A functional polymorphism in the epidermal growth factor gene predicts hepatocellular carcinoma risk in Japanese hepatitis C patients
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Background: A single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the epidermal growth factor (EGF) gene (rs4444903) has been associated with increased risk of cancer, including hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The aim of this study was to examine the relationship between the EGF SNP genotype and the development and prognosis of HCC, in a Japanese population.

Methods: Restriction fragment-length polymorphism was used to determine the presence of the EGF SNP genotype in 498 patients, including 208 patients with HCC. The level of EGF messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) expression in cancerous tissues was measured by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction. The correlation between the EGF SNP genotype and prognosis was statistically analyzed in the patients with HCC.

Results: The proportion of the A/A, A/G, and G/G genotypes were 5.3%, 42.8%, and 51.9%, respectively, in the patients with HCC, whereas in those without HCC, they were 8.6%, 35.9%, and 55.5%, respectively, revealing that the odds ratio (OR) of developing HCC was higher in patients with a G allele (OR = 1.94, P = 0.080 for A/G patients and OR = 1.52, P = 0.261 for G/G patients, as compared with A/A patients). In particular, when the analysis was limited to the 363 patients with hepatitis C, the OR for developing HCC was 3.54 (P = 0.014) for A/G patients and was 2.85 (P = 0.042) for G/G patients, as compared with A/A patients. Tumoral EGF mRNA expression in G/G patients was significantly higher than that in A/A patients (P = 0.033). No statistically significant differences were observed between the EGF SNP genotype and disease-free or overall survival.

Conclusion: The EGF SNP genotype might be associated with a risk for the development of HCC in Japanese patients but not with prognosis. Of note, the association is significantly stronger in patients with hepatitis C, which is the main risk factor for HCC in Japan.
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fifth most common cancer in men and the seventh in women and the third most common cause of death from cancer worldwide. The regions of high incidence for this disease are generally Eastern and South Eastern Asia and Middle and Western Africa. Because most patients who develop HCC are affected with viral hepatitis and require follow up for decades, it is necessary to analyze new molecular markers that can be used to identify high-risk populations that may be suitable for more intensive screening or prevention strategies.

Currently, molecular alterations in tumors are being scrutinized at a genome-wide scale, covering different dimensions, such as gene expression, epigenetic changes, chromosomal aberrations, and more recently, next generation sequencing. As for
HCC, there have been many reports regarding molecular markers associated with the development of HCC. These molecular markers could be useful in the clinic; however, racial differences have been reported, and these need to be examined more thoroughly.

Epidermal growth factor (EGF) was isolated in 1962 and has been shown to stimulate the proliferation and differentiation of epidermal and epithelial tissues, via binding to the EGF receptor (EGFR). EGF is well known to be associated with various malignant tumors, such as melanoma and esophageal carcinoma, via autocrine or paracrine pathways. Shabhazi et al identified a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) involving A to G transition at position 61 in the 5′ untranslated region of the EGF gene (rs4444903) and demonstrated that the G/G genotype was associated with an increased risk of developing malignant melanoma compared with the A/A genotype.

Recently, Tanabe et al showed that the G/G genotype was correlated with an increased risk of developing HCC in both a mixed North American population and a Caucasian French population and that serum and liver tissue EGF levels were higher in A/G and G/G patients as compared with A/A patients. Furthermore, Abu Dayyeh et al expanded this study with a larger cohort and demonstrated the differences in the distribution of polymorphisms by race and by the incidence of HCC. However, conflicting results have been reported from the People’s Republic of China, with two studies showing an association between the G allele and HCC risk, while another report found no association between the EGF polymorphism and HCC risk.

Thus far, there has been no report examining the EGF genotype and its association with HCC in a Japanese population. Even though the allelic distribution is expected to be similar between Chinese and Japanese patients, hepatitis C virus (HCV) is the major risk factor for HCC in Japan, whereas hepatitis B virus (HBV) is the major risk factor in the People’s Republic of China and other Eastern countries. The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between the EGF SNP and risk of HCC. In addition, to our knowledge, this is the first report to analyze the EGF SNP as a prognostic factor for HCC patients.

