A case of orbital sebaceous gland carcinoma developing in an anophthalmic socket 65 years after enucleation
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Abstract: Although conjunctival squamous cell carcinoma is known to develop as a result of chronic irritation from wearing an ocular prosthesis, orbital sebaceous gland carcinoma arising in an anophthalmic pocket is extremely rare. We report a 68-year-old female who developed a sebaceous gland carcinoma 65 years after her right eye was enucleated (at the age of three years) due to congenital glaucoma. The patient had noticed increasing discharge and swelling of her right eyelid. Incisional biopsies of an underlying orbital mass revealed poorly differentiated sebaceous gland carcinoma. The sebaceous gland carcinoma in this case could have been caused by chronic irritation as a result of long-standing use of a poorly fitted ocular prosthesis. The current case of a malignant tumor occurring 65 years after enucleation emphasizes the importance of long-term clinical surveillance to monitor for tumor formation. Careful examination of the anophthalmic socket should be part of the physical examination.

Keywords: sebaceous gland carcinoma, anophthalmos, orbit

Introduction
Malignant tumor arising in an anophthalmic orbit, without a history of other malignant tumors, is extremely rare. A review of the literature regarding malignant tumors arising from an anophthalmic socket identified several cases of squamous carcinoma,1–4 and melanoma,5–8 but only one case of sebaceous gland carcinoma.9 This report describes a unique case of orbital sebaceous gland carcinoma that developed 65 years after enucleation due to congenital glaucoma.

Case report
A 68-year-old woman presented in the Department of Ophthalmology of Tokyo University with swelling and non-bloody discharge in her right eyelid, which had developed over several months. The right eye had been enucleated at the age of three years old, due to congenital glaucoma. She did not undergo orbital implant surgery at that time. Apart from the enucleation, the patient had an unremarkable medical history, and no family history of malignancy. She had worn the original ocular prosthesis for more than 60 years without maintenance. Since one year before presentation, the patient noticed increasing difficulty in wearing the prosthesis.

On examination, a firm mass was palpable beneath the right upper and lower eyelids. Cilia were present in both upper and lower right eyelids. Gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging revealed a contrast enhanced mass measuring 38 mm × 33 mm × 36 mm in the right orbit (Figure 1). A clinical work-up for systemic
disease, including complete blood cell count, chemistry panel, liver enzyme panel and imaging of the chest, was negative. There was no regional lymphadenopathy, and gallium scintigraphy revealed no systemic metastasis. A histopathologic examination of transcutaneous excisional biopsies of the mass revealed lobular aggregates of atypical basaloid cells, separated by fibrovascular stroma, and an inflammatory infiltration. The atypical cells had abundant clear multi-vacuolated cytoplasm, and oval nuclei with discernible nucleoli (Figure 2A). Tumor cells were immunoreactive for adipophilin and perilipin, which are useful markers for sebaceous gland carcinoma (Figure 2B).10 Excetration of the right orbit was planned by performing multiple map biopsies, including the upper and lower eyelid skin, tarsus, orbicular muscle and skin, and deep tissues below the eyebrow. Within a month, the patient underwent orbital exenteration to excise the entire mass. The tumor had well defined margins and was enclosed by a capsule (Figure 3). No spread to other sites were observed. Histopathologic examination of the excised tumor demonstrated a poorly differentiated sebaceous gland carcinoma with negative margins. The eye socket was reconstructed using a free rectus abdominal muscle flap and mucosal graft. The patient recovered well, and was able to wear a prosthesis after surgery. There was no evidence of recurrence of metastasis ten months after orbital exenteration.

**Discussion**

Only one case of orbital sebaceous gland carcinoma that developed in an anophthalmic orbit after enucleation has been reported in English literature.9 In that case, enucleation was performed due to sympathetic ophthalmia, and a sebaceous gland carcinoma developed in the anophthalmic orbit 27 years after enucleation. The carcinoma spread to lymph nodes, and was speculated to arise from the sebaceous glands in the lacrimal caruncle. In the present case, a poorly differentiated sebaceous gland carcinoma developed in an anophthalmic orbit, 65 years after enucleation.

Sebaceous gland carcinomas generally arise in the ocular adnexa, which may be related to the high densities of Meibomian and Zeiss glands in the eyelids, and may also arise from sebaceous glands in the eyebrows and caruncle.11 We conducted multiple map biopsies considering the diverse sites from which the tumor could have been generated. Apart from the anophthalmic orbit, biopsies were performed from the upper and lower eyelid skin, tarsus, orbicular muscle and skin, and deep tissues below the eyebrow.
from the tumor, no neoplastic findings were found in any of the other biopsies. Thus, the origin of the sebaceous gland carcinoma in the present case remains unidentified.

In previous reports, chronic irritation from wearing a prosthesis for more than 40 years was suspected to be associated with the development of conjunctival squamous cell carcinoma and melanoma. In our patient’s case, chronic irritation may also have played a role, as the patient had worn the original prosthesis for more than 60 years without routine maintenance.

When a patient wearing an ocular prosthesis presents with discharge, swelling, recent onset of poor fitting of the prosthesis, and a palpable orbital mass, the ophthalmologist should conduct a careful examination of the anophthalmic socket for possible malignant neoplasms. Insidious progression, such as tumor development within the anophthalmic socket, as in the present case, may masquerade as blepharitis, hindering early detection and resulting in delayed diagnosis. The prosthesis should also be examined for surface irregularities and damage, which may be a source of tumor development.

Malignant neoplasms may develop from sebaceous glands in the anophthalmic orbit over long periods after enucleation. Long-term follow-up is necessary to monitor the anophthalmic socket as well as the prosthesis.
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