Pediatric neurofibromatosis 1 and parental stress: a multicenter study

Background: Neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) is a complex and multifaceted neurocutaneous syndrome with many and varied comorbidities. The literature about the prevalence and degree of maternal stress and the impact of NF1 in the parent–child interaction is still scant. The aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of maternal stress in a large pediatric sample of individuals affected by NF1.

Methods: Thirty-seven children (19 boys, 18 girls) of mean age 7.86±2.94 (range 5–11) years affected by typical NF1 and a control group comprising 405 typically developing children (207 boys, 198 girls; mean age 8.54±2.47 years) were included in this study. To assess parental stress, the mothers of all individuals (NF1 and comparisons) filled out the Parenting Stress Index-Short Form test.

Results: The two study groups were comparable for age (P=0.116), gender (P=0.886), and body mass index adjusted for age (P=0.305). Mothers of children affected by NF1 reported higher mean Parenting Stress Index-Short Form scores on the Parental Distress domain (P<0.001), Difficult Child domain (P<0.001), and Total Stress domain than the mothers of typically developing children (controls) (P<0.001). No significant differences between the two groups were found for the Parent-Child Dysfunctional Interaction domain (P=0.566) or Defensive Responding domain scores (P=0.160).

Conclusion: NF1 is considered a multisystemic and complex disease, with many still unrecognized features in pediatric patients and in their families. In this light, our findings about the higher levels of maternal stress highlight the importance of considering the environmental aspects of NF1 management in developmental age.
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Introduction

Neurocutaneous syndromes are a group of genetic pathologies linked to the common alteration in development of neural crest.1 In general, the primary neurocutaneous syndromes are all very different diseases with different genetic mutations, but the unifying factor amongst them is that all are neurocristopathies and can be explained as such, including the tumor-suppressor function of several of these genes, especially those of neurofibromatosis 1 and 2 and tuberous sclerosis complex.1

NF1 (OMIM #162200)2 was first described in 1882 by von Recklinghausen, and is among the most common autosomal dominant disorders, with a prevalence of one in 4,000 individuals worldwide,3,4 caused by the NF1 gene mutation coded by chromosome 17q11.2, with the subsequent alteration in Ras-mediated cell proliferation modulation.5,6
Nervous system involvement in NF1 can cause learning disabilities; plexiform neurofibromas; megalencephaly; cerebral tumors; headache; acueductal stenosis; cerebrovascular disease; meningoceles; neurofibromatous neuropathy; and cerebral high-signal lesions, visible on T2-weighted magnetic resonance images. The varied clinical NF1 manifestations could significantly impact family relationships, as described by Ablon in 2000, who, in 16 families studied, reported shock, upset, and subsequent depression as responses to NF1 diagnosis, pinpointing the relevance of the parents’ emotional reactions in NF1 management. On the other hand, behavioral aspects and parental stress of children and adolescents with several disabling genetic and/or chronic conditions were previously described, and the role of parental stress in the management of childhood chronic illnesses was demonstrated.

To date, reports concerning the impact of neurocutaneous syndrome in parental stress management and parent–child interactions are scant. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to assess the maternal stress levels in a population of school-aged children affected by NF1.

Materials and methods

Study population

The study population comprised 37 children (19 boys, 18 girls) of mean age 7.86±2.94 (range 5–11) years affected by typical NF1 and referred consecutively to the Clinic of Child and Adolescent Neuropsychiatry at the Second University of Naples, to the Department of Psychiatry at the “Magna Graecia” of Catanzaro University and to the Child Neuropsychiatry of the Department of Psychology at the University of Palermo from January 2012 to September 2013.

The diagnosis of NF1 was made according to the clinical criteria, established by the National Institutes of Health consensus in 1987 and the reassessed version of 1997. The control group was composed of 405 typically developing controls (207 boys, 198 girls; mean age 8.54±2.47 years) recruited from schools in the Campania and Umbria regions of Italy. Subjects of both groups were recruited from the same urban area, and were all of Caucasian origin and of middle socioeconomic status (within class 2 or class 3, corresponding to €28,000–€55,000/year and €55,000–€75,000/year, respectively, according to current Italian economic parameters), as previously reported.

