The use of platensimycin and platencin to fight antibiotic resistance
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Abstract: Infectious diseases are known as one of the most life-threatening disabilities worldwide. Approximately 13 million deaths related to infectious diseases are reported each year. The only way to combat infectious diseases is by chemotherapy using antimicrobial agents and antibiotics. However, due to uncontrolled and unnecessary use of antibiotics in particular, surviving bacteria have evolved resistance against several antibiotics. Emergence of multidrug resistance in bacteria over the past several decades has resulted in one of the most important clinical health problems in modern medicine. For instance, approximately 440,000 new cases of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis are reported every year leading to the deaths of 150,000 people worldwide. Management of multidrug resistance requires understanding its molecular basis and the evolution and dissemination of resistance; development of new antibiotic compounds in place of traditional antibiotics; and innovative strategies for extending the life of antibiotic molecules. Researchers have begun to develop new antimicrobials for overcoming this important problem. Recently, platensimycin – isolated from extracts of Streptomyces platensis – and its analog platencin have been defined as promising agents for fighting multidrug resistance. In vitro and in vivo studies have shown that these new antimicrobials have great potential to inhibit methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, vancomycin-resistant enterococci, and penicillin-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae by targeting type II fatty acid synthesis in bacteria. Showing strong efficacy without any observed in vivo toxicity increases the significance of these antimicrobial agents for their use in humans. However, at the present time, clinical trials are insufficient and require more research. The strong antibacterial efficacies of platensimycin and platencin may be established in clinical trials and their use in humans for coping with multidrug resistance may be allowed in the foreseeable future.
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Introduction to managing drug resistance in the treatment of bacterial infections

Infectious diseases that are caused by bacteria, fungi, parasites, and viruses can arise from the invasion of a host cell by one of these pathogens. Infectious diseases are one of the most life-threatening groups of disabilities worldwide. According to World Health Organization data, approximately 13 million deaths related to infectious diseases have been reported worldwide. It is also known that infectious diseases cause 45% of all deaths in developing countries. At present, six groups of disabilities are considered the most lethal infectious diseases since they lead to 90% of total deaths related to infectious diseases: acute respiratory infections, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, diarrheal diseases, tuberculosis (TB), malaria, and measles.1,2 Besides these illnesses,
other tropical diseases, some sexually transmitted disabilities, and nosocomial infections can also threaten health of humans and cause death. The only way to combat infectious diseases is by chemotherapy using antimicrobial agents and antibiotics. Antimicrobial agents have been used for >70 years in the treatment of infectious diseases. These agents have great potential to reduce illnesses and treat affected patients. Since their first introduction, use of antibiotics has become widespread around the world because of their significant effectiveness on microbial agents. However, due to their uncontrolled and unnecessary use, bacteria have evolved resistance against several antibiotics. This situation has led to a reduction in effectiveness of conventional therapy (antibiotics). The development of resistance in bacteria is thought to be due to natural selection/adaptation. According to this mechanism, susceptible bacteria are inhibited and do not divide or die when exposed to an antimicrobial agent, while remaining resistant bacteria maintain viability. Resistant organisms multiply and spread to different geographic regions of the world. Moreover, resistant organisms can also transfer their resistant genes to susceptible individuals and make them more resistant to antimicrobial agents. Natural selection of resistant organisms occurs so rapidly in bacteria that some antibiotics have lost effectiveness in bacteria within a few months after its administration.

Currently, antibiotic resistance of microbial agents has become a serious global concern. For instance, about 440,000 new cases of multidrug-resistant (MDR) TB are reported every year, leading to the deaths of 150,000 people worldwide. The prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains that are resistant to methicillin has reached high levels worldwide. The number of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) strains has increased at a rate of 40% in the last 10 years. Since *S. aureus* is one of the most commonly seen causative agents of nosocomial infections, MRSA has recently become the most fatal bacteria in intensive care units of hospitals. Similarly, the rate of resistant *Streptococcus pyogenes* strains worldwide are 80% for erythromycin and 50% for penicillin, which are otherwise known as effective antibiotics. Another big problem related to drug resistance has emerged for malaria. It is known that 30% of all people worldwide are at risk of malarial infection, and every year 1.5 million people infected with malaria die worldwide. Moreover, this number is expected to increase in the future since *Plasmodium* spp. have evolved resistance against chloroquine, which had been the most effective drug used in treatment of this illness. All of these data reveal that drug resistance is one of the most important risks that threaten the health of the human population. In response, researchers have begun to develop new approaches to overcome this important problem. Among these approaches, combined use of conventional antibiotics composes one of the preliminary efforts. This application depends on enhancement of the mode of action mechanisms in addition to decreasing toxicity by using low dosages of different kinds of antibiotics with distinct effects on bacteria. Another approach is the use of plant derivatives, such as extracts and essential oils, and their applications combined with current antibiotics. On the other hand, some groups have focused on the molecular mechanisms of resistance and have targeted the prevention of the expression of resistance genes within bacteria. There are also efforts directed toward the synthesis of new antibiotics and antimicrobial agents. Researchers are developing new drugs with different mechanisms of action that are expected to replace current antibiotics. One of these new synthesized antibiotics is platensimycin, with its promising antibacterial efficacy. This review considers the threat of drug resistance in the world in detail and then introduces effective mechanisms, pharmacologic properties, efficacy, and clinical trials of platensimycin for its use in the clinical setting.

