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Abstract: Living organisms require a host of regulatory circuits in order to survive optimally in a given environment. Gene regulation is one of the most important mechanisms for achieving normal cellular activities and overall homeostasis. The orphan nuclear receptors (ONRs) belonging to the nuclear receptors (NR) superfamily are mediators of pleiotropic effects in multiple cell types via control of gene expression. A huge volume of studies, especially in the past two decades, has revealed the detailed structures and many functions of the ONRs. However, many biological functions governed by the ONRs through gene control remain elusive. Moreover, gene regulatory mechanisms of the ONRs are still being dissected. The ONRs can interact with other members of the NR superfamily, forming heteromeric complexes at the DNA binding sites to modulate gene transcription. Additionally, the ONRs’ gene regulatory abilities are further controlled via interactions with a host of coregulators. Data from several studies have unequivocally shown that the ONRs are unique regulators of biological processes, including energy and general metabolism, immunity, growth and reproduction, cell proliferation and specialization, sensory control, and many others. Furthermore, the evidence has suggested the modulatory role played by the ONRs in the onset and progression of diseases and that targeting their activities might provide a vital tool in the treatment of various diseases. Here, the current perspective on the ONRs is being reviewed.

Keywords: orphan nuclear receptors, gene regulation, gene expression, coregulators

Introduction

Complex biological pathways and control circuits have evolved to maintain the physiologic processes and other needs of living organisms. However, gene regulation at the transcription level is the central and perhaps the most vital regulatory mechanism that exists. The regulation of gene expression is carried out in myriad ways, employing numerous complex proteins and other coregulatory factors that interact with various sequences of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA). Transcription factors can control tissue-specific gene expression. Nuclear receptors (NR) represent a large family (>50 members) of protein transcriptional factors endowed with robust gene regulatory activities. Many members of the NR superfamily respond to hormones, vitamins, and other endogenous ligands via specific binding and subsequent interaction with responsive elements in the DNA, leading to control of gene transcription. The NR superfamily can be sorted into groups or families. Many members of the NR superfamily were discovered by cloning approaches, and the corresponding ligands were subsequently identified. One of the groups contains the classic nuclear hormone receptors such as the glucocorticoid receptors (GRs), estrogen receptors (ERs), mineralocorticoid
receptors (MRs), androgen receptors (ARs), and progesterone receptors (PRs). Other hormone receptors such as the thyroid receptors (TRs), vitamin D receptors (VDRs), and retinoic acid receptors (RARs), including receptors that bind various metabolites such as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs), farnesoid X receptor (FXR), liver X receptor (LXR), pregnane X receptor/steroid and xenobiotic receptor (PXR/SXR), retinoid X receptor (RXR), and constitutive androstane receptor (CAR). The third family, the so-called orphan nuclear receptors (ONRs), whose physiological ligands are unidentified, constitutes a diverse group of transcriptional mediators. This review focuses on current perspectives of the ONRs.

The prologue

The discovery of the classic steroid, thyroid, and vitamin D hormone receptors stimulated the search for novel endogenous hormone-binding receptors with similar characteristics. These classical receptors exhibited a great degree of similar domain organization and mode of action. The high extent of structural and functional homology among the receptors led to intense investigations regarding the existence of more members of such receptors. Initially, the complimentary DNA (cDNA) library and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) screenings, and later, DNA sequence analysis, led to the discovery of many receptor members in spite of the previous non-identification of their endogenous ligands. These new groups of NRs without cognate ligands constituted a gene family and were referred to as ONRs. Originally, the ONRs group was large with many members, but over time, quite a number of receptors were deorphanized on account of the discovery of their respective endogenous ligands. This included members such as the RXRs, PPARs, LXRs, FXRs, CAR, and PXR which no longer belong to the ONRs family. In humans, out of a total of 48 different NR superfamily members, 24 are ONRs.

