Practical management of NSCLC patients with long-term bevacizumab treatment: a report of four cases
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Introduction: Previous research showed that the addition of bevacizumab (a monoclonal antibody against vascular endothelial growth factor [VEGF]) to chemotherapy resulted in a significant efficacy benefit in the treatment of selected patients with advanced nonsquamous non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). However, the occurrence and management of adverse events (AEs) during long-term maintenance treatment with bevacizumab is not well known.

Methods: This report presents a descriptive analysis, including the management of AEs, of four patients with advanced NSCLC, who received a relatively long period of bevacizumab maintenance treatment.

Results: In patient 1, a 72-year-old retired man with stage cT2N2M1b NSCLC, the only AE related to bevacizumab was a grade 1 rhinorrhea. Treatment resulted in a stable disease, with duration of response of 38 months. Patient 2 had NSCLC stage cT4N3M1b and developed a cavitation and infection after the first cycle of chemotherapy and bevacizumab, which caused a temporary decrease of her quality of life. Bevacizumab therapy resulted in a partial response, with duration of response of 15 months. A 52-year-old female (patient 3) with stage T2bN2M1a NSCLC is currently under treatment and has so far received 42 cycles of maintenance bevacizumab, with stabilized response (duration of response of 29 months) and no noteworthy AEs. The last patient is a 74-year-old male farmer with NSCLC T1N0M1, whose response has lasted for more than 3 years, with until now, no AEs.

Conclusion: Our retrospective findings of these four patients show the long-term efficacy and safety of bevacizumab treatment in a real-life setting.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related mortality in the United States and Western Europe. Around 85% of the patients with lung cancer have non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), of which the majority are in advanced stage (stage IV).1

According to current European guidelines,2 the first-line treatment for patients with stage IV NSCLC should take into account the histology, molecular pathology, age, performance status (PS), comorbidities, and the patient’s preferences. In patients with a performance status of 0–1, platinum-based combination chemotherapy should be offered. For patients with nonsquamous histology, pemetrexed is preferred to gemcitabine. Bevacizumab, a monoclonal antibody against vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), can be added to platinum-based chemotherapy in eligible patients. Previous trials and meta-analyses showed that the addition of bevacizumab...
to chemotherapy in the treatment of selected patients with advanced non-squamous NSCLC resulted in a significant efficacy benefit.\textsuperscript{3,\textasciitilde}4 The initial bevacizumab study in NSCLC patients showed a median progression-free survival (PFS) benefit of 1.7 months and a median overall survival (OS) advantage of 2 months.\textsuperscript{3} In specifically the adenocarcinoma patients, the median OS benefit has been shown to be 3.9 months.\textsuperscript{4}

In an open-label, phase IV trial, the safety and efficacy of first-line bevacizumab combined with standard chemotherapy regimens was confirmed in clinical practice.\textsuperscript{9} The results confirmed the manageable safety profile of first-line bevacizumab in combination with various standard chemotherapy regimens for treatment of advanced nonsquamous NSCLC.\textsuperscript{9} Adverse events (AEs) of grade 3 and higher that seem to be specifically related to bevacizumab treatment are: hypertension, occurring in \textasciitilde7\% of patients; bleeding, in \textasciitilde4\% of patients; and proteinuria, in \textasciitilde3\% of patients.\textsuperscript{3,\textasciitilde9}

After the induction treatment of 4–6 cycles of chemotherapy in combination with bevacizumab, treatment with bevacizumab monotherapy is continued until progression of the disease or intolerability due to toxicity. The reported median number of cycles for patients treated with bevacizumab is seven, with a range varying from one to 43 cycles (Table 1).\textsuperscript{3,\textasciitilde9}

The occurrence and management of long-term AEs during the maintenance treatment with bevacizumab is not well known. This report presents a descriptive analysis, including the management of AEs, of four patients with advanced NSCLC who received bevacizumab both in combination with chemotherapy and as monotherapy during the maintenance phase, for a relatively long follow-up period.

