An 8-week multimodal treatment program improves symptoms of knee osteoarthritis: a real-world multicenter experience
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Purpose: To report outcomes from a 5-year real-world clinical experience with a multimodal treatment program in patients with symptomatic knee osteoarthritis (OA).

Methods: Patients with symptomatic, radiographically confirmed knee OA resistant to traditional conservative treatments underwent a supervised 8-week multimodal treatment program consisting of low-impact aerobic exercise, muscle flexibility exercises, joint mobilization, physical therapy modalities, muscle strengthening and functional training, patient education, and a series of 3 or 5 weekly hyaluronic acid injections. Patients were evaluated at admission, 4 weeks, and 8 weeks. Patient-reported outcomes included knee pain severity using an 11-point (0–10) numerical scale and the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.

Results: A total of 3,569 patients completed an 8-week treatment course between January 2008 and April 2013 at 66 dedicated treatment centers in the United States. Knee pain severity assessed on a numeric scale decreased 59% on average, from 5.4±2.9 to 2.2±2.2 (P<0.001). Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index subscores decreased by 44% to 51% (all P<0.001) during the 8-week program. The percentage of patients achieving the threshold for Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index minimally perceptible clinical improvement was 79% for the Pain subscale, 75% for Function, and 76% for Stiffness. Favorable patient outcomes were reported in all subgroups, regardless of age, sex, body mass index, disease severity, or number of treatment cycles.

Discussion: A real-world 8-week multimodal treatment program results in clinically meaningful improvements in knee OA symptoms, with excellent generalizability across a broad range of patient characteristics.
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Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee is the leading cause of disability in adults and is characterized by progressive joint pain and dysfunction secondary to articular cartilage and subchondral bone damage, synovitis, osteophyte formation, and joint space loss. The prevalence of OA is expected to increase by 40% by 2025, with a projected economic burden of $128 billion per year in the United States.

Nonsurgical treatments for knee OA commonly include weight loss, lateral wedge insoles, analgesics, bracing, physical therapy, and hyaluronic acid (HA) injections. However, the independent clinical benefit offered by these therapies is questionable, and the use of a single modality to treat knee OA does not reflect typical clinical practice. Because the etiology of knee OA is multifactorial, it is plausible that multimodal treatment regimens may be necessary to effectively assuage the symptoms...
of this disease. Although multimodal nonsurgical therapy is widely advocated and unanimously supported in knee OA treatment position statements, research in this area is mainly restricted to clinical trials with limited generalizability to the general population. Furthermore, the components of such multimodal programs are highly variable, and comparisons among interventions are difficult.

Intraarticular injection of HA enhances the viscoelastic properties of synovial fluid and inhibits proinflammatory pathways. A recent meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials reported that HA products approved in the United States were safe and significantly improved knee pain and function in knee OA patients for up to 6 months. Because a major determinant of knee OA progression is excessive and/or aberrant knee joint loading patterns, physical rehabilitation programs aimed at improving muscle strength, flexibility, and proprioception may serve to lower knee joint loading forces and decrease OA symptom severity. The purpose of this article is to report outcomes from a 5-year real-world clinical experience with a multimodal treatment program in patients with symptomatic knee OA.

**Methods**

**Patients**

Patients with symptomatic, radiographically confirmed knee OA resistant to traditional conservative therapies were enrolled from 66 dedicated treatment centers (affiliated with OsteoArthritis Centers of America) in 25 states across the United States. The percentage of patients enrolled according to city size was 6% for cities with a population smaller than 10,000, 57% for a population of 10,000 to 99,999, 29% for a population of 100,000 to 999,999, and 7% for a population of 1,000,000 or more.