**Materials and methods**

**Study population and specimens**

A total of 498 patients with liver disease were single ethnic Japanese and retrospectively enrolled in this study at Nagoya University Hospital during the period from 1994 to 2010, with exclusion of autoimmune liver disease, hereditary liver diseases, including Wilson’s disease, drug-related hepatitis, and obstructive jaundice. Of these, 208 patients were diagnosed histologically as having HCC and underwent liver resection, and the other 290 patients were followed up for either hepatitis or liver cirrhosis. Patient’s clinicopathological parameters were collected retrospectively from our database. Postoperative disease-free and overall survival in the 208 patients who underwent liver resection were calculated, and the median follow-up duration was 40.9 months (range 0.4–209.0 months).

In the 208 patients who underwent liver resection, the collected samples from the resected specimen were stored immediately in liquid nitrogen at −80°C until analysis. Genomic deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) was obtained by digestion with proteinase K, recombinant, PCR grade (F Hoffman-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland) followed by phenol/chloroform (Ultra-Pure™ Phenol, Life Technologies Corp, Carlsbad, CA, USA/Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd, Osaka, Japan) extraction. Total ribonucleic acid (RNA) was isolated from each of the frozen samples with the RNaseasy® Mini Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. For the 290 patients without liver resections, genomic DNA was extracted from 150 µL of whole blood, using a commercial kit (QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit; Qiagen).

As required by the Institutional Review Board of Nagoya University, which provided ethical approval, written and informed consent was obtained from all patients.

**EGF genotyping**

The EGF SNP rs4444903 was analyzed by using restriction fragment-length polymorphism (RFLP), as described previously. In brief, genomic DNA was subjected to polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification using the GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 (Life Technologies Corp, Carlsbad, CA, USA). It was performed under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 minutes, followed by 35 cycles at 95°C for 30 seconds, at 51°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 1 minute, with a final extension step of 7 minutes at 72°C, to amplify nucleotide positions −78 to +164 of the EGF gene. The following primers were used, forward: 5′-TGTCATCTACAAAGGAGGTGT-3′ and reverse: 5′-TTTACACAGGTTACAGCC-3′ (STAR Oligo, Rikaken Co., Ltd, Nagoya, Japan). Then, 20 µL of PCR product was digested overnight with 5 units of AluI (F Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd) at 37°C, separated by electrophoresis in a 3% agarose gel (Agarose for DNA/RNA, NIPPON Genetics Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan), and visualized by staining with ethidium bromide (Boehringer, Mannheim, IN, USA). AluI digestion of the 242 base pair (bp) PCR product containing...
the 61*G allele produced 15, 34, and 193 bp fragments, while digestion of the 61*A allele produced 15, 34, 91, and 102 bp fragments. The experiment was repeated twice for each sample to ensure accuracy.

Determining EGF mRNA expression
The EGF messenger RNA (mRNA) in the HCC tissues was measured by quantitative reverse transcription-PCR. Total RNA from each sample was used to synthesize complementary DNA by single-strand reverse transcription (M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase; Life Technologies Corp). The level of EGF mRNA was expressed as the ratio of EGF mRNA PCR product to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) in each sample for standardization. The primer sequences used were EGF forward: 5′-CTTGTACAGCTGGTTCCT-3′ and reverse: 5′-GAGGGCATATGAAAGCTTCG-3′. The expression of GAPDH was quantified using the primer, forward: 5′-AACGCGCTCGGCAATGCA-3′ and reverse: 5′-GGTCCCTGTGAGAGAAGGC-3′. Real-time detection of the emission intensity of SYBR® Green I (SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ II, Takara Bio Inc, Otsu, Japan) was performed with an ABI Prism® 7000 Sequence Detection System (Life Technologies Corp). All PCR reactions were performed under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 30 minutes, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 40 seconds. The experiments were performed in triplicate to ensure accuracy.

