A laminar flow unit for the care of critically ill newborn infants
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Introduction: Medical and nursing care of newborns is predicated on the delicate control and balance of several vital parameters. Closed incubators and open radiant warmers are the most widely used devices for the care of neonates in intensive care; however, several well-known limitations of these devices have not been resolved. The use of laminar flow is widely used in many fields of medicine, and may have applications in neonatal care.

Objective: To describe the neonatal laminar flow unit, a new equipment we designed for care of ill newborns.

Methods: The idea, design, and development of this device was completed in Sao Paulo, Brazil. The unit is an open mobile bed designed with the objective of maintaining the advantages of the incubator and radiant warmer, while overcoming some of their inherent shortcomings; these shortcomings include noise, magnetic fields and acrylic barriers in incubators, and lack of isolation and water loss through skin in radiant warmers. The unit has a pump that aspirates environmental air which is warmed by electrical resistance and decontaminated with High Efficiency Particulate Air Filter (HEPA) filters (laminar flow). The flow is directed by an air flow directioner. The unit has an embedded humidifier to increase humidity in the infant’s microenvironment and a servo control mechanism for regulation of skin temperature.

Results: The laminar flow unit is open and facilitates access of care providers and family, which is not the case in incubators. It provides warming by convection at an air velocity of 0.45 m/s, much faster than an incubator (0.1 m/s). The system provides isolation 1000 class (less than 1,000 particles higher than 0.3 micron per cubic feet at all times). This is much more protection than an incubator provides and more than radiant warmers, which have no isolation whatsoever. Additionally, it provides humidification of the newborn’s microenvironment (about 60% relative humidity), which is impossible with a radiant warmer, which produces high water body loss. It has no mechanical barriers like acrylic walls, its magnetic field is lower than an incubator (0.25 μT versus 1.2 μT), and the noise is minimal compared to incubators. The unit is also able to provide controlled total body hypothermia, which is not possible with the other two units.

Conclusion: The laminar flow unit for neonatal care is a novel device which we recently developed. The introduction of laminar flow technology represents a real innovation in the neonatal field. We have described the various components of the unit and the potential advantages for management of ill neonates. This will hopefully lead to improved clinical outcomes and more effective neonatal management and safety.
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and well-maintained are body temperature, ventilation, oxygenation, hydration, and level of bacterial contamination. In an attempt to provide better temperature control, all sick newborn infants are cared for in units that have been expressly designed to provide them with a unique microenvironment. These units are the incubator and the radiant warmer.

The first incubator was developed in 1880 by Tarnier and Couney. The ground-breaking innovation was the idea of surrounding a newborn with humidity and warm air. Despite innovation and an explosion in new technology in the last 3 to 4 decades, the original concept has not changed much in the last 100 years. Old and well-known problems have also not been resolved, including the spatial limitations of treating a sick newborn inside of an enclosed environment, the changes in temperature and humidity when an incubator is opened, the difficulty in achieving contact for the mother, and a lower capacity to maintain temperature compared with a newer type of unit, the radiant warmer. In incubators, temperature is regulated by convection. The difficulty in maintaining temperature is explained by the low air velocity inside an incubator (approximately 0.1 m/s), which results in a low convection heat transmission, and probably involved transferring some heat by radiation rather than convection. Another problem is the noise inside the incubator which may be as high as 100 dB. Additionally, it has been found that newborn infants who are treated in incubators for more than several days can be exposed to high level magnetic fields. Such magnetic fields pose come potential risks, including leukemia, and while these risks would be lower if the incubator's engine was protected, this is not the case in the majority of incubators.

In the 1970s an alternative to the incubator was launched in the market—the radiant warmer. This equipment has some advantages, such as easy accessibility for care, lower manufacturing costs, and lower maintenance cost. Unfortunately, this open unit is unable to provide a low bacteria level environment, as its warmth is transmitted through radiation. This is a problem because warmth through radiation may lead to a high water loss, particularly in premature newborns. A meta-analysis trial comparing incubator and radiant warmer in 2009 confirmed the higher water loss associated with the latter but did not find definitive answers on which one was the superior equipment.

Laminar flow occurs when a fluid flows in parallel layers, with no disruption between the layers. There are no cross currents perpendicular to the direction of flow, nor any eddies or swirls in the fluid. In laminar flow, the motion of the particles of the fluid is very orderly, with all particles moving in parallel straight lines. Laminar flow occurs at low Reynolds numbers, where viscous forces are dominant, and it is characterized by smooth and constant fluid motion. The use of laminar flow in medicine started in the 20th century. Laminar flow has been shown to decrease contamination and infection rates, and as a result it is widely used in medicinal applications. It has also been demonstrated that warm laminar flow does not increase the risk of bacterial growth. Laminar flow also has an influence on prosthetic joint infections, and it was recently shown to reduce cases of surgical site infections in vascular patients.

Laminar flow technology has therefore become an asset in many areas of medical care; indeed, it has successfully been used for diverse clinical applications since the 1980s. However, its use in neonatology is still very limited.

Our objective is to describe the neonatal laminar flow unit, a new device for care of ill newborns recently developed in Brazil. We named this device the NeoNatFlow, as it maintains the advantages of closed incubators and radiant warmers while overcoming some of their inherent issues by applying the laminar flow’s technology to neonatal care.

