Stress and the inflammatory process: a major cause of pancreatic cell death in type 2 diabetes
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Abstract: Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a complex metabolic disorder characterized by hyperglycemia in the context of insulin resistance, which precedes insulin deficiency as a result of β-cell failure. Accumulating evidence indicates that β-cell loss in T2D results as a response to the combination of oxidative stress and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress. Failure of the ER’s adaptive capacity and further activation of the unfolded protein response may trigger macroautophagy (hereafter referred as autophagy) as a process of self-protection and inflammation. Many studies have shown that inflammation plays a very important role in the pathogenesis of T2D. Inflammatory mechanisms and cytokine production activated by stress via the inflammasome may further alter the normal structure of β-cells by inducing pancreatic islet cell apoptosis. Thus, the combination of oxidative and ER stress, together with autophagy insufficiency and inflammation, may contribute to β-cell death or dysfunction in T2D. Therapeutic approaches aimed at ameliorating stress and inflammation may therefore prove to be promising targets for the development of new diabetes treatment methods. Here, we discuss different mechanisms involved in stress and inflammation, and the role of antioxidants, endogenous and chemical chaperones, and autophagic pathways, which may shift the tendency from ER stress and apoptosis toward cell survival. Strategies targeting cell survival can be essential for relieving ER stress and reestablishing homeostasis, which may diminish inflammation and prevent pancreatic β-cell death associated with T2D.
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Introduction
Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is characterized by hyperglycemia in the context of insulin resistance and β-cell dysfunction. Over time, islet β-cell function compensates for the insulin resistance existing in peripheral tissues, resulting in defects in insulin secretion that impair the regulation of blood glucose levels. Moreover, postmortem studies on β-cell loss in T2D have concluded that there is a marked reduction in β-cell mass, which is probably due to an increase in apoptosis rather than a decrease in β-cell replication. In addition to the increased β-cell workload in response to the abnormally high demand induced by insulin resistance, several factors likely play a role in this process. For example, high levels of glucose and saturated fatty acids in the blood, increased expression of islet amyloid polypeptide (IAPP), which is mainly responsible for amyloid deposits in the pancreas, as well as inflammatory cytokines released from visceral adipose tissue, may be involved as inducers of oxidative stress and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress.
These factors, together with the activation of the local inflammatory response signal the pathways leading to β-cell exhaustion and death.

A growing number of studies implicate ER stress in the loss and death of β-cells during the evolution of T2D. The ER is considered a vital organelle for protein synthesis and maturation, quality control, and secretion; however, these processes require a stable environment for balancing ER protein load and ER folding capacity. A variety of factors can disturb the proper functioning of the ER, leading to ER stress and inflammation as well as the induced synthesis of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor-α and interleukin (IL)-6, via inflammasome activation. In addition, the unfolded protein response (UPR) activates other pathways, such as oxidative stress and autophagy which eventually lead to cell death or cell survival, depending on the balance of such factors in the cellular milieu.

In this review, we address the central mechanisms underlying ER stress, oxidative stress, autophagy, and inflammation, as well as the pathways that contribute to pancreatic β-cell death in the framework of T2D.

**The link between stress and inflammation in pancreatic β-cells**

**ER stress and the UPR response**

Providing a high-fidelity quality control system, the ER has developed an elaborate adaptive response known as the UPR, in which there is a perfect recognition of misfolded proteins and an efficient removal of these proteins from the ER lumen in order to protect and alleviate cells from ER stress. The UPR attempts to reestablish homeostasis and restore ER function by diminishing protein translation and activating a series of mechanisms that increase the biosynthetic capacity of the secretory pathway, such as ER chaperones. For this, a complex signaling network is initiated by three ER transmembrane kinases: protein kinase R-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK); inositol-requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1); and activating transcription factor (ATF)6 (Figure 1). Chaperone 78 kDa glucose-regulated protein (GRP78), also referred to as BiP (immunoglobulin heavy chain binding protein), is a central regulator of ER stress due to its controlling of the activation of transmembrane ER stress sensors (PERK, IRE1, and ATF6) through a binding-release mechanism.

