Advances with microRNAs in Parkinson’s disease research

Liuqing Ma1,2,*
Liangming Wei3,*
Fei Wu3,*
Zhenhua Hu2
Zhenguo Liu1
Weien Yuan1

1Department of Neurology, Xinhua Hospital affiliated with Shanghai JiaoTong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China; 2School of Pharmacy, Shanghai JiaoTong University, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China; 3Research Institute of Micro/Nano Science and Technology, Shanghai JiaoTong University, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Weien Yuan
School of Pharmacy, Shanghai JiaoTong University, 800 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200240, People’s Republic of China
Tel +86 21 3420 5072
Fax +86 21 3420 5072
Email yuanweien@126.com

Zhenguo Liu
Department of Neurology, Xinhua Hospital affiliated with Shanghai JiaoTong University School of Medicine, 1665 Kongjiang Road, Shanghai 200092, People’s Republic of China
Tel +86 21 2507 7501
Fax +86 21 2507 7501
Email zhengouliu2004@aliyun.com

Abstract: Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the second-most common age-dependent neurodegenerative disorder and is caused by severe degeneration of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta. Unfortunately, current treatment only targets symptoms and involves dopamine replacement therapy, which does not counteract progressive degeneration. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of small RNA molecules implicated in post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression during development. Recent studies show that miRNAs are playing an important role in the pathophysiology of PD. miRNA-based therapy is a powerful tool with which to study gene function, investigate the mechanism of the disease, and validate drug targets. In this review, we focus on the recent advances of the use of miRNAs in the pathogenesis of PD.
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Introduction

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the second-most common age-dependent neurodegenerative disorder and it affects roughly 1% of the population over 50.1 The disease is clinically characterized by bradykinesia, resting tremor, cogwheel rigidity, and eventually postural instability.2 PD was first described in 1817 by James Parkinson,3 and it was reported in 1893 that these symptoms were attributable to severe degeneration of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta.4 However, as the disease advances, the pathology spreads to involve other brain regions, including cingulate gyrus, the amygdala, and higher cortical regions, resulting in dementia and psychiatric manifestations in affected patients.

Pathologically, abnormal accumulation of the presynaptic protein α-synuclein in the brain, forming Lewy bodies, is a hallmark of disease.5 While PD is primarily a sporadic disease in nature, to date, at least five genes have been linked with this multigenic disease including α-synuclein, leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2), parkin, phosphatase and tensin homolog-induced kinase 1 (PINK1), and DJ-1.6–9 In addition, cumulative evidence indicates that dysfunction of these pathways plays a direct role in the etiology of Parkinson’s disease. Recent success in using microRNA (miRNA) as therapeutic targets holds substantial promise for treatment of the complex Parkinson’s disease.

miRNAs are a class of endogenous and small non-coding RNA molecules, approximately 21–24 nucleotides in length, which play an important function in post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression during development. The first miRNA, lin-4, was discovered in Caenorhabditis elegans in 1993.10 This class of...
small non-coding RNAs is highly evolutionarily conserved and induces translational repression or degradation of a target messenger (mRNA), according to the biogenesis and mechanism outlined below.  

miRNA genes are mainly transcribed by RNA polymerase II into a primary transcript forming a self-folded hairpin structure, which is recognized and processed by the RNase III enzyme Drosha in the nucleus, to yield a 70 base pair (bp) precursor miRNA (pre-miRNA). Pre-miRNAs are subsequently transported from the nucleus to the cytoplasm by an exportin-5 dependent mechanism. Once in the cytosol, pre-miRNAs are further processed by a second RNase III enzyme, Dicer, into a 23 bp double stranded miRNA/miRNA*.

One strand is loaded into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), which requires the presence of proteins belonging to the Argonaute family. Complementarily, mature miRNAs guide RISC to their target mRNAs via base-pairing interactions between the 5′ end of the miRNA and the 3′ untranslated region (3′UTR) of the target mRNA, which results in mRNA translational repression or degradation. Figure 1 shows the miRNA biogenesis pathway.

