Targeted treatment of head and neck squamous-cell carcinoma: potential of lapatinib
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Abstract: Squamous-cell cancer of the head and neck is a heterogeneous malignancy with treatment predicated on a multimodality therapy involving surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation. However, this approach results in durable responses in only a subset of patients, and is associated with significant toxicity. In advanced disease, multi-agent platinum-based chemotherapy produces only modest improvements in survival. Increased insight into tumor biology has demonstrated several critical oncogenic pathways offering prospects for targeted therapy that may improve upon the existing treatment strategies. The epidermal growth factor receptor is one such target, and directed therapy with the monoclonal antibody cetuximab has been extensively studied. Lapatinib is an oral agent that targets multiple transmembrane receptors within the epidermal growth factor receptor family, and offers a promising new approach to treatment. This paper reviews the rationale for and clinical activity of lapatinib in squamous-cell cancer of the head and neck.
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Introduction
Squamous-cell cancer of the head and neck (SCCHN) broadly encompasses malignancies of the oral cavity, pharynx, and larynx that share common risk factors, clinical courses, and treatment paradigms, although increasing data suggest biological heterogeneity. There are geographic influences in incidence and prevalence, with an estimated 53,000 new cases expected to occur in the USA and approximately 11,000 deaths.

Mortality rates have gradually improved with the use of modern protocols employing multimodality treatment predicated on combination chemotherapy and radiation therapy along with surgical intervention in select circumstances. However, this approach relies on platinum-based cytotoxic therapy functioning as a radiation sensitizer. Although the addition of chemotherapy to radiation confers an absolute survival advantage of approximately 8% at 5 years compared with radiotherapy alone, only 40% to 50% of patients achieve a durable remission. Moreover, toxicities associated with platinum agents – including neurotoxicity, ototoxicity, nephrotoxicity, and myelosuppression – can result in treatment-related morbidity and an impact on long-term quality of life. In the relapse or metastatic setting, outcomes are poor, with multi-agent platinum-based regimens associated with a median overall survival (OS) of 8 to 10 months. Thus, novel approaches formulated on the expanding knowledge of SCCHN molecular pathobiology are needed to improve clinical outcomes in locally advanced and metastatic settings, as well as to mitigate treatment-related toxicity.
Advances in the understanding of SCCHN tumor genomics and consequent proteomic aberrations have led to the recognition of a complex interplay between several pathways involved in its oncogenesis. Among the earliest mechanisms discovered to be involved were the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) family of transmembrane tyrosine kinases. EGFR is highly expressed on malignant squamous cells, with incrementally increasing influence on the transition of healthy mucosa to invasive cancer. Several series have also suggested that increased expression predicts adverse clinical outcomes. Further, other members of the EGFR family, including HER2 and HER3, have been similarly implicated in the carcinogenesis of SCCHN. As such, the EGFR family of receptors represents a rational target for therapy, either in isolation or as an adjunct to cytotoxic and radiation therapy.

“Lapatinib” is a small molecule, irreversible inhibitor of EGFR and HER2 that has a potentially promising role in the treatment of SCCHN. The purpose of this paper is to review the scientific basis for and clinical activity of lapatinib as a targeted treatment for SCCHN.

**EGFR signaling in head and neck cancer**

The EGFR family consists of four transmembrane receptors – ErbB1/EGFR, ErbB2/HER2, ErbB3, and ErbB4 – that share structural homology, with each receptor variably possessing a glycosylated extracellular domain, a hydrophobic transmembrane domain, an intracellular juxtamembrane segment, a protein tyrosine kinase domain, and a carboxyterminal tail. Notably, ErbB2 does not have a ligand-binding domain, and ErbB3 lacks protein kinase activity. Several ligands target EGFR, including transforming growth factor alpha (TGF-α) and epidermal growth factor (EGF), with many others having been reported. Ligand binding results in a conformational change allowing receptor homo- or heterodimerization, activating intrinsic tyrosine kinase activity and resulting in the autophosphorylation of a tyrosine residue within the cytoplasmic domain. The subsequent juxtaposition of two active sites and orientation of an activation loop facilitate substrate–receptor interaction, with the C-helix domain coordinating phosphatase activity and phosphate transfer. Consequent signal transduction ensues through several well-defined pathways (Figure 1).

