Nanoparticles for multimodal in vivo imaging in nanomedicine
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Abstract: While nanoparticles are usually designed for targeted drug delivery, they can also simultaneously provide diagnostic information by a variety of in vivo imaging methods. These diagnostic capabilities make use of specific properties of nanoparticle core materials. Near-infrared fluorescent probes provide optical detection of cells targeted by real-time nanoparticle-distribution studies within the organ compartments of live, anesthetized animals. By combining different imaging modalities, we can start with deep-body imaging by magnetic resonance imaging or computed tomography, and by using optical imaging, get down to the resolution required for real-time fluorescence-guided surgery.
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Introduction

In vivo imaging has made enormous strides in the past 40 years. Many of these advances have occurred due to the rapid growth of computing power that has enabled computed tomography (CT) of X-rays, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and positron emission tomography (PET). All of these imaging modalities provide different information about the patient. CT scans provide information about structure and are most sensitive to electron-dense elements, such as those found in bones. MRI scans provide information about soft tissues, and are most sensitive to tissues and organs. PET scans can provide information about metabolic parameters, and can be particularly sensitive to detection of high-metabolism tumors and infections. The resolution of both CT and MRI scans can be significantly improved by introduction of contrast agents that further increase the electron density in the former or the relaxation time in the latter. While in vivo optical imaging has been of limited use in humans, it can be combined with MRI or PET and can be used for fluorescence-guided surgery. Multicomponent nanoparticles (NPs) can contain two or more imaging contrast agents that permit several imaging techniques to be used. More importantly, NPs of appropriate composition can greatly improve the resolution of each of these imaging modalities. In this paper, we review each of these imaging modalities separately and then together in appropriate combinations for “multimodal imaging”.

Nanomedicine provides an exciting new paradigm shift for medicine, and may have a huge impact on health care. Part of that paradigm shift is provided by the targeted delivery of new and conventional drugs at much lower doses, due to a combination of molecular targeting and increased circulation time. Another part of the paradigm shift is the blending of therapeutics and diagnostics into a simultaneous “theranostics”.
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Complex nanomedical systems can be designed and constructed to contain drugs or gene therapy, and simultaneously provide high-resolution three-dimensional (3-D) multimodal imaging of diseased cells in the body for very early detection of diseases.2

**Review/overview of medical imaging**

This section will briefly introduce about four imaging modalities (MRI, CT, PET, and fluorescent optical imaging). These imaging modalities can help us visualize in vivo systems, and can provide anatomical, physiological, or molecular information on the systems. The sensitivity or specificity of the information by the imaging modality can be much improved by exogenous imaging contrast agents, while a single imaging modality still has its limitations with respect to spatial resolution, sensitivity, or tissue-penetration depth. In this section, basic principles, contrast agents, and features of each imaging modality will be covered. Table 1 summarizes and compares each feature of these imaging modalities.

**Magnetic resonance imaging**

MRI is a noninvasive imaging modality that is able to provide various information on the inside of the body, including anatomical, physiological, and even molecular information. MRI offers high contrast of soft tissues and enough penetration depth to visualize the entire human body. Depending on the power of the magnetic field, high spatial resolution of 10–100 μm can be acquired. However, spatial resolution for human body imaging is generally restricted to approximately 1 mm at current US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved (3-Tesla) magnetic field strengths. While the 3-Tesla MRI machines can still provide detailed anatomical information, images for molecular information are very limited, due to their low sensitivity. Therefore, in order to improve the low sensitivity of MRI, various exogenous MRI contrast agents (eg, iron oxide [IO], gadolinium [Gd]) have been applied and are being further developed.

**Principles of MRI and contrast agents**

MRI produces contrast in biological systems by measuring the relaxation processes of hydrogen protons in different microenvironments. When hydrogen protons are exposed in an external magnetic field (B₀), a small portion of the proton nuclei aligns in the B₀ direction, and the nuclei rotate or precess gyroscopically (γ), with a net magnetic moment (m) and a Larmor frequency (ω₀ = γB₀). When a resonant radio frequency (RF) as a second magnetic field (B₁) is perpendicularly applied to B₀, it causes the net magnetic precession to flip on the perpendicular plane by absorbing the energy from the RF. On the removal of the RF, the net magnetic moment gradually relaxes and realigns to the direction of B₀ with release of the absorbed energy. The relaxation processes can be measured by z-axis and xy-plane in 3-D directions, which are defined by longitudinal T1 relaxation and transverse T2 relaxation, respectively. Relaxation times are sensitive to microenvironments that can be affected by NPs for improved contrast. MRI signal intensities by T1 relaxation (longitudinal recovery signal) increase over repetition time. MRI signal intensities by T2 relaxation (dephasing of transverse magnetization) decrease with increased echo time (TE).6,5

MRI contrast agents change the MRI signal intensities around them by shortening either T1 or T2 relaxation times.6 Relaxivity values (r1=1/T1 or r2=1/T2) are also usually used as a parameter to quantify MRI contrast effects by agents. Relaxivity r1 and r2 values will be affected by the magnetic field strength, but not very much. In particular, r1 relaxation values ranging from 0.1 to 1.5 Tesla are very little affected.7 There are diverse T1 and T2 contrast agents (Table 2). T1 agents increase MRI signal intensities around them, showing bright images. Therefore, T1 agents are also

