Application of rapid artificial cardiac pacing in thoracic endovascular aortic repair in aged patients
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Objective: To compare the safety, efficacy, and impact on stent graft positioning between rapid artificial cardiac pacing (RACP), induced hypotension and sodium nitroprusside (SNP) induced hypotension during thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) for Stanford B aortic dissection.

Methods: One hundred and sixty-eight patients, who were diagnosed with Stanford B aortic dissection and who underwent selective TEVAR in Guangdong General Hospital and the People’s Hospital of Baon District, Shenzhen, People’s Republic of China, were enrolled in this study. Patients were randomly divided into a RACP group (n=77) and a SNP group (n=91). During localization and deployment of the stent graft, hypotension was induced by RACP or intravenous SNP, according to randomization. Hemodynamics, landing precision (deviation from planned placement site), duration of procedure, renal function, neurocognitive function, and incidence of endoleaks and paraplegia/hemiplegia were compared. Except for methods of inducing hypotension, TEVAR was performed according to the same protocol in each group.

Results: RACP was successfully performed in all patients assigned to the RACP group. Compared with the SNP group, blood pressure was significantly lower (43±5 versus 81±6 mmHg, P=0.003) and the restoration time of blood pressure and the operation duration were significantly shorter (7±2 versus 451±87 seconds, P<0.001; 87±15 versus 106±18 minutes, P<0.001, respectively) in the RACP group. Stent graft localization/deployment was more precise in the RACP group (±2 versus ±±2 mm, P<0.001). Compared to baseline, there was no significant change after TEVAR in either group in regard to renal function, neurocognitive function, and incidence of endoleaks and paraplegia/hemiplegia.

Conclusion: RACP can be safely applied to patients undergoing TEVAR for Stanford B dissection. RACP can shorten the operation duration and facilitate precise graft localization/deployment.
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Introduction

Aortic dissection is a critical condition of the cardiovascular system with fast onset and high mortality. In the People’s Republic of China, the incidence of aortic dissection, which poses a tremendous threat to people’s health, tends to rise yearly.1 Traditionally, aortic dissection was treated with aortic artificial vascular graft under thoracotomy, which is more invasive and prone to more severe postoperative complications. Since its successful application by Parodi for abdominal aortic aneurysm in 1991, thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) has been increasingly used to treat Stanford B aortic dissection.2 Generally, the proximal tears in Stanford B aortic dissection are very close to the origin of
the left subclavian artery and left common carotid artery, which means the landing zone is limited and precise localization and deployment of the stent graft is required to avoid compromising the blood supply to these side branches. Many methods have been applied to facilitate acute localization and deployment of the stent graft. Among them, medication (eg, sodium nitroprusside [SNP])-induced hypotension is the most widely used, although some disadvantages have been reported. In 2006, the authors first introduced rapid artificial cardiac pacing (RACP) among patients undergoing TEVAR for Stanford B aortic dissection. The present study aimed to compare the safety and impact of RACP and SNP-induced hypotension in patients undergoing TEVAR for aortic dissection.

 Subjects and methods
Research design
This study comprised prospective, randomized, case control research. From September 2007 to February 2009, 197 patients who were diagnosed with Stanford B aortic dissection and who underwent TEVAR were enrolled. The patients were randomly divided into a RACP group (n=77) and a SNP group (n=91). During localization and deployment of the stent graft, hypotension was induced by RACP in patients assigned to the RACP group and by intravenous SNP in those assigned to the SNP group, in an attempt to facilitate precise graft localization/deployment. Apart from the induction method, TEVAR was performed according to the same protocol in each group.

Stents
Talent™ (Medtronic, Inc, Minneapolis, MN, USA) and Hercules™ (Shanghai MicroPort Medical [Group] Co, Ltd, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China) stents were used in this study.

Anesthesia
For all the punctures the local infiltration, lidocaine was administered as local anesthesia before femoral artery puncture. When the puncture site was expanded or the stent graft inserted (approximately 1 cm), 10 mL lidocaine was generally supplied.