**Drug resistance in significant pathogens**

Antimicrobial resistance of pathogens is increasing yearly. Recently, vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus*, MDR TB, MRSA, and amantadine/rimantadine-resistant and oseltamivir-resistant influenza virus have been a serious public health threat. Nearly every major pathogen has obtained resistance to a therapeutic agent. Resistant pathogens can lead to serious infections. They are managed with the few alternative drugs available for treatment. *Streptococcus pneumoniae* is an example of these criteria. In 2006, national surveys of invasive pneumococcal disease in the USA were 5,000 deaths and 41,400 cases. Meningitis caused 6.1% of cases, and bacteremia without focus caused 23.0%. The case/fatality ratio may be higher than 25% for specific high-risk groups with meningitis and bacteremia despite proper treatment. In 1994, drug-resistant *S. pneumoniae* became a nationwide notifiable disease in the USA. In 2006, 21.6% of the resistant isolates were nonsusceptible to erythromycin, 22.7% were nonsusceptible to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, and 25.6% were nonsusceptible to penicillin. Chloroquine-resistant malaria is a worldwide problem of public health importance; 41.0% of the world’s population live in malaria-transmitted areas. Every year, 350–500 million cases of malaria occur, and nearly one
million people die.\textsuperscript{24} \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} resistance to chloroquine has been confirmed in Egypt, Central America west of the Panama Canal, Haiti, Dominican Republic, and other countries in the Middle East.\textsuperscript{25} In some regions, most parasites (90\%) may be resistant to chloroquine.\textsuperscript{26} Contributors to the progression of parasitic resistance have been the limited number of attainable antimalarial drugs and defective dosing for malaria treatment.\textsuperscript{27} TB’s public health implications have become more significant as the pathogen has become more and more resistant to antimicrobial therapy. In 2006, nearly 14,000 TB cases were examined in the USA.\textsuperscript{28} The second most prevalently reported notifiable disease in the USA is TB. Gonorrhea is an additional example of a great antimicrobial resistance concern. In 2006, nearly 14\% of isolates were tested resistant to fluoroquinolones, which was an increase from 2.2\% in 2002.\textsuperscript{29}

### Resistance of Gram-positive bacteria

#### Pneumococci

\textit{S. pneumoniae} is the causative agent of diseases, such as pneumonia, meningitis, otitis media, and bacteremia. Infection of \textit{S. pneumoniae} mainly results in higher death rates among younger, older, and immunocompromised people.\textsuperscript{30} Resistance to penicillin in \textit{S. pneumoniae} was first detected in 1967. Since then the penicillin-resistant strain of \textit{S. pneumoniae} has become progressively prevalent worldwide.\textsuperscript{31} Variants of the Spain23F-1 or Spain6B-2 clones were the predominant penicillin nonsusceptible \textit{S. pneumoniae} (PNSP) isolated from 1994–1997 and remained so, but Taiwan19F-14 and Taiwan serotype 6B clones were disseminated in Hong Kong in 1999 and 2000.\textsuperscript{32} The emergent clones are penicillin nonsusceptible. PNSP clones are generally resistant to tetracyclines, cotrimoxazole, chloramphenicol, and macrolides. Recent studies have indicated that the prevalence of PNSP between clinical isolates of \textit{S. pneumoniae} is >40\% in 16 of 60 countries observed, and <5\% in only three of them.\textsuperscript{33} An \textit{S. pneumoniae} isolate is measured to lack susceptibility when penicillin’s minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) is >0.06 mg/L,\textsuperscript{34} and is related to a PNSP.

#### Enterococcus

Enterococci have stood out among Gram-positive bacteria because of their essential relevant resistance to multiple antimicrobials. In addition, they have the function to obtain or improve characteristics that render them resistant to even higher concentrations of several antibiotics.\textsuperscript{35} The revelation of vancomycin resistance among strains of \textit{Enterococcus} in the late 1980s was a crushing development.\textsuperscript{36} Enterococci, especially \textit{Enterococcus faecalis}, are one of the serious causative factors of infective endocarditis. Unluckily, vancomycin, penicillin, and other cell wall-active antimicrobials repress but do not destroy enterococci.\textsuperscript{36} High-level streptomycin resistance (MIC 2000 µg/mL) in enterococci can result from adenylylation of aminoglycosides. Aminoglycoside-inactivating enzymes make it inactive against the ribosomal target.\textsuperscript{37} High-level kanamycin resistance (MIC 2000 µg/mL) was already clearly typical among enterococci by the 1970s.\textsuperscript{38} Therefore, for gentamicin, an MIC >500 µg/mL indicates high-level resistance. In the USA, it was found that 3.6\% of enterococci isolated in hospitals with >500 patients were resistant to vancomycin, but only 1.8\% in hospitals with <200 patients. Enterococcal vancomycin resistance in intensive care unit patients increased from 0.4\% to 13.6\% between 1989 and 1993. Most of the reports from the USA only mentioned vancomycin-resistant enterococci, as vancomycin remains their only commercially available glycopeptide.\textsuperscript{39}

#### MRSA

According to a USA study in 2007, 880,000 people are infected by MRSA every year and 2.4\% of the patients stay in different hospital units annually. This type of infection also leads to six extra hospital days on average for patients. Therefore, MRSA infection results in $8 billion in total costs annually. Unfortunately, 5\% of people with MRSA infection are dying and this number corresponds to 20,000–40,000 deaths per year.\textsuperscript{40} Methicillin-resistant strains of \textit{S. aureus} have the ability to grow in medium that includes methylpenicillin and derivatives, including oxacillin, nafcillin, and methicillin. This resistance is induced by expression of a modified penicillin-binding protein, PBP2a.\textsuperscript{41} Methicillin-susceptible strains are repressed by oxacillin at concentrations of 4 µg/mL or methicillin at 8 µg/mL. MRSA grow in the presence of 16 µg/mL to >2,000 µg/mL of methicillin. Through the application of high antibiotic concentrations, \textit{S. aureus} developed various resistance mechanisms against penicillin, including methicillin. Despite the fact that methicillin is resistant to hydrolysis by staphylococcal β-lactamase, \textit{S. aureus} strains that produce large amounts of β-lactamase have been isolated.\textsuperscript{42} These hyperproducers of β-lactamase tend to resist methicillin through limited hydrolysis of the antibiotic, resulting in a phenotype that, with respect to methicillin, is intermediate between susceptible and resistant.\textsuperscript{42} There is an obvious need
for more effective antibiotic therapy for MRSA infections. Reports describing treatment failure of vancomycin for MDR MRSA infections have raised concern for the emergence of strains of MRSA for which there will be no effective therapy.

