Combination therapy with triamcinolone acetonide and bevacizumab for the treatment of severe radiation maculopathy in patients with posterior uveal melanoma
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Purpose: To evaluate the role of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide in patients who developed severe, visually compromising radiation maculopathy or progressed despite anti-angiogenic treatments.

Methods: An Institutional Review Board approved, consecutive, retrospective study from 2006 to 2009 of patients who developed severe, visually compromising radiation retinopathy manifesting as macular edema secondary to iodine-125 plaque brachytherapy for posterior uveal melanoma, were treated with a combination of intravitreal bevacizumab and intravitreal triamcinolone. Patients were evaluated with spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) at 2–4 month intervals following plaque removal. Treatment with intravitreal bevacizumab commenced at the first signs of visually compromising macular edema diagnosed with SD-OCT. Triamcinolone acetonide was administered to patients with severe maculopathy as consolidative therapy, or for patients that were refractory to repeated bevacizumab injections with persistent or worsening cystoid macular edema and lack of improvement or progressive worsening of best corrected visual acuity (BCVA).

Results: Twenty-five patients were evaluated after receiving a combination of intravitreal bevacizumab and triamcinolone. Initial treatment commenced at a mean of 14.5 (range of 2–42) months after plaque brachytherapy. Patients were given a mean of two injections (range 1–6) of triamcinolone acetonide, and a mean of 8.8 bevacizumab injections (range of 1–26) with a mean follow-up of 31.2 months. Radiation maculopathy upon first detection had a mean SD-OCT grade of 3.6 (median = 4), with an associated mean entry level BCVA of 20/70. Visual acuity at time of first intravitreal triamcinolone was 20/138. At last follow-up (mean of 45.5 months after plaque brachytherapy) mean BCVA was 20/136; however, 9 of 25 (36%) patients who presented with severe radiation maculopathy demonstrated 20/50 or better vision at last follow-up.

Conclusion: This case series suggests a beneficial role for intravitreal triamcinolone as a consolidation treatment for patients who present with severe radiation maculopathy or as an adjuvant to bevacizumab for refractory or progressive maculopathy.
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Introduction

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) classification of macular edema is gaining popularity for the detection and monitoring of radiation induced macular edema, which can be graded on a 1–5 scale that was first introduced by Horgan et al.¹ This edema grading scale has also been shown to correlate with foveal thickness and visual acuity (personal communication, 2008). Based on our experience with radiation macular edema, we have extended this grading system to include grade 6 radiation maculopathy associated...
with severe foveal cystoid macular edema and subretinal fluid. Recent findings utilizing spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) have demonstrated that these earliest signs of radiation maculopathy manifest as macular edema,2 with average onset of OCT-evident edema by 12 months. In addition, SD-OCT can detect macular edema up to 5 months earlier than clinically detectable radiation maculopathy, with macular edema evident as early as 4 months after radiation treatment.3–6 The potential reversibility of macular edema serves as a target for therapeutic intervention, particularly since the presence of macular edema reflects a worse prognosis in patients developing radiation maculopathy.7 In addition, studies have shown that chronic, massive cystoid macular edema may lead to disruption of the photoreceptor inner segment/outer segment junction, a finding that has been correlated with decreased visual acuity.8–10 Thus, even with resolution of edema and restoration of normal retinal architecture, patients often fail to regain prior vision. At the Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, we often utilize triamcinolone acetonide as a combination treatment with intravitreal bevacizumab in patients with severe, massive macular edema and cystic changes where bevacizumab does not lead to significant improvement. In these patients, combination treatment with bevacizumab followed by consolidation with supplemental triamcinolone may stabilize macular edema and preserve visual acuity.

Methods

Patients

The University of Miami Institutional Review Board approved this retrospective study. Inclusion criteria included patients who developed radiation maculopathy after undergoing treatment with iodine-125 (I-125) plaque brachytherapy for uveal melanoma from 2006 to 2009, who were followed up for a minimum of 6 months, and who were treated with both triamcinolone acetonide and bevacizumab intravitreal injections for radiation macular edema. Patients were evaluated with SD-OCT (Spectralis, Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) using a 5-line raster protocol at 2–4 month intervals following plaque removal with follow-up at 1–2 month intervals upon diagnosis and treatment of macular edema. Best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was collected with Snellen charts, but visual acuity was converted to logarithm of minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) to calculate mean BCVA.