Within the ONRs, there is a high degree of diversification as many members are not functionally or evolutionarily linked. The majority of the ONR members in vertebrates possess the classic DNA-binding domain (DBD) and the ligand-binding domain (LBD), except the DAX-1 and SHP. The transcriptional regulation by the ONRs also exhibits distinct characteristics, as many members bind to the DNA responsive elements (conserved sequence motif of A/GGGTCA) of target genes as monomers (to extended DNA half-site sequences), some as homodimers, a few as heterodimers (ie, in association with RXRs), and one (GCNF) as an oligomeric complex. Because of the extensive diversity of the ONRs, they have vital roles in general and energy metabolism, growth, cellular proliferation, differentiation and specialization, immunity, embryogenesis, organogenesis, tissue maturation, circadian rhythm, and the onset and progression of multiple diseases.

The ONR family members

As mentioned above, the ONR family incorporates a number of members that are hugely diverse. The present knowledge about the ONRs allows us to place a number of receptors under this family. Although there are reports lately suggesting finding of ligands for some of the ONR members, the explanations are not satisfactory as the physiological role of the ligands is unclear. Nevertheless, based on the current status of the ONRs’ structure and functions, we can include quite a number of members into this family, whose cognate physiological ligands are unconfirmed. Most of the ONR members can be loosely grouped as they show some homology in amino acid sequences in certain receptor structural domains and exist as isoforms. In fact several splice variants of some of the ONR members have been detected. Of these ONR members, almost all of them have some role in the control of important biological processes, and hence, have a status equal to the classic nuclear hormone receptors. However, finding the counterpart ligands for the ONRs is being pursued in many laboratories using various approaches, including bioinformatics and it seems likely that in the future, a few ONR members may become deorphanized. The ONRs are widely distributed in rodents and humans, and the individual members and their respective isoforms are expressed in a tissue-specific fashion. Considerable knowledge has been gained about the expression of different ONRs, especially in murine models using quantitative PCR, Northern and Western analysis, in situ hybridization, and immunohistology, etc. A list of ONRs’ expression in different tissues/organs in rodents/humans is provided in Table 1.

ONRs’ activation

A great majority of the members of the NR superfamily share a common, highly conserved modular domain structure. The NRs possess within the N-terminal domain (NTD), a highly divergent activation function (AF)-1 site which assists in regulating the transcriptional activity of NR members independent from ligand binding. Additionally, the C-terminus, including the AF-2 site of NRs, is vital in receptor-mediated gene expression control by mediating ligand and receptor interactions, coregulator binding, and also in many cases, in receptor dimerization. The above mentioned domains
and sites are also found in most of the ONRs, thus providing them with robust gene regulatory ability. However, in contrast to the ligand-activated NRs, the ONRs typically exhibit constitutive gene regulatory activity. In fact, in the absence of a ligand, the helix 12 motif in the ONRs AF-2 domain is still prepositioned for maximal activation, thereby facilitating interactions between the ONR and cognate coregulators.35,36

The ONRs are extremely sensitive to binding and interaction with the coregulators (coactivator or corepressor). Over 200 NR coactivators and corepressors are known today, with each exhibiting differential patterns of expression, enzymatic activity, and specificity of interactions with the NR superfamily members.37,38 In fact, it is widely assumed that the coregulators themselves are acting somewhat like endogenous ligands in activating the ONRs. However, some of the ONRs still have unidentified coregulators. A list of various known coactivators and corepressors of the ONRs is provided in Table 2. Differential coregulator binding to the ONRs can directly modulate binding to specific DNA responsive elements.39 Moreover, the relative level of a coregulator in a tissue may also dictate the robustness of gene transcriptional control by the ONRs. Furthermore, it is presently unknown whether the presence of a coactivator or corepressor is sufficient to activate a particular ONR or whether the interactions between them can be modulated by signals specifically targeting the receptors. The signals could be unidentified physiological ligands or post-translational modifications (PTMs) of the ONRs stimulated by extracellular signal cascades. However, activation of the ONRs is still an intense area of investigation which nevertheless is of vital importance to basic research from the pharmacological point of view.

### Post-translational modifications of ONRs

Many ligand-activated members of the NR superfamily are molecular transducers of endocrine and dietary signals, thereby
facilitating cellular and tissue homeostasis by transforming the NRs into transcriptional modulators by on-off mechanisms. This ligand-based switching mechanism needs to be finely tuned according to organisms’ needs. These critical adjustments of NR transcriptional activity are brought about by PTMs, which represent a major regulatory mechanism. The PTMs of various known coactivators and corepressors that interact with the different ONRs control its gene regulatory activity.