### Table 1: Comparison of various imaging modalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Typical probes</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Information</th>
<th>Cost US$</th>
<th>Clinical use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Radio wave</td>
<td>Paramagnetic (Gd³⁺), superparamagnetic (Fe₃O₄)</td>
<td>10–100 μm</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>10⁻²–10⁻⁶</td>
<td>Minutes to hours</td>
<td>Anatomical, physiological, molecular</td>
<td>&gt;$300,000</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>X-ray</td>
<td>Iodine, barium sulfate, gold</td>
<td>50–200 μm</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>10⁻⁴</td>
<td>Minutes</td>
<td>Anatomical, physiological, molecular</td>
<td>$100,000–$300,000</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET</td>
<td>γ-ray</td>
<td>Radioisotopes (eg. ¹⁵⁵F, ¹¹C, ¹⁵N, ¹⁸O, ⁶⁷Cu, ¹³¹I)</td>
<td>1–2 mm</td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>10⁻¹⁵</td>
<td>Minutes to hours</td>
<td>Physiological, molecular</td>
<td>&gt;$300,000</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical imaging</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>QDs, NIRF dyes</td>
<td>&gt;0.3 μm</td>
<td>&lt;10 cm</td>
<td>10⁻¹²</td>
<td>Subseconds to minutes</td>
<td>Physiological, molecular</td>
<td>$100,000–$300,000</td>
<td>In development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** 1Moles of label detected. 2Optical imaging involves fluorescence reflectance imaging, bioluminescence imaging, fluorescence-mediated tomography, and intravital microscopy. Adapted with permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature.3 Copyright 2008; Adapted with permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature.4 Copyright 2010; Adapted from Cheon J, Lee JH. Synergistically integrated nanoparticles as multimodal probes for nanobiotechnology. Acc Chem Res. 2008;41(12):1630–1640.2

**Abbreviations:** MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; CT, computed tomography; PET, positron emission tomography; QDs, quantum dots; NIRF, near-infrared fluorescent.
called positive contrast agents. Gd-based T1 agents have been widely applied and are being developed for human diagnosis in angiography, the gastrointestinal system, the liver, and the whole body. A problem of this agent is such side effects as nephrogenic systemic fibrosis, due to the high toxicity of Gd. Although many Gd-based contrast agents are protected in the chelate structures, such as Gd–diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid (Gd-DTPA), in some patients with renal failure, nephrogenic systemic fibrosis has been reported by using Gd-based contrast agents. On the other hand, T2 agents decrease MRI contrast effects for MRI without serious agglomeration in the bloodstream.

Table 2 Examples of MR contrast agents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MR contrast agents</th>
<th>Main use</th>
<th>MW (kD)/particle size (nm)</th>
<th>Relaxivity (mM/second) (^1/)Tesla (T)</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gd-DTPA</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>0.6 kD</td>
<td>(r_1 = 3.7, 0.47 ) T</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextran-Gd-DTPA</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>75 kD</td>
<td>(r_1 = 11, 0.25 ) T</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd-DTPA-polylysine</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>50 kD</td>
<td>(r_1 = 13, 0.47 ) T</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd-DTPA-24-cascade-polymer</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>30 kD</td>
<td>(r_1 = 10, 1.5/2.0 ) T</td>
<td>25, 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd-melanin polymer</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>50 kD</td>
<td>(r_1 = 20–40, ) NA</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Dendrimer-Gd-DTPA</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>139 kD</td>
<td>(r_1 = 34, 1.17 ) T</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxy-dextran-coated SPIO (SHU-555, Resovist)</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>62 nm</td>
<td>(r_1 = 12, r_2 = 188, 0.94 ) T</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextran-coated SPIO (AMI-25, Feridex)</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>58 nm</td>
<td>(r_1 = 24, r_2 = 107, 0.47 ) T</td>
<td>30, 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextran-coated USPIO (AMI-227, Combidex)</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>17–20 nm</td>
<td>(r_1 = 23, r_2 = 53, 0.47 ) T</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextran-coated USPIO (MION-46L)</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>18–24 nm</td>
<td>(r_1 = 16, r_2 = 35, 0.47 ) T</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MION-encapsulated liposomes</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>170–300 nm</td>
<td>(r_1 = 10, r_2 = 130, 0.25 ) T</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE Glylated magnetoliposomes</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>40 nm</td>
<td>(r_1 = 3, r_2 = 240, 0.47 ) T</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Carboxy-dextran-coated SPIO (SHU-555, Resovist), Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Berlin-Wedding, Germany; Dextran-coated SPIO (AMI-25, Feridex IV, AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Lexington, MA, USA); Dextran-coated USPIO (AMI-227, Combidex, AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Lexington, MA, USA).

Abbreviations: MR, magnetic resonance; Gd, gadolinium; DTPA, diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid; USPIO, ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide; MION, monocrystalline iron oxide nanoparticle; PEG, polyethylene glycol; T1, longitudinal relaxation time; T2, transverse relaxation time.