Resistance of Gram-negative bacteria
Gram-negative bacteria are major causal agents of infections such as urinary tract infections, otitis media, sexually transmitted diseases, acute and chronic bronchitis, and community-acquired pneumonia. These diseases are frequently caused by Gram-negative organisms. Gram-negative microorganisms that are mostly seen in primary care units include Escherichia coli (urinary tract infection), Haemophilus influenzae (community-acquired pneumonia and bronchitis), Klebsiella pneumoniae (community-acquired pneumonia and urinary tract infection), Neisseria gonorrhoeae (gonorrhea), and Neisseria meningitidis (meningitis). With increasing antimicrobial use in society, a relative shortage of new antimicrobials with novel mechanisms of action and increases in outpatient therapy for many diseases have resistance issues in the ambulatory setting.

Recently, resistance to antimicrobial agents mostly used to treat Gram-negative pathogens has increased. Excessive use of antibiotics, uncontrolled infection, and plasmid-mediated resistance gene transfer all play important roles in this emerging threat. Reports of Gram-negative pathogens resistant to all commonly used antimicrobial agents have become progressively commonplace, both in the USA and abroad. One example of the genetic adaptability of these organisms, Pseudomonas aeruginosa often combines multiple mechanisms to result in broad-spectrum resistance.43 It has been described as a public health crisis, but only few novel antimicrobial agents are in development for the treatment of MDR Gram-negative pathogens.44 Efforts to preserve the antimicrobials are crucial to future success in treating Gram-negative infections.

Suitable diagnosis, liable antimicrobial use, and familiarity with local resistance patterns can help the clinician to treat patients. In the last few years, resistance to antimicrobial agents in the treatment of Gram-negative microorganisms has generally increased.

P. aeruginosa, Acinetobacter baumannii, and K. pneumoniae are among the most common bacteria, referred to as MDR bacteria. Excessive use of antimicrobials, careless infection control, and plasmid-mediated resistance gene transfer are playing important roles in this problem.⁴³

Resistance to β-lactam antibiotics
Bacterial resistance to β-lactam antibiotics and β-lactamase inhibitors is an important problem that decreases the clinical efficacy of drugs that are the cornerstone of antibiotics throughout the world. Gram-negative pathogens frequently synthesize new β-lactamases in order to respond to the efficacy of these kinds of antibiotics. More than 340 β-lactamase enzymes have been identified to date.⁴⁵ These drug inhibitory agents include class A SHV- and TEM-derived extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs). In addition to inhibitor-resistant enzymes, non-TEM, non-SHV class A ESBLs, and carbapenemases, class B metallo-β-lactamases and some of their new inhibitors, plasmid and chromosomally encoded class C enzymes, the OXA-type oxacillinases, ESBLs, and carbapenemases of class D are the other types of suppressing agents against β-lactam antibiotics.⁴⁶

The most common plasmid-mediated β-lactamase is TEM-1, which has been reported in approximately 75%–80% of plasmid-mediated β-lactamase resistance cases.⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰ The TEM enzyme was originally isolated from a single strain of E. coli. It was also isolated from blood culture in 1965.⁵¹

The TEM-1 β-lactamase spread into the Enterobacteriaceae family within 10 years. A close relative of TEM-1, TEM-2, was recorded in P. aeruginosa in 1969. Furthermore, plasmids encoding the TEM-1 enzyme had spread in H. influenzae and N. gonorrhoeae in 1970.⁵² Another common plasmid-mediated β-lactamase was found in K. pneumoniae and E. coli and named as SHV-1 (for sulphydryl variable). The SHV-1 β-lactamase is chromosomally encoded in most of the isolates of K. pneumoniae, and it is usually plasmid-mediated in E. coli.⁵³

One of the β-lactam agents, carbapenems, has an action against MDR Gram-negative bacteria. Imipenem, meropenem, and doripenem show high antibacterial activity against P. aeruginosa, but ertapenem does not show such efficacy.⁵⁴ In recent years, some Gram-negative bacteria have started to produce β-lactamase enzymes which degrade β-lactam rings and deactivate the efficacy of β-lactam antibiotics. It was recently demonstrated that Acinetobacter, Pseudomonas, and members of the Enterobacteriaceae family exhibited strong resistance to β-lactam.⁵⁵ B-lactamase production was also commonly seen in N. gonorrhoeae and this activity limited the usefulness of penicillin G for the treatment of N. gonorrhoeae.

Penicillin’s Gram-negative activity increases from penicillin to ampicillin and ticarcillin to piperacillin. Like penicillin, cephalosporins change their Gram-negative activity. First-generation agents like cefazolin act against
pathogens such as *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*. Third-generation agents such as ceftazidime, cefotaxime, and ceftriaxone and fourth-generation agents such as cefepime show greater potency against these pathogen microorganisms.44

**Resistance to fluoroquinolones**

MDR quinolones in Enterobacteriaceae has been rapidly rising all around the world.56–60

Resistance to fluoroquinolones has been shown to be frequently associated with alterations in *gyrA*.61,82 The mutations are localized at the 59 end of the gene (nucleotides 199–318 in the *E. coli* gene sequence), the quinolone resistance-determining region, near Tyr122, which binds transiently cleaved DNA.65,64

Genetic characterization of *gyrA* mutations associated with fluoroquinolone resistance in *E. coli* has been well defined by DNA sequence analysis of resistant strains of both clinical isolates and mutants selected in vitro.64–67 These mutations are most commonly found in the Ser83 and Asp87 codons and in the corresponding codon positions of the *gyrA* genes from several other organisms.61,62,68,69

The first plasmid-mediated quinolone-resistant protein Qnr was identified from urine in a *K. pneumoniae* isolate in 1994. It began a novel era in resistance to quinolones. Quinolones insert into bacteria through porins or directly through the lipid cytoplasmic membrane and target DNA topoisomerases.69 Resistance to quinolones in Enterobacteriaceae most commonly occurs slowly as a result of mutation, usually accumulating in the genes encoding primarily DNA gyrase and also topoisomerase IV. Decreased permeability caused by changes in the amount of porins (in particular outer membrane protein F) or increased efflux in regulatory genes of chromosomally-encoded MDR by mutations may cause increments in quinolone resistance.56,70,71

Fluoroquinolones have become well-used agents in ambulatory settings for a wide range of infections such as urinary tract infections, pneumonia and other respiratory infections, and gastrointestinal infections.