However, with regard to the ONRs, the PTMs may be a vital, and perhaps the sole, regulatory mechanism. The PTMs of the classic NR members include phosphorylation, acetylation, sumoylation, methylation, myristoylation, nitration, ADP-ribosylation, and isoprenylation. These PTMs can be further tuned according to organisms’ needs. These critical adjustments of NR transcriptional activity are brought about by PTMs, which represent a major regulatory mechanism. The PTMs of various known coactivators and corepressors that interact with the different ONRs control its gene regulatory activity.

## Table 2 Various known coactivators and corepressors that interact with the different ONRs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ONRs</th>
<th>Coactivators</th>
<th>Corepressors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERRα</td>
<td>PNR2, PGC-1α, PGC-1β, NCoA3, NCoA2, NCoA1, PNRC</td>
<td>PROXI, NCoR1, RIP140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERRβ</td>
<td>PNR, NCoA3, NCoA1, NCoA2, PGC-1α</td>
<td>RIP140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERRγ</td>
<td>PGC-1α, PGC-1β, PNR2, NCoA1, TLE1</td>
<td>RIP140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RORα</td>
<td>NCoA1, NCoA2, PPARBP, p300, PGC-1α, CBP</td>
<td>NCoR1, NCoR2, HR, FOXP3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RORβ</td>
<td>NCoA1, NCoA2, p300, PGC-1α, CBP</td>
<td>NCoR1, NCoR2, HR, FOXP3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RORγ</td>
<td>NCoA1, NCoA2, p300, PGC-1α, CBP</td>
<td>NCoR1, NCoR2, HR, FOXP3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev-erbα</td>
<td>NCoA5</td>
<td>NCoR1, NCoR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev-erbβ</td>
<td>NCoA5</td>
<td>NCoR1, NCoR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF-1</td>
<td>CBP, NCoA1, NCoA2, EDF1, PNR2</td>
<td>NCoR1, NCoR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRH-1</td>
<td>NCoA1, NCoA3, p300, NCoA62, EDF1</td>
<td>NCoR1, NCoR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCNF</td>
<td>RAP80</td>
<td>NCoR1, NCoR2, NCoR1, NCoR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGFI-B</td>
<td>NCoA1, NCoA2, NCoA3, p300, PPARBP, KAP-1</td>
<td>NCoR1, NCoR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NURR1</td>
<td>PGC-1α</td>
<td>NCoR1, NCoR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOR1</td>
<td>SIX3, PPARBP, p300, NCoA2, CAF</td>
<td>NCoR1, NCoR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNF-αα</td>
<td>NCoA1, NCoA2, CBP, PGC-1α, PGC-1β</td>
<td>NCoR1, NORR2, COP52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNF-4γ</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>HDAC1, HDAC3, Sin3A, CBP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAX-1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NCoR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHP</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NCoR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNR</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NCoR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLX</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NCoR1, NCoR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>TRA16, TIP27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>TRA16, TIP27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAR2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>TRA16, TIP27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUP-TFI</td>
<td>NCoA1</td>
<td>NCoR1, NCoR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUP-TFIi</td>
<td>BCL11B, NCoA1, CBP</td>
<td>NCoR1, NCoR2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Abbreviations:
- DP103: dead box protein 103; KAP-1: KRAB domain-associated protein 1; NCoA: nuclear receptor coactivator; NCoR: nuclear receptor corepressor; PROXI: prospero homeobox protein 1; PNR: proline-rich nuclear receptor coactivator; TLE-1: transducin-like enhancer protein 1; p300: protein 300; HR: hairless; FOXP3: forkhead box P3; CBP: CReB-binding protein; NRiP: nuclear receptor–interacting protein; PGC-1: PPAR gamma coactivator-1; RiP140: receptor-interacting protein 140; HDAC: histone deacetylase; RAP80: receptor-associated protein 80; EDF-1: endothelial differentiation-related factor 1; PPARBP: PPAR-binding protein; SIX3: six homeobox 3; PCAF: p300/CBP-associated factor; COP52: COP9 signalosome complex subunit 2; TRA16: TRA14-associated protein 16; TIP27: TAK1-interacting protein; SQSTM1: sequestosome 1; NORR2: nitric oxide reductase transcription regulator 2; BCL11B: B-cell lymphoma/leukemia 11B; ONRs: orphan nuclear receptors.