In summary, MRI is one of the most suitable imaging modalities to perform molecular imaging, but the low sensitivity due to the low FDA-approved magnetic field (3 Tesla) is still a challenging issue. T1 and T2 contrast agents have advantages and limitations in terms of sensitivity and toxicity, respectively. These limitations might be overcome by the development of new MRI techniques or by control of the physicochemical properties of contrast agents.

X-ray imaging and computed tomography

X-ray and CT are 2-D and 3-D medical imaging modalities, respectively, using X-ray beams. X-ray imaging is one of the oldest and most commonly used modalities, and has been in the clinical workplace for more than half a century. CT scanners use radioactive X-rays several times, taking
signals obtained at different angles and processing them with a computer to reconstruct 3-D images. Using the CT scanner, various diagnostic images can be acquired, including those of the head, lungs, pulmonary angiogram, cardiac system, abdominal area, and extremities. However, overexposure to X-rays can be harmful. In particular, radiation exposure of children has been estimated to increase the probability of lifetime cancer mortality. Therefore, the use of potentially harmful radiation is a shortcoming of CT scans. While CT scans can obtain detailed skeletal structures of the human body, the differentiation of morphological structures between normal and pathological tissues is limited without CT contrast agents. In the next section, we will briefly review the basic principles of X-ray imaging and CT contrast agents.

**Basic principles of X-ray imaging and contrast agents**

X-ray imaging works by the difference of photon attenuation between materials. X-ray energy mass-attenuation coefficients and mass energy-absorption coefficients are the two main parameters to evaluate penetration attenuation and energy deposition caused by the materials. The mass-attenuation coefficient measures the X-ray opacity of a material, and the mass energy-absorption coefficient indicates the partial amount of ionization occurring in the material by incident X-rays. Therefore, the performance of contrast agents for X-ray imaging is dependent on both mass-attenuation coefficients and mass energy-absorption coefficients.

Current contrast agents for X-ray and CT imaging are usually based on iodinated small molecules. Iodine has a high X-ray absorption coefficient. Iodine-based contrast agents have been widely applied to various purposes, including extracellular fluid, vascular space, hepatocellular, and tissue-specific imaging. Iodinated small molecules, however, show some problems, such as short imaging times due to rapid renal clearance and high toxicity in the kidneys. Therefore, various new contrast agents using iodine are being developed by incorporating iodinated organic compounds into NPs, such as liposomes, lipoproteins, emulsions, and polymeric NPs, to increase circulation time and to minimize toxicity in the body. As a new material, polymer-coated bismuth sulfide (Bi$_2$S$_3$) NPs have shown superior performance to iodine as a CT contrast agent and also demonstrated an efficient and safe profile in vivo. However, there are several challenges remaining, including the difficulty of controlling size and surface modification of Bi$_2$S$_3$ NPs, and toxicological risks from the bismuth. Meanwhile, gold NPs have generated interest as a CT contrast agent. Gold NPs have been tried as CT contrast agents to improve on the limitations of conventional iodine contrast agents, including restricted imaging acquisition time and renal toxicity. Gold NPs have shown many advantages, such as easy control of sizes and shapes, biocompatibility, low toxicity, and high X-ray absorption coefficient. Gold NPs have also demonstrated comparable or superior performance of iodinated contrast agents for mammography and CT imaging. Various applications using gold NPs, such as cancer imaging and blood-pool imaging, have been demonstrated as CT contrast agents. Although further research on biodistribution, toxicity, and biocompatibility of gold NPs in the human body will be required for a long-term period, gold NP-based approaches for CT imaging could be promising.

CT using contrast agents can be one of the more powerful imaging modalities. This modality has great advantages with respect to cost, short acquisition time, and high resolution. However, the harmful effects of ionizing radiation and the need for improvement of imaging sensitivity are still limitations. It might be improved by the development of short acquisition-time techniques or dual-modality applications.

**Positron emission tomography and single-photon emitted tomography**

PET is a nuclear medicine imaging modality to produce 3-D functional images in the body. The positron signals (γ-rays) emitted from radioactive isotopes or tracers are detected without external excitation. Years ago, the FDA approved PET imaging as a molecular imaging technique in the clinic. PET shows very high sensitivity among all imaging modalities, and its penetration depth is unlimited (Table 2). Using this high sensitivity, early diagnosis and continuous monitoring of disease by PET are possible. The shortcomings of PET are very low spatial resolution, limited acquisition time owing to radioisotopes with short half-lives, and radiation to the subject.