**Methods**

The idea, design, and development of this device was completed in Sao Paulo, Brazil. The unit is an open mobile bed designed with the objective of maintaining the advantages of the incubator and open radiant warmer while overcoming some of their inherent problems. For incubators, we looked to address difficulty in accessing a critically ill infant, excessive noise, magnetic fields and acrylic barriers; in radiant warmers, we targeted radiation source of heat, the lack of humidity, and increased water loss through the skin and absence of isolation. Additionally, the system had to be able to normalize temperature in babies with hypothermia in a controlled but swift way, while at the same time being able to easily maintain temperatures in the normal range. We worked with bioengineers for over 3 years and developed several prototypes until we reached the final stage, which is described below.

**Laminar flow unit**

The unit is an open mobile bed. It has a pump that aspirates environmental air, producing a noise measured at 50 dB on
control mechanism increases or lowers heat emission to regulate the newborn’s skin temperature. The air is humidified through an embedded humidifier to increase humidity in the infant’s microenvironment to a maximum of 60% relative humidity.

The NeoNatFlow is therefore an open unit that has no mechanical barriers (eg, the acrylic walls of an incubator) and provides isolation-humidity and heat by convection and not by radiation, making it different from the open radiant warmer. Figure 1 shows all components of the NeoNatFlow unit schematically. Figure 2 is a photograph of a current prototype of the NeoNatFlow.

An additional and unique feature of this equipment is that it is also able to provide supervised total body hypothermia using convection and servo-controllers to maintain the desired low body temperature, which cannot be provided by an incubator or radiant warmer. Hypothermia by other methods is a proven therapy for perinatal hypoxic brain injury.

**Discussion**

We have described the properties of a newly developed unit with laminar flow for the care of ill newborns. It is an innovation that may be proven to be better for neonatal microenvironment and care than the existing technologies. The goal of our team over the course of several years was to develop a unit for neonatal care which provided greater accessibility to the newborn, for
both the care team and the baby’s family, while at the same time maintaining isolation and humidity. The laminar flow system may become the solution that achieves these goals.

Laminar flow has been used in medicine for almost 40 years, but its use in neonatology is still very limited. To our knowledge, this is the first description of a laminar flow unit designed for neonatal care. During development, some challenges arose, including the need to add warm air and humidity to the laminar flow. Additionally, some pleasant surprises arose; for example, the relatively low manufacturing costs associated with this technology is much lower than an incubator and similar to a radiant warmer. The maintenance cost should also be much more inexpensive because, compared with incubators which require filter replacements every 3 months, the HEPA filter has to be changed only once a year in our prototype.

After developing and improving prototypes of this laminar flow unit, we had several questions that needed to be evaluated. They pertain to, among other things, the time to normalize temperature in babies with hypothermia, the ability to maintain temperature in the normal range, and rates of skin colonization, water balance, and hydration. After obtaining informed consent approved by Ethical Committees of the Saúde Guarulhos Hospital, we completed some proof of concept clinical studies on these topics and are ready to submit them for publication. Some preliminary findings have been presented at international meetings, but have not been yet peer-reviewed. In summary, we found that this equipment was able to increase temperature in babies with hypothermia faster than an incubator. The air velocity in this equipment transfers heat more quickly than an incubator (prototype air velocity: 0.45 m/s; incubator air velocity: 0.1 m/s) but may also increase body heat losses, which is a potential first limitation. Another potential limitation is that the NeoNatFlow can deliver 60% humidity. Even though this is significantly more than radiant warmers, which have no humidity whatsoever, it is less than what is desired by many clinicians, and lower than what can be delivered by some modern incubators. However, in some cases this potentially highly humid environment is offset by the frequent opening of incubator windows. In reality, most unstable infants are treated in radiant warmers for improved accessibility, sacrificing all humidity in the microenvironment. In one of our preliminary studies, we assessed water balance and urinary output, since open radiant warmers have been previously shown to produce significant loss of insensible water. This did not occur with the laminar flow unit, likely due to its embedded humidifier, a feature not present in radiant warmers.

The neonatal laminar flow unit could potentially be used in the delivery room just like radiant warmers are currently being used, but with the added advantages of isolation and humidification. This unit was also able to maintain neonatal temperature in the normal ranges, as well as guaranteeing low skin colonization in term, near term, and moderately preterm newborns. Another potential use is as a surgical bed, with the advantage of a lower impact on the medical team due to the high ambient temperatures produced by radiant warmers, not produced at all by this laminar flow unit.

Neonatal infection, especially bacterial infection, is a serious problem in all neonatal intensive care units in the world; it is the largest cause of mortality in developing countries. In Brazil, infection rates of 15–30 newborns /1000 days with a mortality of about 70% in some regions of the country have been reported. The isolation produced by the ISO 4 standard is almost like a barrier to germs that can be introduced when handling infants. In addition to decreasing colonization rates, this unit could be useful in decreasing nosocomial infections.

Finally, this equipment is also able to provide supervised, total-body, moderate hypothermia, which has been proven to be useful in the treatment of perinatal hypoxic brain injury. Laminar flow hypothermia is likely to be as effective as other methods which are more distressing. We also have a proof
of concept study on this topic which will be submitted for publication in the near future.

**Conclusion**

The laminar flow unit for neonatal care is a novel device which we recently developed. We have described the various elements in the unit and the potential advantages for management of ill neonates. We consider that the introduction of laminar flow technology represents a real innovation in the neonatal field; it could provide solutions to some of the current issues encountered by closed incubators and open radiant warmers explained by Antonucci et al. Clinical studies are needed and are being performed; we speculate that this neonatal laminar flow unit could be at least part of the solution for some of the issues encountered while caring for newborns, particularly in areas of the world with limited access to very expensive technology. This will hopefully lead to improved clinical outcomes and more effective neonatal management and safety.
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