Implications of chemokine receptors and inflammatory lipids in cancer
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Abstract: Inflammatory lipids receive much attention due to their important biological activities. Knowledge of the chemokine system has also reached a level that makes it interesting in clinics, which prompted clinical trials into compounds manipulating chemokines or their receptors. However, little attention has been devoted to understand the relations between these two systems. Here, we will review the role of inflammatory lipids and chemokines in innate and adaptive immunity with an attempt to link the two systems and with emphasis on their importance in cancer development.
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Introduction
A fundamental aspect of leukocyte function is their migration. All leukocytes migrate from the bone marrow via the blood to reach their sites of action. This tightly regulated process involves multiple steps, of which chemokines only account for a few. After selectin-dependent rolling and integrin-dependent adhesion, chemokines induce polarization of the leukocytes as they change their shape and start crawling towards an extracellular gradient of the chemokines.1 Chemokine receptor signaling evokes cytoskeleton remodeling resulting in expansion of a lamellipodium in front and contraction in a myosin-dependent manner of the uropod at the back. Thus, by releasing molecules binding to the substrate below, cells move through tissues. As they navigate through the tissues, different chemokines and adhesion molecules in the microenvironment help in localizing various subsets of cells depending on their expression patterns of chemokine receptors.

Chemokines and chemokine receptors
Chemokines are important in health and disease as they orchestrate the infiltration of leukocytes.2 These molecules are divided into four subfamilies based on the position of the cysteine residue in the amino terminal end of the molecules; these are known as CXC or α, CC or β, C or γ, and CX3C or δ chemokines. Chemokines and their receptors are also classified based on their functions as inflammatory chemokines or inflammatory chemokine receptors, or they are classified based on house-keeping functions that are involved in the circulation and homing of cells under physiological conditions.3 All chemokine receptors activate heterotrimeric G proteins and various intracellular signaling pathways.

Inflammatory lipids in innate immunity
Lipids are important second messengers and much is yet to be known about their complex biology. We will focus here on the current knowledge of lipids and chemokines in inflammation, with an emphasis on cancer.
Lysophospholipids
Among the lysophospholipids, sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P) is one of the most extensively studied; it binds heptahelical receptors coupled to heterotrimeric G proteins and constitutes a major part of serum and plasma. We recently reviewed its impact on cancer microenvironment. S1P is a multifunctional lipid present in high concentrations up to the micromolar range in the serum and it regulates many cell responses, such as cell proliferation, apoptosis, cell differentiation and migration, as well as immunological responses. It is generated from sphingolipids, which are essential plasma membrane lipids concentrated in liquid-ordered domains, commonly known as lipid rafts. S1P can be rapidly metabolized following stimulation of various plasma membrane receptors through the activation of an enzymatic cascade. This pathway has been denoted the sphingomyelin cycle, due to the fact that, for all the steps, reverse reactions may take place catalyzed by specific enzymes such as S1P phosphatases, ceramide synthase, and sphingomyelin synthase.

S1P is synthesized by most cells, but, due to intracellular degradation by S1P lyase and S1P phosphatase-induced dephosphorylation, its level in tissues is low. The exception is blood with low micromolar levels of this lipid mainly contributed by erythrocytes. In the lymph, the S1P levels are in the hundred nanomolar range. Serum protein partners might have a role in determining the uptake and intracellular degradation of S1P as free S1P and S1P bound to serum albumin are more susceptible to degradation than when bound to lipoproteins such as high-density lipoprotein. Also, the concentration gradient between blood and tissues may be ablated by inhibition of S1P lyase activity, resulting in increased levels of S1P in tissues. There are reasons to believe that the lymph S1P, as well as its plasma level, is regulated by the endothelium. Secretion of the lipid from these cells is increased by the physiological stimulus of shear stress, but that is not the case for platelets nor mast cells.

The direct actions of S1P may be exerted via two different mechanisms. Either via the extracellular S1P receptors or via intracellular modes of action. The membrane bound receptors for S1P have been cloned, and were first linked to differentiation of endothelial cells. They were therefore named endothelial differentiation gene but renamed once it was realized that the ligand for the receptor family was S1P. Thus, they are now known as S1PR1, S1PR2, S1PR3, S1PR4, and S1PR. The receptors are expressed in different patterns through the immune system. Dendritic cells express all five receptors and human natural killer (NK) cells express mRNA for all receptors except for S1PR2. All five receptors signal through G-protein coupled receptors, but differ in downstream effects. Initial findings of overexpression of sphingosine kinase 1 (SphK1) in fibroblasts capable of developing into tumors and enhanced SphK1 mRNA expression in solid tumors compared to normal tissues made S1P a possible target of research in the cancer field.