A reduction in protein translation and in ER workload are the first responses to counteract ER stress. This is mediated by PERK, which phosphorylates the α subunit of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2, reducing global protein synthesis and inducing the translation of ATF4 messenger (m)RNA. This transcription factor activates the translation of ATF3 and CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein (C/EBP) homologous protein (CHOP). The loss of PERK expression in humans and mice has been associated with a failure to properly regulate the UPR response, underlying dysfunction in the ER stress and UPR pathways, which can result in increased cell death and diabetes. Although PERK expression in adult β-cells does not appear to be required for maintaining β-cell function, mutations in PERK result in the elevation of ER stress markers, leading to a form of permanent neonatal diabetes in humans, suggesting that PERK may play an important role in controlling ER stress during fetal development.

IRE1, the second pathway of the UPR, is highly expressed in the pancreas and is considered a central regulator of ER...
stress signaling, playing a crucial function in the regulation of protein biosynthesis.20 A previous study has shown that IRE1 signaling knockdown in vitro decreases insulin biosynthesis at the translation and protein-folding level.21 Once activated, IRE1 cleaves the mRNA encoding X-box binding protein 1 (XBP1), leading to an activated version of the transcription factor spliced XBP1. Once translocated to the nucleus, the spliced XBP1 protein initiates several transcriptional programs that upregulate ER expansion and biogenesis, increase protein entry into the ER for maturation, and degrade misfolded proteins.22 Lee et al demonstrated that β-cell-specific XBP1-deficient mice elicited an impairment in β-cell proliferation, proinsulin processing, and insulin secretion, along with a hyperactivation of IRE1,23 suggesting that XBP1 is critical in achieving optimal insulin secretion and glucose control and thus may be considered a key regulator of the UPR.

The third pathway of the UPR initiates with the activation of the basic leucine zipper domain protein ATF6. ATF6 activation stimulates its own translocation to the Golgi, where site-1 protease and site-2 proteases are cleaved and conducted to the nucleus to target transcription chaperones, elements of the ER-associated degradation pathway, and the upregulation of XBP1. Under chronic ER stress, ATF6 attempts to suppress the apoptotic UPR signaling cascade by upregulation of the PERK and IRE1 pathways. Recent reports have tried to elucidate the role of ATF6 in β-cell function. No association has been found between ATF6 polymorphisms in the general population and cohorts of type 2 diabetic patients;24 however, ATF6 knockdown in insulinoma cells showed a decrease in ER chaperones and induced cell apoptosis without any changes in the PERK and IRE1 pathways.25 Similarly, ER stress-induced activation of ATF6 has been shown to suppress insulin gene expression,26 suggesting that ATF6 plays an important role in β-cell dysfunction.

ER stress and the inflammatory signal

The three branches of UPR response can trigger inflammatory signals through different branches that converge in signaling pathways involving c-Jun N-terminal kinases (JNKs) and the nuclear factor κ-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB) (Figure 1). The activation of these kinases highlights the overlap of metabolic and immune pathways, since these are the same kinases that are activated by innate immune responses.27,28 JNKs are considered to play an important role in ER stress in mouse models of diabetes. For instance, an increase in JNK activity promotes insulin resistance in peripheral tissues and in pancreatic β-cells without affecting cell viability.29,30 The importance of the JNK pathway in stress has also been observed in knockout mice, in which suppression of the JNK pathway protects β-cells against oxidative stress induction.31

The pathway of the UPR involving IRE1 can, by different mechanisms, trigger an inflammatory signaling pathway through the activation of JNKs.32 In addition, through multiple mechanisms, both the IRE1 and PERK pathways can also lead to the activation of the NF-κB pathway,11 which also plays a critical role in the induction of multiple inflammatory mediators and has been implicated in insulin resistance.11,33 ATF6 has also been linked to inflammatory signaling. Genetic and pharmacological inhibition of ATF6 significantly suppresses NF-κB activation, which can transcriptionally regulate many other inflammatory genes.34 Activation of either JNKs or NF-κB pathways in pancreatic β-cells has been reported to cause increased expression of proinflammatory molecules, such as IL-8, IL-6, monocyte chemotactic protein-1, and tumor necrosis factor-α,35 that have a detrimental effect on cell survival and function.36-38 Local chemokine and cytokine release can also contribute to the inflammatory milieu, attracting host macrophages to the pancreatic β-cells, which further propagate local inflammation.39,40 In addition, the NF-κB pathway has been shown to activate the NLRP3 inflammasome, a multi-protein, cytosolic molecular platform that controls the activation of caspase 1, and the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines interleukin IL-1β and IL-18 in metabolic stress.41,42 Inflammation induced by inflammasome-dependent proinflammatory cytokines may produce insulin resistance or cause the death of pancreatic β-cells, leading to development of diabetes (Figure 1).42

