Antibiotics for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis
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Abstract: Antibiotic treatment for rheumatoid arthritis (RA) commenced in the 1930s with the use of sulfasalazine. Later, tetracyclines were successfully used for the treatment of RA. In double-blind and randomized studies, levofloxacin and macrolide antibiotics (including clarithromycin and roxithromycin) were also shown to be effective in the treatment of RA. There have been several reports in the literature indicating that periodontal pathogens are a possible cause of RA. Oral bacteria are one possible cause of RA. In this review, we aimed to investigate the effects of different antibiotics in RA treatment.
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Introduction
Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a systemic inflammatory disease that affects approximately 0.5%–1% of the general population. Since the 1930s, RA has been treated with antibiotics, beginning with sulphonamide and then in the 1960s, with tetracycline derivatives. McPherson Brown was a proponent of using antibiotics, especially tetracyclines, to treat RA. Based on sporadic evidence in mammals and humans, Brown believed that RA was caused by microorganisms and suggested that long-term antibiotic treatment would change the course of the disease. During the 1970s and 1980s, Brown and his colleagues used tetracyclines and other types of antibiotics in the treatment of RA.

In the 1990s and in the beginning of the 21st century, four randomized trials were carried out that investigated the use of minocycline for the treatment of RA. In the last decade, there have been increasing reports indicating that periodontal pathogens might be the cause of RA. This article reviews the use of antibiotics for the treatment of RA.

Sulfasalazine
Professors Svartz, Willsteadt, and Askelow first produced sulfasalazine (SASP) as a combination of sulphapyridine and 5-aminosalicylic acid in Sweden in the 1930s. During that time, the sulphonamides were the only valid antibiotics for the treatment of “rheumatoid polyarthritis” (RA). Svartz and her colleagues published their work on the effects of SASP for the treatment of RA in 1948. However, due to the discovery of corticosteroids in 1949 and the increased interest in gold and penicillamine, SASPs did not become the preferred RA treatment until the 1980s. In a study published in 1980, McConkey et al restored the use of SASPs for the treatment of RA.
After intake, SASP is converted into sulphapyridine (SP) and 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) by the intestinal bacteria in the colon. Thirty percent of SP and the intact SASP molecule are absorbed, but 5-ASA is not, indicating that SP and SASP are the effective compounds for the treatment of RA. The benefits of sulfamethoxazole for the treatment of RA further support the hypothesis that SP is the active reagent in SASP. Finally, SASP is a type of antibiotic that can be effectively used for the treatment of RA.

In the 1940s, sulphonamides, which are effective in treating various gram-negative and -positive bacteria, were used for the treatment of periodontal diseases.

Two meta-analyses of a number of controlled studies indicated that SASP significantly improved the treatment of RA, in comparison with placebo.

The adverse events that occur with SASP include nausea, diarrhea, mucocutaneous reactions, urticaria, photosensitivity, neutropenia, lymphopenia, thrombocytopenia, hepatotoxicity, and the inhibition of spermatogenesis.

**Tetracyclines**

Tetracyclines are a group of antibiotics isolated from *Streptomyces* spp. that are congeners of polycyclic naphthacene-carboxamide. Tetracyclines are protein synthesis inhibitors, inhibiting the binding of aminoacyl–transfer ribonucleic acid (tRNA) to the messenger (m)RNA–ribosome complex. They do so mainly by binding to the 30S ribosomal subunit in the mRNA translation complex.

Tetracyclines have a broad spectrum of antibiotic action. They possess some level of bacteriostatic activity against almost all medically relevant aerobic and anaerobic bacterial genera, both gram-positive and gram-negative, with a few exceptions, such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Proteus* spp. which display intrinsic resistance.