Baseline assessments included medical history and a complete clinical and orthopedic examination. Standing weight-bearing X-rays confirmed the OA diagnosis, and disease severity was classified using the Kellgren-Lawrence (K-L) grading scale (0, normal; I, possible osteophyte, no joint space narrowing; II, definite osteophyte, possible joint space narrowing; III, multiple osteophytes, definite joint space narrowing, sclerosis, and possible deformity of bone ends; and IV, large osteophytes, marked joint space narrowing, severe sclerosis, and definite deformity of bone ends). Patients with a K-L grade of 0 were not considered for this treatment program. A diagnostic arthrogram was performed to rule out contraindications (eg, meniscal tear, ligamentous instability) and to ensure potential for tri-compartmental HA flow by confirming the absence of potentially obstructive osteophytes, particularly in patients with grade IV OA.

**Multimodal treatment program**

Patients underwent an 8-week proprietary multimodal treatment program consisting of low-impact aerobic exercise, muscle flexibility exercises, joint mobilization, physical therapy modalities, muscle strengthening and functional training, patient education, and a series of weekly HA injections. The combinatorial program components were performed two to three times per week and divided into four distinct 2-week phases. The initial goals of the program were to reduce knee pain, encourage consistent aerobic exercise, improve muscle flexibility and joint range of motion, increase circulation in the knee joint, and reduce knee joint loading forces with a knee brace and/or wedged insoles. A typical phase 1 session began with low-impact aerobic exercise of approximately 10 minutes’ duration. Muscle flexibility exercises emphasized the hamstrings, quadriceps, gastroc/soleus complex, hip flexors, and hip rotators. Joint mobilization techniques were used to improve range of motion at the patellofemoral and tibiofemoral joints, as well as the lumbar spine and hip. Therapeutic modalities such as vasopneumatic compression, electrical stimulation, cold laser, and/or ice were administered as needed. Muscle strengthening exercises were prescribed and supervised by physical therapists and included closed- and open-chain activities with a focus on knee flexors/extensors, hip abductors and rotators, ankle inverters/everters, and trunk rotators. As the program advanced, aerobic exercise duration increased from 10 to 20 or more minutes. Muscle flexibility exercises and joint mobilization techniques continued. Muscle strengthening exercise intensity progressively increased from slow-speed movements at 60% of a one-repetition maximum to faster speed movements at 75%–80% of a one-repetition maximum, as tolerated. Balance, proprioception, and closed-chain functional movements bearing partial body weight were gradually introduced. At the end of the 8-week combinatorial program, patients were encouraged to engage in daily low-impact aerobic activity and functional exercises outside of the program.

Patients underwent 1 week of physical therapy to establish baseline knee pain and function scores before undergoing a series of weekly HA injections for 3 or 5 weeks, depending on the type of HA product. HA injections were administered under fluoroscopic guidance to improve injection accuracy. Specifically, before each HA injection, 1 cc contrast medium was injected in the joint space and tricompartmental flow was confirmed. The needle was always inserted into
the joint capsule on the side of maximal pain. The most commonly used HA products in this series were Hylan
(Fidia Farmaceuttici, Abano Terme, Italy; 57%) and Supartz
(Bioventus LLC, Durham, NC, USA; 43%), with Euflexxa
(Ferring Pharmaceuticals Inc, Parsippany, NJ, USA) and
Synvisc (Sanofi, Bridgewater, NJ, USA) rarely used (each
<1%).

In accordance with most United States payer guidelines
for HA injections in knee OA, patients were eligible to
undergo multiple 8-week treatment programs if the initial
treatment course provided significant pain relief, the patient
experienced recurring pain after therapy was discontinued,
and a minimum of 6 months had passed between treatment
courses.

Outcomes
Patients were evaluated at admission, 4 weeks, and 8 weeks.
Patient-reported outcomes included knee pain severity using
an 11-point (0 to 10) numerical scale and the Western Ontario
and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC)
version 3.1.17 The WOMAC is a validated tool that mea-
sures pain and dysfunction associated with OA of the lower
extremities by assessing 5 pain-related items, 17 functional
items, and 2 stiffness items. Each item is based on recall
during the previous 48 hours and is scored on a 0–4 scale
on which 0 represents none and 4 represents extreme. All
WOMAC scores were normalized to a 0–100 scale, with a
higher score representing a worse outcome.

