Listeria monocytogenes endophthalmitis following keratoconjunctivitis

Abstract: Endophthalmitis due to endogenous or exogenous bacteria is a rare infection of the eye. We report a case of endophthalmitis following Listeria monocytogenes keratoconjunctivitis in a 27-year-old healthy white male presenting with hand motion visual acuity, right eye mucopurulent conjunctivitis, elevated intraocular pressure, and pigmented hypopyon 6 months post-keratectomy. The conjunctivitis was unresponsive to a 5-day course of topical tobramycin eye drops, and the patient developed keratitis with pain that progressed to endophthalmitis after 21 days. Diagnostic B-scan revealed vitreous exudates. Intraocular fluid specimen showed Gram-positive organisms and the aqueous culture grew penicillin-/aminoglycoside-sensitive L. monocytogenes. The patient was given intravitreal and systemic vancomycin and ceftazidime. The eye was unresponsive to intravenous penicillin and gentamicin; the anterior chamber progressively flattened and developed phthisis bulbi. L. monocytogenes keratoconjunctivitis may lead to bacterial endophthalmitis. Prompt culture and early antibiotic therapy are recommended.
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Introduction

Listeria monocytogenes is a pathogenic Gram-positive bacterium. It is frequently overlooked as a cause of illness due to its unique growth capabilities. The ability of Listeria to grow in diverse environments is one of the challenges presented by this bacterium. Most bacteria grow poorly when the temperature falls below 4°C, while Listeria survives in temperatures below freezing and grows best at −18°C to 10°C, a temperature range that is used for refrigeration. As a result, Listeria may be transmitted in ready-to-eat foods that have been kept properly refrigerated. It can cause a rare but serious disease called listeriosis, especially among pregnant women, the elderly, or individuals with compromised immune systems. In serious cases, it can lead to brain infection and death.

Ocular listeriosis is rare, with conjunctivitis being the most frequent manifestation. Keratitis, sclerokeratitis, endophthalmitis, and acute chorioretinitis have also been reported. Endogenous endophthalmitis results from the hematogenous spread of bacterial infection to the eye. Endophthalmitis caused by L. monocytogenes is a potentially devastating disease that may lead to loss of vision.

Cases of endophthalmitis caused by L. monocytogenes demonstrate clinical features similar to each other, including decreased vision, elevated intraocular pressure, and pigment dispersion with dark hypopyon. The presence of a dark
hypopyon ascribed to pigment dispersion may indicate intraocular infection with *L. monocytogenes*, even in an apparently healthy host.\textsuperscript{7,8}

Ultrasound biomicroscopy examination of a case of *Listeria* endophthalmitis showed increased iris thickness with rarefaction of tissue with irregular echogenicity due to both increased thickness and rarefaction of tissue, as well as iris pigment epithelial detachment. The detection of both pigment epithelial detachment and changes in the iris tissue could explain the pigmented hypopyon that frequently develops in *Listeria* endophthalmitis with significant pigment dispersion.\textsuperscript{9}

Pigmented hypopyon has also been reported in the setting of necrotic intraocular melanoma as well as *Serratia marcescens* endogenous endophthalmitis and *Streptococcus bovis* endogenous endophthalmitis.\textsuperscript{10,11}

*L. monocytogenes* has also been found to cause fulminating anterior uveitis, confirmed by culture and polymerase chain reaction.\textsuperscript{12}

Since the first case of endophthalmitis due to *L. monocytogenes*, reported by Goodner and Okumoto in 1967,\textsuperscript{13} several cases of *L. monocytogenes*-induced endophthalmitis have been reported.\textsuperscript{6,12,14}

*Listeria* is a fastidious organism that is difficult to grow. *Listeria* may be confused with common harmless organisms and can be disregarded as a cause of the disease.

Institutional review board approval was obtained and recorded.

**Case report**

A 27-year-old healthy white male presented with history of mucopurulent conjunctivitis that was treated with topical tobramycin eye drops for 5 days prior to presentation. After 21 days, he complained of redness and photophobia in the right eye followed by decrease in vision in the same eye. The patient reported a history of photorefractive keratectomy in the right eye followed by decrease in vision in the same eye. The patient reported anterior uveitis with severe corneal edema. The anterior chamber was deep and showed brown pigmented hypopyon. The left eye was normal. Diagnostic B-scan ultrasonography of the right eye was performed and showed exudates and opacification of the vitreous. After 2 days, a vitreous biopsy was done and intravitreal injection of vancomycin 1 mg/0.1 mL and ceftazidime 2.25 mg/0.1 mL were given.

Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg in the right eye and 13 mmHg in the left eye. Slit-lamp biomicroscopy revealed a thick cornea; lens displacement anteriorly, almost touching the cornea; and a 360° shallow anterior chamber without choroidal detachment. The posterior pole was obscured by the cornea and lens. The pupil showed 360° posterior synechiae; the anterior chamber was deep and showed brown pigmented hypopyon. The right eye was hand motion, and in the left eye was 20/20. Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg in the right eye and 13 mmHg in the left eye. Slit-lamp biomicroscopy revealed keratitis with severe corneal edema. The anterior chamber was deep and showed brown pigmented hypopyon.

Gram stain showed multiple Gram-positive bacilli. The culture revealed growth that was labeled as contamination.