Statistical analysis
The chi-square test was used to determine whether the observed genotype frequencies were consistent with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. The statistical differences between groups were evaluated using the chi-square test for qualitative variables and unpaired t-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) for quantitative variables. Comparisons of groups with regard to the odds of HCC were made using a logistic regression model, and age and sex were included as covariates, in addition to genotype, in adjusted analysis. The overall survival and disease-free survival rates were calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method, and the difference was analyzed using the log rank test. Independent prognostic factors were analyzed with the Cox proportional hazards regression model, in a stepwise manner. The statistical analysis was performed using JMP® 9 software (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA). The presence of a statistically significant difference was denoted by \( P < 0.05 \).

Results
EGF genotyping of patients
RFLP was used to determine the EGF SNP genotype in 208 patients with HCC and 290 patients with either hepatitis or liver cirrhosis (Figure 1A and B). The ratios of A/A, A/G, and G/G genotypes were 5.3%, 42.8%, and 51.9%, respectively, in the 208 patients with HCC, whereas in the 290 patients with liver disease other than HCC, including hepatitis or cirrhosis patients, the presence of a statistically significant difference was denoted by \( P < 0.05 \).

Table I Patient characteristics with or without HCC
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HCC (n=208)</th>
<th>Not HCC (n=290)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean ± SD</td>
<td>63.1±9.9</td>
<td>52.7±13.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>176/32</td>
<td>169/121</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child–Pugh score (A/B/C)</td>
<td>193/15/0</td>
<td>265/18/7</td>
<td>0.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etiology, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBV</td>
<td>44 (68.8%)</td>
<td>20 (31.3%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV</td>
<td>130 (33.3%)</td>
<td>261 (66.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>3 (100.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple factors</td>
<td>3 (60.0%)</td>
<td>2 (40.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-B, non-C</td>
<td>31 (88.6%)</td>
<td>4 (11.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Statistical differences between the HCC and not HCC groups were evaluated using the \( \chi^2 \) test for qualitative variables, and unpaired t-test for quantitative variables. \( * \)comparison between HBV, HCV, and alcohol; \( ^\circ \)comparison between Child–Pugh score A or B or C; \( ^\circ \)comparison between HBV and HCV.

Abbreviations: HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; SD, standard deviation.
without HCC, the ratios were 8.6%, 35.9%, and 55.5%, respectively. The frequencies of the EGF polymorphism in this study population were consistent with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (P=0.864).

**Patient characteristics**

Our Japanese population consisted of 208 patients with HCC and 290 patients without HCC, and their characteristics are shown in Table 1. There was a significant difference in the distribution of age (P<0.001) and sex (P<0.001) between the HCC group and those patients without HCC. There was also a significantly higher incidence rate of HCC in the HBV patients than in the HCV patients (P<0.001).

The patient characteristics were also stratified by EGF genotype and are shown in Table 2. There were no significant differences in age and sex with respect to the EGF SNP. A total of 391 patients had HCV, and 64 patients had HBV, and there were no significant differences between these etiologies and EGF genotype (P=0.127).

**EGF mRNA expression level**

The presence of the G allele has been reported to increase the expression of EGF and may be one mechanism by which the EGF SNP increases the risk of HCC.\(^1\) To examine the correlation between EGF genotype and its expression, the level of EGF mRNA in cancerous tissues were measured by real-time PCR. The relative ratios of A/G (P=0.069) and G/G (P=0.033) patients were significantly higher than that of A/A patients (Figure 2).

