally recommended that valproate be switched to another mood stabilizer before conception and in early pregnancy.

The American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommends continuing pharmacotherapy during pregnancy because severe psychiatric episodes are generally thought to be caused by discontinuation of medication. Some experts consider the risk associated with first-generation antipsychotic agents, which have been available for decades, to be less than the risk associated with selected mood stabilizers. However, in the case of atypical antipsychotics, it is difficult to draw any definitive conclusions due to a paucity of reproductive safety data, so routine use is not recommended during pregnancy.

Olanzapine, which was administered in this case, was not found to be associated with an increased risk of major malformations even when administered at 9–30 times the maximum dosage in animal studies. However, it was found to be associated with low birth weight in a dose-dependent manner. Outcomes from 23 prospectively ascertained olanzapine-exposed pregnancies showed a 13% rate of spontaneous abortion, 5% stillbirth, 0% major malformations, and 5% prematurity, all within the range of normal historic control rates. Another study of 18 pregnancies yielded similar results, suggesting that olanzapine is relatively safe when used in pregnancy.

Quetiapine was not found to be associated with an increased risk of major malformations, but some minor malformations in the upper and lower limbs in animal studies and low birth weight were observed when used in higher dosages. In the case of human exposure, there are a number of case reports of quetiapine-exposed pregnancies that did not produce any malformations, even though there has not been a systematic report developed. In addition, some investigators have reported that quetiapine shows the lowest placental passage when compared with olanzapine, haloperidol, and risperidone.

When reviewing studies and case reports to date, one might discern that typical and atypical antipsychotics have a better safety record when used during pregnancy than conventional mood stabilizers. However, in practice, treatment decisions need to be made by balancing the risks and benefits of various treatment strategies, taking into consideration the needs of the individual patient.

In summary, optimal treatment planning for women with bipolar disorder emphasizes overall care to promote both preconception and prenatal health. Treatment planning is critical for minimizing the risk to the mother and fetus while limiting the morbidity from active psychiatric illness. In order to assist their patients in making the best choices for the health of the mother and fetus, clinicians must remain familiar with the latest productive safety research of any medications used to treat the disorder.
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