**Methods**

Each author had to identify the last patient from their clinical practice that received more than 20 cycles of bevacizumab treatment. Obviously, this is a highly selected cohort of patients, since the number of patients reaching >20 cycles is low in clinical practice. The stage, diagnosis, treatment type and duration, and the occurrence of AEs were described according to the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Common Terminology Criteria for AE (CTC-AE) 4.03. In addition, a description of the quality of life (QoL), as interpreted by the treating physician is presented. Of note; in the cases described in this paper, patient-reported QoL has not been taken into account, which could of course differ from the physician’s description of QoL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>PFS</th>
<th>OS</th>
<th>CHEM</th>
<th>BEVCHEM</th>
<th>BEV</th>
<th>BEVCARPAC</th>
<th>CARPAC</th>
<th>CARPAC vs BEVCARPAC</th>
<th>BEV</th>
<th>BEVCARPAC</th>
<th>CARPAC</th>
<th>BEV</th>
<th>BEVCARPAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sandler et al\textasciitilde1</td>
<td>4.5 vs 6.2</td>
<td>10.3 vs 12.3</td>
<td>HR 0.79</td>
<td>0.67 vs 0.92</td>
<td>HR 0.75</td>
<td>HR 0.75</td>
<td>HR 0.75</td>
<td>HR 0.75</td>
<td>HR 0.75</td>
<td>HR 0.75</td>
<td>HR 0.75</td>
<td>HR 0.75</td>
<td>HR 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandler et al\textasciitilde1</td>
<td>5.0 vs 6.6</td>
<td>10.3 vs 14.2</td>
<td>0.54 vs 0.83</td>
<td>0.54 vs 0.83</td>
<td>0.54 vs 0.83</td>
<td>0.54 vs 0.83</td>
<td>0.54 vs 0.83</td>
<td>0.54 vs 0.83</td>
<td>0.54 vs 0.83</td>
<td>0.54 vs 0.83</td>
<td>0.54 vs 0.83</td>
<td>0.54 vs 0.83</td>
<td>0.54 vs 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma BEV vs CISGEM</td>
<td>6.1 vs 6.7</td>
<td>13.1 vs 13.6</td>
<td>HR 0.78 vs 1.11</td>
<td>HR 0.82 vs 0.98</td>
<td>HR 0.82 vs 0.98</td>
<td>HR 0.82 vs 0.98</td>
<td>HR 0.82 vs 0.98</td>
<td>HR 0.82 vs 0.98</td>
<td>HR 0.82 vs 0.98</td>
<td>HR 0.82 vs 0.98</td>
<td>HR 0.82 vs 0.98</td>
<td>HR 0.82 vs 0.98</td>
<td>HR 0.82 vs 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reck et al\textasciitilde1</td>
<td>7.8 (TTP)</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>BEVCHEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1** Summary of efficacy, treatment duration and selected adverse event in studies with bevacizumab in non-squamous NSCLC patients

Abbreviations: BEV, bevacizumab; BEV vs CHEM, non-squamous NSCLC; CARPAC, chemotherapy of choice; CISGEM, cisplatinum; CHEM, chemotherapy of choice; HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival; PAC, paclitaxel; PFS, progression-free survival; TTP, time to progression; NSCLC, non–small cell lung cancer; vs, versus; CHEM, chemotherapy of choice.
**Results**

**Patient 1**

A 72-year-old retired man with a smoking history of 43-pack years presented to his primary care physician with pain in the right thorax. The pain had been present for 2 months, especially during the nights, and had been treated with tramadol and diclofenac. He had no other respiratory symptoms (no coughing, no sputum, no hemoptysis, and no dyspnea), his body weight had been stable for thirty years. At that time, he was still able to walk the stairs without problems. A computed tomography (CT) scan of the thorax and 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (18F-FDG-PET) showed stage cT2N2M1b lung carcinoma of the left lower lobe with a lytic lesion of the rib. Cytological analysis of the lesion in the main carina showed a large-cell, poorly differentiated, thyroid transcription factor (TTF)-1-positive lung tumor; rib puncture confirmed metastatic disease without epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)/KRAS mutation.