**Table 2** Patient characteristics, stratified by EGF genotype

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A/A (n=36)</th>
<th>A/G (n=193)</th>
<th>G/G (n=269)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean ± SD</td>
<td>56.4±14.1</td>
<td>56.2±13.2</td>
<td>57.7±12.6</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>26/10</td>
<td>143/50</td>
<td>176/93</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child–Pugh score (A/B/C)</td>
<td>33/1/2</td>
<td>176/13/4</td>
<td>249/19/1</td>
<td>0.865(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etiology, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBV</td>
<td>8 (22.2%)</td>
<td>28 (14.5%)</td>
<td>28 (10.4%)</td>
<td>0.127(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV</td>
<td>26 (72.2%)</td>
<td>148 (76.7%)</td>
<td>217 (80.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>3 (1.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple factors(^a)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>5 (1.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-B, non-C</td>
<td>2 (5.6%)</td>
<td>17 (8.8%)</td>
<td>16 (6.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The statistical differences between each group were evaluated using the \(\chi^2\) test for qualitative variables, and ANOVA for quantitative variables. \(^a\)Multiple factors in HBV, HCV, and alcohol; \(^a\)comparison between the Child–Pugh score A and B or C; \(^a\)comparison between HBV and HCV.

**Abbreviations:** ANOVA, analysis of variance; EGF, epidermal growth factor; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; SD, standard deviation.

**Relative risk of developing HCC, based on EGF genotype**

In order to explore the association between EGF genotype and the susceptibility of HCC development, the odds ratio (OR) for the risk of HCC was statistically analyzed, using the A/A genotype as a reference (Table 3). The proportions of A/A, A/G, and G/G genotypes were 5.3%, 42.8%, and 51.9%, respectively, in patients with HCC, whereas in patients without HCC, the ratios were 8.6%, 35.9%, and 55.5%, respectively, which revealed that the OR of developing HCC was 1.93 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.92–4.27, P=0.081) in the A/G patients and was 1.51 (95% CI: 0.73–3.30, P=0.268) in the G/G patients, compared with A/A patients. Importantly, after adjusting for age and sex, the ORs for the A/G and G/G genotypes were 2.57 (P=0.030) and 1.90 (P=0.133), respectively.

Next, we restricted the analysis to patients with HCV, which is the most common risk factor for HCC in Japan (Table 4). This analysis demonstrated a significant association between the EGF genotype and risk of HCC. The OR was 3.54 (P=0.014) in the A/G patients and 2.85 (P=0.042) in the G/G patients in the crude analysis, and was 7.58 (P<0.001)
in the A/G patients and 4.61 (P=0.008) in the G/G patients in the adjusted analysis.

We also analyzed the risk limited to HBV, which is the second most frequent cause of HCC in Japan and accounts for about 15% of cases. The proportions of A/A, A/G, and G/G genotypes were 11.4%, 40.9%, and 47.7%, respectively, in patients with both HBV and HCC, while the proportions were 3.1%, 43.1%, and 53.9%, respectively, in patients with both HCV and HCC. Even though the A allele tended to occur more frequently in HBV patients with HCC, there was no significant difference compared with that proportion in patients with both HCV and HCC (P=0.136). In addition, the EGF genotype was not a significant risk factor for HCC in HBV patients (data not shown).

### Univariate and multivariate analysis of clinicopathological factors for overall survival

In the univariate analysis of various clinicopathological parameters for overall survival, tumor size (>3 cm) (P=0.009), vascular invasion (P<0.001), pathological stage III or IV (P=0.048), and alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) level (>20 ng/mL) (P=0.015) were all significant risk factors for poor overall survival (Table 5). In the multivariate analysis, tumor size, vascular invasion, and AFP level were all independent risk factors for poor survival. No statistically significant differences were observed between the EGF SNP genotype or EGF mRNA expression and overall survival, and the disease-free and overall survival stratified by EGF SNP in the resected HCC patients, are shown in Figure 3.

### Discussion

Hepatocarcinogenesis is thought to be deeply associated with chronic HBV or HCV infection, and in fact, a certain proportion of chronically infected HBV and HCV individuals will develop HCC. Thus, multiple genetic and epigenetic factors may affect HCC development in this background. Among these genetic alterations, the dysregulation of EGF/EGFR signaling pathway is thought to be one of the most important factors in early hepatocarcinogenesis.