The main function of many of the S1P receptors is migration. Murine mature dendritic cells (mDCs), but not immature dendritic cells (iDCs), migrate towards S1P in a pattern correlated with the upregulation of S1PR and S1PR3 during maturation. This action is dependent on signaling through Rac/Cdc42 and Rho as blocking of these small GTPases results in a complete failure to migrate. The S1P receptor agonist fingolimod (FTY720) does not trigger migration of DCs, leading to the proposition that part of the immune modulation accomplished by FTY720 may be caused by impaired DC migration.

Whereas low concentrations of S1P promote chemotaxis in a S1PR1 dependent manner, high concentrations seem to be inhibitory. An explanation for this inhibition may be downregulation of S1PR1 by high S1P concentrations. Blood concentrations of S1P are high and, therefore, this may be relevant in vivo during transit of cells in blood. In certain immune cells this concentration dependence is less evident, and in some cases the chemotactic response is associated with a particular stage of cell differentiation or cell activation, which leads to changes in receptor expression. This is the case for DCs as they mainly express S1PR1 in the immature state but upregulate S1PR3 upon maturation, which then may mediate their chemotactic response towards S1P. Interestingly, a similar regulatory capacity may exist for chemokine receptors through S1P receptor agonism as FTY720 at high doses significantly reduced renal expression of CCR (CC chemokine receptor)1, CCR2, and CCR5. In this study of partly nephrectomized rats, CCL (CC motif ligand) 2/MCP-1 gene expression as well as plasma concentrations of the proinflammatory cytokines IFN(28)(interferon)-, TNF (tumor necrosis factor)-, IL (interleukin)-6, IL-12 and CCL5/RANTES (regulated on activation, normal T-cell expressed and secreted) were also reduced. The effect may have been mediated by S1PR2 through G and Rac1-dependent signaling pathways.

The cross talk among chemokines and the S1P system is evident in the study showing that FTY720 stimulated migration towards the lymph nodes is dependent on the CCR7 ligands CCL19 and CCL21. For FTY720 enhanced migration in lymphoid compartments, additional chemokine...
receptors are at work as compared to the homeostatic state. In this study, Yopp et al showed that FTY720-stimulated migration of T-cells is dependent on CCR2, CCR5, CCR7, and CXCR4 in anatomically restricted compartments. Later, it was shown that overexpression of S1PR1 results in reduced expression of CXCR4 leading to tenfold reduction in migration of Jurkat cells or peripheral blood progenitor cells towards CXCL12/SDF (stromal cell-derived factor)-1α and eightfold reduction in bone marrow homing, while S1P receptor agonists may sensitize the cells for CXCR4 signaling via S1PR3. This is in line with in vivo and in vitro evidence of increased CXCR4 function in hematopoietic progenitor cells, where activation of S1P receptors by FTY720 modulated the effects of CXCL12/SDF-1α. Not only the S1P receptors are important in this respect, as disruption of the S1P gradient towards blood as well as desensitization of its receptors reduced egress of immature progenitors in the steady state due to inhibition of CXCL12/SDF-1α release. Functionally, the S1P-CXCR4 cross talk mediates adhesion and transendothelial migration of myeloma cells through upregulation of their adhesion followed by CXCL12/SDF-1α mediated transmigration. The FTY720 mediated lymphopenia also relies on chemokine receptors for the initial accumulation of cells in secondary lymphoid organs, as is evident by it being delayed in mice lacking CCR7 and significantly reduced in CXCR5 knockout mice; hence, the sequestration of lymphoid cells in the secondary lymphoid organs does not appear to depend on CCR7 and CXCR5. Synergism at the second messenger level may explain this. As well as CXCL13/BLC, the ligand for CXCR5 and S1P stimulate the common activation pathway of tyrosine kinases Pyk2 and Rap, which are required for their induction of B-cell migration as well as adhesion to intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) and vascular cell adhesion protein 1 (VCAM-1). This mechanism may also be important for maturation as splenic T-cell migration towards CCL19/MIP-3β and CXCL12/SDF-1α is enhanced by S1P receptor stimulation, while peripheral lymph node nonactivated and naïve T-cells require both chemokines and S1P receptors stimulation.