### Notes:
- RORα1 in cortical neurons and COS-7 cells when treated with different effectors acting on PKC activity rapidly phosphorylates and inhibits RORα1 activity.
- The pro-apoptotic ONR member Nur77 (NR4A1) cellular localization is controlled by its phosphorylation by the activated Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) activity.
- The inhibition of JNK activity by a JNK inhibitor suppresses Nur77 nuclear export and apoptosis while the activation of JNK by activators such as anisomycin and MAPK kinase kinase-1 (MEKK1), phosphorylated Nur77 induces its nuclear export.
- The estrogen-related receptor alpha (ERRα) is a well-known ONR with a robust role in energy homeostasis and metabolism.
have shown that a biarylpyrazole compound AM251 directly binds and destabilizes the nuclear ERRα, but not ERRγ by proteasome-mediated degradation of the receptor involving the SUMO and protein kinase C pathway.

Parkin is a protein and is a component of a multiprotein E3 ubiquitin ligase of the larger ubiquitin–proteasome system that mediates the targeting of proteins for degradation.46 Parkin regulates oxidative stress by attenuating the expression of mitochondrial monoamine oxidase (MAO) A and B that mediate the oxidative deamination of dopamine. Interestingly, MAO genes are upregulated by the ERRs. New findings have shown that parkin influences ERR activities by causing ubiquitination and degradation of ERRα, β, and γ, and thus reduces MAO’s expression.47 These novel mechanisms of control of ERR activity exist endogenously, which perhaps provide an efficacious way of regulating mitochondrial physiology towards maintaining energy homeostasis. The testicular receptor 4 (TR4), an ONR, controls growth, development, and metabolism by regulating specific target genes. The gene regulatory activity of TR4 in the absence of the cognate ligand has been shown to be controlled by the androgen receptor (AR) coactivator, ARA55, which might function as a corepressor to inhibit TR4-mediated gene transactivation.48 Mechanistically, ARA55 might increase TR4 acetylation at the conserved sites of lysine 175 and lysine 176 in the DBD via recruiting proteins with histone acetyl transferase activity. This increased acetylation might decrease TR4 DNA-binding activity, leading to reduced TR4-mediated gene transactivation.

The ONR subfamily members, the steroidogenic factor 1 (SF-1) and liver receptor homologue 1 (LRH-1) are repressed via post-translational SUMO modification at the conserved lysine within the hinge domain (Figure 1).49 SUMO-mediated repression involves direct interaction of the DEAD-box protein DP103 with the sumoylated SF-1. Moreover, a study in rat granulosa cells has demonstrated that the coexpression of SUMO-1 targets LRH-1 by sumoylation at five lysine residues to the dot-like transcriptionally inactive nuclear bodies.50 Thus, sumoylation in general appears to be a robust regulator of ONR activity. Together, these results show that PTMs of different ONRs may lead to differential receptor transactivation activity, thus affecting a number of biological processes, probably in the absence of specific regulatory ligands. However, little is presently known about the in vivo contribution of PTMs of ONRs towards their control of gene expression activities. Moreover PTMs of a number of classic NRs such as the GR, ERRα, and AR, including PPARγ, markedly control their receptor functions, including facilitating the onset and progression of diseases such as cancer, diabetes, and obesity.41 Therefore it seems likely that the ONRs activities are governed by the various PTMs. It can be visualized that together with PTMs, other unknown cellular regulatory events might regulate the transcriptional activities of the ONRs.