For example, activated EGFR phosphorylates and activates phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K) generating phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-triphosphate (PIP3), which then serves as a cofactor in the recruitment and enzymatic activation of Akt.
Clinical evidence of EGFR inhibition

“Cetuximab” (Erbitux, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Princeton, NJ, USA) is a recombinant chimeric immunoglobulin G1 antibody that binds to the extracellular domain III of EGFR. As a consequence, native ligand binding is not permitted, thus precluding receptor dimerization and subsequent autophosphorylation, effectively dampening the downstream signal transduction of proliferative cytokines.

Cetuximab gained regulatory approval following Phase II data demonstrating efficacy in platinum refractory metastatic SCCHN. A total of 96 patients with advanced SCCHN who had progressed on platinum-based chemotherapy were treated with cetuximab in combination with the same platinum agent at time of progression. A 10% overall response rate (ORR) was observed and a median progression-free survival (PFS) and an OS of 85 and 183 days, respectively, were reported. Another Phase II study demonstrated similar efficacy in setting of progression on platinum-based treatment.

Subsequently, cetuximab was evaluated as part of first-line therapy for metastatic disease. In combination with a platinum agent and 5 fluorouracil, it was noted to confer a survival advantage compared with the same regimen without EGFR inhibition. In the randomized trial of 442 patients, the cohort who received cetuximab-based chemo-immunotherapy had a median OS of 10.1 months compared with 7.4 months in the control arm (P=0.04), and improved PFS from 3.3 months to 5.6 months (P<0.001). Rates of grade 3 and 4 toxicity were not appreciably higher in the cetuximab arm, although there was a significantly higher incidence of sepsis compared with control (9.0 versus [vs] 1.0, P=0.02). Several other Phase II studies have suggested the combination of cetuximab and cytotoxic chemotherapy to be efficacious, although superiority over conventional treatment was not conclusive.

“Panitumumab,” a fully humanized anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody, has also been investigated in advanced SCCHN. A total of 657 patients were randomized to panitumumab or placebo in combination with a platinum agent and 5 fluorouracil. There was an improvement in PFS from 4.6 to 5.8 months (P=0.003), although no OS benefit was detected when the entire study population as a whole was analyzed. However, in the subset of patients who were human papilloma virus (HPV) negative, an OS benefit was observed (11.7 vs 8.6 months, P=0.00115), whereas there was no difference in the HPV-positive cohort. Taken together, clinical experience with cetuximab and panitumumab suggests inhibition of EGFR signaling is synergistic with conventional cytotoxic therapy and improves clinical outcomes.

Lapatinib

Lapatinib (Tykerb, GlaxoSmithKline, Brentford, England) is a quinazoline-class small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor that can reversibly bind with high affinity to the adenosine-triphosphate catalytic binding sites of both EGFR and HER2. While a crystal structure demonstrating lapatinib bound to EGFR and ErbB2 is unavailable, it is clear that on its binding to the intracytoplasmic domain of EGFR, autophosphorylation of tyrosine is prevented. Conduction of the down-signaling cascade is aborted, as cell lines treated with lapatinib have demonstrated decreased levels of phosphorylated levels of Akt and ERK1/2. Subsequently, the proliferative signals are terminated, resulting in growth arrest and apoptosis.

Lapatinib is available only as an oral formula, achieving peak serum concentration in approximately 3 to 4 hours; has a 14-hour half-life on once-daily dosing; and demonstrates a wide volume of distribution. Metabolism is primarily hepatic through CYP3A4 and subsequent fecal elimination, with less than 2% of the drug recovered in the urine.

Preclinical experiments have demonstrated that EGFR and HER2 can be potently inhibited in both SCCHN cell lines and in xenograft mouse models. The additional inhibition of ErbB2/HER2 may circumvent a resistance mechanism observed in EGFR-directed therapy, involving signal transduction through related receptors. A pilot study of lapatinib in humans with advanced solid malignancies corroborated decreased detection of phosphorylated ErbB2, Akt, and ERK1/2 with response or stable disease, prompting further clinical investigation in prospective trials.