For both populations, the exclusion criteria were the following: allergies; endocrinological problems (eg, diabetes); preterm birth; epilepsy; psychiatric symptoms (such as attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, depression, and behavioral problems); mental retardation (IQ<70); previous rehabilitative treatment; borderline intellectual functioning (IQ ranging from 71–84); obesity (BMI >95th percentile) or overweight (BMI ≥85th percentile); sleep disorders; and psychoactive drug administration.

All parents gave their written informed consent. The Clinical Departmental University Ethics Committee at the Second University of Naples approved the study protocol, and the study was conducted according to the criteria of the Declaration of Helsinki as modified in 2000.

Parenting Stress Index-Short Form (PSI-SF)

To assess the perceived stress in mothers of children with NF1, the Italian version of the PSI-SF was used. The PSI-SF is a standardized tool that yields scores for parental stress across four areas via Parental Distress and Parent-Child Dysfunctional Interaction domains and Difficult Child and Total Stress subscales. It has 36 items and provides both raw and percentile scores. Each item is graded on a five-point Likert scale, from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

The Parental Distress domain measures the distress that parents feel about their parenting role in light of other personal stresses, and has a cut-off score of 36; the Parent-Child Dysfunctional Interaction domain focuses on the perception of the child as not responsive to parental expectations, and has a cut-off score of 27; and the Difficult Child subscale represents behaviors that children often engage in that may make parenting easier or more difficult, and has a cut-off score of 36.

The PSI-SF also produces a Defensive Responding subscale score, which indicates likely response bias. The subscale scores range from 12 to 60, and the Total Stress subscale scores ranges from 36 to 180, with higher scores indicating greater levels of parental stress. Thus, responses higher than the 85th percentile (one standard deviation above the mean) are interpreted as “clinically significant” for high levels of family stress.

The PSI-SF has been used widely, and psychometric evidence supports its reliability and validity. The PSI-SF shows high internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha 0.92), and its validity has been established in parents of children with chronic medical conditions, including diabetes and asthma. In this study, the PSI-SF was administered only to the mother, being the parent assumed to usually spend more time with the children.
Statistical analysis
The t-test and chi-square test were applied as appropriate to compare the characteristics (age, gender and BMI adjusted for age) and the PSI-SF results between the two populations. P-values <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

All data were coded and analyzed using the commercially available STATISTICA package for Windows (v 6.0; StatSoft Inc, Tulsa, OK, USA).

Results
The two study groups were comparable for age (P=0.116), gender (P=0.886), and BMI adjusted for age (P=0.305), as shown in Table 1.

Mothers of children affected by NF1 reported higher mean PSI-SF scores on the Parental Distress domain (P<0.001), Difficult Child subscale (P<0.001), and Total Stress subscale score (P<0.001) than the mothers of typically developing children, as shown in Table 2.

No relevant differences between the two groups were found for the Parent-Child Dysfunctional Interaction domain (P=0.566) or Defensive Responding domain scores (P=0.160) (Table 2).

Discussion
The main finding of the present study was that there were higher stress levels in mothers of children affected by NF1 compared with mothers of healthy children (33.19±11.02 vs 26.94±5.44; P<0.001) and in the Difficult Child subscale (26.14±5.03 vs 22.96±3.19; P<0.001), even though their scores did not fall within the pathological ranges.

To interpret and understand these findings correctly, we could speculate that, while NF1 is an autosomal dominant condition, one half of all cases are thought to represent de novo mutations, with clinical characteristics that are often frightening for parents and unpredictable symptom progression. In this light, our results about the higher score of the parental stress total level and in the Difficult Child subscale may be interpreted.

Table 1 Age, gender and z-score Body Mass Index (z-BMI) differences between children affected by neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) and typically developing children (controls)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NF1 (N=37)</th>
<th>Controls (N=405)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>7.86±2.94</td>
<td>8.54±2.47</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>19/18</td>
<td>207/198</td>
<td>0.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z-BMI</td>
<td>0.56±0.19</td>
<td>0.52±0.23</td>
<td>0.305</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation or number. The t-test and chi-square test, where appropriate, were applied. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Table 2 Differences in PSI-SF domain scores between mothers of children with NF1 and mothers of normal healthy controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSI-SF domains</th>
<th>NF1 (N=37)</th>
<th>Controls (N=405)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>33.19±11.02</td>
<td>26.94±5.44</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDI</td>
<td>21.86±4.91</td>
<td>22.18±3.05</td>
<td>0.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>26.14±5.03</td>
<td>22.96±3.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEF</td>
<td>12.93±5.04</td>
<td>13.96±4.19</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS</td>
<td>84.53±6.09</td>
<td>65.27±4.39</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The t-test was applied. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Abbreviations: PSI-SF, Parenting Stress Index-Short Form; PD, Parental Distress; PCDI, Parent-Child Dysfunctional Interaction; DC, Difficult Child; DEF, Defensive Responding; TS, Total Stress.

