Delivering pharmacogenetic testing in a primary care setting
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Abstract: Pharmacogenetic testing refers to a type of genetic test to predict a patient’s likelihood to experience an adverse event or not respond to a given drug. Despite revision to several labels of commonly prescribed drugs regarding the impact of genetic variation, the use of this testing has been limited in many settings due to a number of factors. In the primary care setting, the limited office time as well as the limited knowledge and experience of primary care practitioners have likely attributed to the slow uptake of pharmacogenetic testing. This paper provides talking points for primary care physicians to discuss with patients when pharmacogenetic testing is warranted. As patients and physicians become more familiar and accepting of pharmacogenetic testing, it is anticipated that discussion time will be comparable to that of other clinical tests.
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Introduction
Pharmacogenetic (PGx) testing is a type of genetic test that assesses a patient’s risk of an adverse response or likelihood to respond to a given drug, informing drug selection and dosing.1 As a pillar of the personalized medicine movement, PGx testing is anticipated to be important across all medical specialties;2 but particularly in primary care, where the majority of all drug prescriptions are written.3 It has been estimated that many of the drugs commonly prescribed by primary care practitioners (PCPs) such as fluoxetine, metoprolol, warfarin, and simvastatin are affected by PGx variation.4,5 Although several different strategies of delivering PGx testing have been proposed or are being investigated,6–8 at present, there is little clarity on which health professionals should order PGx testing, at what stage during treatment testing should be ordered, how best to communicate results to patients, and where results should be stored to inform future therapeutic decision making.9 Patients prefer receiving PGx test results from a familiar provider whom they trust such as a PCP;9,10 however, several factors have contributed to the slow integration of PGx testing in the primary care setting,11,12 including limited time as well as familiarity and experience with PGx testing.13–15 Because PGx testing is a relatively new field and many PCPs are unfamiliar with many of the basic tenets of the field, ongoing learning opportunities and/or faculty development would enable PCPs to feel more comfortable with the topic, and presumably engage in more effective communication with patients, and more appropriate use of testing. This paper suggests key elements to be discussed with patients prior to testing and when reporting test results to assist PCPs while recognizing some of the practical limitations in the primary care setting.
Pre-test communication

Education is a hallmark of the patient–provider relationship and new technologies will likely warrant additional patient education until familiarity increases. PGx testing is often ideally considered as a preventative clinical test with limited risks, and therefore the amount of information discussed prior to testing is believed to be much less than required for a disease-based genetic test. However, the novelty of PGx testing and the fact that it is a DNA-based test necessitate some discussion to facilitate informed decision making. In general, written informed consent is not typically recommended or obtained, although some testing laboratories may require it as they do for other types of genetic testing. While some tools and educational interventions have been developed to help PCPs deliver genetic testing services, none are specific to PGx testing.

Some patients have expressed interest in receiving information about PGx testing. However, PGx is a relatively new field that many providers have little experience with. Therefore, four main elements of PGx testing to be discussed prior to testing are suggested: (1) the purpose of testing and role of genes in drug response; (2) test risks and benefits, limitations, and alternatives; (3) emphasis that testing involves analysis of DNA; and (4) future benefits of PGx testing. As with any other clinical test, health literacy (including numeracy) may affect patients’ understanding of the purpose, risks, and benefits of PGx testing and/or the actual results.

Some of this information can be provided through patient educational materials and subsequent questions or areas of uncertainty may be discussed at a follow-up visit (Table 1). Therefore, prepared text and information relevant to the discussion of PGx testing should be readily available so that PCPs can quickly share pertinent information.

Review purpose of test for the given prescription

The purpose of PGx testing is to determine the risk of side effects and/or likelihood of effectiveness of a given medication. Physicians are often asked about side effects of prescribed drugs and factors that may alter the effectiveness of a drug, and if PGx testing is an option, it should be part of that conversation. In particular, PCPs can point out that a small change in a gene important in metabolizing or transporting (or other function) occurs in a subset of patients and a test is available to detect this change. The physician should briefly describe the possible outcomes regarding adjusting dose or drug selection based on the test result. Details such as gene name, specific variation, or gene function would be unnecessary.