**ONRs and biological functions**

The biological processes regulated by the ONRs are quite varied and vast on account of their diversity. As a result, it is difficult to summarize each of their roles. Nevertheless, functions revealed recently by select ONRs shall be discussed here. Most of the roles of the ONRs have been known by the use of various molecular approaches and through the generation of ONR-specific knockout mice, although the bulk of their roles remain to be determined. The ERR member ERRα has recently been shown to control osteoblastogenesis. Experimental results from multiple systems demonstrated that
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**Figure 1** A schematic domain structure of mouse (A) SF-1 and (B) LRH-1 protein showing the post-translational sumoylation (S) sites and the repression (R) site. Sumoylation of SF-1 and LRH-1 occurs at the conserved lysine (K) residues indicated with the respective number.

**Abbreviations:** LBD, ligand-binding domain; DBD, DNA-binding domain; LRH-1, liver receptor homologue 1; SF-1, steroidogenic factor 1.
ERR\(\alpha\) in conjunction with PGC-1\(\alpha\) modulates Wnt signaling, leading to osteoblast differentiation.\(^5\) In thyroid tumors, cellular metabolic adaptation is brought about by upregulation of ERR\(\alpha\), thereby regulating oxidative metabolism and their proliferative status. One of the mechanisms by which this can be controlled was recently demonstrated, wherein ERR\(\alpha\) upregulation led to a concomitant decrease in lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) A and B isoforms activity in human thyroid tumor cell lines, perhaps helping tumor growth via a pathway other than the Warburg effect.\(^5\) PGC-1\(\alpha\) is a master regulator and has a central role in oxidative metabolism. A new report has shown that PGC-1\(\alpha\) gene expression in primary cardiomyocytes is under the control of ERR\(\alpha\) as its promoter contains functional ERR-responsive element (ERRRE).\(^5\) Furthermore, ChIP analysis has shown that overexpression of ERR\(\gamma\) increases its occupancy on the PGC-1\(\alpha\) promoter ERRRE and upregulates its expression, and together, they have a robust control on cardiac energy metabolism. ERR\(\gamma\) has an important role in metabolism and was recently shown to regulate hepatic gluconeogenesis by acting as a downstream mediator of glucagon action.\(^4\) In the study, overexpression of ERR\(\gamma\) induced phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase 1 (Pck1) and glucose-6-phosphatase (G6PC) gene expression and glucose synthesis in primary hepatocytes, whereas interference of ERR\(\gamma\) expression decreased gluconeogenic gene expression. ChIP analysis showed ERR\(\gamma\) bound to the Pck1 promoter ERRRE, demonstrating that ERR\(\gamma\) directly controls Pck1 transcription.

The retinoid-related orphan receptor alpha (ROR\(\alpha\)) controls development and growth in lipid and glucose metabolism in major metabolic tissues and organs, including participation in circadian rhythm (CR).\(^55,56\) Moreover, ROR\(\gamma\) has been reported to regulate circadian cycle by controlling the expression of clock genes Cry1, Bmal1, E4bp4, Rev-Erb\(\alpha\), and Per2, and is a player in the regulation of glucose/lipid homeostasis and insulin sensitivity.\(^57,58\) The ONR Rev-erb\(\alpha\) in conjunction with its corepressor, the photoreceptor-specific nuclear receptor (PNR), regulates transcriptional networks by controlling numerous target genes (such as Nr2c1, Rgr, Rarres2, Pde8a, and Nupr1) as expression is critical for photoreceptor development and function in the retina.\(^60\) A new report by Cho et al suggests vital roles for Rev-erb\(\alpha\) and Rev-erb\(\beta\) in controlling the circadian cycle and metabolism as a result of studies in double-knockout mice.\(^61\) Steroidogenic factor 1 (SF-1) is a known master regulator of steroidogenesis. Recently, SF-1 another ONR, was identified to regulate human glutathione S-transferase A family genes, a superfamily of detoxification enzymes using genome-wide promoter tiling array and DNA microarray with a crucial role in steroidogenesis.\(^62\) On the other hand, the ONRs liver receptor homologue 1 (LRH-1) and hepatocyte nuclear factor alpha (HNF4\(\alpha\)) have been shown as important transcriptional regulators of cholesterol 7\(\alpha\)-hydroxylase (Cyp7a1) expression in vivo.\(^63\) Nur77 (also known as TR3) is another ONR where a new study has demonstrated that its signaling pathway regulates endoplasmic reticulum stress and induces apoptosis of hepatoma cells through its interaction with a translocon-associated protein subunit \(\gamma\) (TRAP\(\gamma\)) as a binding cofactor.\(^64\)

The chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter transcription factor 1 (COPUR-TF1) is an important ONR and has been shown to modulate the expression of kallikrein-binding protein (KBP, a component of the kallikrein–kinin system that mediates vasodilation).\(^65\) The other member, COPUR-TFII has been identified to regulate the expression of many genes in the cell cycle pathway and Notch signaling pathway, including the control of pancreatic \(\beta\)-cell mass through glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1)-\(\beta\)-catenin signaling pathways.\(^66,67\) A recent report strongly suggests a critical role for the ONR dosage-sensitive sex reversal adrenal hypoplasia congenital critical region on the X chromosome, gene 1 (DAX-1) in the insulin-mediated steroidogenesis in testicular Leydig cells, and also in human adrenal gland function.\(^68,69\) Investigation by Yuk et al showed that the ONR short heterodimer protein (SHP) appears to be a negative regulator of Toll-like receptor (TLR)-triggered inflammatory responses, with another study showing that SHP potentially modulates the innate immune signaling pathway through the mitochondrial uncoupling protein 2.\(^70,71\) The PNR as mentioned above is an important ONR that along with Rev-erb\(\alpha\) regulates transcriptional networks of various target genes involved in photoreceptors in the retina.\(^60\) Also, PNR regulates ER\(\alpha\) expression via direct binding to the Esr1 gene promoter in breast cancer cells, and is required for the cellular activity of ER\(\alpha\) in ER-positive breast cancer cells.\(^72\) The ONR TLX (tailless homologue), also known as NR2E1, controls the proliferation of adult neural stem cells and its upregulation promotes brain tumor formation; a recent report suggests that it also regulates gliomagenesis (development of primary brain tumors).\(^73\) Moreover, TLX also controls angiogenesis through interaction with the von Hippel–Lindau protein.\(^74\) The ONRs have a very broad spectra of biological roles and it is beyond the scope of this review to exhaustively discuss them. In future, finding their roles would certainly have a huge impact on biomedical research and on human health and disease.
ONRs, molecular clocks, and circadian rhythm

CR is comprised of physical, mental, and behavioral changes that follow a roughly 24-hour cycle, responding primarily to light and darkness in an organism’s environment.73 Our CR is controlled by biological (molecular) clocks which are a group of interacting cellular molecules (mainly proteins) distributed throughout the body. A master clock (group of nerve cells in the brain suprachiasmatic nucleus) coordinates all the body clocks so that they are synchronized. Many of the ONR members have been directly linked as a component of molecular clocks in order to regulate CR.76,77 ERRα appears to control clock function in peripheral tissues. ERRα has been linked to be a regulator of the molecular clock in the liver.78 An array of core clock genes whose promoters are the direct target of ERRα is known, which include Bmal1, Clock, Cry1, Per2, Rev-erbα, and Rev-erbβ.79 Moreover, normal expression of ERRα is necessary for the maintenance of CR in the expression of these core clock genes in the liver. CR and metabolism must be tightly integrated to maintain homeostasis in organisms. Components of the molecular clock can directly control some metabolic genes. ERRα has been shown to be a direct regulator of the molecular clock, thereby exerting robust control over the cellular metabolic networks.79 Thus, ERRα is a robust transcriptional mediator linking metabolism with CR via the molecular clock. The Rev-erbs have lately been linked to the control of the circadian clock.77,80 Rev-erbα and Rev-erbβ are a part of the core clock apparatus, are the major regulators of the core clock gene Bmal1, and generally have repressive effects.81 Recent work has established the role of Rev-erbs in the regulation of rhythmic metabolism.82 Bugge et al showed that the loss of both hepatic Rev-erbs led to significant de-repression of clock genes and that both the subtypes’ activities are well-coordinated to guard against major perturbations in metabolic and circadian physiology.83