Surgical procedure
The patients were in a supine position after anesthesia, and the left radial artery puncture was made with the Seldinger approach. A 6F pigtail catheter was inserted into the ascending aorta for aortic angiography by the following procedure: the catheter was placed into the proximal opening of the left subclavian artery, and the booster was used to expand the aortic arch to the maximum angle (average of 45°). Forty milliliters of contrast was injected at the speed of 20 mL/second and left for exposure for 10–12 seconds. The real and false lumens, as well as the dissection gap positions, were found, and then the openings of the celiac artery, superior mesenteric artery, and renal artery, within the real and false lumens, were checked.

The Seldinger approach of puncture into the femoral artery was made from the ideal side of the dissection relative to the real lumen, at 1–2 cm above the bifurcation point between the deep and superficial femoral artery (which was found with computed tomography angiography prior to surgery). Next, the sheath of artery was delivered, and the correct positioning was confirmed with angiography. The loach wire was then passed through the catheter and shown with intermittent imaging to have entered the aortic real lumen. The angiography catheter advanced into sent to the ascending aorta and then the loach wire was replaced by a hard guide wire. The angiography catheter was then removed. The contralateral femoral vein was punctured to implant the temporary pacemaker at the right ventricular apex and the pacemaker adjusted for RACP. The aortic stent graft was passed through to the landing zone of the aortic arch. Hypertension was controlled after correct positioning of the stent was confirmed with angiography.

The landing zone represents the normal artery tissues that are located proximally to the cleft and the hematoma, and was confirmed by main artery computed tomography angiography prior to the surgery. The landing zone requires a distance of 2 cm. Whether the landing zone would cover the opening of the subclavian artery was determined by the distance from the opening to the hematoma – the landing zone may cover the subclavian artery if the distance is too short. The landing zone should not cover the hematoma.

For controlled hypertension, RACP or SNP was employed. For RACP, the temporary pacemaker was adjusted to 180 beats/minute, until the systolic blood pressure dropped to 45 mmHg. Then the graft was released section by section, with the bracket naturally open and fixed on the aorta wall. In the SNP group, SNP drugs were intravenously infused, with the blood pressure monitored. The stent was released section by section when the blood pressure dropped below 80 mmHg. The SNP drugs were stopped once the stent was fully released.

After the stent was released, angiography was immediately performed to examine the positioning and morphology of the stent and to check for any endoleaks or whether the upper aortic arch branch flowed well. A second thoracic
aorta angiography was performed to examine the extent of gap closure and check for reduction of endoleaks. Finally, the femoral artery puncture was closed by Perclose stapler suture.

Evaluation of neurocognitive function

Before and after the operation, neurocognitive dysfunction was evaluated in all patients by the Folstein mini-mental state examination (MMSE) (maximum 30 points). Patients were divided into four groups: serious cognitive dysfunction (0–17 points); moderate cognitive dysfunction (18–24 points); mild cognitive dysfunction (25–26 points); and normal cognitive function (27–30 points).

Precision of deployment

The distance between the preset anchoring point and the proximal marker of stent graft was measured to evaluate the precision of deployment.

Statistics

Normally distributed variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, and categorical variables were presented as frequency and percent. Differences of continuous variables were analyzed by Student’s t-tests, and those of categorical variables by χ² tests or Fisher’s exact test. The Mann–Whitney U test was applied to analyze abnormal distributed variables like estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and MMSE scores. A P-value <0.05 (two-tailed) was considered significant. Analyses were performed with SPSS software (version 17.0; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY USA).

Results

Baseline characteristics (eg, age, complications, pharmacotherapy, and basic renal function) were not significantly different between the SNP and RACP groups (P >0.05) (Table 1).

When compared to the patients in the SNP group, the pressure-lowering effect was more significant, the restoration time of blood pressure was shorter, and the stent graft deployment was more precise in patients in the RACP group (Table 2).

In each of the two groups, the cognitive function and renal function were not significantly different before and after the procedure (Table 3).

The incidence of endoleak and paraplegia/hemiplegia was not significantly different between the two groups. In both groups, paraplegia/hemiplegia occurred only in cases of long (20 cm) stent graft implantation (Table 4).

### Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SNP group (n=91)</th>
<th>RACP group (n=77)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>65±9.2</td>
<td>61±9.9</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>82 (90.1)</td>
<td>67 (87.0)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>10 (11)</td>
<td>11 (14.3)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung disease</td>
<td>19 (20.9)</td>
<td>16 (20.8)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
<td>24 (26.4)</td>
<td>21 (27.3)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-receptor blocker</td>
<td>88 (96.7)</td>
<td>73 (94.8)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEi/ARB</td>
<td>83 (91.2)</td>
<td>67 (87)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous vasodilator</td>
<td>84 (92.3)</td>
<td>70 (90.9)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td>25 (27.5)</td>
<td>18 (23.4)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-receptor blocker</td>
<td>4 (4.1)</td>
<td>8 (8.1)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium ion antagonist</td>
<td>52 (57.1)</td>
<td>54 (70.1)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 cm (microinvasive)</td>
<td>46 (50.5)</td>
<td>34 (44.2)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 cm (Medtronic)</td>
<td>19 (20.9)</td>
<td>17 (22.1)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 cm (Medtronic)</td>
<td>31 (28.6)</td>
<td>32 (21.4)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (percent). Medtronic, Inc, Minneapolis, MN, USA.

Abbreviations: ACEi, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker.

### Table 2 Hemodynamic indices of the two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SNP group (n=91)</th>
<th>RACP group (n=77)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>96±5</td>
<td>102±4</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure during the intervention (mmHg)</td>
<td>81±6</td>
<td>43±5</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure after the intervention (mmHg)</td>
<td>97±4</td>
<td>101±4</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restoration time of blood pressure (seconds)</td>
<td>451±87</td>
<td>7±2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>64±6</td>
<td>66±3</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate during the intervention (bpm)</td>
<td>72±7</td>
<td>191±10</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate after the intervention (bpm)</td>
<td>65±4</td>
<td>67±4</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation duration (minutes)</td>
<td>106±18</td>
<td>87±15</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision of graft localization (mm)</td>
<td>5±2</td>
<td>2±1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation.
is the windsock effect: during stent graft deployment (especially while opening the front end of the stent graft), the blood flow in the aorta is completely blocked by the partly deployed stent graft, which leads to an abrupt increase of blood pressure onto the stent graft, which pushes the stent graft backward.

Lowering pressure during operation is an effective method by which to avoid the adverse influences of the windsock effect. In general, blood pressure is lowered by the following methods:

1. Manually. The carotid sinus is compressed to stimulate the vagal reflex in order to achieve a pressure-lowering effect. This method is adopted by few doctors, due to the inconstant effect and poor blood pressure control.
2. With medications (eg, SNP, nitroglycerin, and short-acting β-blockers). The medication approach is employed by most doctors, but still has some disadvantages (eg, individualized dose, unstable pressure-lowering effect, adverse effect on cognitive/renal function, and long restoration time of blood pressure). Furthermore, it is believed that, even though the medication approach decreases peripheral resistance, it also increases cardiac output, which may subsequently offset its improvement effect on the precise stent graft localization/deployment.
3. By partial right atrial inflow occlusion. In a normal human body, two-thirds of blood backflow to the right side of the heart comes from the inferior vena cava. If the blood flow from the inferior vena cava is blocked, the cardiac output will be immediately reduced by two-thirds, theoretically, so a pressure-lowering effect could be achieved. This technique has been recently adopted by some American doctors to lower blood pressure, but it is used in only a few centers; its reliability and safety require further investigation.

In the present study, when compared to the traditional pressure-lowering technique via pharmacological modulation, RACP could significantly reduce the cardiac output, thus effectively reduce the impact of blood flow on the stent graft, without showing additional side effects. RACP has been routinely applied during percutaneous aortic valve replacement to facilitate precise valve deployment, without any reported relevant complication from more than 10,000 patients. Therefore, in 2006, our hospital (The Affiliated Baoan Hospital of SMU and Guangdong General Hospital) first applied RACP for TEVAR in China to avoid the windsock effect during stent graft positioning/deployment. If the stent graft jumps forward when the stent graft is partly deployed, the pacing can be stopped temporarily to allow the windsock effect to push the stent graft slightly backward, which can achieve a precise localization with one attempt. In this study, RACP could immediately decrease the blood pressure to target level, unlike SNP (P=0.003). Furthermore, the aortic pressure could be rapidly restored to the physiological level before the pacing once RACP was stopped. Compared with the SNP group, the restoration time in the RACP group was significantly shorter (P<0.001). In complicated cases requiring a second graft or postdilation, RACP can be applied again immediately. This enables the operator to solve the problem immediately rather than waiting for SNP to take effect, which is very important for preventing complications and shortening the duration of the total procedure. In the present study, the stent grafts were more precisely localized and deployed in the RACP group than in the SNP group (P<0.001). It has been determined that whether the stent graft is deployed precisely correlates to the incidence of endoleak. Therefore, RACP might reduce the risk of endoleak by facilitating more precise stent graft deployment. However, we found no significant difference in the incidence of endoleak between the RACP and SNP groups, which might have resulted from the small sample size in our study. In the future, we plan to recruit more patients to see if there is any further difference between RACP and SNP groups.