The prostaglandins

Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) is notable for its many ways of interfering with white blood cell functions. By suppressing acute inflammatory functions of macrophages, granulocytes, naïve Th1 and cytotoxic T-cells, as well as NK cells, while promoting Th2 or T regulatory responses, it greatly influences the immune response. By activating its receptor, EP2, PGE2 increases intracellular levels of cAMP (cyclic adenosine monophosphate) in NK cells and limits their cytolytic function as well as reducing IFN-γ secretion induced by IL-12 and/or IL-18. Accordingly, macrophage phagocytosis and bacterial killing is inhibited.

In DCs, IL-12 production is abrogated while the secretion of IL-10 is increased, leading to IL-4/IL-5 producing Th2 cells. This prompts a Th2-skewness as well as blockage of the development of IL-18-induced CCR7+ NK cells that would otherwise home to the lymph nodes in order to secrete IFN-γ and promote Th1 responses. The production of Th1 cytokine IFN-γ, but not Th2 cytokines IL-4 and IL-5, in T-cells is also inhibited by PGE2. Finally, the Th1-suppressive effects are evident in innate immune cells as IL-12 production is suppressed in monocytes and DCs.

The generally inhibitory impact of PGE2 on innate immunity is especially evident in the case of cancer. The development of DCs is redirected towards myeloid-derived suppressor cells – functionally diverse immature myeloid cells promoting cancer development and suppressing cytotoxic T-lymphocytes responses – when PGE2 is added to the standard regimen of monocyte development. The same was observed when DCs were generated in the presence of PGE2 produced by cancer cells as it leads to a tolerogenic M2 phenotype with low expression of costimulatory molecules and altered IL-12/IL-10 balance, which leads to poor capacity to stimulate T-cell proliferation and IFN-γ production.

PGE2 impact on DCs when already matured from monocytes is a lot different from the general inhibitory effects on monocytes. For example, PGE2, when added to cultures supplied with IL-β or TNF-α, accelerates the maturation of DCs, elevating their expression of costimulatory molecules and making them superior in inducing IFN-γ release from T-cells. Emphasizing the differential impact of PGE2 on DCs at various differentiation stages, PGE2 enhanced IL-12 secretion from DCs while it reduced the levels secreted from LPS-stimulated DCs.

PGE2 is required for functionally activating monocyte derived DCs upon upregulation of CCR7. Recently, the mechanism for the upregulation of CCR7 was shown to be due to decreased endogenous secretion of the CCR7 ligand CCL19/MIP-3β by PGE2. This results in the capacity to migrate towards lymph node associated chemokines CCL19/MIP-3β or CCL21/MIP-3α, which is a prerequisite for T-cell priming. DCs treated with PGE2 also showed enhanced expression of CCR7 and migration towards its ligands, while they were weak secreter of CCL19/MIP-3β and, hence, unable to attract naïve T-cells.
PGE2 enhances production of interleukin 8 (CXCL8/IL-8) and CCL2/MCP-1 and is necessary for the migration of human DCs, and thus for the recruitment of cells of the innate immune system. However, CCR5 expression on monocytes and macrophages is blocked. Similarly, the functions of CCL5 and CXCR3 are also blocked, thus impeding the potential for NK-DC cross talk while at the same time attracting T regulatory cells. PGE2 is important as well for the production of CXCL12/SDF-1, the expression of its receptor, CXCR4, on myeloid-derived suppressor cells, and, consequently, for the recruitment of these cells towards ovarian cancer sites. It even increases tumor growth through increased angiogenesis via the induction of CXCL1/GRO-α expression. Finally, emphasizing the importance of PGE2 in DC development, replacement of PGE2 and suppression of cyclooxygenase 2 enhances the immunogenic and therapeutic activity of cancer vaccines. On the other hand, a recent knockout study of PGE-1 synthase in mice did not affect maturation or migration of DCs, suggesting that further research is needed in this field.

Relevance to cancer
The importance of chemokines in cancer was established in early 1980s as MCP-1/CCL2 was identified in cultures of tumor cell lines. Knowledge of the important contributions in embryology and physiology has been followed by substantial research on the implications for cancer development and treatment.