Recently, resistance to fluoroquinolones in Gram-negative bacteria has been increasing. Changes in DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV – enzymes of bacteria that are targeted by fluoroquinolone – leads to mutational resistance. Furthermore, the presence of multidrug efflux pumps is a significant contributor to fluoroquinolone resistance. Multidrug efflux pumps remove the drug before its antimicrobial action can be achieved, seen in *P. aeruginosa* in particular.

Worldwide, there are several reported rates of fluoroquinolone resistance in both community-acquired and nosocomial pathogens. Many factors, such as patient characteristics, local epidemiological factors, antibiotic policies, inadequate or excessive usage, lower standards of living in developing countries, and lack of information may be responsible for such divergent data.72

Multistep selection of resistant strains resulting from the association of different resistance mechanisms is responsible for the emergence of high-level resistance to fluoroquinolones. Thus, recognition of strains with low-level resistance is important in order to modify standard therapeutic regimens. As can be seen in vitro, selection of a resistant clone is more likely to occur with the use of less effective compounds. All resistant mutants selected with one compound display cross-resistance to the other compounds but at a variable MIC level. High-level resistance is threatening because such bacteria are already resistant to or have reduced susceptibility to new antibiotics. When resistant strains are first selected, they may spread in the community or in the hospital environment if they have epidemic potential (salmonellae and pneumococci) or if favorable conditions exist. Follow-up of fluoroquinolone resistance is compulsory and should be performed everywhere, including individual communities, so that infections can be better managed and the spread of resistant strains can be counteracted.73

**Resistance to aminoglycosides**

There are three mechanisms of resistance to aminoglycosides:

1. Reduced uptake or decreased cell permeability. Reduced drug uptake, mostly seen in *Pseudomonas* spp. and other nonfermenting Gram-negative bacilli, is due to membrane impermeabilization, but the underlying molecular mechanisms are unknown.74 Aerobic Gram-negative bacilli in general show a phenomenon of adaptive resistance.75,76
2. Altered ribosome binding sites. Mutations of aminoglycoside attachment may interfere with ribosomal binding. Resistance to streptomycin can occur by this mechanism if this agent binds to a single site on the 30S subunit of the ribosome. Resistance to other aminoglycosides by this mechanism is uncommon. Because they bind to multiple sites on both ribosomal subunits, high-level resistance cannot be selected by a single step.77
3. Enzymatic modifications. This is the most common type. About 50 different enzymes have been identified,78 and enzymatic modification leads to high-level resistance.77

Encoding for aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes are generally found on plasmids and transposons. Because of...
multiple genes, most enzyme-mediated resistance occurs in Gram-negative bacilli.\textsuperscript{79}

There are three types of aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes:\textsuperscript{78}

1. N-acetyltransferases, which catalyze acetyl coenzyme A (CoA)-dependent acetylation of an amino group.
2. O-adenylyltransferase, which catalyze adenosine triphosphate-dependent adenylylation of a hydroxyl group.
3. O-phosphotransferases, which catalyze adenosine triphosphate-dependent phosphorylation of a hydroxyl group.

For the treatment of Gram-negative infections, currently used agents include gentamicin, tobramycin, and amikacin. Their spectrum of activity includes Enterobacteriaceae, \textit{Acinetobacter} \textit{spp.}, and \textit{P. aeruginosa}. Intrinsic aminoglycoside resistance happens mainly via drug exclusion from the cell.

\textbf{Gram-negative organisms and resistance}

\textit{E. coli}

\textit{E. coli} is the most common Gram-negative pathogen encountered in clinical practice. \textit{E. coli}, as one of the normal human gastrointestinal flora, is the primary cause of urinary tract infections in the outpatient setting. Infections caused by \textit{E. coli} include uncomplicated urinary tract infections to hospital-acquired pneumonia and sepsis. Thus, antimicrobial resistance in \textit{E. coli} is of major importance in both the ambulatory and hospitalized patient.

Recently, resistance to fluoroquinolones among clinical strains of \textit{E. coli} has been rising. In 2004, according to the National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance project, about 10\% of \textit{E. coli} strains isolated from hospitalized patients were resistant to fluoroquinolones.\textsuperscript{72,79} Mostly, this resistance is due to either efflux pumps or target-site modification.

\textit{K. pneumoniae}

\textit{K. pneumoniae} is in contrast to \textit{E. coli}, a constant producer of SHV-1. While ampicillin and amoxicillin could be expected to penetrate the cell wall of \textit{K. pneumoniae}, they have no defense to β-lactamases, preventing therapy with these agents. The addition of a β-lactamase inhibitor should repair the effect of the penicillin agent, provided no ESBLs or other resistance mechanisms are present. The MDR profile of ESBL-producing Gram-negative bacteria reveals that this organism has broad-spectrum resistance from penicillin to aztreonam. Fluoroquinolone resistance in \textit{K. pneumoniae} is similar to \textit{E. coli} and other Enterobacteriaceae. Resistance most commonly occurs as a mutation of DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV.

\textit{P. aeruginosa}

\textit{P. aeruginosa} is naturally resistant to many agents, either through β-lactamase production or through the exclusion of antimicrobial agents from the cell.\textsuperscript{83} Mutational resistance has been increasing in frequency.

\textit{A. baumannii}

Several studies show that carbapenem overuse affects the MDR profile of \textit{A. baumannii}.\textsuperscript{80–82}

\textbf{Resistance of TB}

TB is caused by \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis}. While drug-resistant TB organisms are resistant to at least one first-line anti-TB drug, MDR TB is caused by isoniazid (INH) and rifampin (RIF) – the two most potent TB drugs. Both treating and curing drug-resistant TB is complicated. Inappropriate or inadequate management can threaten life.\textsuperscript{83}

Drug resistance is diagnosed by drug-susceptibility testing in the laboratory. However, since these tests can take several weeks, treatment could be started with an empirical treatment regimen based on expert advice, depending on the probability that the person has drug-resistant TB disease. When testing results are known, the treatment regimen should be adjusted according to the results. Patients should be monitored closely throughout treatment. Directly observed therapy should always be used in the treatment of drug-resistant TB to ensure adherence.