Data analysis
Continuous data were reported as mean ± standard devia-
tion, and categorical data were reported as frequencies and
percentages. In patients with bilateral disease, the knee with
the highest pain severity on the numeric scale was selected for
analysis purposes. Longitudinal changes in clinical outcomes
were assessed with repeated measures analysis of variance.
We defined the minimum perceptible clinical improvement
(MPCI) as an improvement from a baseline of 9.7 points or
higher for WOMAC Pain, 9.3 points or higher for WOMAC
Function, and 10.0 points or higher for WOMAC Stiffness
scores.18 Stepwise forward logistic regression was used to
identify factors that predicted patients who achieved the
MPCI for each WOMAC subscale. Univariate predictors
with a P-value lower than 0.10 were included in the multi-
variate analysis. A P-value lower than 0.05 was considered
statistically significant. Data were analyzed using Predictive
Analytics Software (version 18; IBM Corporation, Armonk,
NY, USA).

Results
A total of 3,569 patients completed an 8-week treatment
course between January 2008 and April 2013. Baseline
patient characteristics are detailed in Table 1. Patients
were predominantly (55%) women and elderly (mean age,
70 years), with a mean body mass index of 31 kg/m², and
suffered from moderate knee OA pain and dysfunction with
a severity comparable to patients undergoing total knee
arthroplasty.19,20

Knee pain severity assessed on a numeric scale decreased
59% on average during the 8-week treatment period, from
5.4±2.9 at pretreatment to 2.2±2.2 after the treatment course
(P<0.001; Figure 1). The percentage of patients achieving
improvements of 10%, 30%, and 50% compared with base-
line values were 87%, 79%, and 70%, respectively.

WOMAC subscores decreased by 44%–51% (all
P<0.001; Figure 2). The mean (±95% confidence interval
[CI]) absolute improvement from baseline was 25±1 for Pain,
20±1 for Function, and 14±1 for Stiffness. The percentage of
patients demonstrating any improvement in WOMAC scores
was 85% for Pain, 87% for Function, and 76% for Stiffness.
The percentage of patients achieving the WOMAC MPCI was
79% for Pain, 75% for Function, and 76% for Stiffness.

Similarly favorable patient outcomes were identified
regardless of sex, age, body mass index, or K-L grade, with
the percentage of patients achieving the MPCI ranging from

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Baseline patient characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex (n=3,554), n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean ± standard deviation, years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, mean ± standard deviation, kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Body mass index, mean ± standard devia-
| tion, kg/m²                            | 31±7            |
| Disease involvement, n (%)              |                 |
| Bilateral                               | 2,593 (73)      |
| Unilateral                              | 976 (27)        |
| Kellgren-Lawrence grade (n=2,928), n (%)|                 |
| I                                       | 112 (4)         |
| II                                      | 453 (15)        |
| III                                     | 1,279 (44)      |
| IV                                      | 1,084 (37)      |
| Knee Pain Severity, 0–10 scale, mean ±
| standard deviation                       | 5.4±2.9         |
| WOMAC Pain, 0–100 scale, mean ± standard| 49±20           |
| deviation                               |                 |
| WOMAC Function, 0–100 scale, mean ± standard| 50±20           |
| deviation                               |                 |
| WOMAC Stiffness, 0–100 scale, mean ± standard| 55±24           |
| deviation                               |                 |

Abbreviation: WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteo-
arthritis Index.
70% to 81%, depending on subgroup and WOMAC subscore (Table 2). Longitudinal changes in WOMAC Pain scores by K-L grade are shown in Figure 3. Importantly, mean improvement in WOMAC Pain was 53%–55% in patients with K-L grade I–III and 46% in patients with K-L grade IV. Similar improvements across K-L grades were observed for WOMAC Function and Stiffness scores (data not shown).