Allavena et al reviewed the role of chemokines in cancer related inflammation, dividing the connection between chemokines and cancer related inflammation into two pathways: 1) the oncogene-driven intrinsic pathway that triggers the inflammatory cascade; and 2) the leukocyte-driven extrinsic pathway establishing inflammatory conditions, thus increasing cancer risk. Lazennec and Richmond provided further insights into the importance of chemokine receptors in this field.

In order to translate the implications of lipids through chemokines and their receptors to the different steps of cancer pathophysiology, we provide a stepwise model (Figure 1). In this model, seven key steps at which inflammatory lipids and chemokines play important roles are highlighted: 1) the adhesion and rolling of leukocytes as a first step in shaping the inflammatory milieu around cancer cells; 2) the transmigration of cancer cells through the vascular endothelium; 3) the impact of the tumor microenvironment on the leukocytes, implicating functional maturation towards anti- or pro-cancerous phenotypes; 4) the retention of leukocytes, mediated via the mechanisms described in step 3; 5) in malignant transformation. As the first step in cancerogenesis, this is the process by which normal tissue resident cells undergo genetic changes to become cancerous cells – at this point, chemokines do not play any major role, but we will touch upon how S1P and PGE2 do; 6) growth and development of a solid tumor; and 7) dissemination of cancer cells, which is highly dependent on the expression of chemokine receptors.

![Figure 1](https://www.dovepress.com/121x98.png) Development of tumor metastases aided by the chemokine system. The process of tumor dissemination is depicted in seven steps: 1. Adhesion/rolling of leukocytes. 2. Transmigration of leukocytes. 3. Maturation of leukocytes. 4. Retention of leukocytes. 5. Malignant transformation. 6. Malignant growth. 7. Metastases.
Steps 1–4: Recruitment of leukocytes subsets (steps 1 and 2), followed by maturation of the cells into protumoral phenotypes (step 3), is an important process related to the function of chemokines. In this respect, Negus et al described the involvement of leukocytes in ovarian cancer stroma.\(^{85}\) By immunohistochemical analysis of epithelial ovarian tumor biopsies, they determined the content of leukocyte subsets. CD68\(^+\) macrophages accounted for 3,700 cells/mm\(^3\), CD8\(^+\)/CD45RO\(^+\) T-cells for 2,200 cells/mm\(^3\), and NK cells, B-cells, and mast cells between zero and 200 cells/mm\(^3\). There was a correlation between CD8\(^+\) T-cells and numbers of cells expressing CCL2/MCP-1 and CCL5/RANTES. Further, a correlation between numbers of macrophages and the numbers of cells expressing CCL2/MCP-1 led to the suggestion that CCL2/MCP-1 may be responsible for leukocyte infiltration into ovarian carcinomas,\(^{85}\) and that epithelial cells are the major source of CCL2/MCP-1.\(^{86}\) Subsequently, in culture with tumor cells, the CD14\(^+\)/CD16\(^+\) subset of monocytes increased the expression of CCR2,\(^{87}\) trapping them inside the cancer microenvironment. In this milieu, the same monocyte subset also increased its expression of CXCR1, CXCR2, and CXCR4.\(^{87}\) The change was associated with enhanced migration towards CXCL8/IL-8 for the CXCR1 and CXCR2 expressing cells and towards stromal cell-derived factor-1 (CXCL12/SDF-1\(\alpha\)) for the CXCR4 expressing ones. This reflects the importance of chemokine receptors in functionally programming the different monocyte subsets, as they express different chemokine receptors.

An example of how differences in the tumor microenvironment affects leukocyte chemokine expression comes from ovarian cancer. While CCR1 and CCR5 are the only CC chemokine receptors that are consistently expressed in ovarian tumors, leukocytes in ascites of advanced ovarian cancer show expression patterns of chemokine receptors comparable to that which is found in peripheral blood. This has been proposed to be related to the microenvironment in which these cells are found, characterized by differential concentration levels of chemokines and cytokines, but also physiological factors such as hypoxia.\(^{85,88,89}\) Importantly, CCR2 is downregulated on tumor cells associated with macrophage phenotypes responding to local TNF-\(\alpha\) production, which was suggested to serve as a mechanism to arrest and retain recruited macrophages (step 4).