The problem of resistance of TB is very old. The first two drugs used in formal clinical trials, streptomycin\textsuperscript{84,85} and para-aminosalicylic acid,\textsuperscript{86} were developed in the 1940s. In 1994, it was thought that 2.2 billion people were infected with \textit{M. tuberculosis}\textsuperscript{87} and the organism caused 1.6 million deaths. Drug resistance can be natural (primary) or acquired. If natural, the patient is infected with a resistant organism even though the patient has never received therapy. Acquired resistance means that the patient’s initially sensitive organism becomes resistant after using antimicrobials.

In 2005, the overall prevalence of primary resistance to INH was 7.3\%, the overall prevalence of acquired resistance to INH was 14.6\%, and the overall prevalence of primary MDR TB was 1\% in the USA.\textsuperscript{87} In 2006 in the USA, the prevalence of MDR TB was about 0.02\% (17 cases). More than 80\% of cases had no previous exposure to TB, and therefore considered to be primary MDR TB.\textsuperscript{88}
Spontaneous mutations in the chromosome can lead to drug resistance in *M. tuberculosis*. Mutations associated with RIF and fluoroquinolone resistance occur at about $10^{-8}$, mutations leading to INH, streptomycin, ethambutol, kanamycin, or para-aminosalicylic acid resistance occur at a frequency of approximately $10^{-6}$, and mutations leading to ethionamide, capreomycin, cycloserine, or thiacetazone resistance occur at a frequency of about $10^{-8}$. These frequencies are important as resistance to a combination of antibiotics (eg, RIF with INH) would be expected to occur at a combined rate.\(^{90,91}\)

In general, bacteria are resistant to both drugs. However, the possibility of cure using both drugs is high because INH would kill RIF-resistant bacteria and RIF would kill INH-resistant bacteria. RIF resistance would improve among the INH-resistant population at a frequency of $10^{-8}$. At first, the patient might improve while RIF kills the majority of the bacteria, but then strains resistant to both drugs would occur, and the patient would relapse. On the other hand, a clinician’s prescribing behavior may lead to drug resistance.

**Treatment of drug-resistant TB**

Standard short-course TB therapy is the best way to prevent MDR TB. Standard management is effective in patients with bacilli that are resistant to INH and/or streptomycin. Drug resistance should be suspected in a patient who presents these conditions:

1. Has had first therapy;
2. Is in an area where drug resistance is widespread;
3. Sputum smears are positive after 2 months of therapy;
4. Sputum smears are positive after 4 months of therapy;
5. The patient’s chest radiography is getting worse.

If the anti-TB drugs are insufficient, drug resistance can occur. Second-line agents must be employed when INH, RIF, pyrazinamide, or ethambutol cannot be used because of drug resistance.

**Prevention of drug-resistant TB**

Patients exposed to INH mono-resistant TB can be treated for 2 months with a combination of rifamycin and pyrazinamide.\(^{92,93}\) If the patient cannot tolerate pyrazinamide, a 4–6 month regimen of rifamycin alone is acceptable.

Patients exposed to RIF mono-resistant TB can be treated with an ordinary INH regimen.

The treatment and cure of MDR-TB cases is very difficult because there are no sufficient published data concerning the composition, duration, or effectiveness of treatment regimens for MDR-TB. Standard regimens that have been suggested include pyrazinamide and ethambutol or pyrazinamide and quinolone. These drugs are expected to treat active disease for 12 months in immunocompromised patients and ≥6 months in patients who are immunocompetent.\(^92\)

Finally, the success of therapy should be measurable with drug-susceptible TB. Patients should heal clinically and cultures should become negative. In addition, smears and cultures should be observed every 2 months for the next 2 years.

**The challenges of MDR strains of bacteria and current management**

The discovery of antibiotics was one of the major advancements in public health during the 20th Century.\(^94\) During the early period of antibiotic usage, bacterial infections were considered defeated. Antibiotics provided prevention of potentially lethal infections.\(^95\) However, the emergence of MDR bacteria in the last few decades is resulting in one of the most important clinical health problems in modern medicine.\(^96\)

Antibiotic resistance occurs by vertical transmission of the product of innate genetics and physiology of bacteria through species.\(^96\) Resistance can also be transferred horizontally by plasmids or by chromosomally located conjugative transposons across species and genera.\(^97\) This combinatorial genetic strategy has resulted in the accumulation of MDR phenotypes in many species of bacteria.\(^96\) However, the emergence of MDR could not be adequately explained on the basis of random, independent mutational events.\(^98\) Alteration or modification of the target of antibiotics, degradation of the antibiotic structure, and decreased permeability and energy-dependent (or active) efflux are some of the resistance action of bacteria.\(^99\) Efflux-mediated resistance is mostly drug specific. On the other hand, some of the efflux systems accommodate multiple drugs and thus contribute significantly to bacterial intrinsic and acquired MDR.\(^95\)

MDR organisms, predominantly bacteria, are resistant to one or more classes of antimicrobial agents.\(^99\) More strains of pathogens have become antibiotic resistant, and some have become resistant to many antibiotics and chemotherapeutic agents.\(^100\) Even if the known MDR organisms were defined as resistant to at least three antibiotics belonging to three different families, these organisms are frequently resistant to most available antimicrobial agents.\(^101\) It has been shown by different researchers that some strains of *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *A. baumannii* are resistant to all antimicrobial agents, and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*,
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*Burkholderia cepacia*, and *Ralstonia pickettii* are intrinsically resistant to the broadest spectrum antimicrobial agents.102 The increasing antimicrobial resistance of infectious agents is defined as one of the most important health threats worldwide.103