Temporary percutaneous pacemaker implantation is a common therapy for bradycardia. When the heart beat amounts to 160–200 bpm, disharmonious systole begins to occur and left ventricle filling time is significantly reduced.

### Table 3 eGFR and cognitive function before and after the operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SNP group (n=91)</th>
<th>RACP group (n=77)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before the operation</td>
<td>After the operation</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR</td>
<td>67 (53–75)</td>
<td>65 (50–82)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive function</td>
<td>27 (26–29)</td>
<td>27 (26–28)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data are presented as number (percentage range).
**Abbreviations:** eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; SNP, sodium nitroprusside; RACP, rapid artificial cardiac pacing.

### Table 4 Incidence rate of paraplegia and endoleaks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SNP group (n=91)</th>
<th>RACP group (n=77)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paraplegia 6 (6.5)</td>
<td>4 (4.9)</td>
<td>0.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Endoleaks 7 (7.7)</td>
<td>4 (4.9)</td>
<td>0.765</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data are presented as number (percent).
**Abbreviations:** SNP, sodium nitroprusside; RACP, rapid artificial cardiac pacing.
These two factors remarkably reduce the cardiac output and also reduce the mean arterial pressure, to 30–60 mmHg. During TEVAR, the pacing frequency can be adjusted from 160 to 200 bpm to reduce the aortic pressure to 30–60 mmHg and completely eliminate pulse pressure, which keeps a relatively stable pressure in the aorta and thus facilitates the precise stent graft localization/deployment. Aortic pressure was significantly lower in the RACP group compared with the SNP group, but the risk of cognitive dysfunction, renal dysfunction, and paraplegia/hemiplegia in the RACP group was not higher than in the SNP group, probably due to the much shorter-duration hypotension and the faster restoration of blood pressure after RACP was stopped. Semmens et al demonstrated that long-lasting hypotension could result in low perfusion of the spinal cord and thus increase the risk of ischemic injury. In the present study, the duration of hypotension was significantly shorter in the RACP group when compared to the SNP group.

Common complications of RACP are mainly puncturesite-related (eg, puncture site hematoma and arteriovenous fistula) and can be greatly reduced when RACP is performed by skilled doctors. Implanting the pacing lead under fluoroscopy and applying RACP for less than 10 seconds could reduce the incidence of complications to an acceptable level. In addition to puncture-related complications, RACP can cause tachycardia and bradycardia as well. In patients without established heart diseases or previous tachycardia, the likelihood of acquired tachycardia is less than 1%. In patients with left bundle branch block, occurrences of pacemaker dependency should be expected; a permanent pacemaker is needed in some cases. In the present study, there were three cases of left bundle branch block in the RACP group, yet we found no pacemaker dependency in these cases.

In an attempt to achieve precise deployment, some improvements in stent graft design have been made. The windsock effect was still detected in the present study, even with use of the new generation of stent graft. RACP can be considered an effective, stable, safe method with which to facilitate precise deployment of stent graft and should be routinely applied during TEVAR for Stanford B aortic dissection. In the future, we will perform long-term follow-up studies to evaluate any potential differences between RACP and SNP control of blood pressure during TEVAR.

**Conclusion**

During TEVAR for Stanford B aortic dissection, RACP was compared to medication-induced hypotension and was shown to be able to facilitate precise graft localization/deployment, without increasing the risk of complications.
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