The tumor chemokine microenvironment is further notable in that it is suppressive of specific anticancer responses. Exposure of macrophages to this milieu leads to their maturation into type-2 macrophages, or tumor-associated macrophages.\(^{90}\) Their release of IL-10 and TGF-\(\beta\) as well as CCL2/MCP-1 polarizes the immune response towards Th2, thus inhibiting macrophage and CD8\(^+\) T-cell killing of cancer cells. In ovarian cancer, tumor cell production of CXCL12/SDF-1\(\alpha\) reduces immunity by attracting and protecting CXCR4-expressing plasmacytoid DCs but not myeloid DCs, hence weakening immunity.\(^{91}\) Emphasizing the importance of the chemokine system as a pro-cancerous mediator, data from mouse models suggest that the net effect may be the promotion of growth, angiogenesis, apoptosis, and metastasis.\(^{92–94}\)

Other observations indicate that it may be a matter of balancing pro- and anti-cancerous effects. This seems to be most important regarding angiogenesis,\(^{95}\) including human non-small-cell lung carcinoma, in which the ratio of glutamic acid-leucine-arginine to non-acid-leucine-arginine CXC chemokine expression is high, and in a severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mouse model where neutralization of endogenous tumor-derived CXCL8/IL-8 could inhibit tumor growth and metastasis by about 50% through a decrease in tumor-derived vessel density without directly affecting tumor cell proliferation. An interesting perspective is studies of D6 and the Duffy antigen, which are involved in post inflammatory clearance of chemokines,\(^{96}\) as they are related to general protection from cancer.\(^{97,98}\)

Step 5 describes the malignant transformation. The chemokines do not directly play a major role at this point, however S1P and PGE2 do. S1P is regarded a prosurvival lipid due to its involvement in many of the processes implicated in the shaping of a favorable tumor microenvironment.\(^{5}\) Concerning the malignant transformation specifically, overexpression of sphingosine kinase 1 (SK1) – one of the two kinases that catalyze S1P – resulted in tumor formation in 3T3 fibroblasts\(^{99,100}\) while its deletion resulted in reduced head and neck squamous cell carcinogenesis.\(^{100}\) Very recent developments in the field suggest that SK interaction with oncogenes is critical in early development of cancer, as was recently reviewed.\(^{101}\)

In the case of colon cancer, S1P and SK1 both independently stimulate the expression of cyclooxygenase 2, leading to increased PGE2 levels.\(^{102}\) In this study, while 75% of the rat colon adenocarcinomas stained strongly positive for SK1, none of the normal epithelium samples did. The same group also linked the expression of the PGE2 receptor EP1 to colon cancer development as its knockdown reduced cancer incidence.\(^{103}\)

In step 6, we describe the axis of another chemokine/chemokine receptor in terms of enhancing cell growth.\(^{104}\) CXCR2 inhibits growth; its knockout reduces senescence
(inability of division) that is oncogene-induced as well as replication-induced\textsuperscript{105} while CXCR2 overexpression leads to premature senescence. CXCL1/GRO-α, the ligand for CXCR1 and CXCR2, also serves to reprogram cancer-associated stromal fibroblasts to a senescent protumorigenic state in ovarian cancer.\textsuperscript{106} Hence, CXCR2 and its ligands are regarded as gate keepers of tumor growth by increasing senescence.\textsuperscript{84} CXCR2 also enhances neoangiogenesis and leukocyte infiltration,\textsuperscript{104} suggesting a function in establishing a sustainable tumor microenvironment rather than uncontrolled growth.

In the last step, we describe the process of dissemination, which is caused by cells traveling through the blood stream to reside in various tissues. These cells are a lot more susceptible to the effects of cytostatic drugs, which is promising in therapeutic terms. The consensus is that the gradients towards which the cancer cells migrate are generated by tissue resident cells,\textsuperscript{107} but a more autonomous role has been suggested. It implicates an autocrine mechanism by which cancer cells generate their own chemokine gradient, which has been described for CCL19/MIP-3β and CCL21/MIP-3α.\textsuperscript{108}

Some examples of chemokine directed dissemination are the chemokine receptor/chemokine axes CXCL12-CXCR4, CCL19-CCR7 or CCL21-CCR7, and CCL27-CCR10, which are associated with metastasis to bone, lymph nodes, and skin, respectively.\textsuperscript{107} Recent studies further link CXCR1 expressed in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cells to migration towards CXCL1/fraktalkine produced by neurons and nerve fibers.\textsuperscript{109} Melanoma cells expressing CCR9 metastasize to the small intestine and non-melanoma cells expressing CXCR2 spread to the lungs.\textsuperscript{111} Finally, CCR7 aids cells in migrating into the lymph nodes and CXCR4 into distant organs.\textsuperscript{104} Based on this, there is a reason to believe that any small effect on the cancer microenvironment that may lead to alteration of the expression levels of these receptors may be of vital importance for clinical end points in cancer.