Discuss risks/benefits, limitations, and alternative options

It should be emphasized that identification of a genetic change or metabolic activity does not necessarily indicate

---

**Table 1** A sample of online resources for patients and health professionals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient resources</th>
<th>Professional resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Institute of General Medical Sciences: Frequently</td>
<td>US Food and Drug Administration: Table of PGx Biomarkers in Drug Labels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asked Questions about Pharmacogenomics <a href="http://www.nigms.nih.gov/Research/FeaturedPrograms/PGRN/Background/pgrn_faq.htm">1</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/scienceresearch/ResearchAreas/Pharmacogenetics/ucm083378.htm">http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/scienceresearch/ResearchAreas/Pharmacogenetics/ucm083378.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Utah Genetic Science Learning Center: Personalized Medicine <a href="http://learn.genetics.utah.edu/content/health/pharma/">http://learn.genetics.utah.edu/content/health/pharma/</a></td>
<td>Centre for Pharmacy Postgraduate Education: Pharmacogenetics E-Learning Programme <a href="http://www.cppe.ac.uk/e-learning/pharmacogenetics/flash/pharmaco-elp.swf">http://www.cppe.ac.uk/e-learning/pharmacogenetics/flash/pharmaco-elp.swf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
an absolute diagnosis of non-response or that a side effect will or will not occur upon use of a given drug. The scientific basis of the role of genes in drug response is incomplete and rapidly changing and other factors may affect drug response. In addition, although the physical risks are no greater than for any other clinical test, it should be mentioned that federal law prohibits any use of genetic information by health insurers and employers, though other groups may still use this information (eg, life insurers and the military). Another issue PCPs may face is patient confusion as a “normal” PGx test result doesn’t necessarily mean the patient is not at risk for adverse events or non-response because current tests only capture known variants in known genes. Additionally, other factors such as cost of testing, insurance coverage, and risk of delay of treatment while waiting for testing to be completed may influence the patient’s decision to have testing. If the patient declines to have testing, the PCP should discuss alternative interventions to limit risk of side effects or non-response as medically warranted. For example, initial dose selection can be minimized, dose escalations can be modest, a review of concomitant drugs for potential drug–drug interactions can be conducted, and selection of alternate drugs in the same class that are less susceptible to PGx interactions may be considered.

Emphasize the genetic basis of PGx testing

It is prudent to disclose that this is a DNA-based test and that specific gene(s) associated with drug response will be analyzed, particularly if one prefers to describe the test as a “drug response test” instead of using the unfamiliar and imposing term of “PGx” testing. PGx tests are considered more comparable to non-genetic clinical tests given its specific purposes and low psychosocial risk, but given some of the public fears associated with genetic testing, it should also be emphasized that the DNA sample will only be analyzed for the specific genes (unless a broader type of test is ordered) and that it will be destroyed after testing as per the laboratory’s policies. The effect of a PGx variant would only affect family members if they are exposed to the same drugs, a strong possibility if the disease is due to shared environmental and/or genetic factors. Therefore, potential familial implications of a PGx test result should be mentioned.

Discuss future benefits of testing

Given that many commonly prescribed drugs are impacted by a handful of genes such as drug transporters or drug metabolizing enzymes, it is highly likely that the result of one PGx test will be useful for future treatments. Thus, the PGx test results are not only important for the drug which prompted the testing, but potentially for other drugs prescribed in the future. Therefore, it should be emphasized that a test result can help inform other treatment decisions in the future and should be shared with other providers or perhaps the patient’s pharmacist. This will help reduce redundant testing and encourage consideration of the existing test results by other prescribing providers. Conceivably, with electronic health records, the sharing of this information might not even necessarily require direct patient or physician involvement. Furthermore, with increased use of PGx testing over time and greater accessibility of results through electronic health records, the ease of sharing genetic information amongst family members may increase, particularly if they are exposed to the same drugs.