**Principles of PET/single-photon emission computed tomography and contrast agents**

PET records γ-rays from the body. For that, a radioisotope with a short half-life must be injected into the body before conducting the scan. The radioisotope is incorporated with biological molecules, leading to different concentrations in tissues of interest. The radioisotope also emits a positron as it undergoes positron emission decay. The emitted positron travels and interacts with an electron, an encounter that annihilates both electron and positron, making a pair of 511,000 eV γ-rays moving in approximately opposite directions. The γ-rays are detected by a scintillator that...
converts γ-rays into visible light. A burst of the light is detected by photomultiplier or silicon avalanche photodiodes. The detection of coincident pairs of these photons is finally recorded.49

Various positron-emitting isotopes have been used, including $^{18}$F, $^{15}$O, $^{13}$N, $^{11}$C, $^{124}$I, $^{76}$Br, $^{82}$Rb, and $^{68}$Ga, and most isotopes are produced in a cyclotron. In particular, $^{18}$F, $^{15}$O, $^{13}$N, and $^{11}$C are the most commonly used.50 Meanwhile, many isotopes have short half-lives (eg, $^{18}$F=109.74 minutes, $^{15}$O=122.24 seconds, $^{13}$N=9.97 minutes, $^{11}$C =20.38 minutes), and the reaction time for the incorporation of the isotope with the parent molecule also must be considered. Therefore, a cyclotron source needs to be located near the imaging facility if shorter lifetime isotopes are used. Hospital or research sites for the imaging equip the safety areas to store and handle the radioactive isotopes. A similar technique is single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT). For SPECT imaging, different isotopes are used (eg, $^{99m}$Tc, $^{111}$I, $^{123}$I, $^{111}$In). The sensitivity of SPECT is less than PET, but SPECT can visualize different radioisotopes at the same time, because different isotopes emit different γ-rays of different energies in SPECT. On the other hand, since all isotopes in PET emit the same energy, two different isotopes must be injected sequentially, allowing the decay of the first isotope.4

PET and SPECT have the highest sensitivity for imaging among current imaging modalities. By linking these PET probes to molecules involved in cellular metabolism, hotspots of metabolism, such as actively growing tumors, can be detected on the basis of metabolism rather than just structure. However, the spatial resolution is worse than MRI or CT. Also, the short imaging time, radiation safety, and cost problem for installing a cyclotron are current limitations of those imaging modalities. However, as applications for multimodality imaging, the combination of PET or SPECT with MRI or CT could be the most promising way for synergistic effects from the multimodality strategy. Meanwhile, in the design of the multimodality NPs, the sensitivity of each modality must be considered in detail. For example, for MRI–PET dual-modality imaging, PET images could be easily saturated by the NPs, but MRI images could provide very weak signals by the NPs with the same amount. Therefore, the trade-off between the modalities should be carefully considered for MRI–PET dual-modality imaging.

Optical imaging
In vivo optical imaging has attracted much interest due to its noninvasive, highly sensitive, cost-effective, nonionizing, and real-time imaging features. Currently, various studies of molecular imaging have been achieved by in vitro and ex vivo systems. However, the fundamental limitations of optical imaging are light scattering, autofluorescence, and absorption by adjacent tissues, water, and lipids from in vivo systems; therefore, optical imaging for in vivo systems has many limitations, in particular owing to small penetration depths (typically <1 cm). However, some advanced techniques have improved on these limitations (eg, fluorescence, bioluminescence, diffused optical tomography, and optical coherence tomography).3 In particular, near-infrared fluorescent (NIRF) imaging is a current method widely applied for in vivo small-animal imaging. This review will focus on NIRF imaging techniques and NIRF probes.

Principles of NIRF imaging and contrast agents
Fluorescence imaging is made by exciting certain fluorophores using external light and by detecting the emission using a highly sensitive charge-coupled device camera. The fluorophores can be endogenous molecules, like hemoglobin, or can be exogenous ones, like synthetic optical probes (eg, fluorescein isothiocyanate, rhodamine). However, in vivo fluorescence imaging still has limited penetration depth, because hemoglobin absorbs visible light, and also water and lipids absorb IR light. Meanwhile, light in the NIR window (650–900 nm) has better penetration by minimizing the auto-fluorescence from hemoglobin, water, and lipids.6,51

Several kinds of NIR fluorophores have been developed for in vivo imaging: 1) synthetic fluorophores (eg, cyanine [Cy]), 2) synthetic fluorescence semiconductor nanocrystals (eg, quantum dots [QDs]), and 3) upconverting nanomaterials based on rare-earth ions. NIR synthetic fluorophores are most commonly used for in vivo NIRF imaging. There are some synthetic Cy analogs, such as indocyanine green, Cy5, Cy5.5, Cy7, and Cy7.5, which are all commercially available. These fluorophores can be used directly, and also can be modified with NPs or small molecules for different applications.52,53

Notably, a carbocyanine analog, indocyanine green, was the first fluorophore approved to test hepatic function in the 1970s, and it has been used in humans as well as animals for cancer detection using optical imaging.54,55

QDs are inorganic semiconductor nanocrystals that are used as NIR fluorophores. They typically have a core–shell structure and are 2–8 nm in diameter. QDs have broad absorption spectra and also show narrow emission spectra, which are tunable by changing compositions and sizes. They also show high photostability. QDs emitting in NIR regions include CdSe, CdTe, GaAs, HgTe, InAs, InP, PbSe, etc.
Specific examples of nanomaterials for imaging