NF1 is an extremely variable condition, the morbidity and mortality of which is largely dictated by complications that are numerous and can involve any body system1,4,45 the stress of mothers of NF1 children may be interpreted as linked to the varied comorbidities of the illness. Moreover, the prognosis of NF1 remains unpredictable, with the possibility of complications affecting various organs, such as central nervous system cancer that can also involve the PTEN gene functions, even if not exclusively.46-48

NF1 affects all aspects of a child’s life, because it is associated with cognitive impairment, learning disabilities, and neuropsychological deficits;49-55 sleep alterations; and hyperactivity.56 NF1 also contributes to parental distress and affects family functioning.57,58 both of which could be influenced by parents’ consideration of the child as “different” from other children, even if in an undefined way.

We could speculate that the high stress levels identified in mothers of NF1 children could be due not only to the specific characteristic of the NF1, that can be physically disfiguring, but also to the frequent clinical hospital controls, as with other chronic illness (eg, diabetes, asthma, primary ciliary dyskinesia, cystic fibrosis, migraine, and celiac disease).59-63 Specifically, parents of children affected by other genetic chronic illnesses seem to experience higher stress levels and greater burdens than parents of healthy children, yet parenting behavior and family functioning have been found to be quite similar to those of healthy control groups.61,62

In general, we can assume that, when a child is diagnosed with a chronic, life-threatening illness, there is a significant impact on the other family members, and the stress of parenting tends to reflect the level of stress/difficulty present in the parent–child relationship, including stress attributable to parental distress, difficult child characteristics, and dysfunctional parent–child interactions. Notably, research conducted by Hung et al in 200464 suggested that different illnesses may
result in different levels of stress of parenting, ie parenting of children affected by chronic illness can be a stressful condition in itself, becoming a vicious circle.

Conflict over child care responsibilities and decision-making are the most commonly reported stresses experienced by parents caring for children with a chronic illness.65–67 In 2010, Hullmann et al reported that parents of children with asthma who experience strained interactions with their child or are highly critical of their children are less likely to engage in effective disease management strategies and have children with more severe asthma.68 Therefore, these studies suggest that children’s health outcomes are related to how well their parents function and adhere to the prescribed regimen. This seems to be particularly important for parents of children with cystic fibrosis, diabetes, and asthma, as most of the daily treatments are performed by parents.68

The toll that NF1 takes on families may be identified also in the oncologic potential of NF1 leads per se, linked to the role of neurofibromin protein as a tumor-suppressor gene and inhibitor of the Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway that is an important regulator of cellular growth and differentiation, aiding the dephosphorylation of ras guanosine triphosphate.69 In this perspective, the high maternal stress levels, expressed as difficult child behavior, can be considered a first report about parental stress evaluation in the mothers.69

The toll that NF1 takes on families may be identified also in the oncologic potential of NF1 leads per se, linked to the role of neurofibromin protein as a tumor-suppressor gene and inhibitor of the Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway that is an important regulator of cellular growth and differentiation, aiding the dephosphorylation of ras guanosine triphosphate.69 In this perspective, the high maternal stress levels, expressed as difficult child behavior, can be considered a first report about parental stress evaluation in the mothers.69

On the other hand, we have to clarify that the higher stress levels in mothers of NF1 children respect of mother comparison were not in the pathological range. In this light, these findings suggest that psychological support for parents with children affected by NF1 could help to prevent the development of clinically evident difficulties in parent–child interactions that could further worsen the quality of life of children affected by NF1.

We should take into account some limitations of this study: 1) our data were derived from a small group affected by NF1 from a specific region of southern Italy; and 2) the assessment of parental stress levels was undertaken only in the mothers.

Notwithstanding these limitations, this could be considered a first report about parental stress evaluation in NF1, which is a multisystemic and complex disease with many still unrecognized features in pediatric patients and in their families. Our findings about the higher levels of maternal stress highlight the importance of considering the environmental aspects of NF1 management in developmental age, and suggest that specific psychological support could be of benefit to pediatric NF1 patients and their families.
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