Post-testing: communicating test results

Due to the relatively short period of time that PGx tests have been used, there are little data regarding how patients process and respond to PGx test results. Although patients have struggled with comprehending information about genetic diseases and risk, PGx testing is not typically presented as risks but rather as the presence or absence of a genetic variation that has been associated with a given drug response, unlike other genomic disease risk assessments. While the lack of certainty regarding the likelihood to develop an adverse response or not to respond to a drug at all may result in some stress, the presentation of the test result as the presence or absence of a genetic variant or as a phenotype (eg, normal metabolism) may result in better understanding. Therefore, five strategies to improve patient comprehension of PGx test results and its significance to their treatment are suggested: (1) use effective risk communication strategies; (2) inform patients what, if any, changes will be made to the prescribed drug; (3) re-emphasize relevance of test results for future treatments; (4) make referrals as necessary; and (5) provide a patient letter. Additionally, Table 2 provides suggested text for discussion using the example of PGx testing for simvastatin to illustrate how these key elements may be communicated to patients.

Use effective risk communication strategies

As with any clinical test, the communication of test results should be tailored to the patient’s needs and concerns since not all risk information may be informative or useful to patients. For PGx testing, it is probably less important for patients to
**Table 2** Sample text based on the proposed strategy for post-testing communication using the example of SLCO1B1 for simvastatin (statin-induced myalgia has been associated with a variant in the hepatic transporter gene, SLCO1B1, particularly in patients prescribed simvastatin and to a lesser degree, atorvastatin)\(^{15,36}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PGx test results and possible clinical implications</th>
<th>Use effective risk communication strategies in discussing PGx results</th>
<th>Inform patients what, if any, changes will be made to the prescribed drug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genotype TT:</strong> this genotype is associated with normal activity and a decreased risk for simvastatin-associated myopathy</td>
<td>“The drug response test did not identify any changes in a gene that affects how simvastatin is broken down.”</td>
<td>“Based on these test results, we will have you continue to take simvastatin at your current dose.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genotype TC:</strong> this genotype is associated with intermediate activity and an increased risk for simvastatin-associated myopathy. A decreased dose or alternate drug may be warranted</td>
<td>“The drug response test found that you have a change in a gene that affects how simvastatin is broken down.”</td>
<td>“Based on these results, we will decrease your dose and continue to monitor your cholesterol.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genotype CC:</strong> this genotype is associated with low activity and a highly increased risk for simvastatin-associated myopathy. A decreased dose or alternate drug may be warranted</td>
<td>“The drug response test found that you have a change in a gene that affects how simvastatin is broken down.”</td>
<td>“Based on these results, I am going to prescribe a different medication to help regulate your cholesterol.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Emphasize importance of relevance of test results for future treatments**

| “At this time, simvastatin is the only medicine related to the gene we tested. However, it is very likely that more medicines will be developed or more discoveries will be made about this gene. Therefore it is important for you to remember this result and share it with other prescribing doctors.” |

**Re-emphasize relevance of test results for future treatments**

PCPs should remind patients of the potential significance of the current PGx test result for future treatments and encourage sharing of the test result with other providers. Studies have reported that some individuals that had PGx testing recognized the implications of testing for future drugs\(^{39}\) and asked about the future use of other drugs after receiving results.\(^\text{17}\) Ideally, PGx test results should automatically be included in the medical record just as a standard complete blood count or pathology result is appended to the record. Inaccessible PGx results could lead to much confusion, frustration, and potentially poorer patient and family outcomes. Patients can share the patient summary letter or a copy of the test report, or some type of summary record of their results kept on a card or something akin to a wallet.
to an immunization record, particularly if they have had PGx testing for different genes. Patients have indicated their willingness to keep their results on a card or other convenient device.40

