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Abstract: Up to 80% of patients with severe posttraumatic stress disorder are suffering from “unexplained” chronic pain. Theories about the links between traumatization and chronic pain have become the subject of increased interest over the last several years. We will give a short summary about the existing interaction models that emphasize particularly psychological and behavioral aspects of this interaction. After a synopsis of the most important psychoneurobiological mechanisms of pain in the context of traumatization, we introduce the hypermnesia–hyperarousal model, which focuses on two psychoneurobiological aspects of the physiology of learning. This hypothesis provides an answer to the hitherto open question about the origin of pain persistence and pain sensitization following a traumatic event and also provides a straightforward explanatory model for educational purposes.
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Previous models of interaction
Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is defined as a mental disorder that may occur after the experience of a traumatic event. According to the fourth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV), PTSD is characterized by three distinct clusters of symptoms, consisting of 1) re-experiencing of the traumatic event (eg, in thoughts or dreams); 2) avoidance of reminders of the event and emotional numbing; and 3) hyperarousal (eg, irritability and sleep problems).1 Many persons traumatized by war, accident victims, people with a history of sexual abuse, or victims of torture suffer from both PTSD and chronic pain. Study results on war veterans with PTSD show comorbidity rates with chronic pain of 66% to 88%.2,3 Olsen et al report a prevalence of chronic pain of up to 75% in victims of torture.4 Several studies have been able to show that traumatizing events can generally be regarded as predictive of pain chronication.5,6

The relationship between psychological sequelae of traumatization and chronic pain is bidirectional and complex. Most of the existing theoretical models emphasize psychological or behavioral aspects (see Table 1): the shared vulnerability model, for instance, focuses on the presence of heightened anxiety sensitivity in individuals affected by PTSD and chronic pain, suggesting a possible common basis for vulnerability.1 The mutual maintenance model goes even further by positing additional common features (eg, depressive symptoms) and emphasizing that both disorders can perpetuate each other in a sufferer’s experience.8 At the behavioral level, the perpetual avoidance model demonstrates that avoidance behavior and catastrophizing thinking...
Table 1  Compilation of previous models of interaction between traumatization and chronic pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Central aspect of interaction</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shared vulnerability model</td>
<td>The evidence of heightened anxiety sensitivity in individuals affected by posttraumatic stress disorder as well as in individuals with chronic pain is assumed to be a common etiopathogenetic vulnerability factor.</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutual maintenance model</td>
<td>Common features and symptoms (eg, depressive symptoms, sleep disorder)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perpetual avoidance model</td>
<td>Typical avoidance behavior and catastrophizing thinking tend to perpetuate symptoms in both people with chronic pain disorders and posttraumatic stress disorder.</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLean et al’s model</td>
<td>Common biological and endocrine features originate in the overlapping phenomenology of trauma-associated stress disorder and chronic pain symptoms.</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In particular tend to perpetuate symptoms in both people with chronic pain disorders and those with PTSD.9 Focusing on the importance of the stress physiology, McLean et al’s model also integrates neurobiological and neuroendocrine origins of the phenomenology.10

Our hypermnesia-hyperarousal model, introduced herein, postulates that pain persistence and pain sensitization following a traumatizing event are neuropsychological reactions connected to two mechanisms of learning physiology. This non-dualistic manner of explanation has a destigmatizing and clarifying impact also for the patient’s education.

Clinical and physiological background

Pain sensitization

Pain disorders in traumatized persons often have in common that they are not or not sufficiently explained by structural somatic injury. This fact could mislead an observer to rush to the conclusion that the pain reported by a patient is of “virtual” origin. In order to be able to understand this type of pain disorder, one must differentiate between the somatic injury that triggers pain and the neuroperceptive process of perceiving pain. When traumatization occurs, both of these are generally affected: many traumatized persons suffer from a local physical trauma as well as from a massive disturbance of the structures processing pain stimuli. Generally, every persistent or severe local physical injury can cause a neurofunctional enhancement of the pain-transmitting structures.11,12 This mechanism of chronification is, for example, accompanied by an enhancement of synaptic pain transmission at the spinal level of the central nervous system, which is generally referred to as “central sensitization.” Further, it has to be stressed that certain local injuries themselves can directly provoke persistent neurogenic pain. A typical example of neuropathic pain is burning sensations of the soles after flogging torture (falanga).13 Finally, animal experiences have shown that repeated high stress can itself lead to peripheral enhancement of pain stimuli: under the influence of the stress hormones (cortisol and epinephrine), the intracellular signal pathway in the primary afferent nociceptive nerve fibers (nociceptors) is changed and leads to enhancement and prolongation of pain signals.14

