Use of recombinant human antithrombin concentrate in pregnancy
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Dear editor

We read with great interest James et al’s article “Prevention and treatment of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy in patients with hereditary antithrombin deficiency.” The authors reported a case series of six women with antithrombin (AT) deficiency treated with plasma derived antithrombin concentrate (pdAT; Thrombate III®, Grifols Therapeutics, Clayton, NC, USA).1 In contrast to these cases, we have managed three AT-deficient women over the past two years with low-molecular-weight-heparin during pregnancy and recombinant human antithrombin concentrate (rhAT; ATryn®, GTC Biotherapeutics, Framingham, MA, USA) at delivery. In the Phase III trial leading to approval of rhAT, pregnant women required frequent dose modifications, and an alternate dosing regimen is currently recommended by the manufacturer.2,3 The detailed information provided in these cases may assist future providers in managing pregnant patients with AT deficiency.

Case 1

Patient 1 is a 31-year-old female with a history of unprovoked pulmonary embolism at age 20. Thrombophilia testing was completed due to her young age and a family history of pulmonary embolism in her brother. The patient was found to be AT deficient with an AT activity of 37%. She was maintained on long term anticoagulation with warfarin. Her other past medical history was significant for supraventricular tachycardia, rheumatoid arthritis, endometriosis, pyelonephritis, Crohn’s disease, and gastroesophageal reflux disease. The patient became pregnant in 2010 and was switched to enoxaparin at 4 weeks gestation. Her pregnancy was complicated by gestational diabetes, which was controlled by diet and exercise. Additionally, she had one admission due to supraventricular tachycardia and was found to have irregular uterine contractions. Due to the AT deficiency, anticoagulation was monitored using anti-Xa levels with goal peak level >0.6 IU/mL. At the highest dose, she required enoxaparin 120 units (~1.4 units/kg) subcutaneously every 8 hours (Figure 1).

Patient 1 was admitted at 35 weeks and 4 days due to concerns for preterm labor. She was continued on enoxaparin until the evening of hospital day (HD) 2. She started rhAT on HD3 with a loading dose of 48.5 IU/kg (calculated from [100-baseline activity] / 1.3) and maintenance dose of 11 IU/kg/hour (calculated from [100-baseline activity] / 5.4). She underwent induction of labor via cervical ripening catheter and oxytocin when the AT level was in the normal range. Amniotomy was performed on
HD5, and the patient vaginally delivered a healthy infant weighing 2,268 grams on HD6. Apgar scores were 7 and 9 at 1 and 5 minutes, respectively. The estimated blood loss was 250 mL. The rhAT infusion did not require dose modifications and the patient's AT levels remained between 90%–108% (Figure 2). Intravenous unfractionated heparin was started immediately postpartum and was adjusted by anti-Xa levels. Additionally, the patient was started on warfarin on the evening of delivery. Admission hemoglobin of the patient was 14.4 g/dL and postpartum hemoglobin was 11.5 g/dL. She was continued on an unfractionated heparin and AT3 infusion until the international normalized ratio (INR) was greater than 2.0 and she was subsequently discharged.

Case 2
Patient 2 is a 40-year-old female with a past medical history significant for viral encephalitis and a left leg superficial thrombosis at age 32 while taking oral contraceptive pills. Her oral contraceptive pills were discontinued and she was treated with anti-inflammatory medication. At age 40, patient 2 was 8 weeks pregnant with her third child and sought evaluation for right calf and popliteal pain. She was diagnosed with a non-occlusive deep vein thrombosis of the distal femoral, popliteal, and posterior tibial vein. A hypercoagulable evaluation showed an antithrombin activity of 38%–41%. Her sister, father, and daughter were subsequently diagnosed with antithrombin deficiency but have not experienced thrombosis. Patient 2 was initially treated with enoxaparin at 1.5 mg/kg daily, and was switched to twice daily dosing after the antithrombin deficiency was diagnosed (Figure 1). At the highest dosage, she required enoxaparin at 1.8 mg/kg twice daily to maintain therapeutic anti-Xa levels. Her pregnancy was otherwise uncomplicated and she was admitted at 37 weeks 4 days gestation for induction of labor. She received a bolus of 41.4 IU/kg and maintenance infusion of 10 IU/kg (calculated as above). Labor induction began once antithrombin levels had normalized. The patient vaginally delivered a healthy male with Apgar scores of 8 and 9 at 1 and 5 minutes, respectively. Estimated blood loss was 300 mL. Her antithrombin levels remained between 96%–127% without adjustment of her infusion (Figure 2). An unfractionated heparin infusion was started 4 hours after delivery. She was discharged on HD9 on warfarin and twice daily enoxaparin until her INR was >2.0 two days later. She has been maintained on therapeutic anticoagulation due to her history of thrombosis in the setting of antithrombin deficiency.

Case 3
Patient 3 is a 27-year-old female with a history of pulmonary embolism 4 days after cesarean delivery of her first child. She was treated with weight-based enoxaparin without monitoring of anti-Xa levels. The patient sought hematology evaluation when she was 8 weeks pregnant with her second child. A hypercoagulable work-up was notable for an antithrombin level of 63%. Repeat testing showed antithrombin activity levels of 64%–73% with normal antigen levels. The patient had no family history of thrombosis. Due to the discrepancy between the amount of clinical heparin resistance and her antithrombin activity, sequencing of the SERPINC1 gene was completed. She was found to have a heterozygous mutation in the heparin binding site (c.218C>T, p.Pro73Leu) which is associated with type II antithrombin deficiency. To achieve therapeutic anticoagulation, the patient was treated with 1.8 mg/kg of enoxaparin. She did not require further increase in her enoxaparin dose after therapeutic levels were obtained (Figure 1). She underwent elective induction at 37 weeks 3 days after rhAT infusion was initiated. Her last dose of enoxaparin was 24 hours prior to induction. Patient 3 required a cesarean delivery on HD2 due to intrapartum spontaneous conversion to breech presentation. A healthy male infant was
delivered with an estimated blood loss of 1,200 mL due to uterine atony. Eight hours postpartum the patient was started on heparin infusion and warfarin. She achieved therapeutic anticoagulation within 4 hours. Her AT activity remained in the normal range without adjustments of her rhAT infusion (Figure 2). On HD3, the patient developed right arm pain and was noted to have an occlusive superficial thrombus of the right basilic vein and a nonocclusive deep thrombosis of the distal right axillary vein associated with the peripherally inserted central catheter (PICC). The PICC was removed as it was considered the etiology of the thrombosis, and the patient continued the rhAT via a peripheral intravenous infusion. The patient’s right arm pain resolved and she was discharged on HD8 after therapeutic anticoagulation with warfarin was achieved.

Discussion
In contrast to the Phase III trial of rhAT at delivery, the presented three patients did not require dose modifications to maintain therapeutic levels. The continuous infusion also avoids peak and trough levels that occur with intermittent dosing of the pdAT concentrate. Use of a recombinant product also removes the risk of blood-borne pathogen transmission, although no reported infectious transmissions with the pdAT have occurred. The rhAT is more expensive than pdAT and carries a theoretic risk of immunogenicity. Our patients’ antibody testing per the US Food and Drug Administration’s post-marketing surveillance is pending, and no neutralizing antibodies were detected in other reported cases. One of our patients experienced a catheter-associated thrombosis, and recurrent thrombosis has also been reported in patients treated with plasma-derived AT concentrates. Even when AT replacement is given, physicians should remain vigilant about thrombosis and avoid other provoking factors if possible. Overall, the availability of two products to treat women with AT deficiency at delivery allows providers to select the management strategy best suited for their patient and institution.
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