It was estimated that at least 25,000 patients die each year from an infection caused by MDR bacteria in the European Union.104 Antibiotic resistance is also a major public health issue in low and middle income countries.105 The potential for transfer of drug resistance to human bacteria and MDR has become a worldwide concern.98 Therefore, there is an urgent and critical need to develop new antibacterial agents with activity against MDR bacteria.104

Managing MDR requires understanding of the molecular basis, evolution, and dissemination of resistance; development of new antibiotic compounds in place of traditional antibiotics; and innovative strategies for extending the life of antibiotic molecules.96 One of the major problems facing the antibiotic drug discovery sector is the difficulty in identifying new drug-like compounds with suitable antibacterial activity.96

Over the last 50 years, medicinal chemists have been highly successful in developing new antibiotics, both natural and synthetic, such as fourth-generation β-lactam and third-generation macrolides. However, significantly new approaches and strategies for new molecules are needed.106

Generally, antibiotics have been founded by testing natural products. Natural product antibiotic effects can be directly isolated from soil and marine microorganisms or antibiotic-producing organisms that can be modified genetically. Another approach is the diversion of natural metabolic pathways of antibiotic-producing organisms by introduction of substrate precursors into the fermentation system.107 However, different approaches should be developed for these products to improve their spectrum, pharmacokinetics, human safety, and ability to cope with resistance.

Recently, an attempt to develop antibiotics with an effect on bacteria, viruses, fungi, and protozoa was based on nanotechnology. Nanoemulsions pass through pores of skin and hair follicles to the infected area, without harming the dermal cells, and disrupt the microorganism and decrease the risk of resistance against antibiotics.108

The molecular basis of tolerance to antimicrobial agents should be examined in detail with gene knockouts, genomics, and proteomics. Combinations of antibacterial agents that prolong the life of these drugs can be used after a detailed performance study.109 Even if research and development of antibacterial agents against infectious agents starts in the near future, the issue of antibiotic resistance is likely to continue to increase.104 Side effects of newly developed antibiotics constitute another serious problem. Therefore, potential approaches should be to increase patient compliance, decrease the potential for resistance development, reduce drug costs, and increase efficacy, ultimately extending the life of these antimicrobial agents.109

### Platensimycin and platencin

Bacterial infections are increasingly defying antibiotics that are heavily used in hospitals.110 For this reason, treatment costs and therapy failures are increasing with regard to the fight against infectious diseases. In particular, resistant Gram-positive pathogens such as MSRA,111,112 vancomycin-resistant enterococci,113 and penicillin-resistant *S. pneumoniae* are posing a serious threat.114,115

There are many approaches to developing an effective antibacterial agent that target an essential constituent or pathway in the infection agents. However, some of these attempts have been undesirable due to inefficient pharmacokinetics, drug resistance, and toxicity. Moreover, when drug research costs are considered, the problem is increasingly difficult to solve. On the other hand, initiatives about an encouragement program for governments to develop antimicrobial agents have been published.116 As a result of these initiatives, considerable effort has been put into developing new techniques to improve antibiotics, for example the antisense ribonucleic acid (RNA) method has been developed for use in the discovery and improvement of antibiotics.117–121

In the antisense RNA method, drug targets are determined with the antisense RNA by decreasing expression levels of a certain protein which is thought to be effective in an essential pathway. Comparisons can then be made about the strain with the depleted protein. According to the test results, special drugs can be developed that target the protein of interest.120 To demonstrate accuracy of the method, FabF (a β-ketoacyl-acyl carrier protein [ACP] synthase II) and related other targets were investigated.122

It is expected that decreasing *fabF* transcript levels due to expression of antisense *fabF* transcript impairs the target proteins. When control organisms were compared to cells expressing *fabF* antisense RNA with zone of inhibition measurement, only FabF-inhibited cells indicated significant distinction from others.122 As a result, researchers focused on the inhibition of the FabF pathway. Eventually, in 2006, Wang et al screened platensimycin as an inhibitor of the FabF/H pathway.123–126
Platensimycin was identified as a metabolite of a filamentous bacterium *Streptomyces platensis* MA7327 strain found in soil samples from Spain and South Africa in 2006. Platensimycin is a bacteriostatic drug that does not exhibit cross-resistance to Gram-positive bacteria with MIC values of 0.1–0.32 mg/mL, therefore making it a potentially useful antibiotic candidate. Platensimycin was efficiently used in a murine model of a common *S. aureus* infection and no toxicity was observed in these animals. In addition, lack of toxicity in HeLa cells with platensimycin and lack of antifungal activity are clear signs for the promising marked selectivity of platensimycin. Furthermore, platensimycin shows strong efficacy without any observed toxicity in vivo and it is nontoxic to humans. Its unique target is bacterial fatty acid synthesis (FAS).

Platensimycin blocks FabF and stops bacterial FAS selectively. Different procedures (reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography, mass spectrometry, two-dimensional nuclear magnetic resonance, and X-ray crystallographic analysis) were utilized to acquire the structure of platensimycin. Among them, X-ray crystallographic analysis presented absolute stereochemistry of the molecule. Its molecular formula is C24H27NO7 and molecular weight is 441.47 g/mol. The structure of platensimycin consists of two distinct structural motifs that are joined by an amide bond. Platensimycin is formed by two structures. One of these is an aromatic unit which has a hydrophobic characteristic and the other one is a tetracyclic lipophilic unit. The aromatic and tetracyclic portions are joined by a flexible amide chain. The aromatic unit binds to FabF and penetrates into the catalytic site.

After discovery and patenting of platensimycin, researchers focused on the synthesis of platensimycin and its analogs. These studies revealed that platensimycin, which had great potential to penetrate through bacterial cell membranes, demonstrated high antibacterial activity against Gram-positive bacterium including staphylococci and enterococci. Despite the promising efficacy of platensimycin, FASII is not a suitable antibiotic target for Gram-positive pathogens as exogenous fatty acids fully bypass inhibition of the FAS pathway in both in vivo and in vitro conditions.

Platensimycin is not effective against Gram-negative bacteria. This mechanism pumps platensimycin out of the cell membrane in Gram-negative bacteria. It demonstrates that platensimycin can inhibit Gram-negative bacteria when this pump mechanism is disabled due to mutations. For example, *E. coli* is an extrusion-negative mutant bacterium and platensimycin is effective against these bacteria.