Previously, it has been reported in several studies that the distribution of the EGF polymorphism varies by race. In our Japanese population, the allelic frequencies of the G allele and A allele were 0.73 and 0.27, respectively, which is very similar to what has been reported for Chinese and African Americans. This distribution is

### Table 3 Analysis of EGF genotype in a Japanese population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>HCC (n=208) n (%)</th>
<th>Not HCC (n=290) n (%)</th>
<th>Crude analysis OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
<th>Adjusted analysis OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A/A</td>
<td>11 (5.3%)</td>
<td>25 (8.6%)</td>
<td>1 [Reference]</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 [Reference]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>89 (42.8%)</td>
<td>104 (35.9%)</td>
<td>1.94 (0.93–4.32)</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>2.68 [1.10–6.32]</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G/G</td>
<td>108 (51.9%)</td>
<td>161 (55.5%)</td>
<td>1.52 (0.74–3.35)</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>1.93 (0.84–4.65)</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4 Analysis of EGF genotype in Japanese patients with HCV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>HCC with HCV (n=130) n (%)</th>
<th>HCC with HCV (n=233) n (%)</th>
<th>Crude analysis OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
<th>Adjusted analysis OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A/A</td>
<td>4 (3.1%)</td>
<td>21 (9.0%)</td>
<td>1 [Reference]</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 [Reference]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>56 (43.1%)</td>
<td>83 (35.6%)</td>
<td>3.54 (1.27–12.63)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>7.58 (2.30–30.43)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G/G</td>
<td>70 (53.9%)</td>
<td>129 (55.3%)</td>
<td>2.85 (1.03–10.0)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>4.61 (1.46–17.87)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Comparisons of the groups with regard to the odds of HCC were made using a logistic regression model, and age and sex were included as covariates, in addition to genotype, in adjusted analysis. *Logistic regression model; age and sex were included as covariates in adjusted analysis.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; EGF, epidermal growth factor; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; OR, odds ratio.
strikingly different from Caucasians though, for whom the allelic frequencies of the G and A alleles are roughly 0.40 and 0.60, respectively. It is interesting to speculate that these differences in distribution might explain the high incidence of HCC in East Asia and Africa, but this requires further study.

A meta-analysis of five studies examining the EGF genotype and HCC risk concluded that the G allele was a risk factor for HCC, independently of ethnicity and etiology. However, a more recent meta-analysis examined six studies including these Chinese studies and revealed a significant association between the G allele and HCC risk, and concluded that the original discrepancy was probably due to the fact that these were single case-control studies with small sample sizes.

Table 5 Univariate and multivariate analysis of clinicopathological factors for overall survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male vs female)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.62–2.80)</td>
<td>0.567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (≥65 vs &lt;65)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.80–2.14)</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virus (HCV vs HBV)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.70–2.54)</td>
<td>0.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological differentiation (well vs others)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.37–1.31)</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (≥3 cm vs &lt;3 cm)</td>
<td>2.12 (1.20–4.01)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor multiplicity (multiple vs solitary)</td>
<td>1.68 (0.98–2.79)</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern of fibrous growth (infiltrative vs expansive)</td>
<td>1.89 (0.98–3.38)</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation of fibrous capsule (present vs absent)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.18–1.40)</td>
<td>0.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsular infiltration (present vs absent)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.50–1.34)</td>
<td>0.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal formation (present vs absent)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.54–1.56)</td>
<td>0.716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular invasion (present vs absent)</td>
<td>2.61 (1.53–4.35)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological stage* (I or II vs III or IV)</td>
<td>1.86 (1.13–3.15)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFP level (≥20 ng/mL vs &lt;20 ng/mL)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.37–0.99)</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGF genotype (A/G vs A/A)</td>
<td>1.52 (0.54–6.35)</td>
<td>0.468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGF genotype (G/G vs A/A)</td>
<td>1.32 (0.47–5.52)</td>
<td>0.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGF mRNA expression (median vs &lt;median)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.50–1.37)</td>
<td>0.469</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Independent prognostic factors were analyzed with the Cox proportional hazards regression model. *According to TNM staging by the Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan.