Two common mechanisms for miRNA mediated gene regulation have been described above: translational repression and mRNA degradation. In fact, a recent study showed that decreasing miRNA levels by destabilization of mammalian target mRNAs is a major mechanism in miRNA gene repression. An individual miRNA is able to target up to a few hundred different mRNAs and therefore, is able to regulate the expression of multiple and diverse proteins involved in a biological process. Recent evidence suggests that translation regulation is an important process in the pathophysiology of PD. It is hardly surprising that the number of roles assigned to miRNAs in the pathogenesis of PD is rapidly expanding.

In this review we focus on the recent advances in the use of miRNAs in pathological processes of PD.

miRNAs and SNCA

The α-synuclein gene (SNCA), encoding the protein SNCA, is one of the key genes playing a central role in the pathogenesis of PD. In PD, α-synuclein is the key protein that aggregates and accumulates in fibrillar form in the pathological hallmark lesions known as Lewy bodies and Lewy neuritis in vulnerable neurons. It is almost certain that the presence of α-synuclein-rich Lewy bodies is caused by inefficient clearance of α-synuclein. In in vitro studies, α-synuclein protein was shown to undergo concentration-dependent self-aggregation by a nucleation-dependent mechanism. Factors that reduce protein clearance efficiency will tip the balance in favor of protein aggregation and will promote the development of inclusions (Figure 2). Additionally, it was shown in a series of works that excess α-synuclein is deleterious to neurons and in particular dopamine neurons, the death of which is responsible for the characteristic motor features of PD.

To date, two specific miRNAs were recently shown to regulate α-synuclein levels post-transcriptionally: miR-7 and miR-153, which are abundantly expressed in the brain. The human α-synuclein mRNA has a 3′UTR, which has potential functional significance in regulating the stability of the mRNA and the rate of protein translation. Further, it was...
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shown that the brain-enriched miRNAs, miR-7 and miR-153, preferentially bind to the SNCA mRNA 3′-UTR and significantly downregulate α-synuclein expression in PD mouse models (Figure 3).\(^{34,35}\) Recently, miR-7 has been reported to be specifically expressed during neuroblastoma differentiation, cortical development, embryonic stem cell differentiation, and control neurite outgrowth in vitro.\(^{36}\)

Therefore, it is conceivable that depletion of certain miRNA species resulting in upregulated expression of α-synuclein levels in a PD brain would subsequently indicate a functional role of these miRNAs in the disease process.

miR-7, which is expressed mainly in neurons, is predicted to bind to the α-syn mRNA 3′-UTR with its seed sequence complementary to nucleotides 119–127, which is conserved in humans, rats, mice, dogs, and chickens.\(^{34}\) Transfection of α-synuclein-expressed cells with miR-7 showed that α-synuclein protein expressions and mRNA levels were both significantly reduced, indicating that miR-7 promotes the degradation of its target mRNA. Thus, the main mechanism of the downregulation of α-synuclein expression by miR-7 is decreased mRNA stability rather than alteration of translation rate. Furthermore, this effect would be lost with mutation of the miR-7 target sequence and in the absence of the 3′-UTR, which confirmed that repression of α-synuclein expression by miR-7 requires the 3′-UTR of the α-synuclein mRNA.

Figure 2 Putative mechanisms of α-synuclein neurodegeneration in familial PD.

Notes: The presence of Lewy bodies in PD is caused by a failure to properly dispose of α-synuclein. Point mutations in α-synuclein and the recently described Iowan functional duplication lead to excessive intracellular accumulation of α-synuclein, resulting in spontaneous oligomerization of this protein. Mutant α-synuclein can inhibit proteasomal activity, potentially leading to enhanced accumulation; soluble α-synuclein protofibrils and intracellular protein aggregates have been shown to impair the proteasome. Dopamine may also form stable adducts with protofibrils, potentially enhancing this toxicity. Genetic mutations associated with the UPP pathway, including parkin and UCH-L1, are associated with familiar PD. Combined with other cellular stress, this leads to neuronal loss.