The Merck team recently described their finding of platencin as an antibiotic with an analogous structure and profile to platensimycin. Platencin is actually an analog of platensimycin. It also demonstrates antibacterial activity against Gram-positive bacteria (25% of the MIC 0.5–32 mg/mL) through the inhibition of FAS. In vitro studies have shown that it is active against vancomycin-resistant enterococci, MRSA, and both linezolid- and macrolide-resistant pathogens.

This antibiotic is an agent for another rising drug applicant due to its in vivo capability and lack of toxic side effects. Platencin is produced by the *S. platensis* strain MA7339. *S. platensis* was discovered in a soil sample collected from Spain. The similar whole-cell differential assay was used. The molecular formula of platencin is C24H25NO6 and its molecular weight is 425.47 g/mol, marginally smaller than platensimycin. It is comprised of a tetracyclic unit lacking the ether ring (thus different from the pentacyclic ketolide of platensimycin). The tetracyclic unit is connected to an aminobenzoic acid moiety by an amide bond. Platensimycin inhibits the elongation-condensing enzyme FabF. In contrast to platensimycin, platencin is a binary inhibitor of FabF and the condensing enzyme FabH. The fact that platencin fundamentally targets two enzymes in the FAS pathway may assist in reducing the potential for resistance advancement against the drug.

MIC rates of platencin against a group of organisms were nearly the same, but it showed lower activity in the existence of serum. It also demonstrated acceptable antibacterial activity in vivo. The most critical conflict was the enzyme inhibition profile of platencin. It determined a dual activity against FabF and FabH. Capability against FabF (half maximal inhibitory concentration [IC\textsubscript{50}] = 0.113 µM) was about six times less than platensimycin while inhibition of FabH (IC\textsubscript{50} = 16.2 µM) was four times more intense. By themselves these IC\textsubscript{50} rates are not remarkable, but in combination these two antibiotic candidates have a synergistic impact on suppressing bacterial cell growth.

Jayasuriya et al used docking assays to demonstrate these potency differences. It is tempting to visualize the design that maximizes inhibition of both these enzymes to exploit the synergistic effect on antibacterial activity.
Pharmacology of platensimycin and platencin

Platensimycin belongs to a unique structural class of natural antibiotics and has no cross-resistance to other classes of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. MIC values of platensimycin are 0.5 µg/mL against *S. aureus* (MRSA) and *S. aureus* (MRSA, macrolide), 1 µg/mL against *S. aureus* (MRSA, linezolid), 0.5 µg/mL against *S. aureus* (vancomycin-intermediate *S. aureus*, vancomycin), 0.1 µg/mL against *Enterococcus faecium* (vancomycin-resistant enterococci), and >64 µg/mL against *E. coli* (wild-type). Molecular weight of platensimycin is 441.47 g/mol (Figure 1). Platencin (molecular weight 425.2 g/mol) is another novel natural product that has similar structure and profile to platensimycin (Figure 2). MIC values of platencin against vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium* and efflux-negative *E. coli* are <0.06 µg/mL and 2 µg/mL, respectively. Platencin does not exhibit cross-resistance to MRSA.

Both platensimycin and platencin have different ways of killing Gram-positive pathogens, including MDR strains of staphylococci and enterococci. It is known that most antibiotics target one of four essential bacterial processes including cell wall biosynthesis, nucleic acid biosynthesis, protein synthesis, and folic acid biosynthesis. Platensimycin shows antibacterial activity by targeting FASII. FASII is present in prokaryotes, plants, fungi, and parasites, as well as in mitochondria. In animals, as well as yeast and some fungi, these same reactions occur in FASI. FASI is less efficient than FASII. The ACP is a key component in type II systems and carries the fatty acid intermediates from enzyme to enzyme through the cytosol. Usually, C16–C18 fatty acids are the principal end products of the pathway; in case of bacteria, the pathway is more complicated and consists of FASI and FASII. The first enzyme of the fatty acid biosynthesis is the acetyl-CoA carboxylase (AccABCD) that transfers acetyl-CoA to malonyl-CoA, which subsequently interacts with ACP by malonyl-CoA–ACP transacylase (FabD) to form malonyl-ACP. The original fatty acid cycle is initiated by the condensation of malonyl-ACP with acetyl-CoA by the β-ketoacyl-ACP synthase III (FabH) to form β-ketobutyryl-ACP and carbon dioxide. The following steps are repeated until the desired chain length of the fatty acid is achieved: the first is the nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate-dependent reduction of the keto group to the hydroxyl group to give a β-hydroxyacyl-ACP intermediate by the p-ketoacyl-ACP reductase (FabG). In the next step, water is removed by either a FabA or FabZ isoform of the β-hydroxyacyl-ACP dehydratase to give a trans-2-enoyl-ACP. The third step involves the reduction of the produced double bond by the nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide-dependent trans-2-enoyl-ACP reductase I (FabI) to form an acyl-ACP. The formed acyl-ACP is then condensed with a new malonyl-ACP by either β-ketoacyl-ACP synthase I or II (FabB and FabF) to start a subsequent cycle. So far, the only enzyme of this pathway successfully inhibited by antibiotics (INH and triclosan) in clinical use is FabI. Further inhibitors of enzymes in the fatty acid biosynthesis have been elucidated, among them two natural inhibitors of the condensing enzymes, thiolactomycin (FabH, FabB/F) and cerulenin. According to studies, new antibiotics must be developed for the inhibition of FASII. Fatty acids have to be synthesized for bacterial viability. If fatty acids are not synthesized in bacteria, bacteria will not build a cell wall. Platensimycin prohibits the pathway of FASII by specifically inhibiting β-ketoacyl-ACP synthase II (FabF). Platencin is less active than platensimycin against FabF. However, it is more active than platensimycin against FabH.
Pharmacokinetics