**Future perspectives**

In this review, we attempted to link two complicated systems (ie, chemokines and inflammatory lipids) and to explain their roles in cancer (Figure 2). We highlighted the importance of chemokine receptor CCR2, which binds CCL2/MCP-1 as

---

**Figure 2** Interactions among chemokines and inflammatory lipids.

**Notes:** Both chemokines and inflammatory lipids receptors are coupled to G proteins. S1P1 binds S1P\textsubscript{1–5}, FTY720 binds S1P\textsubscript{1,3,4,5} and PEG2 binds EP2 and EP4. Activity 1: CCR2, CCR5, and CCR7, as well as CXCR4 and CXCR5, contribute to the effect of FTY720-induced homing of lymphocytes. Activity 2: FTY720 through S1P receptor agonism reduced the expression of CCR1, CCR2, and CCR5 in renal parenchyma. Activity 3: Overexpression of S1P\textsubscript{1} inhibits the migratory effect of CXCL12/SDF-1α for peripheral blood progenitor cells, and this effect is reduced upon FTY720 treatment due to downregulation of S1P. Activity 4: The expression of CXCR4, as well as the secretion of CXCL12/SDF-1α, is increased by PGE2 on myeloid derived suppressor cells, leading to their recruitment into tumor growth sites. Activity 5: PGE2 is a prerequisite for the expression of CCR7 in monocyte derived DCs, and it enhances their migration towards lymph node associated chemokines CCL19/MIP-3β or CCL21/MIP-3α. However, the expression of CCR5 and CXCR3 in monocytes and macrophages is blocked, impeding natural killer cell-DC’s cross talk.

**Abbreviations:** PGE2, Prostaglandin E2; EP, prostaglandin E receptor; DCs, dendritic cells; CCR, CC chemokine receptor; CXCR, CXC chemokine receptor; SDF, stromal cell-derived factor; MDSCs, myeloid derived suppressor cells; PBPCs, peripheral blood progenitor cells; S1P, sphingosine 1-phosphate.
responsible for attracting leukocytes that mature into pro-cancerous cells inside the malignant growth site. In the mouse model of pancreatic cancer, CCR2 antagonism decreased metastasis of the cancer cells. On the other hand, the antagonists of CXCR2 are beneficial in a combined therapy regiment with oxaliplatin for preclinical colon cancer model. This knowledge of the chemokine system can therefore be utilized to prevent dissemination of cancer cells. This is shown to be possible in a mouse model of ovarian cancer where blockade of CXCL12-CXCR4 axis resulted in multiple effects including decreased dissemination of tumor cells corroborated with prolonged survival. Further, this has implications with regard to how the knowledge of the chemokine system can be applied to the inflammatory lipids. As indicated above, PGE2 and FTY720 may sensitize CXCR4 signaling, while T-cell migration initiated by FTY720 depends on CXCR4, CCR2, CCR5, and CCR7. This raises a question during the clinical use of FTY720 regarding the implications of systemic alterations in physiologically important systems. The adverse effects of long time treatment are difficult to foresee, but the increased risk of skin cancer upon FTY720 treatment as seen in many in vitro studies, raises serious concerns. Similar problems arise with PGE-2, though the adverse effects for this molecule are well known. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, the inhibitors of the cyclooxygenases important for PGE-2 production, are feared for their gastrointestinal and cardiovascular side effects. Hence, in light of the general inhibitory effects of PGE-2 on innate immunity, preventing normal activation while inducing pro-cancerous phenotypes like MDSC and tumor-associated macrophages, emphasis should be on developing novel pharmacological approaches to limit the effects of PGE-2, other inflammatory lipids, and chemokines in cancer microenvironment.
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