Oxidative stress and the inflammatory signal

In T2D, when insulin demand is constantly elevated, reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation is increased by mitochondrial respiration, which saturates the neutralizing capacity of antioxidants, resulting in oxidative stress. β-cells are more susceptible to oxidative stress when compared to other cell types, probably due to their low antioxidant capacity. β-cells have low levels of antioxidant enzyme expressions, such as catalase and glutathione peroxidase, making β-cells more vulnerable to free radical damage when exposed to oxidative stress.43 In addition, β-cells display highly efficient glucose uptake when exposed to high glucose concentrations, due to the expression of glucose transporter 2. Thus, it is likely that oxidative stress plays a major role in β-cell dysfunction in T2D. Exposure of rat islets to high concentrations of glucose
resulted in an increased production of intracellular ROS. Furthermore, when human islets were incubated with high glucose concentrations, the levels of intracellular peroxide were increased within the islets. Similarly, elevated markers of oxidative stress have been found in the plasma and urine of type 2 diabetic patients, as well as decreased levels of antioxidant molecule glutathione in their blood cells. In β-cells, elevated ROS levels lead to impaired insulin secretion and contribute to insulin resistance in T2D. Under normal conditions, ROS are finely regulated to avoid oxidative damage to cellular processes. Prolonged ER stress can also accumulate ROS through a PERK-mediated pathway, promoting a state of oxidative stress. To protect itself from the highly toxic radicals, the β-cell must metabolize ROS by using cellular antioxidants, including glutathione peroxidase, catalase, thioredoxin, and superoxide dismutase, among others. In addition, glutathione, the primary intracellular antioxidant, has been reported to be low in diabetic patients. After treatment with sulfonylurea, a reduction in oxidative stress was observed, with patients showing a decrease in lipid peroxidation and an increase in glutathione circulating levels, with levels almost reaching those found in a nondiabetic control group.

Inflammation resulting from oxidative stress is a key component for many human diseases, such as metabolic syndrome or T2D. ROS production is capable of acting as a signaling molecule, but also inflicts oxidative damage by oxidizing fatty acids, DNA, RNA, amino acids, and cofactors. ROS have been shown to play an important role in various cellular processes, including differentiation, autophagy, metabolic adaptation, and inflammation. High ROS levels activate several inflammatory signaling cascades, leading to the transcription of the NF-κB pathway, monocyte chemotactic protein-1, cellular adhesion molecules, nitric oxide, transforming growth factor-β, connective tissue growth factor, and ILs. Consequently, expression of proinflammatory molecules might attract inflammatory cells such as macrophages to the site and further exacerbate the local inflammation. ROS production in adipocytes can also lead to an increased production of proinflammatory cytokines that can affect β-cells in a paracrine manner.

**Stress, inflammation, and the activation of apoptotic signaling**

**Linkers of stress and apoptosis**

Metabolically stressed human β-cells display markers of ER stress and activation of inflammation and apoptosis pathways. ER stress and oxidative stress are intricately related and represent possible mediators that link toxic stimuli with target molecules in the apoptotic cascade. As far as we know, the apoptotic pathways that may be activated by ER stress are also activated by oxidative stress and inflammatory signals (Figure 1). At least three parallel pathways are involved in the stress-mediated apoptosis: activation of CHOP; activation of the IRE1–JNK pathway; and activation of caspase 12 (Figure 1).