Application of pharmacokinetic principles allows the relationship between dose and antibiotic concentration to be described. This is one important component of the relationship between dosage regimen and time course of effect. The existence of the second component is the concentration–effect relationship (pharmacodynamics). Therefore, by predicting the time course of antibiotic concentrations, one important step towards predicting the time course of antibiotic effect has been made. If the same dosage regimen of an antibiotic is given to different patients, there is true between-subject variability in the observed antibiotic concentrations. A reason for high between-subject variability may be that patients differ in their ability to absorb or eliminate an antibiotic. By including the variability of the pharmacokinetic parameters into a pharmacokinetic model, one can predict drug effects more precisely and optimize dosage regimens for the patient population. Platensimycin and platencin are promising antibiotics against important infection agents. However, their pharmacokinetic and safety profiles in humans are not fully understood. In a mouse study, test animals were infected with S. aureus by intravenous injection with continuous infusion of platensimycin. As a result, the infection rate decreased approximately 40% in the kidney. Moreover, no toxic effect was detected when different concentrations of platensimycin were applied to the test animals. Platensimycin shows low toxicity in vitro in HeLa cells (IC_{50} > 1000 \mu g/mL). According to all reported studies, platensimycin and platencin are promising antibiotics. Therefore, studies should be conducted on pharmacokinetics.

Analogs/derivatives of platensimycin and platencin

Many chemists, biochemists, and pharmacologists have tried to synthesize analogs/derivatives of platensimycin and platencin because of their strong antibacterial properties. One study has demonstrated that 7-phenylplatensimycin and 11-methyl-7-phenylplatensimycin are more potent analogs of platensimycin by carbonyl ylide cycloaddition strategy, and that they showed higher activity than platensimycin against MRSA and vancomycin-insensitive S. aureus. Barykina et al have synthesized nor-platencin, which is four to 16 times less active than platencin against several resistant strains of S. aureus, macrolide-resistant E. faecalis, and vancomycin-resistant E. faecium. In another study, Patra et al synthesized and characterized four new ferrocene-containing bioorganometallics inspired by the antibiotic platensimycin lead structure. 3-(4,4-diferrocenoylpentanamidato)-2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid; 3-(4,4-diferrocenoylbutanamidato)-2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid; 3-(4-[acetylferrocene]butanamidato)-2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid; and 3-(4-ferrocenoylbutanamidato)-2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid were found to be inactive against Gram-negative E. coli and P. aeruginosa. However, among all the new compounds tested, 3-(4-[acetylferrocenoyl]butanamidato)-2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid, bearing a ferrocenyl 1,3-diketone functionality, inhibits S. aureus growth selectively at an MIC value of 128 \mu g/mL. Wang et al have synthesized and tested biological activity of oxazinidimyl platensimycin, the methyl ester analog, and the simplified oxazinidimyl analog. Although its structure mimics that of platensimycin, oxazinidimyl platensimycin is two orders of magnitude less potent. Another study by Wang et al synthesized dialkylamino-2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acids as analogs of platensimycin and platencin.

Patient-focused perspectives related to the use of platensimycin and platencin

Today, the bacterial resistance against existing antibiotics is well known and it has been a major issue for the last few decades. There are many chemicals that are lethal for bacteria, but they have the same effect on the host cells and hence cannot be used to cure bacterial infection. Thus, an attack on bacterial metabolic pathways selectively in the presence of a host is an important step in solving the problem. After a search for new antibiotics for fighting against MDR strains, two novel antibiotics, platensimycin and platencin, have been discovered. The advantage of these antibiotics is that they inhibit the bacterial fatty acid biosynthesis, which
is essential for the survival of bacteria. Their structures are related with slightly different mechanisms of action, and they target different enzymes in the bacterial fatty acid biosynthesis.\(^{12,13,15}\)

Platensimycin has strong, broad-spectrum Gram-positive antibacterial activity and is selective for inhibiting cellular lipid biosynthesis. Wang et al showed that the antibacterial effect is via the selective targeting of FabF and FabB in the synthetic pathway of fatty acids.\(^{12}\) The inhibitor with the most potential for the FabF/B enzymes is platensimycin, which shows in vivo efficacy with no observed toxicity.\(^{12}\) Both platensimycin and platencin show in vivo activity, but the usefulness of this target in Gram-positive organisms is doubtful due to the ability of exogenous unsaturated fatty acids to remediate FASII inhibition.\(^{15,16}\) It has been shown that platensimycin is active against \textit{M. tuberculosis} through the inhibition of mycolic acid synthesis, and that it inhibits both KasA and KasB enzymes.\(^{15,17}\) It also provides a new lead for anti-TB drug design.\(^{15,16}\) Modifying either the aromatic portion or the ketolide domain of platensimycin and platencin has produced many analogs recently.\(^{15,18-164}\) However, none of them have better activity than platensimycin and platencin.\(^{15,15}\)

Thus, to improve their poor pharmacokinetic properties, several congeners of these products have been discovered from the fermentation of broth but, again, none of them have exhibited better activity.\(^{15,165-168}\) For a major breakthrough in antibiotic research, platensimycin and platencin may still provide many derivative compounds, which are waiting to be discovered.\(^{15,15}\)

The preclinical trials of these new antibiotics are targeted to combat MRSA in a mouse model.\(^{12}\) It has been reported that platensimycin is also a potent and highly selective inhibitor for mammalian FAS for the treatment of diabetes and related metabolic disorders in animal models. In particular, platensimycin inhibits FAS, but not the sterol synthesis in rat primary hepatocytes. It also preferentially concentrates in liver when administered orally to mice and potently inhibits hepatic de novo lipogenesis, increases glucose oxidation, and reduces fatty acid oxidation. In chronic applications, the platensimycin led to a reduction in liver triglyceride levels and improved insulin sensitivity in \textit{db/db} mice fed a high-fructose diet. The ambient glucose levels in \textit{db/db} mice were also reduced with use of platensimycin.\(^{16,18}\)

Platensimycin is not ready for clinical use. The in vivo activity has been established in a mouse model, but to maintain efficacy, continuous delivery is necessary. The pharmacokinetic profile for platensimycin is poor, and needs improvement before clinical use.\(^{170}\)
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