Abbreviations: PD, Parkinson’s disease; UCH-L1, ubiquitin carboxy-terminal hydrolase L1; UPP, ubiquitin proteasome pathway.

Figure 3 MicroRNA (miR-7 and miR-153) regulation of SNCA in PD.

Notes: The brain-enriched miRNAs, miR-7 and miR-153, are predicted to bind to the α-syn mRNA 3′-UTR as their targets. There is a significant synergy effect between miR-7 and miR-153 in down regulating α-syn mRNA levels and protein expressions. On the contrary, depletion of these miRNAs results in a concomitant increase in α-syn levels in a PD brain. miR-7 has a protective role by preventing oxidative stress and miR-7 inhibition causes cell death.

Abbreviations: 3′-UTR, 3′ untranscribed region; α-syn, α-synuclein; mRNA, messenger RNA; miRNA, microRNA; PD, Parkinson’s disease.
It has been confirmed that miR-7 actively represses α-synuclein protein expression; on the contrary, endogenous levels of α-synuclein protein are significantly increased by using specific anti-miRNA inhibitors against miR-7.31 Further, the expression of miR-7 is decreased in the 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) intoxicated mouse model of PD, which may contribute to the increased α-synuclein expression in this model. The role of miR-7 in downregulating α-synuclein expression post-transcriptionally has been confirmed in several cellular systems.35 Importantly, miR-7 mediated repression of α-synuclein expression protects against the impaired proteasomal function37,38 and cytotoxicity39,40 associated with α-synuclein overexpression. Antisense inhibition of miR-7 in HeLa cells has also been found to downregulate cell growth and increase apoptosis,41 suggesting that miR-7 has a protective role by preventing oxidative stress.34,42

miR-153 is another miRNA species shown to downregulate α-synuclein expression by targeting the α-synuclein 3′-UTR where the seed match is located between bases 462 and 468, a sequence that is conserved across vertebrate species.35 In particular, it was shown that miR-153 is also predominantly expressed in the brain,45 specifically binding to the α-synuclein mRNA 3′-UTR and downregulating its mRNA and protein levels.35 Overexpression of miR-153 significantly reduces endogenous α-synuclein levels, whereas miR-153 inhibition enhances translation of a luciferase construct bearing the α-synuclein 3′-UTR in primary neurons.

In a recent work, quantitative analysis of gene transcripts and SNCA protein showed that miR-7 and miR-153 have an additive effect in downregulating α-synuclein expression.35 miR-7 and miR-153 alone produced a 33% and a 12% decrease, respectively, in reporter gene expression, while the combination of the two microRNAs, miR-153/7, resulted in 47% repression, indicating that there is significant synergy between miR-7 and miR-153 to repress α-synuclein mRNA levels and protein expression. These findings reveal that first, miR-7 and miR-153 are synergistic in their effect; second, the 3′-UTR of SNCA mRNA is necessary to regulate SNCA protein expression; third, miRNAs do not interact with the coding region of SNCA to regulate SNCA protein expression; and fourth, miRNAs act at the pre-translational level.35 Regulation of this mRNA level is likely due to miR-7- and miR-153-induced elevation of mRNA deadenylation and uncapping, as they completely complement SNCA mRNA.44,45 Specifically, both miR-7 and miR-153, as well as α-synuclein mRNA and protein, are found in highest levels in nervous tissue, particularly in the midbrain, hippocampus, and cortex, and their expression is restricted to neurons rather than astrocytes.34,35 However, in other organs, such as the lungs and heart, the level of these RNA transcripts was considerably lower. Interestingly, miR-7, miR-153, and SNCA mRNA show highest expression in the midbrain, indicating that deregulation of their expression levels may be important in the pathogenesis of PD. This correspondence in expression pattern is maintained during prenatal and postnatal development, suggesting that miR-7 and miR-153, which are co-expressed with SNCA through a transcription feed-forward loop,45 play a significant role in regulation of α-synuclein levels in patients with familial or sporadic PD.