CHOP signaling is activated in β-cells under conditions of metabolic stress, and the deletion of CHOP has been demonstrated to enhance β-cell function and mass in several mouse models of diabetes. In this regard, islets from CHOP knockout mice have fewer apoptotic cells and show an increased expression of UPR genes. Furthermore, CHOP deletion delays the onset and severity of the diabetic phenotype. Additional mechanisms of apoptotic induction have been associated with particular branches of the ER stress pathway. ATF4 can promote apoptosis by suppression of B-cell lymphoma 2. IRE1 promotes JNK signaling through a mitogen-activated protein kinase 1 pathway. IRE1 also promotes the activation of caspase-12. Procaspase-12 is localized to ER membranes and undergoes cleavage during ER stress in murine cells, promoting the downstream cleaving of caspase-3, the last effector caspase of the apoptotic cascade. Pharmacologically induced ER stress has given additional insight into mechanisms by which ER stress may promote apoptosis. Such agents cause mitochondrial cytochrome-c release and loss of mitochondrial transmembrane potential, causing ER stress-induced apoptosis. Moreover, perturbed ER Ca²⁺ homeostasis may contribute to apoptosis following induction of ER stress, since knockout mice lacking the ER Ca²⁺ channel Wsfl are particularly susceptible to ER stress-induced apoptosis. Overall, when ER stress-induced apoptosis causes the loss of a large number of β-cells, insulin secretory capacity is impaired, resulting in T2D (Figure 1).

**Triggers of stress, inflammation, and apoptosis**

Multiple physiological and pathological conditions, including the accumulation of misfolded proteins, such as insulin or human IAPP (hIAPP), are responsible for the loss of ER homeostasis in β-cells (Figure 1). In some cases, protein overexpression in cells of transgenic mice can trigger ER stress and apoptosis due to a high biosynthetic misfolded load. For example, studies in the Akita mouse have shown that ER stress, secondary to the misfolding of mutated insulin, leads to β-cell death and glucose intolerance. The loss of β-cell mass in diabetes is exacerbated by islet amyloid...
deposits that correlate with the severity of the disease in humans. β-cell apoptosis is also observed in human pancreatic sections and postmortem islet grafts in correlation with amyloid deposition levels.71,74,75 Oligomers of human IAPP have been shown to increase inflammation in β-cells via the inflammasome.76 Comparably, hIAPP can form proinflammatory oligomers and fibrils that contribute to islet inflammation by recruiting and activating macrophages in vivo.39,76 Nevertheless, the role of hIAPP and ER stress still needs to be elucidated. Some reports show that ER stress-mediated apoptosis is exacerbated in rodent cells expressing amyloidogenic isoforms of hIAPP in β-cells, leading to a reduction of β-cell mass in hIAPP transgenic mice and rats.61,71 In addition, Casas et al demonstrated that extracellular hIAPP aggregation is associated with ER stress responses in mouse β-cells, by an intracellular signaling that involves downstream inhibition of the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway, contributing to β-cell apoptosis.77,78 Nevertheless, in a rat pancreatic β-cell line overexpressing hIAPP, the detection of toxic intracellular oligomers, which lead to defective insulin and IAPP secretion levels in response to glucose, did not change the expression of genes involved in ER stress.79 These results agree with other findings with hIAPP transgenic mice, in which the authors demonstrated that amyloid formation was not associated with significant increases in the expression of ER stress markers.80,81 The discrepancy in these results may be explained by differences in the ratio of IAPP and insulin produced by the different models used, ranging from low to significantly high levels of IAPP.

The synergistic toxic effect of hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia is now well recognized as a contributor toward β-cell death.81 Exposure of islets to high glucose concentrations induces a significant increase in apoptosis.81 Similarly, high glucose concentrations increased IL-1β, followed by NF-κB activation from nondiabetic islet donors.82 Increased concentrations of saturated fatty acids are also toxic to islets. Saturated fatty acids impair insulin gene expression and glucose-induced insulin secretion.83–85 Palmitate induces ER stress via NF-κB activation, contributing to islet inflammation.86 In addition, fatty acids can have a toxic effect on β-cells, since treatment of human or mouse islets with palmitate induces apoptosis.87 Importantly, it has been demonstrated that lipotoxicity only occurs in the presence of concomitantly elevated glucose levels.84 Other mediators include islet cholesterol accumulation as an important cause of lipotoxic stress in β-cells. Cellular cholesterol homeostasis is important for normal β-cell function. Thus, disruption of cholesterol transport by decreased function of the Adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-binding cassette (ABC) transporter Adenosine triphosphate-binding cassette transporter 1 (ABCA1), a cholesterol efflux regulatory protein responsible for cholesterol transport in β-cells, results in impaired insulin secretion.88–90 In addition, the combined deficiency of ABCA1 and ABCG1 also results in significant islet inflammation, as indicated by the increased expression of IL-1β and macrophage infiltration.91