There have been four double-blind, randomized clinical studies published regarding the use of minocycline for the treatment of RA. The first study was carried out in the Netherlands on 80 long-term (disease course > 10 years) RA patients who had not benefitted from more than one disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD). In this randomized controlled study, patients were treated with placebo or minocycline (200 mg per day) in addition to their previous drug regime, for 6 months. The second published study was conducted by the Minocycline in RA (MIRA) group. This study was carried out for 1 year and investigated 219 moderate RA patients who did not respond to one or more DMARD. The patients discontinued use of DMARDs during the study. The other two studies were conducted by the Rheumatoid Arthritis Investigational Network (RAIN).

The four trials showed that minocycline is indeed efficacious in the treatment of RA. When the long-term effects were studied, it was found that the minocycline was still effective during the second year of the treatment.

Genetic screening was taken into consideration only in the MIRA study. Interestingly, the better minocycline responders were, among Caucasians, those possessing the shared epitope rather than those not possessing it.

Oral tetracyclines are effective against most periodontal pathogens, and therefore, they are widely used in the treatment of periodontal diseases. Tetracyclines possess anti-inflammatory characteristics, which are largely independent of their antibacterial activity, and they inhibit certain enzymes, such as collagenase, the host-derived enzyme responsible for the breakdown of collagen, which is released during the inflammatory process.

The adverse events of the tetracyclines include anorexia, nausea, vomiting, dysphagia, photosensitivity, manifest exaggerated sunburn, anogenital lesions with monilial overgrowth, light-headedness, dizziness, vertigo, maculopapular rashes, Stevens–Johnson syndrome, hypersensitivity reactions and urticaria, hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia, neutropenia, drug-induced Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE), eosinophilia, and pseudotumor cerebri.

**Macrolide antibiotics**

The macrolides are a group of antibiotics whose activity stems from the presence of a macrolide ring, a macrocyclic lactone ring to which one or more deoxy sugars, usually cladinose and desosamine, may be attached. The lactone rings are usually 14-, 15-, or 16-membered. Macrolides belong to the polyketide class of natural products.

Macrolides are protein synthesis inhibitors. The mechanism of action of macrolides is the inhibition of biosynthesis bacterial protein, and they are thought to do this by preventing peptidyl transferase from adding the peptidyl attached to tRNA to the next amino acid as well as by inhibiting ribosomal translocation.

Macrolide antibiotics are used to treat infections caused by gram-negative anaerobic bacteria. The first trial with clarithromycin, a macrolide antibiotic, for the treatment of RA was conducted as an open-label study in Italy. This study of 18 RA patients was carried out for 6 months, and clarithromycin was found to be beneficial for RA treatment.
In a recent study, Saviola et al compared the efficacy of the addition of clarithromycin to methotrexate and methylprednisolone in active RA. This study showed that the addition of a 4-week clarithromycin cycle was efficacious in inducing the remission of the disease.20

In 2006, Ogrendik reported a study of the use of clarithromycin for the treatment of RA.28 This was a 6-month, randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled study. A total of 81 patients with early RA were treated with either once-daily oral clarithromycin (500 mg) or daily oral placebo. The primary efficacy variable was the percentage of patients who had a 20% improvement according to the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria (ie, an ACR 20 response) at 6 months. The secondary outcome measures were 50% improvement and 70% improvement, according to ACR criteria (an ACR 50 response and an ACR 70 response, respectively). A significantly greater percentage of patients treated with 500 mg clarithromycin met the ACR 20 response at 6 months compared with patients who received placebo (59% vs 33%) (P<0.001). A larger percentage of patients treated with 500 mg clarithromycin also achieved ACR 50 responses (34% vs 10%) (P<0.001) and ACR 70 responses (20% vs 3%) (P=0.003) compared with patients who received placebo, respectively. The clarithromycin was well tolerated. There were no dose-limiting toxic effects.