Interestingly, lapatinib has been found to exert effects through alternative pathways as well, as emerging research has demonstrated several off-target effects. One study has suggested lapatinib-mediated upregulation of tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand receptors, subsequently potentiating cell death in the presence of recombinant antibody agonists of the tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand receptors. Another study has suggested that lapatinib may activate nuclear factor-kappa B in malignant breast-cancer cells, potentially making them susceptible to proteasome inhibitors such as bortezomib. To date, however, the non-EGFR-based action of lapatinib has not been described in SCCHN, and clinical activity appears to be predicated on the EGFR pathway.

Clinical evidence for lapatinib

Lapatinib has been observed to have efficacy in several other tumor types including breast cancer and patient small
subset of gastric cancers, malignancies that are associated with HER2 overexpression. Smaller pilot studies have also demonstrated efficacy in gallbladder cancer, endometrial cancer, and salivary-gland cancer, although evidence is less robust. Based on the preclinical data noted here and the drug’s efficacy noted in other cancers, further clinical investigation in SCCHN was undertaken.

**Monotherapy**

Lapatinib was initially investigated in a Phase I trial involving 67 patients with advanced staged refractory solid tumors, five of whom had SCCHN. Eligible patients were enrolled if their tumor demonstrated expression of ErbB1 by immunohistochemistry and/or overexpression of ErbB2 by immunohistochemistry or fluorescent in situ hybridization. Six dosing cohorts – 500, 650, 900, 1,000, 1,200, and 1,600 mg daily – were investigated. At the conclusion of follow-up, 66% of patients were found to have experienced an adverse event (AE), the most frequent of which were diarrhea (42%) and rash (31%). The majority of the toxicity was mild, with 96% of reported AEs being grade 1 or 2. Subsequently, the maximal tolerated dose was determined to be 1,600 mg per day. Notably, three of the five patients with SCCHN demonstrated stable disease.

Two subsequent Phase II studies evaluated the efficacy of lapatinib as monotherapy in advanced SCCHN. The first examined 45 previously treated patients who had either relapsed after radiation-based treatment or progressed after one to two systemic treatments. Patients were enrolled in two cohorts, based on whether they had had prior exposure to EGFR-directed therapy (cohort A) or not (cohort B) and treated with lapatinib at 1,500 mg daily until disease progression or intolerability secondary to AEs occurred. In an intention-to-treat analysis, no complete or partial responses were observed in either group, and stable disease was noted in 17% of patients in cohort A and 41% in cohort B. Median DFS was 52 days among all patients, with no difference noted based on prior EGFR-directed therapy; median OS was 155 and 288 days for cohorts A and B, respectively. The study had a biological correlate as well, with tissue sampled pre- and post-treatment. Remarkably, while phosphorylated ErbB2 was significantly decreased following lapatinib treatment compared with pre-treatment assessment \( (P = 0.0048) \), this was not observed with EGFR, ERK, or Akt. This suggests receptor blockade through HER2 inhibition but continued signal transduction through EGFR or alternative pathways.

A second study investigated the role of lapatinib as induction therapy, prior to definitive chemoradiation (CRT). In that study, 107 treatment-naive patients were randomized in a 2:1 fashion to receive either lapatinib \( (n = 71) \) or placebo \( (n = 36) \) 2–6 weeks prior to CRT. The primary endpoint was apoptotic index, calculated as a ratio of apoptotic cells pre- and post-lapatinib exposure. Secondary endpoints included proliferation index, ORRs, assessment of AEs, and biological correlates. On average, patients were on either lapatinib or placebo for 25 days prior to the start of CRT.