The role of stress and autophagy in apoptosis induction

The ER stress pathway is directly involved in the induction of autophagy. Autophagy is a degradation pathway responsible for the large turnover of intracellular proteins and organelles via lysosomal degradation.92 Autophagy is a highly regulated process that can either be involved in the turnover of long-lived proteins and whole organelles in a generalized fashion, or specifically target distinct organelles.93 Thus, autophagy, together with apoptosis, is a process through which damaged or aged cells or organelles can be eliminated.94 Autophagy may be either a mechanism to avoid apoptosis (by eliminating old or damaged organelles) or a mechanism to induce apoptosis by autophagic-induced cell death.95 Autophagy and apoptosis may be triggered by common upstream signals, resulting in combined autophagy and apoptosis. For example, autophagy can destroy large proportions of the cytosol or organelles, causing irreversible cellular collapse.96 Autophagy can also be a response to stress stimuli by triggering apoptosis or necrotic cell death. Likewise, several signal transduction pathways related to cellular stress (such as oxidative or ER stress pathways) can elicit both autophagy and apoptosis. For example, autophagy can destroy large proportions of the cytosol or organelles, causing irreversible cellular collapse.97 Autophagy can also be induced by proteasome inhibition under conditions of ER stress, demonstrating that autophagy and apoptosis share many common inducers.98

Several reports have studied the role of autophagy in pancreatic β-cells. Diabetic db/db or nondiabetic C57Bl/6 mice fed with a high-fat diet have shown upregulated autophagosome formation in β-cells.94,95 Furthermore, genetic ablation of Autophagy-related protein 7 (ATG7) (an essential gene for autophagosome formation) in β-cells was shown to have resulted in the degeneration of islets and impaired glucose tolerance with reduced insulin secretion.94,96 These findings were associated with an increased level of apoptosis and a decreased proliferation, which contributed to the loss of β-cell mass. It has been described that increased expression of hIAPP in transgenic mice and rats leads to an impaired autophagy pathway, due to the disruption of
lyosome-dependent degradation. In addition, inhibition of lysosomal degradation increases the vulnerability of β-cells to hIAPP-induced toxicity and, conversely, stimulation of autophagy protects β-cells from hIAPP-induced apoptosis. In humans, the autophagy pathway also declines with age and is impaired in β-cells in type 2 diabetic patients. These studies suggest that autophagy is necessary to maintain the structure, mass, and function of pancreatic β-cells, and its impairment may participate in the mechanisms that cause β-cell failure and T2D.

Moving the balance toward homeostasis and promoting β-cell survival

Pancreatic β-cells need to increase protein synthesis during acute or chronic stimulation. This causes a burden on the ER that may activate autophagy and the UPR response, which may lead to pancreatic cell death. Together, cell survival and cell death factors represent key opposing forces underlying stress response (Figure 2). As previously seen, many factors can mediate the respective outcome of this antagonistic process. If a prolonged imbalance persists, the response system initiates proapoptotic mechanisms that eventually will lead to pancreatic cell death and dysfunction associated with T2D (Figure 2). Thus, therapeutic interventions that target molecules of the UPR component or reduce ER stress, such as increasing cellular antioxidants, chaperone capacity, or autophagy levels, may bring the balance toward homeostasis and provide promising strategies for treating ER stress-related human diseases such as T2D (Figure 2).

The inhibition of intracellular free radical formation may represent one therapeutic strategy for preventing oxidative stress. Antioxidants act at different levels, inhibiting the formation of ROS, scavenging free radicals or increasing their own defense enzyme capabilities. Therapeutic measures designed to increase intrinsic antioxidant activity within the islet may also protect it against the oxidative stress associated with glucose toxicity (Figure 2). The transduction of islets with adenovirus encoding for the antioxidant enzyme, glutathione peroxidase, has been shown to protect islets against the intra-islet peroxide levels produced by high glucose concentrations. Furthermore, exogenous antioxidants can compensate for the lower plasma antioxidant levels often observed in T2D. Antioxidants such as N-acetylcysteine, vitamin C, and α-lipoic acid are effective in reducing diabetic complications, indicating that antioxidants may prove an essential tool in the investigation of oxidative stress-related diabetic pathologies.