A strong motivation for multimodality imaging is that currently available single imaging modalities often make many errors, such as true-negative or false-positive results in the diagnosis of patients with cancer. While new NPs have been reported to minimize the errors, demonstrating their new capabilities through cell experiments or in vivo small animal experiments, most NPs were not able to be translated to clinical sites for human application. The NPs, which can be evaluated by only one imaging modality, cannot provide enough and exact information in the human body with respect to anatomical, physiological, or molecular information (Table 1). In this respect, multimodality approaches could be a promising way to translate current techniques from research fields to clinical sites. Multimodality imaging techniques using multimodal NPs can provide much more information by combining advantages and compensating for limitations from a single imaging modality to visualize the effects of the NPs. There are many possible combinations for dual-modal, trimodal, or other imaging modalities, including MR–optical, MR–PET, PET–CT, optical–PET, MR–CT, and MR–PET–optical. Various applications for multimodal imaging have been reported, and the following sections introduce some specific examples about it (Figure 1).63–65 PET–CT dual-modality imaging technologies have been commercialized for the human body, and the PET–MR dual-imaging modality is available for small-animal imaging.66,67 With multimodal NPs, multimodality imaging can minimize errors in the diagnosis of patient disease. Another potential of multimodal NPs is successively tracking them from the whole body through small tissues to cellular levels using several imaging modalities. For example, multimodal NPs can be used to visualize physiological changes for in vivo systems using PET or to visualize anatomical information with high resolution using either MRI or CT. The NPs can be subsequently further investigated at the cellular or subcellular levels by using optical microscopy or transmission electron microscopy. In this review, we focus on studies of NPs for MR–optical or MR–PET/SPECT dual-modality imaging. MRI can provide anatomical and physiological images inside the human body with high spatial resolution, but MRI agents are not currently of sufficient sensitivity. While optical or radionuclide imaging provide physiological and molecular information with high sensitivity, they have poor spatial resolutions for good anatomical images (Table 1). Therefore, either MRI–optical or MRI–PET/SPECT in combination could provide highly promising ways for dual-modality imaging strategy in the
near future. In addition, we also introduce other combinations (eg, ultrasound [US]) for multimodality imaging at the end of this section.

**MR–optical dual-modality in vivo imaging**

A big advantage of MRI–optical dual-modality imaging is that it is safe in humans. Both MRI and optical imaging are free from harmful radiation, such as X- or γ-rays. In particular, MRI–NIRF dual-modal NPs can get both anatomical and physiological information from deep inside the body using MRI and also get much more sensitive information at the molecular level using NIRF imaging. MRI–NIRF NPs for dual-modality in vivo imaging are made by chemically or physically combining such multicomponents as IO or Gd for MR and NIRF imaging probes, such as synthetic fluorophores, fluorescent semiconductor nanocrystals, or upconverting nanomaterials. For example, Nam et al have conjugated both Gd and Cy5.5 on the glycol chitosan partially modified with hydrophobic β-cholanic acid for tumor imaging (Cy5.5-CNP-Gd[III] NPs). The combination of Gd with NIRF synthetic fluorophores can be utilized for T1 (positive) contrast effects in MRI and for NIRF imaging. Their NPs of self-assembled spherical shapes were used to visualize squamous carcinoma cells (SCC7) tumors, which were implanted beneath the skin (Figure 2).68

NIRF imaging techniques have limited tissue-penetration depth, but can be used for in vivo imaging in mice. However, NIRF imaging can also be applied to NIRF image-guided surgery in humans, where surgical resection bypasses the tissue-depth problem. Nguyen et al reported NPs enabling preoperative whole-body tumor detection in mice by MRI and NIRF image-guided delineation of the tumor margin. They used dendrimers with conjugated Cy5, Gd, and cell-penetrating peptides. This approach can be useful to provide an objective way to differentiate tumor cells from normal cells.69 SPIO recently emerged as a T2 MRI contrast agent showing dark contrast effects in MRI, and also several applications using SPIO for dual-modality imaging have been reported.23,70,71 In addition to excellent MRI contrast effects, SPIOs have several potential advantages for nanomedicine, such as hyperthermia by converting the energy absorbed from applied alternating-current magnetic fields to thermal energy for highly targeted hyperthermia, with precisely controllable size and shape and relatively low toxicity effects.72 Our group has demonstrated MRI–NIRF in vivo dual-modality imaging using SPIOs and Cy5.5. We constructed multicomponent NPs by conjugating glycol chitosan partially modified with hydrophobic moieties with Cy5.5 and then physically loading the SPIOs (around 10 nm in diameter) into the core parts of NPs. The NPs showed T2 contrast effects for MRI, and showed these contrast effects at murine bladder tumor (MBT-2) sites by the accumulation of these NPs within the leaky vasculature through enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effects (Figure 3).70,71,73 Chen et al reported arginine–glycine–aspartic acid triblock
copolymers of RGD-TPIO for U87MG human glioblastoma imaging. They conjugated IRDye800 as a NIRF dye and also conjugated integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$ targeting cyclic RGD peptide to enhance the tumor-targeting capability. Integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$ is a cell-adhesion molecule that is closely related to tumor angiogenesis and metastasis. The use of targeting ligands can enhance the targeting capability of a certain disease, and it may enhance the retention time of the NPs in the disease sites with the EPR effect (Figure 4).