**miRNAs and LRRK2**

LRRK2, the protein known as dardarin, was identified in 2004 as being responsible for the most common known genetic form of PD, with autosomal dominant inheritance and low penetrance.46,47 In addition to the growing body of knowledge showing that specific miRNAs target and regulate the expression of disease-associated genes, LRRK2 in a recent study that while specific miRNAs regulate the expression of disease-associated genes, a disease-linked protein can also conversely regulate the miRNA pathway.48 LRRK2 is supposed to exhibit GTPase activity, which is typical of ROCO family protein members. In the Drosophila model,49 analysis of transgenic Drosophila expressing the pathogenic mutant forms of human LRRK2 gene, I1915T or G2019S, demonstrated that defective LRRK2 does not possess GTPase activity. The LRRK2 gene therefore derepressed miRNA-mediated inhibition of the transcription factors E2F1 and DP,49 which are involved in the control of cell cycle and survival,49,50 and thereby increased dopaminergic neuronal death.

miRNAs of the let-7 and miR-184* families were found to repress the translation of the two factors E2F1 and DP, respectively.48 Pathogenic LRRK2 inhibited let-7 and miR-184* function and consequently E2F1 and DP were upregulated; such effects were dependent on LRRK2 kinase activity. Deletion of let-7, antagonomir-mediated inhibition of let-7 and miR-184* actions, and blockage of binding sites for let-7 and miR-184* in 3′-UTRs of their target miRNAs, led to increased expression of E2F1/DP and development of toxic effects similar to that associated with pathogenic LRRK2, which resulted in reduced locomotor activity and dopamine neuron count (Figure 4). On the other hand, increasing the levels of these two miRNAs partially attenuated the unfavorable effect of mutant LRRK2.48 Recently, it has been shown that let-7b, a member of the let-7 miRNA family, regulates
neural stem cell proliferation and differentiation by targeting the stem cell regulator TLX and the cell cycle regulator cyclin D1.\textsuperscript{51} Overexpression of let-7b led to reduced neural stem cell proliferation and increased neural differentiation, whereas antisense knockdown of let-7b resulted in enhanced proliferation of neural stem cells.\textsuperscript{51}

The effect of action of pathogenic LRRK2 mutants on miRNA regulation might depend on their co-immunoprecipitation with components of the RISC. In addition, the RISC core protein argonaute-1 levels are negatively regulated by LRRK2 in aged, but not young, flies, and promoted the association of argonaute-1 with the increased phosphorylation of 4E-BP in relation to LRRK2.\textsuperscript{48} These findings indicate that LRRK2 is playing an important role in the pathogenesis of PD, which is mediated through the miRNA pathway, thus paving the way for new therapeutic strategies for PD.

Although these studies demonstrate that translational regulation of E2F1 and DP by miRNAs let-7 and miR-184* is involved in LRRK2-mediated neurodegeneration, the complex mechanism by which mutant LRRK2 inhibits the miRNA pathway and causes neuronal death in the Drosophila still needs to be delineated in a mammalian system.

**Parkin, DJ-1, and miRNAs**

In accordance with numerous studies, the two genes \textit{PARK2} and \textit{PARK7} are also associated with the pathogenesis of recessive parkinsonism.\textsuperscript{52–56} Parkin protein is encoded by the \textit{PARK2} gene, whose mutations on chromosome 6q25.2-27 will cause autosomal recessive juvenile parkinsonism (AR-JP), one of the most common familial forms of PD.\textsuperscript{53} It has been reported that parkin participates in the proteasome-mediated degradation as a ubiquitin-protein ligase collaborating with the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme UbcH7.\textsuperscript{53} Furthermore, a study of more than 100 families with AR-JP described mutations in parkin, which showed loss of the ubiquitin-protein ligase activity, indicating that mutations in the \textit{PARK2} gene are the cause of the development of PD.\textsuperscript{54}