An increasing body of evidence suggests that chaperones exert important protective effects in the decrease of ER stress, protein aggregation, and the pathophysiology of amyloid deposition. Overexpression of certain particular ER chaperones in cell systems can protect cells against cell death caused by disturbances of ER homeostasis. Of interest, transgenic mice overexpressing the molecular chaperone

Figure 2 Moving the balance toward prosurvival strategies.
Notes: As a result of chronic stress and inflammation, β-cells may undergo cell death through activation of C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP) and caspases (A). Adaptive responses to acute stress, such as an increase in chaperone capacity, an increase of cellular antioxidants, or an improved autophagy pathway, may move the balance toward cell survival, leading the β-cell to homeostasis (B).
Abbreviation: C/EBP, CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein.
GRP78/BiP specifically in β-cells are protected against the injury of obesity-induced T2D, maintaining β-cell function and improving glucose homeostasis. Overexpression of BiP attenuates fatty acid-induced ER stress and apoptosis in hepatocytes. Furthermore, BiP is one of the chaperones responsible for trafficking hIAPP through the ER and Golgi in human β-cells. Efforts to understand the impact of chaperones may provide insights into the formation of misfolded hIAPP, which, consequently, might be a speculative approach for preventing amyloid formation, which may lead to inflammation and β-cell apoptosis in T2D (Figure 2).

Few investigations have been performed on inhibiting the aggregation of IAPP. The small interfering RNA-mediated suppression of human amyloid polypeptide expression inhibits islet amyloid formation and enhances the survival of human islets in culture. Similarly, peptide-based amyloid inhibitors have been seen to enhance the survival of cultured human islets. Thus, inhibitors of IAPP synthesis or aggregation may have therapeutic value in diminishing amyloid formation in T2D.

Recent reports suggest that pharmacological agents can directly activate or deactivate UPR components and can potentially be useful in treating T2D. A promising approach is the use of pharmacological agents, such as orally active chemical chaperones, which can stabilize protein conformation, improve ER folding capacity, and facilitate the trafficking of mutant proteins. Özcan et al have shown that chemical chaperones, such as 4-phenyl butyric acid and taurine-conjugated ursodeoxycholic acid, reduce ER stress and restore glucose homeostasis in a mouse model of T2D.

In this model, the oral chemical chaperone treatment of obese diabetic mice resulted in the normalization of hyperglycemia and restoration of peripheral insulin sensitivity, thus acting as a potential antidiabetic agent. Chemical chaperones have also been tested in obese human subjects; 4-phenyl butyric acid, for instance, may provide health benefits by ameliorating insulin resistance and pancreatic β-cell dysfunction in obese subjects. The ability of endogenous and chemical chaperones to alleviate ER stress in transgenic and obese mice models strongly supports the ER stress-based mechanistic model of T2D and demonstrates the feasibility of targeting ER function for therapeutic goals.

Several studies have focused on the prosurvival role of autophagy. As previously discussed, autophagy is activated in response to ER stress and helps cellular adaptation to stress, via clearance of misfolded proteins. Thus, stimulation of autophagy may improve ER stress in diabetes. Treatment with rapamycin, an autophagy inducer, used in diabetic Akita mice, improved diabetes, increased pancreatic insulin content, and prevented β-cell apoptosis. In contrast, the same study showed that inhibition of autophagy exacerbated stress and abolished the anti-ER stress effects of rapamycin. In a similar manner, increasing autophagy by overexpression of scaffold protein p62, which delivers polyubiquitinated proteins to autophagy, confers a protective role against hIAPP-induced apoptosis by sequestrating protein targets for degradation. Such evidence highlights an important role for autophagy in protection against toxic oligomer-induced apoptosis in β-cells. Thus, strategies that target ER stress in β-cells will promote β-cell survival and function in T2D.

Summary

A great variety of stimuli, such as IAPP, cytokines, cellular cholesterol, or high glucose and lipids levels in the blood, can disturb ER homeostasis, leading to oxidative and ER stress, inflammation, and pancreatic β-cell death. Elucidating the cellular mechanisms of stress, inflammation, and cell death has contributed toward our understanding of these processes, which may lead to new therapeutic agents for treating T2D. Strategies targeting the balance toward prosurvival have proven to be essential for the shift from stress to homeostasis, which may prevent pancreatic β-cell death associated with T2D.
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