Plaque brachytherapy procedure

All patients presenting with posterior uveal melanoma underwent I-125 radioactive plaques using standard surgical techniques.11–13 Briefly, after initial prepping, draping, and anesthesia, patients underwent 360 degree conjunctival peritomy. All four rectus muscles were isolated and the conjunctiva was closed. The operative eye was patched and shielded with a lead shield, and the plaque was removed after a mean of 3 days and 3 hours (range 3–4 days).

Intravitreal bevacizumab/triamcinolone acetonide injections

Patients were evaluated with SD-OCT at 2–4 month intervals following plaque removal, along with complete ophthalmic examination including indirect ophthalmoscopy, echography, and wide field imaging. Once macular edema was identified, patients received follow-up at 1–2 months as needed with the schedule based on macular edema response to treatment. Radiation maculopathy was graded in the following fashion: grade 1 indicates extrafoveal, noncystoid edema; grade 2, extrafoveal cystoid edema; grade 3, foveal noncystoid edema; grade 4, mild to moderate foveal cystoid edema; grade 5, severe foveal cystoid edema; and grade 6 indicates severe foveal cystoid edema with subretinal fluid. Treatment with intravitreal bevacizumab 1.25 mg/0.05 cc (prepared by Bascom Palmer Eye Institute compounding pharmacy per pharmacy protocol) commenced at the first signs of radiation maculopathy as detected by SD-OCT evidence of macular edema associated with a decline in BCVA worse than 20/20.1 The need for repeat injections for recurrent or persistent radiation maculopathy was associated with a lack of improvement or decline in BCVA and/or continued macular edema on SD-OCT. Cases with severe radiation maculopathy or cases refractory to bevacizumab monotherapy were given...
intravitreal injection with triamcinolone acetonide 4 mg/0.1 cc (utilized preservative free preparation). Severe radiation maculopathy was defined as grade 5 or grade 6 edema as based on SD-OCT grading. Cases defined as refractory were patients who received at least three intravitreal bevacizumab injections with minimal improvement in macular edema.

Results

Out of our larger series of patients who developed radiation maculopathy following plaque brachytherapy for uveal melanoma, 13% (25 of 186) of patients underwent combination therapy with triamcinolone acetonide and bevacizumab intravitreal injections. The mean age was 61.3 years, with 48% males and 52% females (Table 1). Patients were treated with a mean of two triamcinolone injections (range 1–6) and a mean of 8.8 bevacizumab injections (range of 1–26) over the course of 30 months (range of 10–54 months). Mean time to development of radiation maculopathy for these particular patients was similar to that for all patients developing radiation maculopathy (mean of 14.5 months).

Tumor characteristics include: 14/25 (56%) medium sized, 9/25 (36%) large sized, and 2/25 (8%) small sized. Seventeen of 25 (68%) tumors were located in the choroid, 1/25 (4%) was juxtapapillary, 7/25 (28%) were located in the ciliary body/choroid, and 4/25 (16%) demonstrated macular involvement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Patient demographics and visual acuity at first detection of spectral domain optical coherence tomography radiation maculopathy and last follow-up visit in radiation maculopathy patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcomes</strong></td>
<td><strong>Values n = 25, [median], (range)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, female</td>
<td>13, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>61.3 years (range 38–86 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of injections</td>
<td>2 (1–6) triamcinolone injections, 8.8 (1–26) bevacizumab injections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean time for radiation maculopathy development</td>
<td>14.48 months (range 2–42 months)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean f/u time after radiation maculopathy</td>
<td>30.0 [28.5] (10–54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical history</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN</td>
<td>11 (7 with HTN retinopathy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARMD</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neovascular glaucoma</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final BCVA 20/50 or better in radiation maculopathy patients</td>
<td>36% of patients with radiation retinopathy were able to maintain 20/50 or better vision at last follow-up visit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean visual acuities in patients receiving combination therapy were similar to the entire group of radiation retinopathy patients in terms of baseline visual acuity and visual acuity at the time of radiation maculopathy diagnosis via SD-OCT. Baseline or pre-plaque brachytherapy vision was 20/46 (range of 20/20 to 20/1,000), which declined to 20/70 (range of 20/25 to 20/40,000) at the time of radiation maculopathy diagnosis and commencement of treatment with intravitreal bevacizumab. Median SD-OCT macular edema grade at time of maculopathy diagnosis was 4 with a mean foveal thickness of 439.75 µm (median of 375 µm) (Table 2). All patients who received combination therapy demonstrated grade 3 or worse macular edema during the treatment course which indicated foveal involvement. After a mean of 23.7 months (median of 25 and range of 0–51 months) following radiation maculopathy, triamcinolone acetonide therapy was initiated, at which time mean BCVA was 20/138 (median of 20/100). At final follow-up with combination therapy over the course of 30.0 months (median of 28.5 and range of 10–54 months), mean visual acuity was maintained at 20/136 (range of 20/20 to 20/8,000), which correlated to macular edema grade 3.7 (median of 4) and mean foveal thickness of 426.7 µm (median of 351 µm and range of 209–661 µm). However, 9 of 25 (36%) patients demonstrated 20/50 or better vision by last follow-up visit.
with the mean visual acuity being better than 20/150, representing ambulatory vision (Table 3).