DJ-1, whose protein is encoded by the \textit{PARK7} gene, is a second protein involved in autosomal recessive primary parkinsonism with its homozygous mutations.\textsuperscript{55,56} DJ-1 plays a causal role in the cellular oxidative stress response, in that its deficiency displays proteasomal inhibition and an apparently normal initial burst of reactive oxygen species (ROS),\textsuperscript{57} leading to oxidative stress and apoptosis.\textsuperscript{58} Proteasomal inhibition and ROS have previously been correlated with PD pathology,\textsuperscript{59} thus the hypothesis that DJ-1 mutations lead to PD because of an increased sensitivity to such stressors.\textsuperscript{60} Some recent studies demonstrated that DJ-1 deficiency caused severe mitochondria fragmentation,\textsuperscript{61–63} which suggested that DJ-1 is also involved in the regulation of mitochondrial dynamics.\textsuperscript{64} Additionally, some data indicates that DJ-1 can bind to parkin during oxidative stress and protect mitochondria from damage, and showing the common neuroprotective role of these two proteins.\textsuperscript{65}

In PD patients, miR-34b and miR-34c are downregulated compared with healthy controls at early (premotor) stages in brain samples,\textsuperscript{66} accompanied by a significant decrease in the concentrations of parkin and DJ-1 proteins.\textsuperscript{67,68} The levels of miR-34b and miR-34c are decreased by 40%–65% in affected brain areas such as the amygdala, substantia nigra, frontal cortex, and cerebellum.\textsuperscript{66} In addition, depletion of miR-34b/miR-34c in differentiated SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells resulted in cell death associated with impaired mitochondrial function and oxidative stress, which are consistently recognized biochemical defects in PD brains (Figure 5).\textsuperscript{66} Nevertheless, it remains to be proven whether the decreased expression of these miRNAs results from the death of dopaminergic neurons or from their specific downregulation in surviving neurons.\textsuperscript{66} Characterizing the physiological targets of miR-34b and miR-34c in neurons also needs to be better understood.

**miRNA and other candidate genes**

Study of the relative abundance of miRNAs in affected brain regions in patients with PD and their comparison to non-affected controls has been one strategy to understand the role of specific miRNAs in the disease. The work of Kim et al

**Figure 5** The role of \textit{PARK2} and \textit{PARK7} in the pathogenesis of PD.

**Notes:** In accordance with numerous studies, the genes \textit{PARK2} and \textit{PARK7} are also involved in the pathogenesis of PD. \textit{PARK2} encodes the parkin protein, and the DJ-1 protein is encoded by \textit{PARK7}. In the brains of PD patients, the levels of miR-34b and miR-34c are significantly decreased, decreased levels of miR-34b and miR-34c are also accompanied by decreased concentrations of parkin and DJ-1 proteins. Nevertheless, there is no experimental evidence for miR-34b/miR-34c targeting to genes encoding parkin and DJ-1.

**Abbreviation:** PD, Parkinson’s disease.
was the first study to focus on the expression profiles of 224 different miRNAs precursors obtained from brain regions of patients with PD and control subjects.\(^69-70\) This finding has been confirmed by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction, ribonuclease protection assay, and Northern blotting. Within this miRNA panel, eight miRNAs appeared to be specifically enriched in the midbrain compared to the cerebral cortex and cerebellum. The expression of one of these miRNAs, miR-133b, has been found to be significantly deficient in the midbrain of PD patient samples compared to controls.\(^70\) In addition, miR-133b appears to function as a negative regulator of dopaminergic neuron development, suggesting a direct link to dopamine homeostasis. The elevated level of miR-133b in primary embryonic rat midbrain cultures results in the decrease in dopamine release from neurons, while its lowered level has the opposite effect in number of dopamine neurons.\(^69\)

miR-133b is also downregulated in mouse dopamine neuron deficient models (Aphakia strain,\(^69\) a naturally occurring mouse mutant, which can be used as a model of neuronal loss in human PD).\(^71\) Aphakia mice have a mutation in pituitary homebox 3 (Pitx3), which is considered to be a PD candidate gene and a marker of DA-neurons. Pitx3, a homeobox transcription factor that is required for dopaminergic neuron differentiation in the midbrain substantia nigra,\(^72-74\) was recently identified as an miR-133b target.\(^69\) Additionally, two polymorphisms in Pitx3 have been associated with sporadic PD in humans.\(^75,76\)