MR–NIRF dual-modality imaging can also be achieved by combining SPIOs as MRI contrast agents and QDs as semiconductor nanocrystals for NIRF imaging. QDs also have excellent photostability, so this combination can allow long-term dual-modality imaging. For example, Tan et al demonstrated QD–SPIO NPs through in vivo systems where they coencapsulated QDs and SPIOs in NPs of poly(lactic acid)-D-alpha-tocopheryl polyethylene glycol 1000 succinate for Michigan Cancer Foundation (MCF-7) breast cancer imaging. In another paper, Zhou et al suggested a new MR–NIRF dual-modality technique by using rare-earth nanocrystals. They synthesized Tm$^{3+}$/Er$^{3+}$/Yb$^{3+}$ codoped NaGdF$_4$ upconversion nanophosphors. The NPs showed NIR-to-NIR luminescence, and also showed high r1 relaxivity in MRI. The NPs were evaluated with mice models. The upconversion nanophosphors have the potential to emit higher NIRF intensities, enhancing tissue-penetration depth.

Meanwhile, the use of dopants can provide advanced functionality for imaging as well as therapy. Tian et al demonstrated multimodality NPs for MRI–IR thermal imaging. They synthesized ultrasmall (<10 nm) Fe$_3$O$_4$@Cu$_{2-x}$S core–shell NPs. Interestingly, the NPs can be used for IR thermal imaging due to their high absorption in the NIR region (around 960 nm) as well as T2-weighted MRI. The thermal effects can also be tuned by varying the amount of Cu, optimizing the therapy effects. This approach could be useful for diagnosis and therapy at the same time. Huang et al recently demonstrated nanocubes (150–180 nm, 160–200 nm), composed of Mn$_3[Co(CN)_6]_2@SiO$_2. The nanocubes were used for MR and two-photon fluorescence dual-modality imaging. The silica-coated nanocubes were readily internalized into cells.
Figure 3 (A–D) In vivo magnetic resonance (MR)–near-infrared fluorescent (NIRF) dual-modality imaging of murine bladder tumor (MBT-2). SPIO-Cy5.5 conjugated glycol chitosan-based nanoparticles were injected into the tail vein for tumor detection.

Notes: (A) In vivo NIRF image; (B) ex vivo NIRF image; (C) in vivo MR image; (D) quantification of intensity at the tumor site. The dashed circles indicate the location of the implanted tumors. Reproduced with permission from Key J, Kim K, Dhawan D, et al. Dual-modality in vivo imaging for MRI detection of tumors and NIRF-guided surgery using multi-component nanoparticles. Vol. Proc SPIE. 2011;79087:90805. Copyright © 2011 Society of Photo Optical Instrumentation Engineers.

Figure 4 In vivo magnetic resonance (MR)–near-infrared fluorescent imaging of U87MG tumor. Images were taken before and after injection of nanoparticles (NPs) using MR imaging and optical imaging.

Notes: (A) Arginine–glycine–aspartic acid (RGD) triblock copolymer-coated iron oxide (TPIO) NPs showed better T2 contrast effects in MR imaging at the tumor sites; (B) the contrast effect with RGD-TPIO NPs was also validated by optical imaging. Reprinted from Chen K, Xie J, Xu H, et al. Triblock copolymer coated iron oxide nanoparticle conjugate for tumor integrin targeting. Biomaterials. 2009;30(36):6912–6919. With permission from Elsevier. © 2009 Elsevier.
Key and Leary without showing high toxicity. Also, from the Mn and Co, they showed high $r_1$ (4.7 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) and $r_2$ (173.1 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) relaxivity and also offer high fluorescent intensities at two-photon excitation (720 nm) and conventional fluorescence (403 or 488 nm).78

In summary, the combination of MR and optical imaging can provide much more information using MR–optical dual-imaging modality NPs. Current applications are still limited in small-animal models due to limited tissue-penetration depth. However, the approaches of diagnosis by MRI following by NIRF image-guided surgery could represent an important new advance applied to humans.

**MR–PET/SPECT dual-modality in vivo imaging**

The combination of MRI with PET or SPECT is synergistic. PET/SPECT provides excellent sensitivity, but relatively poor spatial resolution. MRI can provide excellent spatial resolution of soft tissue that is superior to CT.79 Furthermore, the combination of MRI–PET/SPECT will require less overall radiation-dose exposure to the patient. Since both of these two imaging modalities are currently used for human diagnosis, once MRI–PET/SPECT dual modality is developed, the NPs can be directly applied to humans without such limitations as the limited penetration depth of optical imaging. This synergic combination of PET/SPECT and MRI is likely to become the next generation of dual-modality imaging for human diagnosis.