Next to radiotherapy for the bone metastasis in the rib, patient 1 received palliative chemotherapy (carboplatin area under the curve [AUC] 6 and paclitaxel 200 mg/m²) plus bevacizumab 7.5 mg/kg every 3 weeks, for 52 treatment cycles. He received paclitaxel 200 mg/m² for three cycles, which was then stopped because of allergic reaction during the fourth cycle; carboplatin 6 AUC in combination with gemcitabine 1200 mg/m² on days 1 and 8 was used in the fourth (and final) cycle of chemotherapy. Bevacizumab was continued as a single agent until disease progression.

AEs that occurred in this patient included grade 2 fatigue, grade 3 leucopenia, and grade 1 neuropathy, all of which developed during the chemotherapy and bevacizumab combination therapy. The only AE that developed during bevacizumab maintenance was a grade 1 rhinorrhea. In addition, the patient suffered from pain in his arm, of which the exact cause is unknown. Due to the pain in his arm, he had difficulty getting in and out of his car, which he had to do more than 100 times per day because of his work (newspaper-man). The maintenance treatment resulted in stable disease, with a duration of response of 38 months.

**Patient 2**

A 64-year-old housewife was referred by her primary care physician because of cough and pain during coughing. She was a smoker and had a smoking history of five packs per week for 40 years. The patient was in good condition (Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status [ECOG PS] 0). Further examination revealed a lung adenocarcinoma in the right upper lobe, stage cT4N3M1b with metastases in the left supraclavicular nodes.

Patient 2 received chemotherapy carboplatin (AUC 6) and paclitaxel 200 mg/m² for four cycles in combination with bevacizumab 15 mg/kg every 3 weeks for 21 cycles. After the first cycle, hospitalization was needed due to a cavitation in the primary tumor and a subsequent colonization of the cavity with bacteria, for which antibiotics were given. Due to this AE, the next cycle of chemotherapy plus bevacizumab was delayed for 1 week, and the dose of each of the drugs was adjusted to 75% of the original dosage for the additional three cycles. Afterwards, bevacizumab 15 mg/kg was continued for 21 cycles until progression.

The cavitation and infection after the first cycle caused a temporary decrease in her QoL. She received a blood transfusion with two units of packed cells for anemia related to the chemotherapy bevacizumab treatment. Other AEs included grade 2 sensory neuropathy, probably due to paclitaxel, and hypertension and grade 1 proteinuria that was probably due to bevacizumab, all developing during the combination treatment. During the maintenance treatment, no additional toxicities were established. Due to a decrease in cough, her QoL improved. Treatment resulted in a partial response, with a duration of response of 15 months.

**Patient 3**

A 72-year-old retired man with a smoking history of 43-pack years presented to his primary care physician because of cough and pain during coughing. He had no other respiratory problems. A computed tomography (CT) scan of the thorax showed stage cT2bN2M1a lung carcinoma of the right upper lobe and multiple, small nodular lesions in both lungs. Upon further examination, the diagnosis was a T2bN2M1a NSCLC of the right upper lobe (stage IV) with an ECOG PS of 0. Analyses of EGFR and KRAS status were not possible.

Patient 3 was treated with carboplatin AUC 6, paclitaxel 200 mg/m², and bevacizumab 7.5 mg/kg every 3 weeks (four cycles), resulting in a near complete response. She stopped working after the diagnosis but could cope with the disease and therapy. During the first treatment time, she was seen by a mental coach.

Maintenance treatment with bevacizumab 7.5 kg/kg has been ongoing every 3 weeks for 42 cycles, with good tolerability and no noteworthy AEs, and with a stabilized response. Due to liver enzymes abnormalities that were caused by bile stones, the treatment was postponed two times.
No treatment-related AEs were reported, and no concomitant or supportive therapy was needed.