A murine model of PD suggested that miR-133b and Pitx3 regulate expression of each other via a negative feedback loop.\(^69\) the Pitx3 gene induces miR-133b transcription, which in turn represses Pitx3 expression.\(^69\) Thus, loss of Pitx3 also results in the loss of miR-133b in the PD model. Nevertheless, an important question remains unanswered concerning whether the loss of the miRNA contributes to the development of PD. However, in the in vitro dopamine neuron (DN) differentiation assay, overexpression of miR-133b targeted Pitx3 and resulted in the loss of DN differentiation.\(^77\) Conversely, inhibition of miR-133b led to increased expression of DN markers, indicating enhanced DN differentiation. This negative feedback mechanism regulates midbrain dopaminergic neurons activity and terminal differentiation (Figure 6). Although a miR-133b knockout mouse model has not yet been developed,\(^78\) PD probably results from the loss of Pitx3-dependent gene expression rather than the lack of miR-133b. Therefore, whether miR-133b might have protective potential against dopaminergic neuronal neurodegeneration, remains to be verified.

Another important candidate gene associated with the development of PD is the fibroblast growth factor 20 gene (FGF20), a neurotrophic factor preferentially expressed in the substantia nigra, stimulating the maturation of dopaminergic neurons.\(^79,80\) Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are small genetic variations in chromosomal DNA sequences where a single nucleotide is replaced by one of the other three nucleotides. SNPs in miRNA target sites will affect transcription and processing of miRNAs, as well as the interactions between miRNAs and their targets, potentially leading to various human diseases ranging from cancer to PD.\(^81\) van der Walt et al demonstrated that the polymorphic variations in the FGF20 gene influence the risk of PD development, including two SNPs, rs1721100 and ss20399075, located within highly conserved sequences of the 3′-UTR.\(^82\)

More recently, another SNP, rs12720208, which is also located in the 3′-UTR of the FGF20 mRNA, was identified to have the strongest association with risk for PD.\(^83\) This SNP lies within a predicted binding site for miR-433, which is highly expressed in the brain, leading to reduced miR-433 binding efficiency.\(^84\) In an experimental model, the risk allele rs12720208 decreased the binding of the mRNA with miR-433 and resulted in the overexpression of FGF20. Furthermore, increased levels of FGF20 led to a concomitant increase in α-synuclein levels, which has previously been shown to cause PD through both overexpression and point mutations (Figure 7).\(^85\) Human brain samples with the miR-433 SNP demonstrated upregulation of both FGF20 and α-synuclein.\(^84\) Thus, it is predicted that elevated FGF20 might predispose to PD through long-term upregulation of α-synuclein and further enhance neurogenesis early in life, but also create chronic

---

**Figure 6** miR-133b and Pitx3 form a negative feedback loop.

**Notes:** Summary of the regulation of DA neuronal events in the brain within a negative feedback loop. The transcription factor Pitx3 induces transcription of miR-133b during neural differentiation, which in turn represses Pitx3.

**Abbreviations:** DA, dopaminergic; Pitx3, pituitary homeobox 3

**Figure 7** MicroRNA (miR-433) regulation of FGF20 in PD.

**Notes:** FGF20 disruptions are associated with a higher risk of PD, and one of its SNPs is within a miR-433 binding site. Decreased miR-433 binding efficiency results in FGF20 overexpression and a concomitant increase in α-syn protein levels.

**Abbreviations:** α-syn, α-synuclein; FGF20, fibroblast growth factor 20; PD, Parkinson’s disease; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.
elevation leading to degeneration during later life stages.85 Conversely, subsequent reports found no association between FGF20 polymorphism and PD risk, or a relationship between the rs12720208 genotype, FGF20, and α-synuclein protein levels.86,87 Thus, FGF20 probably plays an important role in subpopulations of PD and should be a focus for future study.