Make referrals as necessary
Some PGx variants not only affect drug responses but also disease risk.41-43 For example, a variant in the apolipoprotein E (ApoE) gene has been linked to low-density lipoprotein response to statins,44 with an ancillary effect on Alzheimer’s disease risk.45 Some studies have suggested that providers should disclose the existence of ancillary risk information to enable patients to decide if they would want to learn of these results.46,47 If a PGx variant has an ancillary effect, the benefits of knowing the PGx variant to inform treatment should outweigh the risks of revealing a potential disease risk variant. In the case of ApoE, the effect on low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering appears minimal, and therefore the benefit of the PGx knowledge would not be greater than the risks and additional efforts associated with genetic counseling and testing for Alzheimer’s disease. Another approach, though controversial, is to not report individual genotype in the test results and instead simply report the expected phenotype, eg, poor response to statin, increased dose recommended. Because genetics professionals are likely better trained to discuss disease risk information, it may be prudent for PCPs to refer their patient to a genetics professional if a test with ancillary disease information is ordered. Additionally, genetic counseling may be beneficial for patients that exhibit difficulty in understanding or coping with the results.39 Therefore, to assist PCPs, it would be helpful to have a simple process established for genetics referrals when complex situations or results come back. Such a process may enhance both patient and provider acceptance of PGx and other types of genetic testing.

Provide a patient letter
It is common practice for genetic counselors to send a follow-up summary letter, reviewing the reason for testing, the name/type of test ordered, the results of the test, the implications of those results, and recommendations for follow-up. The letter may also include educational resources such as websites for patients to seek additional information if desired. Providing after-visit summaries is becoming more prevalent and useful in this increasing age of electronic health records for other medical specialties. Since it is not uncommon to deliver test results over the phone or via letter when follow-up visits cannot be scheduled in a timely manner or deemed unnecessary (eg, results are normal), some prepared text about PGx testing may help patients fully comprehend their results and PCPs quickly return patient test results (see Supplemental Figure). Particularly important for PGx testing, the letter may be shared with other providers providing treatment.48-51 Patient satisfaction and understanding of results received through other forms of communication have been shown to be comparable to those that receive results in-person.52-54

Conclusion
Given the limited time with patients in a primary care setting, the amount of information that can be conveyed to patients about PGx testing will be quite limited. The talking points provided in this paper should provide some guidance on the core elements needed to reach an informed decision about PGx testing. In combination with other resources, PCPs can adequately and effectively discuss PGx testing with their patients. As patient familiarity increases and PGx testing becomes standard of care, the amount of discussion will likely be substantially less, primarily limited to the results and its impact on drug selection and dosing.
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Supplementary Figure

An example of a patient letter for PGx testing following recommended content. Patient letters are routinely sent by genetic counselors to provide patients with an overview of the patient visit(s) and are separate from chart notes or letters to other physicians. Its primary purpose is to serve as a record of medical information and promote patient understanding.

Dear Mr Smith,
This letter is a summary of your visit where we discussed pharmacogenetic testing. The pharmacogenetic test was ordered because you were prescribed simvastatin (Zocor). Based on these results, a typical dose of simvastatin may not be the best [dose/medicine] for you. Therefore, I am [decreasing your dose/prescribing an alternative medicine].

You were prescribed simvastatin because of your elevated cholesterol and I would like to reduce your risk for developing cardiovascular disease. Common side effects for simvastatin include mild pain or discomfort, called myalgia. Side effects could also be more serious, like rhabdomyolysis, which is a condition where there is severe muscle damage and can, if untreated, be fatal. Environmental affects, like diet or other medicine may cause side effects. Changes in a gene that controls how simvastatin is broken down or metabolized can also lead to side effects.

The pharmacogenetic test for simvastatin is a genetic test that looks for changes in the gene that affects how simvastatin is handled by the body. These changes can cause simvastatin to be handled in a way that may cause side effects. To avoid side effects, patients with changes in the gene should be prescribed a lower dose of simvastatin or they should be prescribed a different statin.

Your pharmacogenetic test shows that you have a change in the gene that affects how your body handles simvastatin. Because of these results, I have chosen to [prescribe a lower dose/prescribe a different statin]. This should prevent side effects. However, please contact me immediately if you experience muscle pain.

It is important for you to remember your pharmacogenetic test results and share them with other doctors who prescribe medicine to you. The genetic change identified by the pharmacogenetic test may affect how your body handles other medicines. You may avoid having side effects from other medicines by sharing these results with other doctors.

I have also included a list of websites that have more information about pharmacogenetics and simvastatin if you are interested. Please let me know if you have any questions about your pharmacogenetic test result or the changes in your simvastatin prescription.

Figure S1 Patient letter for PGx simvastatin testing.
Note: Data from.1
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