No statistically significant difference was observed in the apoptotic index in patients who received lapatinib compared with those who received placebo \( (P = 0.394) \), although a more pronounced reduction in the proliferative index was observed \( (P = 0.030) \). Prior to CRT, a 17% ORR was observed in the lapatinib cohort compared with 0% in the placebo. Further, following completion of CRT, a higher ORR was observed in those receiving lapatinib (70% vs 53%), despite the placebo cohort having had a higher prevalence of tumors positive for HPV. The authors concluded that despite the remarkable change in apoptotic index, lapatinib demonstrated clinical activity, particularly in HPV-negative patients.

**Combination radiotherapy**

Given the observed efficacy and acceptable toxicity for lapatinib as monotherapy for relapsed disease, its use in the frontline setting with radiotherapy for locally advanced disease was investigated. In an initial Phase I study, three cohorts with escalating doses of lapatinib – seven patients treated with 500 mg daily, seven patients treated with 1,000 mg daily, and 17 patients treated with 1,500 mg daily – were combined with cisplatin \( (100 \, mg/m^2) \) and radiation therapy for Stage IVA SCCHN. No dose-limiting toxicities were observed, and a dose of 1,500 mg was selected for the Phase II study. A 64% ORR was observed in the 1,500 mg cohort.

The subsequent Phase II study randomized patients with Stage III to IVB SCCHN to cisplatin-based CRT, with or without concurrent lapatinib 1,500 mg daily, followed by maintenance lapatinib or placebo. A total of 67 patients were randomized – 34 to the lapatinib arm and 33 to the placebo. The primary endpoint of complete response rate trended toward significance in the lapatinib cohort compared with the placebo (53% vs 36%, \( P = 0.093 \)) on independent assessment; however, complete response rate was found to be significant on investigator assessment (50% vs 24%, \( P = 0.009 \)). With respect to clinical outcomes, the PFS was observed to be 35.3 vs 12.1 months, which resulted in a hazard ratio of 0.74 \( (P = 0.184) \); the corresponding hazard ratio for OS was 0.9 \( (P = 0.382) \). Notably, there was a significant improvement in PFS in the HPV-negative cohort in favor of lapatinib (median PFS not
reached vs 10.3 months). The authors identified this population as a subset that may warrant further investigation, given the otherwise poor outcomes observed with CRT alone.

The efficacy of lapatinib in the HPV-negative cohort – similarly observed when lapatinib was used as induction therapy prior to CRT – is of particular interest. Over the past three decades, there has been an epidemiological shift, with the majority of SCCHNs found to be related to HPV infection rather than to tobacco or alcohol use, risk factors traditionally implicated in carcinogenesis.6,6 Landmark analyses have observed significantly better outcomes with CRT in patients with HPV-positive tumors,6,6 prompting studies investigating de-intensification of therapy in this favorable-risk subset. Subsequently, while declining in prevalence, HPV-negative SCCHN still accounts for a significant proportion of all patients and represents a higher-risk cohort. Thus, the observed benefit of lapatinib in this population may result in an important treatment advance.

Active trials

Three trials involving lapatinib in SCCHN are currently active and recruiting patients. The first is a Phase II study investigating use of lapatinib in combination with cisplatin-based CRT in HPV-negative SCCHN.65 The second is a Phase II study examining the use of lapatinib in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel as induction therapy prior to transoral surgery and risk-adapted adjuvant therapy.66 The final trial is a Phase II study assessing PFS with combination lapatinib and capecitabine in patients with recurrent or metastatic disease.67 Several other studies have completed accrual and are pending maturation of data.

Conclusion

SCCHN is a heterogeneous malignancy with evolving treatment paradigms increasingly incorporating targeted therapy. Within this context, preclinical data lends support to EGFR inhibition as a rational approach to increase response rates and improve outcomes. The clinical data currently available suggest a marginal benefit with the use of lapatinib, either as monotherapy or in combination with platinum-based CRT therapy. However, recognition of increased response in distinct biological subsets, such as HPV-negative tumors that have an otherwise poor prognosis, may allow enriching of future trials with appropriately selected patients to detect those who will derive the greatest clinical benefit. Finally, combination therapy with other small-molecule inhibition of critical proteins on separate pathways may potentiate the efficacy of lapatinib.
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