The patient was kept on topical tobramycin and systemic amoxicillin and clavulanic acid antibiotics, but there was no improvement. The clinical picture of endophthalmitis started to be established with profound loss of vision, hypopyon, and massive vitritis. Four days following the initial tap, the patient was taken to the operating room again and an anterior chamber paracentesis was done. Anterior chamber washout and vitreous biopsy were performed. The patient received intracameral antibiotics comprising vancomycin 1 mg/0.1 mL, ceftazidime 2.25 mg/0.1 mL, and cefuroxime 1 mg/0.1 mL at that time. Vitreous tap was taken and intravitreal antibiotics comprising vancomycin 1 mg/0.1 mL and ceftazidime 2.25 mg/0.1 mL were given again. The samples were sent for microbiology and polymerase chain reaction. The result from the aqueous tap was positive for *L. monocytogenes* that was resistant to most drugs except for penicillin and aminoglycosides. The polymerase chain reaction for aqueous and vitreous tap was negative. A diagnosis of *L. monocytogenes*-induced endophthalmitis was made. The patient was hospitalized and received intravenous penicillin 0.5 million units every 6 hours, and fortified gentamicin drops were applied hourly to the right eye for 7 days. The ocular inflammations subsided. However, eye examinations revealed that the shallow anterior chamber progressively became flat; the cornea remained edematous; the pupil showed 360° posterior synechiae; the lens was cataractous; and the posterior pole was obscured over the course of 2 weeks. A diagnostic B-scan was performed and showed diffuse thickening of the retinochoroidal layer, but no choroidal detachment (Figure 1). Ultrasound biomicroscopy revealed a thick cornea; lens displacement anteriorly, almost touching the cornea; and a 360° shallow
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**Figure 1** B-scan ultrasonography of the right eye showing diffuse thickening of the retinochoroidal layer without choroidal detachment.
Discussion

Most cases of endophthalmitis induced by *L. monocytogenes* described in the literature have shown similar clinical features, including decreased vision, elevated intraocular pressure, and fibrinous anterior chamber reaction. In our patient, the intraocular pressure was higher than in the affected eye. Several patients have been reported to have developed a dark hypopyon similar to our patient. In all reported cases, the cause of bacterial endophthalmitis was determined by recovering the organism from cultures of intraocular fluids.

In our case, the initial culture showed multiple Gram-positive bacilli, and the growth of the Gram-positive bacilli was labeled as contamination. *Listeria* can be confused and mistakenly disregarded. The result from the repeated aqueous tap was positive for *L. monocytogenes* that was resistant to most drugs except for penicillin and aminoglycosides. Microbiological studies should be interpreted carefully and repeated if necessary.

To date, all cases of *L. monocytogenes* endophthalmitis have been presumed to be endogenous, although *L. monocytogenes* has the ability to penetrate intact conjunctival and corneal epithelium. The pathogenesis of bacterial infection often involves a compromised epithelial surface, because an intact epithelium is an effective barrier to most organisms. However, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Corynebacterium diphtheriae, Haemophilus aegyptius, and *L. monocytogenes* can penetrate intact healthy epithelium through specialized attachments, adhesion molecules, pili, or toxins. These attachments allow adhesions that may lead to bacterial tissue invasion. The ability of *L. monocytogenes* to cause conjunctivitis and keratitis has been well established in animals. *L. monocytogenes* may cause corneal ulcer in patients with diabetes mellitus. The prognosis of antibiotic therapy is usually poor.

The clinical course of our case started with conjunctivitis secondary to *L. monocytogenes*. The patient was treated with topical antibiotics. Despite antimicrobial therapy, the patient developed peripheral keratitis and diffuse corneal edema with pain. At this stage of the disease, there was no evidence of endophthalmitis and the fundus view was not obscured. Subsequently, the patient developed hypopyon. He started to have severe pain and marked deterioration of vision. Severe anterior uveitis was noted and progressed into endophthalmitis. The ability of *L. monocytogenes* to penetrate the intact cornea, causing keratitis without ulceration or perforation, may explain the development of anterior uveitis with hypopyon and later endophthalmitis.

Although acute bacterial conjunctivitis is frequently considered to be self-limiting, there are concerns about whether antibiotic therapy confers significant benefit or not. In a recent review of eleven randomized clinical trials with a total of 3,673 participants, it was found that the use of antibiotic eye drops is associated with modestly improved rates of clinical and microbiological remission in comparison to the use of placebo. The use of antibiotic eye drops should, therefore, be considered in order to induce resolution of symptoms and infection.

Conclusion

We have reported herein a case of *Listeria* endophthalmitis that followed conjunctivitis. Prompt diagnosis and early treatment are mandatory to prevent endophthalmitis. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of a case of *L. monocytogenes*-induced endophthalmitis following keratoconjunctivitis. This report emphasizes the importance of appropriate and precise identification of the causative organism in order to manage cases of endophthalmitis effectively. Although endophthalmitis due to *L. monocytogenes* is uncommon, the treating physician should keep in mind the possibility of *L. monocytogenes* endophthalmitis regardless of the age or immunological status of the patient. Microbiological studies should be interpreted carefully and repeated if there is a high degree of clinical suspicion of *L. monocytogenes*-induced endophthalmitis. The ability of *Listeria* to penetrate intact epithelium may lead to endophthalmitis following keratoconjunctivitis.
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