The RORα is a crucial member of the core clock machinery and regulates multiple metabolic pathways. It likely coordinates the translation of circadian signals into metabolic events. In mammals, the circadian clock is regulated at the cellular level by a transcriptional/translational feedback loop. BMAL1 is one of the positive transcriptional regulators and is an integral factor of the molecular clock. BMAL1 in association with another gene regulator ‘clock’, or NPAS2, heterodimerizes to upregulate the cyclic expression of core clock genes and mediators of the molecular clock called clock-controlled genes.84,85 The circadian expression of BMAL1 is influenced by RORα, as the Bmal1 promoter contains ROR response element (RORE). However, NPAS2, the other obligate partner of BMAL1, was also demonstrated to be a direct target of RORα as its promoter contains two functional ROREs. The transcription of NPAS2 is thus controlled by RORα, which facilitates the orchestrated heterodimer formation of the BMAL1/NPAS2 complex.85 A report by Poliandri et al showed that the NR repressor RIP140 is directly recruited at the RORE of the Bmal1 promoter that leads to interaction with RORα and subsequent increase in BMAL1 expression.86 It is highly likely that the above mechanisms exist by which RORα can coordinately regulate the expression of the positive arm of the CR feedback loop. Hence, the role of some ONRs in intrinsically regulating gene networks and an array of core clock genes seems to primarily determine the circadian clock that eventually leads to maintenance of CR in peripheral and central tissues in animals. Preliminary data generated by several studies have strongly suggested the pivotal role of many ONRs in the interface between molecular clock and body physiology. In fact, a significant percentage of ONR members play a direct regulatory role in the core clock mechanism and tissuespecific circadian expression profile.87 Also, quite a number of ONRs that control the core feedback loop are important metabolic sensors that suggest that the primary role of ONR signaling in CR is to coordinate metabolic inputs to modulate the core feedback loop.88 However, at present, we have limited knowledge on the participation of ONRs in molecular clocks and CR; the future is certainly promising in revealing this important and complex interface.

ONRs and pathogenesis

The ONRs over the past many years have been implicated to be participants in the rise and progression of various diseases. Because of the myriad roles governed by the ONRs, deregulation of ONR activities may affect various cellular networks and pathways which may culminate in organismal complications. However, genetic evidence with respect to the involvement of the ONRs in diseases is yet to be clearly demonstrated. Nonetheless, wide ranging studies and preliminary data generated over the years convincingly raise their role in various human diseases. The NR4A subgroup, which includes Nur77 (NR4A1), Nurr1 (NR4A2), and Nor1 (NR4A3), has been suggested to be a contributor in pathogenesis. Studies indicate the roles of the NR4A members in regulating genes involved in the control of thrombosis and angiogenesis, and may contribute to vascular disease.89 Initial reports indicate a potential positive role of NR4A members in the development
of atherosclerosis. Atherosclerotic sites have increased migration and the presence of macrophages (circulating monocytes which are essential components of atherosclerosis development), where the NR4A members are highly expressed.\(^9\) Upon receiving proinflammatory signals, the macrophage NR4A members upregulate genes taking part in inflammation, apoptosis, and cell cycle events. It seems that the control of macrophage inflammation by the NR4A receptors constitutes a vital mechanism for attracting them to the atherosclerotic site. A recent report by Zhao et al has demonstrated that the deficiency of Nor1 in circulating monocytes decreases its adhesion and atherosclerosis.\(^9\) Moreover, endothelial cells also express the NR4A receptors where they control endothelial cell proliferation during injury to the arterial wall and/or at the atherosclerotic site contributing to atherosclerosis.\(^9\)

The ERRs are pivotal regulators of energy metabolism and are increasingly implicated in the onset and progression of cancers.\(^9\) The ERRs are highly expressed in all breast tumor subtypes and may be important contributors in cell metabolism and division.\(^9\) Metabolic modifications are one of the most prolific characteristics of tumor/cancer cells. The ERRs in breast cancer modulate myriad cell metabolic pathways by regulating various oncoproteins and enzymes, including the Warburg effect (high rate of aerobic glycolysis), thereby facilitating increased cell growth and proliferation.\(^9\) Moreover, in thyroid tumors, the expression of ERR\(\alpha\) inversely correlates with lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) A and B activities, which facilitate the metabolic adaptation of glycolysis.\(^9\) In thyroid tumors, ERR\(\alpha\) expression is upregulated, thereby reprogramming the metabolic pathways by decreasing LDHA and LDHB activities, leading to preferential Warburg effect.\(^9\) A recent report by Bernatchez et al has demonstrated that silencing ERR\(\alpha\) impairs colon cancer cell proliferation for in vitro and in vivo tumorigenesis.\(^9\) This is likely facilitated as a result of altered expression of several cell cycle regulators along with decreased expression of vital genes involved in metabolic pathways such as glycolysis, TCA cycle, and lipogenesis. Moreover, silencing of ERR\(\alpha\) in colon cancer cells dramatically decreased glucose utilization, suggesting that ERR\(\alpha\) positively influences colon cancer by controlling energy metabolism.\(^9\)