In 2009, Ogrendik reported on the use of another macrolide, roxithromycin, for the treatment of early RA. This was a double-blind trial.31 Adult patients with early RA who had not previously received DMARDs were enrolled and randomized to receive either once-daily oral roxithromycin (300 mg) or once-daily oral placebo for 3 months. The primary efficacy variable was the percentage of patients who had a 20% improvement according to ACR criteria at 3 months. The secondary outcome measures were 50% improvement and 70% improvement according to ACR criteria. At 3 months, the DAS28 response rates were significantly greater with once-daily roxithromycin than with once-daily placebo (P<0.001). Adverse events were reported for eleven patients (69%) in the roxithromycin group and for seven patients (47%) in the placebo group. The most common (>5%) adverse events were nausea, abdominal pain, headache, and dry mouth. There were no dose-limiting toxic effects. One participant in the roxithromycin group withdrew from the study because of severe emesis, while two withdrew from the placebo group because of a lack of efficacy.

In yet another study, Ogrendik and Karagoz used roxithromycin in patients who were known to be resistant to at least one DMARD.32 This was a 6-month, randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled trial. The researchers treated 100 active RA patients with either once-daily oral roxithromycin (300 mg) or daily oral placebo for 6 months. The primary efficacy variable was the percentage of patients who had a 20% improvement according to ACR criteria at 6 months. The secondary outcome measures were 50% improvement and 70% improvement according to ACR criteria. A significantly greater percentage of patients treated with roxithromycin met the ACR 20% improvement criteria at 6 months compared with patients who received placebo (60% vs 34%) (P=0.009). Greater percentages of patients treated with roxithromycin also achieved ACR 50 responses (38% vs 12%) (P=0.003) and ACR 70 responses (18% vs 2%) (P=0.008) compared with patients who received placebo. Roxithromycin was well tolerated, with an overall safety profile similar to that of the placebo.

The most common adverse events with the macrolides are drowsiness and gastrointestinal effects: diarrhea, nausea, abdominal pain, and vomiting. The less common adverse events include headaches; dizziness/motion sickness; rashes; and alteration in the senses of smell and taste, including a metallic taste that lasts the entire time of therapy. Dry mouth has also been reported, albeit less frequently.33

**Levofloxacin**

Levofloxacin is a broad-spectrum antibiotic of the fluoroquinolone drug class. Levofloxacin is used in the treatment of infections caused by periodontopathic bacteria and facultative anaerobic bacteria.34 It functions by inhibiting deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) gyrase, a type II topoisomerase, and topoisomerase IV, an enzyme necessary to separate replicated DNA, thereby inhibiting cell division. Levofloxacin can also affect mammalian cell replication. In particular, some congeners of this drug family display activity, not only against bacterial topoisomerases but also, against eukaryotic topoisomerases and are toxic to cultured mammalian cells and in vivo tumor models.34,35
Ogrendik demonstrated the effectiveness of levofloxacin in the treatment of RA. In this study, the research team randomly assigned 76 patients with persistently active RA, despite at least 6 months of methotrexate therapy at a stable dose of 15 to 25 mg per week, to receive either levofloxacin (500 mg) or placebo orally once daily, while continuing to receive methotrexate. The change in the swollen-joint count and tender-joint count from baseline to 6 months was the primary measure of efficacy. The secondary endpoints included pain, quality of life, the duration of morning stiffness, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein level, and physician and patient global assessments. The data were analyzed to determine the number of patients meeting ACR criteria for 20%, 50%, and 70% improvement. The levofloxacin plus methotrexate group was associated with the greatest reduction in the number of swollen or tender joints ($P<0.001$). The levofloxacin plus methotrexate group also had significant improvement in many of the secondary outcome measures ($P<0.001$). The levofloxacin was well tolerated. There were no dose-limiting toxic effects. In the patients with active RA who received methotrexate, treatment with levofloxacin significantly improved the signs and symptoms of RA.

The most frequently reported adverse events of levofloxacin have included nausea, headache, diarrhea, insomnia, constipation, and dizziness. The serious adverse events that may occur as a result of levofloxacin therapy include irreversible peripheral neuropathy, spontaneous tendon rupture and tendonitis, and QT prolongation/torsades de pointes.

**Conclusion**

The studies with various antibiotics confirm the efficacy of these drugs in the treatment of RA. Therefore, it is possible that the pathogen that causes RA is a microorganism (most likely periodontopathic bacteria).
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