**miRNA and PD therapy**

The design of effective miRNA-based PD therapeutics faces an immediate challenge because of the complexity of neurodegenerative diseases. RNA interference has previously been exploited with great success in knocking down the expression of selected target genes and reducing protein levels involved in PD.88,89 As an example, targeting α-synuclein by infusion of chemically modified short interfering RNA or injection of small-hairpin-RNA-specific lentiviral vector systems notably decreased protein levels. The expectation has been proposed that RNA-interference-based therapies will be one of the major classes of drugs in the future, which strongly supports the notion that miRNAs may be better candidates for regulating endogenous gene expression in RNA-based therapies.90 As miRNA drugs do not appear to have the same issues with toxicity as short interfering RNA or small hairpin RNA based therapies,90,91 they are gaining more attention for their special potential in PD therapy.

In order to manipulate the expression levels of disease-related miRNAs in the hope of curing or prolonging disease onset, two miRNA-based therapeutic approaches are being investigated in vitro and in vivo. On the one hand, introduction of mature miRNA mimics, which are small RNA molecules that resemble miRNA precursors, can be used to effectively downregulate the expression of specific target proteins. The target protein can be any gene involved in disease pathogenesis, or the disease gene itself having a gain-of-function pathogenic mutation.92 However, there are also two main challenges that must be conquered in the use of this miRNA-based approach before assessing their efficacy in humans. For one thing, delivery of any drug to the brain by peripheral administration faces the particular technical hurdle posed by delivery across the blood–brain barrier. For another, the off-target physiological effect is another downfall in manipulating the levels or function of a specific miRNA. Although synthetic miRNA mimics are frequently used in culture research, the efficacy of miRNA mimics in vivo conditions have not been studied extensively.97–99 Presumably, adequate dose optimization of the miRNA mimic must be achieved to create persistent effects before clinical application.96

On the other hand, creating a loss-of-function in the miRNA of interest can be achieved by exploiting synthetic anti-miRNA molecules.100 These are single-stranded antisense oligonucleotides with complementary sequence that bind to and inactivate the miRNA target, thus blocking these overexpressed miRNAs. In a previous study, Stoffel’s group designed chemically modified, cholesterol-conjugated single-stranded RNA analogs complementary to miRNAs, which were termed as “antagomirs,” to help the RNA enter cells.101 Another example is of modified locked nucleic acids anti-miRs that were designed specifically against miR-122, an abundant liver-specific miRNA.102,103 This approach has also been successfully used to reduce the amount of miR-122 without showing any toxicity in nonhuman primates.
Intravenous administration of chemically engineered oligonucleotides against several certain miRNAs led to a marked reduction of corresponding miRNA levels in many organs, and the silencing of these miRNAs is specific, efficient, and long-lasting. An elegant study further demonstrated the therapeutic feasibility and safety of miRNA inhibition of this approach in a primate disease model. Therefore, the modified oligonucleotides, termed antagonirs, are efficient and specific silencers of endogenous miRNAs through intravenous injections or cerebrospinal fluid infusion, providing a potential role in miRNA-based therapy. The locked-nucleic-acids-modified oligonucleotides targeting miR-122 (SPC3649) method is currently being used in Phase I clinical trials for hepatitis C virus infection, probably becoming the first miRNA therapeutic target in humans.

Although miRNA-based therapies pose many unsolved challenges, including the specificity of miRNA function and the specific noninvasive delivery to the central nervous system, they still present exciting opportunities to combat humankind’s debilitating diseases.

### Conclusion

In the past few years, the field of miRNA study has revealed highly significant molecular mechanisms relevant to PD pathogenesis. Emerging evidence strongly indicates the important role of miRNAs in the pathogenesis of this disorder and the disturbance of processes associated with regulation of the expression of genes implicated in its development. However, complex challenges also remain and miRNA therapy or diagnosis may be many years away from entering the clinic (Figure 8). This review represents the tip of the iceberg in the identification of miRNAs and their targets involved in PD, which has been summarized in Table 1. We strongly believe that miRNA-based techniques will be helpful in addressing unresolved questions concerning PD via in vitro and in vivo approaches. Given the pace of new findings and the discovery of applications, miRNA is likely to remain a major new therapy for the foreseeable future.
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