Data were available for 158 patients who underwent a subsequent 8-week treatment cycle. Treatment outcomes in these patients remained favorable, although marginally inferior to those observed in the initial cycle, including a mean improvement of 51% in knee pain severity and MPCI achievement rates of 71% for Pain, 59% for Function, and 68% for Stiffness.

In multivariable logistic regression, younger age (per 1 year of age; odds ratio [OR], 0.99; 95% CI, 0.98–1.00) and female sex (OR, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.08–1.61) predicted Pain MPCI achievement, younger age (per 1 year of age; OR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.98–1.00) predicted Function MPCI achievement, and younger age (per 1 year of age; OR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.98–1.00) and female sex (OR, 1.48; 95% CI, 1.23–1.80) predicted Stiffness MPCI achievement. Body mass index and K-L grade had no independent influence on clinical outcomes.

No injuries related to the rehabilitation program necessitating program withdrawal or serious adverse events related to the HA injections were reported in any patient during this 5-year clinical experience.

### Table 2 Percentage of patients achieving the WOMAC minimal perceptible clinical improvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Pain</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Stiffness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;65</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥75</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30 kg/m²</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30 kg/m²</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kellgren-Lawrence grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index.

---

**Figure 1** Improvement in knee pain severity using a numeric scale after a multimodal knee osteoarthritis program.

**Figure 2** Improvement in Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) subscores after a multimodal knee osteoarthritis program.

**Figure 3** Improvements in Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) pain scores by Kellgren-Lawrence classification.
Discussion
Knee OA is a prevalent musculoskeletal condition in older adults. Despite numerous available treatment options, none demonstrate clinically meaningful symptom amelioration and acceptable patient participation rates. A 5-year real-world experience with more than 3,500 patients demonstrates the effectiveness of a proprietary multimodal knee OA program that incorporates low-impact aerobic exercise, muscle flexibility exercises, joint mobilization, physical therapy modalities, muscle strengthening and functional training, patient education, and a series of HA injections. These findings are important, as there are few satisfactory treatment options available to the OA patient, particularly in those with advanced disease. Isolated conservative treatments for knee OA are not clinically effective for pain or function, whereas surgical intervention such as arthroplasty is clinically effective, although only 1 in 5 patients are willing to undergo this invasive procedure.

Interestingly, about 75% of the patients in the current series presented with K-L grade III or IV, and as a whole, patients experienced symptom severity levels at program entry similar to patients who undergo unicompartamental or total knee arthroplasty. We observed short-term improvements in WOMAC subscores of 44%–51%, which are comparable to the magnitude of improvement in patients who undergo unicompartamental or total knee arthroplasty (range, 43%–73%). These data suggest that even patients with advanced knee OA have a favorable prognosis with a multimodal treatment program without resorting to surgical interventions. It is plausible that if efficacy were maintained over the course of several treatment courses, arthroplasty might be delayed in patients with end-stage disease. Some patients in this program have undergone three or more treatment cycles, each separated by at least 6 months, but the sample size was too small to conduct a meaningful statistical analysis on this subset. Additional research is warranted to identify the subset of therapies used in this research that is responsible for eliciting the majority of the favorable treatment effect.

The strengths of this research are that a large number of patients were included in the analysis and that, as patients were treated as part of real-world clinical practice as opposed to a clinical trial, the external validity of these findings is noteworthy. Limitations of this research included lack of outcome reporting beyond the 8-week treatment period and the potential for placebo effect bias, as there were no untreated patients to serve as a comparator group.

Overall, an 8-week multimodal treatment program results in clinically meaningful improvements in knee OA symptoms, with excellent generalizability across a broad range of patient characteristics, including those with advanced disease.

Disclosure
This work was supported by OsteoArthritis Centers of America (Houston, TX, USA).

References