As well as originating from peripheral and spinal sensitization, as mentioned above, increased pain sensitivity can also be of cerebral origin. The continued and intense experience of stress leads to an increase in pain sensitivity on the cerebral level via multiple neurofunctional mechanisms.15–19 The resulting sensitization is a form of implicit learning that has been demonstrated in individual nerve cells as well as in entire organisms.20,21 Sensitization brings about an increased reaction towards painful stimuli (hyperalgesia) and, possibly, an increased reaction even towards neutral stimuli (eg, allodynia).22,23 At the neurotransmitter level, traumatization is associated with massive glutamate release induced by the amygdala.24 Glutamate is a classical...
neuronal stimulus enhancer that generally plays a role in the sensitization and long-term potentiation (chronification) of synaptic signals.25

**Imprinting**

Imprinting is an extremely long-lasting and robust form of preserving experiences. As early as in the late 1980s, it was assumed that excessive neuroendocrine stress responses may lead to an overconsolidated memory of trauma.26 Furthermore, imprinting is the basis for subsequent mnestic reactivations. Pain experiences in traumatized individuals are typically reactivated by stimuli associatively linked to the traumatic experience. The involved associative chains work both ways: trauma associations can evoke pain experiences and pain experiences can evoke trauma associations.27 In clinical terms, we distinguish two types of pain-associated imprints: pain intrusions (or pain flashbacks) are situationally triggered and generally fade away again.28,29 They refer to painful somatic experiences related to the initial traumatizing context and come to be realized in the conscious mind in an almost realistic manner. Chronic memory pain, on the other hand, is characterized by its persistent nature. Often there is a direct anatomical relationship between chronic memory pain to the initial pain event.29 The central nervous structures of pain processing appear to irreversibly freeze the memory of the primary pain impression (see Figure 1).

**Anxiety**

Anxiety is one of the most decisive factors in relation to the risk of traumatization. Pain and anxiety are physiologically closely related. Whereas anxiety is a psychophysiological alarm function that signals a situational threat to integrity, pain is a psychophysiological alarm function that signals a physical threat to integrity. It has been shown even in animal experiments

### Table 2 Preservation and sensitization reaction patterns of the central nervous system in processing external threatening stimuli

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Hypermnesia (intention: protection by recognition)</th>
<th>Hyperarousal (intention: protection by early detection)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Imprinting</strong></td>
<td><strong>Memory</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preservation/chronification of an impression.</td>
<td>Associative realization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatizing experience of violence in a mugging at night by a passer-by wearing a hat.</td>
<td>From now on, the night will be memorized as a particularly threatening time. If possible, the person will only leave the house at daytime in future.</td>
<td>Every person wearing a hat, even on TV, will automatically conjure up the mugging that happened.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 Preservation and sensitization reaction patterns of the central nervous system in processing internal trauma-associated stimuli

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Hypermnesia (intention: protection by recognition)</th>
<th>Hyperarousal (intention: protection by early detection)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Imprinting</strong></td>
<td><strong>Memory</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preservation/chronification of an impression.</td>
<td>Associative realization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain suffered in torture</td>
<td>The pain experience will typically be memorized in those parts of the body in which physical traumatization occurred; so-called chronic &quot;memory pain&quot;</td>
<td>Situationally triggered somatosensory pain flashbacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety related to torture</td>
<td>Subsequent chronic anxiety state</td>
<td>Specific mnestically triggered anxiety attacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress related to torture</td>
<td>State of sustained psychophysical stress</td>
<td>Stress states with paroxysmal onset following trauma-associated stimuli</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that, with respect to neuroperception, an additive effect takes place if both systems are activated. Neugebauer et al imply a relationship with some direct modulation by the laterocapsular division of the central nucleus of the amygdala, assuming this to be of major importance in traumatogenic pain genesis.