Discussion
Triamcinolone acetonide may have direct angiostatic and anti-inflammatory effects by upregulating the extracellular matrix protein plasminogen activator inhibitor, while blocking inflammatory cytokines, specifically selectins, integrins, intercellular adhesion molecule-1, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha.17 Earlier studies have shown inhibition of hypoxia induced upregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) by cultured retinal pigment epithelium cells, which suggests an enhancement effect when given together with anti-VEGF therapy.18-20 Our study investigates the role of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide and anti-VEGF combination therapy for treatment of severe radiation maculopathy, and is the first case series to our knowledge utilizing this particular treatment regimen.21-23

Horgan et al presented 109 patients showing that administration of peri-ocular triamcinolone acetonide administered at the time of plaque brachytherapy and again at 4–8 months demonstrated a reduction in moderate (three or more Snellen lines) and severe (<5/200) vision loss when compared to controls.22 The study also found no increased rates of intraocular pressure elevation in the triamcinolone group. Shields et al reported the effect of a one-time administration of intravitreal triamcinolone (4 mg/0.1 mL) in a prospective, nonrandomized, single center case series of 31 patients with visually symptomatic radiation induced macular edema after plaque radiotherapy.23 They reported that after administering an intravitreal injection of triamcinolone acetonide at the time of clinical diagnosis, visual acuity remained stable or improved in 91% (20 of 22) of patients by 1 month and 45% (14 of 31) of cases by 6 months. This decline over time suggests the need for routine monitoring and potential subsequent injections of triamcinolone.

Our group has previously reported on another subset of patients who developed radiation maculopathy24 following I-125 plaque brachytherapy for uveal melanoma. Shah et al reported on 81 patients (50.9% of total radiation maculopathy cases) who developed visually significant radiation maculopathy and who maintained 20/50 or better vision with the early institution of intravitreal bevacizumab.24 The study emphasized the importance of close serial monitoring of the macula with SD-OCT to ensure early identification of retinal changes, thus allowing for early and targeted treatment with anti-VEGF agents. The current study examines a subset of those patients who developed severe maculopathy or maculopathy that was refractory to anti-VEGF monotherapy.

Despite visually significant changes from severe foveal involving macular edema, 36% of patients demonstrated 20/50 or better vision at last follow-up. In addition, in this subset of advanced cases, mean visual acuity was better than 20/150, which signifies ambulatory vision. We have noted that entry level BCVA often reflects final BCVA, and poor entry level BCVA is frequently the result of chronic cystic changes that are refractory to treatment with anti-VEGF alone (Figures 1 and 2). Additionally, despite improvement in macular edema, often there are permanent inner segment ellipsoid band (previously inner segment/outer segment junction) changes that are associated with poor visual potential. For severe cases or edema that is refractory to anti-VEGF monotherapy, alternative and adjuvant treatment with triamcinolone acetate may offer significant benefit to these patients.

While it has been suggested that intravitreal injections of triamcinolone acetonide may be associated with side effects, including glaucoma, cataracts, retinal detachment, and endophthalmitis,25,26 no patients in our series developed endophthalmitis or underwent enucleation.27 Cataract formation is common following plaque brachytherapy, and the use of intravitreal triamcinolone may have an additive effect.28 We have previously reported on the use of triamcinolone acetonide following pars plana vitrectomy to decrease the incidence of cystoid macular edema.29 Intraocular pressure elevation was rarely seen after postoperative day 1, with an incidence of 7.7%–9%, with 5% requiring intraocular pressure lowering medications. Limitations to the current study include the small sample size and its retrospective nature.

In conclusion, the current study has shown that patients with severe, massive cystic edema that is often refractory to anti-VEGF agents, may benefit from consolidative therapy.
Combination therapy with triamcinolone acetonide and bevacizumab

Using combination treatment, more than a third of patients maintained 20/50 or better vision, with the majority of patients maintaining ambulatory vision. However, there appears to be a group of patients who despite improvement in intraretinal fluid, fail to improve vision.

Disclosure
The authors report no conflict of interest in this work.

References