Magnetic NPs for MRI contrast effect can also be coupled with radioactive isotopes. Torres Martin de Rosales et al synthesized $^{64}$Cu$^{2+}$-Bis (dithiocarbamatebisphosphonate) conjugated with dextran-coated SPIO NPs. They demonstrated MR–PET imaging of lymph nodes with the comparison of an image of PET–CT.80 They also reported an MR–SPECT imaging agent based on the conjugation of radiolabeled bisphosphonates ($^{99m}$Tc-dipicolylamine[ DPA]-alendronate) to SPIOs. They demonstrated the possibility for MR–SPECT dual-modality imaging agents by showing the colocalization of NPs in spleen and liver in MR and SPECT images (Figure 5).81

Choi et al demonstrated an MR–PET dual-modality imaging agent to visualize sentinel lymph nodes. They used Mn-doped Fe$_2$O$_4$ (MnMEIO) for high MRI contrast effect, and the surface of the MnMEIO was exchanged with serum albumin (SA) for high colloidal stability. The tyrosine residue in SA-MnMEIO was directly conjugated to PET radionuclide $^{124}$I ($^{124}$I-SA-MnMEIO). $^{124}$I-SA-MnMEIO NPs were injected into the forepaw of a rat, the position of the brachial lymph nodes was colocalized in MRI and PET, providing anatomical and physiological information from two imaging modalities (Figure 6).82
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**Figure 5 (A–C)** In vivo magnetic resonance (MR)–single-photon emitted tomography (SPECT) images of axial view (top) and coronal view (bottom).

**Notes:** (A) T2*-weighted MR images before injection of technetium-99m–diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid ($^{99m}$Tc-DTPA)-ale-Endorem; (B) T2*-weighted MR images 15 minutes after injection of $^{99m}$Tc-DTPA-ale-Endorem; (C) SPECT image 45 minutes after injection of $^{99m}$Tc-DPA-ale-Endorem; L indicates the liver, S the spleen, showing the accumulation of $^{99m}$Tc-DPA-ale-Endorem at those organs with MR imaging and SPECT after injection. Reproduced with permission from Torres Martin de Rosales R, Tavaré R, Glaria A, Varma G, Protti A, Blower PJ. ($^{99m}$Tc-bisphosphonate-iron oxide nanoparticle conjugates for dual-modality biomedical imaging. Bioconjug Chem. 2011;22(3):455–465.82
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Uppal et al synthesized by means of partial exchange of Gd (a six-amino acid cyclic peptide conjugated to four Gd tetraazacyclododecane tetraacetic acid-type chelate) and \(^{64}\)Cu. The NPs can visualize fibrin by specific binding with the NPs in both MRI and PET. They evaluated the fibrin imaging effect by injecting their NPs into the right internal carotid artery of a rat.\(^{83}\) Yang et al demonstrated MRI–PET dual-modality NPs, adding the function of drug delivery to treat tumors. They synthesized the NPs using cyclo(Arg-Gly-Asp-D-Phe-Cys) peptides as tumor-targeting ligands, \(^{64}\)Cu with a macrocyclic chelating agent (1,4,7-triazacyclononane-1,4,7-triacetic acid) for PET imaging, and doxorubicin-conjugated SPIOs for tumor treatment and MRI. The multifunctional NPs had the potential for both tumor-targeting drug delivery and PET/MRI.\(^{79}\)

Zhu et al demonstrated SPECT–NIRF dual-modality NPs in which d-glucosamine conjugated to cross-linking micelle was labeled by both NIRF fluorophore and \(^{111}\)In. NPs of about 25 nm in size were accumulated in human epithelial carcinoma A-431 xenograft mouse models, showing higher accumulation at 24 hours than 4 hours. However, the NPs showed even higher accumulation in the stomach, intestine, muscle, and bone tissues than in tumors. This unexplained, nonspecific accumulation could be a potential problem of these NPs.\(^{84}\)

In summary, the combination of MRI and PET has the potential for the best simultaneous spatial resolution and physiological information among clinically used imaging modalities. However, there are still challenging issues, including the restricted time of radioisotopes, the difficulty of image registration, and the very high cost for setting up these imaging facilities.

Other multimodality imaging techniques

CT has good resolution for anatomical information, and can also provide 3-D tomography faster than MRI. However, CT has poor contrast for soft tissue, and does not give physiological information. CT contrast can be improved by the use of targeted NPs with electron-dense core materials. Therefore, when CT...
images are combined with MRI or NIRF; these shortcomings can be overcome. By the combination of MRI with CT, the resolution of the soft tissue can be improved, and also the MRI–CT multimodality contrast agents visualize the colocalized position of the NPs in both imaging modalities. For the CT–NIRF combination, while NIF imaging is possible for small animals only, the NIFR signals can be further investigated for ex-vivo analysis of necropsied tissues and organs. Gold NPs have been most commonly demonstrated as a CT contrast agent due to precise size control, good biocompatibility, and easy surface modification. Gold NPs combined with SPIOs can be used for CT–MRI dual-modality NPs. For example, Kim et al demonstrated gold and SPIO hybrid NPs in which components were combined by thermal decomposition. These hybrid NPs were further coated by amphiphilic dodecyl methacrylate (DMA), methacrylic acid (MA), poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether methacrylate (mPEGMA) for in vivo applications. Systemically injected gold–IO hybrid NPs showed time-dependent contrast effects at the hepatoma regions of mouse models for 24 hours.41

Sun et al demonstrated NIFR–CT multimodality NPs where gold NPs were encapsulated by Cy5.5 conjugated glycol chitosan polymers. The Cy5.5 NIFR dyes were further modified with peptides that were matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-cleavable, selectively activating the fluorescence at MMP-positive tumor microenvironments. They systemically injected the NPs into MMP2-positive HT-29 tumor-bearing mice. At 24 hours postinjection, the NPs showed contrast effects at the tumor site with both CT and NIRF imaging.42

Zhou et al showed optical and CT multimodality NPs using folic acid and gold NPs that were incorporated by silica. The NPs were evaluated with gastric cancer models. The prepared NPs showed preferred targeting ability to folate receptor (FR) (+) human gastric mucosal cancer cell (MGC)-803, while few were taken up in FR(−) normal gastric epithelial cell (GES-1).43 This approach could be useful for diagnosis by CT and surgical removal by fluorescent imaging.