Pain numbing

Besides the aforementioned pain-intensifying mechanisms related to trauma, there are also mechanisms of endogenous–reactive pain numbing in situations of serious threat: trauma-associated situations exceeding the maximal subjective tolerance give rise to dissociative processes. The latter can be followed by clouding of consciousness, for example, through the effect of endorphins (self-narcotization) or by emotional and physical numbness (auto-anesthesia). These neurofunctional losses reflect an attempt to turn a desperate situation that is intolerable into one that is survivable. Possibly, those investigations showing also reduced

Figure 2 The hypermnesia–hyperarousal model: easily understandable educational material.
cutaneous pain sensitivity in PTSD suggest an involvement of such dissociative mechanisms.\textsuperscript{35,36} 

Hyperalgesia and cutaneous pain-numbing mechanisms do not mutually exclude each other, since intense pain is typically a prerequisite for the triggering of dissociative processes. Typically, chronic pain and reduced superficial sensitivity appear concomitantly.\textsuperscript{17,38}

The hypermnesia–hyperarousal model

The above-described neurophysiological aspects form the theoretical basis of the following hypothesis. This hypothesis states that chronic “unexplained” pain of traumatized people originates directly in a hypermnestic and sensitized pain perception.

In numerous everyday situations involving minor threats, hypermnesia and sensitization prove to be valuable and protective mechanisms: threat-induced hypermnesia is meant to make sure that an individual will, in future, recognize a particular danger again, in order to avoid it. Threat-induced hyper excitability and sensitization are supposed to detect a potential hazard as early as possible (see Table 2). In a developmental context, it may have been advantageous for vertebrates if situations of external threat were strongly imprinted in an individual’s mind, to result in a state of sensitization. However, if intense threat-related memory imprinting occurs, it seems that not only external impressions but also internal perceptions (eg, pain) are strongly memorized. The intense hypermnesia of trauma-associated pain experiences thus becomes the basis for memory-related pain, whereas the trauma-induced hyper excitability forms the basis for hyperalgesia and allodynia.

According to the hypermnesia–hyperarousal model, posttraumatic pain persistence is an undesired and excessive “side effect” of these two normally self-protective mechanisms of learning physiology.

After a traumatic event, many people suffer not only from ongoing pain but from ongoing fear and ongoing stress as well. One might assume that the trauma-induced impressions of high anxiety and existential stress are also modified in the same manner as pain experience: a fixation and sensitization of anxiety and stress lead analogously to chronication and decreased tolerance thresholds of these two states. Table 3 further illustrates these two aspects with examples.

First evaluations and conclusion

The risk of suffering from trauma-induced sequelae such as PTSD depends on many internal and external factors. We would like to stress that the pathology of posttraumatic pain is often multicausal. It is also important to bear in mind structural–nociceptive and neuropathic facets.\textsuperscript{38–40} According to our experience, however, the hypermnesia–hyperarousal model provides a plausible hypothesis for explaining aspects of pain that cannot be explained by structural damage, but that originate from altered pain-processing in the central nervous system. It offers a plausible neurofunctional assumption for explaining the occurrence of pain chronification and pain sensitization with learning physiology.

A prospective experimental study on how pain is imprinted on people’s memories under intense experience of stress can, for ethical reasons, not be performed. However, animal models and many retrospective analyses of numerous human individuals allude to such mechanisms.\textsuperscript{14,15,27–29,38} Generally, the impact of a theoretical model is not only shown in its scientific reliability but also in its pragmatic usefulness in everyday application. Our clinical experiences and patients’ feedback motivate us to further investigate the therapeutic effect of this explanatory theory. For instance, a potential therapeutic effect of an educative intervention on alleviating posttraumatic stress symptoms and other psychometric key variables could be verified against a wait-list control group. To this end, easy-to-grasp educational material for patients have been developed (see Figure 2). We consider that the psychotherapeutically desirable step of reframing is strongly supported by our model: for patients, it is therapeutically very meaningful to conceive trauma-associated sequelae as a “normal” reaction to an extremely “abnormal” event. If a patient arrives at an understanding that their situation is not the result of personal failure but is rather an expected consequence of excessive auto-protective strategies of the nervous system, this can open the way to a vital reassessment of their suffering.
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