Abbreviations: AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; CI, confidence interval; EGF, epidermal growth factor; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; OR, odds ratio; mRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid; TNM, tumor, node, metastasis.

Figure 3 (A) DFS based on EGF SNP in HCC patients and (B) OS based on EGF SNP in HCC patients.

Abbreviations: DFS, disease-free survival; EGF, epidermal growth factor; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; NS, not significant; OS, overall survival; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.
EGF SNP in a Japanese HCC population

was protective. Not surprisingly, the A/A genotype occurs in less than 10% of Asians and African Americans but is present in roughly 30% of Caucasians.

It is difficult to explain why the risk of developing HCC is higher in patients with the A/G genotype rather than with the G/G genotype. One would expect that risk of HCC would increase with the number of copies of the G allele; however, it has been observed occasionally, in other studies, that the OR was higher for A/G patients. We suspect that this might be due to relatively small sample sizes. Regardless, the A/G and G/G patients had a higher risk of developing HCC than the patients with the A/A genotype, in our Japanese population. In addition, the ORs that we report here are higher than those observed in studies examining other polymorphisms, and this observation might suggest that the EGF SNP strongly contributes to the development of HCC. Also, given that Japan is an insular country and therefore a genetically isolated area, the high risk identified by the EGF SNP in this Japanese population might suggest for more disease specificity. Thus, our observations overall strengthen the previous assertion that the EGF SNP is associated with the development of HCC.

Of note, when the analysis was limited to patients with HCV and adjusted for age and sex, the risk of developing HCC increased dramatically in the A/G and G/G patients. This finding is of particular importance as HCV is the main risk factor for HCC in Japan. In addition, several recent mechanistic studies support this finding. First, EGFR was recently shown to be a host factor for HCV cellular entry when it was found that EGFR signaling leads to assembly of the host tetraspanin receptor complex. The ligands that activate EGFR, especially EGF, therefore increase HCV cellular entry, and the EGF SNP is known to increase liver EGFR levels.

The association between the EGF genotype and prognosis so far has not been described in HCC patients and remains controversial in other malignancies, such as glioma and esophageal carcinoma. In this study, no statistical association was found between the EGF genotype and both disease-free and overall survival, in HCC patients. This result could be explained by the fact that the prognosis of HCC, as opposed to other malignancies, is subject to various individual conditions, such as cirrhosis, leading to multicentric carcinogenesis and residual liver function. Interestingly, EGF is part of a gene expression signature associated with poor overall survival in HCC patients who have had a resection and in cirrhosis patients. This signature was derived from the surrounding nontumoral liver tissue and might help explain why the increased tumoral EGF expression seen in our A/G and G/G patients was not associated with survival. It might be that EGF expression alone is not powerful enough to predict prognosis, or it could be that EGF expression in the surrounding, nontumoral tissue will have greater prognostic value. Consistent with this, Falleti et al reported that the EGF genotype associates with advanced fibrosis at a young age in HCV patients. They hypothesized that the EGF polymorphism is responsible for a more rapid disease progression early in the course of HCV infection, which would be consistent with its role in cellular entry.

In conclusion, the allelic frequencies of the EGF polymorphism in Japanese patients were similar to those reported previously for other high-risk HCC groups, including Chinese and African Americans. The A/G and G/G genotypes were associated with an increased risk of HCC, especially in HCV patients, in whom increased EGF levels might lead to a more rapid disease progression. We suggest that more intensive follow up of HCV patients with the G allele might lead to earlier diagnosis and better outcomes for HCC.
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