Sun et al demonstrated upconversion nanophosphors (NaLuF₄:Yb,Tm) for upconversion luminescence imaging and CT multimodality imaging. NaLuF₄-based upconversion material can be visualized even under ambient light. This function can provide a more convenient surgical environment. Also due to the heavy element lutetium, the nanophosphors can be used as a CT agent. The nanophosphors visualized the dual-modality imaging of lymphatic vessels.47

Recently, some research groups have demonstrated multimodality imaging. Trinodal imaging agents can provide even more information than single-modality or dual-modality imaging. Various combinations can be designed for better imaging information. Hwang et al demonstrated trimodality NPs for radionuclide, MR, and fluorescence imaging. They made a cobalt-ferrite NP surrounded by rhodamine within a silica shell, which was conjugated with nucleolin-targeting aptamer. Nucleolin is a cell-membrane protein highly expressed in cancer. The NPs were bounded with chelating agents and further labeled with ⁶⁷Ga citrate for radionuclide imaging (MFR-AS1411). MFR-AS1411 NPs were injected into the mice, and in vivo tumor images by radionuclide and MR imaging and ex-vivo imaging by optical imaging were taken.48 Xie et al also demonstrated PET–NIFR–MRI trimodality NPs for U87MG human glioblastoma tumor imaging. They utilized dopamine to modify the surface of IO NPs, and the IO NPs were easily encapsulated by human serum albumin (HSA), which is used clinically as a drug carrier. The HSA-covered IO NPs were further labeled with ⁶⁷Cu-DOTA and Cy5.5 for PET and NIRF imaging (HSA-IONPs). The HSA-IONPs were evaluated in NIRF/PET/MRI (Figure 7).49

With MR, PET, CT, or optical imaging, another imaging modality can usually be combined with them. For example, US can also be used for multimodality imaging. A big advantage of US is its high safety, so it is currently used for pregnant women or infants. However, it has some limitations, such as penetration depth (eg, several centimeters), bad sensitivity, and working poorly in air-containing organs.4 However the image quality of US can be enhanced by combination with another imaging modality. John et al reported the micron-sized particles for cancer imaging in MRI, magnetomotive optical coherence tomography, and US. Their particles consisted of protein–shell microspheres that were filled with iron oxide NPs in oil, and also the microspheres were functionalized with RGD peptides.50 Kim et al demonstrated micron-sized capsules that contained gold, IO, and islet cells for immunoprotection and CT–MR–US trimodality imaging. They injected the capsules into the mouse abdomen and evaluated the contrast effects in the three modalities.51

Lee et al also demonstrated a trimodality imaging agent for upconversion luminescence, MR, and PET imaging. For upconversion nanophosphors (UCNPs), they reported NaYF₄:Yb⁴⁺/Er³⁺ which was developed for in vivo optical imaging using a 980-nm laser. They modified UCNPs for luminescence, MR, and PET imaging for which they replaced Y with Gd in NaYF₄:Yb⁴⁺/Er³⁺ and also coated dimeric cyclic RGDiyk peptides and MeO-PEG-NH₂. The tyrosine residues of the peptide were used to label with ¹²⁴I. The UCNPs were evaluated in the three imaging modalities, demonstrating the localized UCNPs at the U87MG tumors.52
In summary, trimodality imaging can provide more information than either single- or dual-modality imaging. However, the performance of dual- or trimodal NPs cannot always have better than a single modality imaging agent in terms of stability, targeting specificity, toxicity, or biocompatibility in the body. Boerman and Oyen pointed out the potential risk inherent in the development of multimodality probes by using the metaphor “. . . an amphibious car which is not as fast as a sports car, and it is not good as a speed boat in the water.” In the design of multimodality imaging agents, potential problems with imaging quality must be considered. The results of combining different modalities are not always predictable.

In this paper, we have reviewed basic principles, contrast agents, and recent studies of multimodality imaging agents. These approaches can be very useful to minimize errors from a single imaging modality in human diagnosis, and also can support image-guided surgery systems to delineate cancer cells from normal cells, minimizing side effects from invasive surgical procedures. However, for human application, various factors such as toxicity or biocompatibility must be considered broadly for long-term effects.

**Summary**

Use of multiple imaging modes can allow extension of imaging across wide dynamic ranges of size resolution and penetration depth from deep-body CT, MR, and PET imaging, with size resolutions of about 1 mm to shallow penetration depths of at best a few hundred microns or a few millimeters by fluorescence imaging, but with more sensitive size resolutions to single-cell and or even subcellular resolution. By using these modalities in combination, one can detect diseased cells deep within the body, determine their exact location for subsequent stereotactic surgery, and with fluorescence-guided surgery and magnified imaging detect diseased cells such as micrometastases at micron-level resolution.
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