The RORs have elaborate roles in metabolism, immunity, and in overall growth and development. Of late, data generated on RORs physiology from rodents have attributed them to diet-induced obesity and in the development of several obesity-related pathologies such as inflammation and insulin resistance, etc. ROR\(\alpha\)-deficient mice (a natural mutant with ROR\(\alpha\) deletion) when fed with a high-fat diet (HFD), exhibited lower body weight and lower fat content as compared to the wild type on a HFD, suggesting that ROR\(\alpha\) may play a significant role in the onset and progression of obesity in humans.\(^9\) Moreover, reduced levels of ROR\(\gamma\) in ROR\(\alpha\)-deficient mice also gives protection against diet-induced insulin resistance. Furthermore, ROR\(\alpha\)-deficient mice exhibited a remarkable reduction in the expression of numerous genes involved in triglyceride biosynthesis and storage, immune response, TNF signaling, and proinflammatory roles, along with reduced inflammation of the WAT.\(^9\) These preliminary data indicate the regulatory role of ROR\(\alpha\) in energy metabolism and immunity and suggest that it may positively contribute towards obesity and metabolic syndrome. In fact, ROR\(\alpha\) is increasingly being seen as a potential target in the management of obesity and associated metabolic diseases.

**Epilogue**

Robust gene regulation is at the heart of every biological process. Transcriptional control is achieved by precise interaction of cell-specific regulatory proteins with the control regions associated with the genes. Notwithstanding the fact that several classes and types of transcription factors exist to control gene expression, the ONRs are a unique group of gene regulators whose ligands (or modulating signals) are currently unknown, and yet, they impinge upon a wide variety of biological processes in cells. A handful of receptors such as the PPARs, LXR, SXR, etc, were earlier considered a part of the ONR family; however, over time their ligands were identified and they were deorphanized. In spite of the unknown physiological ligands, we know substantial roles governed by the majority of the ONRs. A lack of or a very limited knowledge of the activation of ONRs is seen as a hindrance in revealing the signaling cascades governed by them. The coactivators are an integral component of the ONRs’ control of gene expression, yet the crucial initial endogenous activating signal(s) of ONRs remains elusive. In the last decade, a number of PTMs of ONRs have been identified that possibly control differential receptor transactivation activities. However, the in vivo significance of PTMs of ONRs towards their control of gene expression activities is largely unknown. The biological functions governed by the ONRs are ever growing, and perhaps they participate in many physiological activities in vertebrates. However, we still only know a handful of their roles and probably the vast majority of their functions are unknown. Tissue-specific loss or gain of function approaches seems inevitable in order to
reveal the specific roles played by the ONRs members. With their wide spectra of roles, the ONRs are provided with the opportunity to participate in the onset and progression of various human diseases. Initial studies demonstrate links between the ONRs and some diseases such as cancer, obesity, dyslipidemia, and metabolic syndrome, etc. However, genetic evidence with respect to the involvement of the ONRs in these diseases is lacking. There has been a positive start on this and it is perhaps just a matter of time until some vital and convincing data will show the interplay between ONRs and human diseases. The ONRs field is continuously expanding and developing new opportunities. Increasing attention is being devoted to the myriad roles of ONRs in human diseases, while fundamental mechanisms of ONRs actions also remain the subject of investigation. With the explosion of information and detail now available from genome-wide studies, as well as in vivo real time studies, we are clearly at the defining moment in ONRs’ biology.
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