Her QoL is currently good, the patient is in good condition, and is able to carry out daily work, but didn’t return to her job. During the maintenance treatment, there were no side effects and no complaints about the repeating hospital visits every 3 weeks. The maintenance treatment resulted in a partial response, and the patient is still on treatment, with a duration of response to date, of 29 months.

**Patient 4**

A 74-year-old male farmer (smoking history: one pack per day for 30 years, no longer smoking) presented with abdominal pain, fatigue, and loss of appetite. He was referred by his general practitioner. His chest X-ray and CT-scan showed a tumor in the right upper lobe, with lymphadenopathy. An ultrasound of his liver showed abnormalities that were compatible with metastasis. A bronchoscopy and liver biopsy confirmed the diagnosis of NSCLC stage T1N0M1. EGFR/ KRAS mutational analysis was not performed. His ECOG PS was 1.

The treatment plan constituted of carboplatin AUC 5, gemcitabine 1250 mg/m², and bevacizumab 7.5 mg/kg every 3 weeks in four treatment cycles, with bevacizumab every 3 weeks as maintenance until progression. There were no side effects recorded. His ECOG PS improved to 0. The QoL is equally good. He has adjusted his activities in such manner that it will not interfere with his therapy schedule. A partial response was achieved without experiencing any AEs and with to date, a duration of response of 36 months.

**Discussion**

Various clinical trials have proved the efficacy of adding bevacizumab to platinum-based chemotherapy in the treatment of patients with nonsquamous NSCLC, as summarized in Table 1. The median overall survival times for patients with NSCLC treated with platinum-based chemotherapy vary from 8–10 months, as reported in previous clinical trials. The median number of cycles reported in clinical trials for patients treated with bevacizumab is seven, with a range from 8–10 months, as reported in previous clinical trials. The median overall survival times for patients with NSCLC treated with platinum-based chemotherapy vary from 8–10 months, as reported in previous clinical trials. Most experience of bevacizumab maintenance treatment and toxicities has been with bevacizumab-treated patients with colorectal cancer. A recent report describes the treatment of bevacizumab in combination with various chemotherapy schemes for 1550 patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. In this report, it was also shown that bevacizumab was tolerable during the maintenance phase. This study reported a median treatment duration of bevacizumab of 6.7 months, with a range of up to 43 months. In colorectal cancer, it has also been shown, through patient-reported outcomes questionnaires, that bevacizumab does not have a negative impact on quality of life.

In clinical practice, questions arise as to what AEs to expect during the maintenance phase of bevacizumab treatment and how to practically manage them. The four cases described in this report suggest that the occurrence of AEs in the maintenance phase can be mild or even almost absent, which is also in line with a retrospective analysis of study E4599. Obvious examples are patients 1 and 4 described in this report who received bevacizumab maintenance therapy for over 3 years in the absence of AEs. Also particularly interesting, is the description of patient 2, in whom cavitation occurred upon treatment with bevacizumab. As described above, only cavitation at baseline is a risk factor for bleeding. Cavitation upon treatment with bevacizumab has been described previously. In a multidisciplinary discussion amongst clinicians from various hospitals, it was decided to continue treatment of this patient; despite the cavitation formation, this patient underwent 21 cycles and continued with her daily activities, and bleeding didn’t occur.

Obviously this paper has a selection bias, as only patients who received long-term treatment were included. Patients who experienced adverse events causing treatment discontinuation were not included. The aim of the present patient
presentation was to describe that long-term treatment can be possible.

Optimally, we should be able to use a biomarker to select “up front” the patients that will benefit from bevacizumab treatment for a long-term period. Continuing efforts are ongoing to define a biomarker, which is described recently.\(^\text{18}\)

Our present retrospective findings showed the long-term efficacy and safety of bevacizumab treatment, in a selected cohort of patients that responded well to bevacizumab treatment, in a real-life setting.
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