Writing about an experience of illness in medical students
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Abstract: Pathography is defined as “historical biography from a medical, psychological, and psychiatric viewpoint.” We thought that writing about an experience of illness might help students understand patients’ experience and in turn grow in terms of self-understanding. Participants included 151 medical students. Students wrote about their own experience of illness and were asked to answer questions from the Likert scale. Most students wrote about themselves (79.2%); however, some students (20.8%) wrote about the illness of others. Among the 149 pathographies, ecopathography was most frequent (30.9%), followed by testimonial pathography (25.5%); angry pathography (13.4%) and alternative pathography (12.1%) were relatively less frequent. Eighty-eight pathographies (59.1%) showed 120 expressions of family relationship. Among the 120 cases, worrying about family members was most frequent (47.5%), followed by reliance on a family member (32.5%). All students wrote about the enlightenment experienced on returning to daily life. The sense of belonging together was most frequent (38.3%), followed by gratitude for living (20.8%), resolution to be a good doctor (18.1%), and a will to live and be healthy (12.1%). Answers on the Likert scale (total 5) for pathography beneficence were very high in understanding desirable doctor image (4.46), attaining morals and personality as a health care professional (4.49), and understanding basic communication skills (4.46). Writing about an experience of illness allows students to better understand patients’ experience and to grow in self-understanding.
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Introduction
Sick persons rely on their physicians for skilled diagnosis, effective therapy, and human recognition of their suffering. Despite significant progress in the medical field resulting in achievement of the first two of these goals, the capacity to fulfill the third goal seems to have diminished. Physicians are now turning to the humanities and disciplines such as literary studies to achieve equally essential progress in comprehending their patients’ suffering, with a goal of accompanying their patients through illnesses with empathy, respect, and effective care. Together with social and behavioral sciences and other disciplines in the humanities, literature and literary studies are contributing to this educational effort.1

Patients and their families are giving voice to their suffering, finding ways to write of illness and to articulate – and therefore comprehend – what they endure in sickness.2

The call for a narrative in medicine has been touted as the cure-all for an increasingly mechanical medical field. It has been claimed that the humanities might create more empathic, reflective, professional, and trustworthy doctors.3 By telling of what we
undergo in illness or in the care of the sick, we are coming
to recognize the layered consequences of illness and to
acknowledge the fear, hope, and love exposed in sickness.2

Subjects of pathography (defined as “historical biography
from a medical, psychological, and psychiatric viewpoint”)
have traditionally been famous people in all areas of human
achievement.4 According to the proverb “only the wearer
knows where the shoes pinch,” we hypothesized that writing
about an experience of illness might help medical students
to understand patients’ experience and to grow in self-
understanding; the goal of this paper was therefore to see if
writing about an experience of illness did indeed result in
these benefits.

Participants and methods
Participants
Participants included medical students in the three freshman
classes (first year of a four-year course of medical school)
from 2010–2012. There were 149 participants, 103 males
and 44 females, 48–52 per year. The mean overall age was
26.6 ± 3.0 years (range: 21–36 years). In the PPS subject
(Patient, Physician, and Society) lecture, a 10 minute-long
introductory lecture about pathography was given to students
using 12 slides. Students were allowed to write about their
own experience of illness for 60 minutes. In the class, seven
students presented their experiences via an open forum, and
others submitted reports.

Students were asked to answer questions on the Likert
scale regarding whether writing their pathography benefited
them in understanding the basic skills of communication,
understanding desirable doctor image, and attaining morals
and personality as a health care professional.

Analysis
A graduate student read the written works and made a
table; through initial discussion, categories were formed
and revised, and disagreements were reconciled through
repeated follow-up discussion. The following items were
then extracted.

Whose illness?
Illness was identified as either affecting the student themself
or affecting another person (family member, close friend,
and teacher).

Pathography types
Pathographies written by the students were classified as four
types according to Hawkins:3,6 testimonial pathography; angry
pathography; alternative pathography; and ecopathography.
Testimonial pathography blends practical information with
personal accounts of the experience of illness and treatment
in order to help others. Angry pathography is motivated by
a strong need to point out deficiencies in various aspects of
patient care. Alternative pathography is concerned not so
much with criticizing traditional medicine as with finding
alternative treatment modalities. Ecopathography links a
personal experience of illness with larger environmental,
political, or cultural problems.

Type of illness
Types of illness were classified as medical illness, surgery,
cancer, neurological disease, or infectious disease.

Presence of pain in the pathography
Physical pain or psychological agony expressed in the
pathography was evaluated. We checked if physical pain
or psychological agony was expressed or whether it was
not mentioned.

Family relationship
Presence of family relationship and its detail (eg, had reliance
on family members, felt burdened, felt sorry, became an
object of love or a hate figure) was evaluated.7

Relationship to medical team
The relationship to the medical team was classified according
to the Szasz and Hollander model.8 In the paternalistic–priestly
model (activity–passivity model), the physician is active, the
patient is passive, and treatment takes place irrespective of
the patient’s contribution and regardless of the outcome. In
the informative–consumer model (guidance–cooperation
model) both persons are ‘active’ in that they contribute to
the relationship and what ensues from it. The more powerful
of the two in the relationship (physician) will speak of guid-
ance or leadership and will expect cooperation of the other
member (patient). In the mutual participation model the
participants have approximately equal power, are mutually
interdependent, and engage in activity that will be satisfying
in some ways to both.

Finding enlightenment on returning
to daily life
Spiritual enlightenment on returning to daily life was
evaluated and classified as follows: (1) gratitude for living;
(2) a will to live and be healthy; (3) the sense of belonging
together; and (4) resolution to be a good doctor.7
Results

Whose illness?

Most of the students wrote about themselves (118 cases, 79.2%); however, some students (31 cases, 20.8%) wrote about the illness of others (family members [23 cases, 15.4%], relatives [four cases, 2.7%], and friends [two cases, 1.3%]) (Figure 1).

Pathography types

Among the 149 pathographies, ecopathography was most frequent (46 cases, 30.9%), followed by testimonial pathography (38 cases, 25.5%). Angry pathography (20 cases, 13.4%) and alternative pathography (12.1%) were relatively less frequent (Figure 2).

Quotes of testimonial pathography included “When ill, a caring person gives me the power to overcome the disease” or “It is wise to receive treatment when (I) become sick.” Angry pathography quotes included “Neither doctor nor nurse gave me warm words” or “Unnecessary tests were done on me.” Alternative pathography quotes included “Several areas of the injured ankle were pierced by spit and blood was pulled out” or “I had acupuncture and massage therapy at a traditional medicine clinic because my symptoms remained after the hospital treatment.” Ecopathography quotes included “The environment surrounding me at that time made it more painful for me”, or “Watching that cancer patient and their family, I reflected on my situation and on my life.”

Type of illness

Medical illness was cited most frequently (60 cases, 40.3%), followed by surgery (40 cases, 26.8%). Cancer (12 cases, 8.1%), neurological disease (11 cases, 7.4%), infectious disease (10 cases, 6.7%), and 16 remainders included eruption of third molar teeth, burns, allergy, abrasion, strabismus and ankle sprain laceration (Figure 3).

Presence of pain in the pathography

In most cases (139 cases, 93.3%), physical pain or psychological agony was expressed. However, in 10 cases, (6.7%), there was no mention of any pain.

Family relationship

Among the 149 pathographies, 88 pathographies (59.1%) showed 120 expressions of family relationship including details. Among the 120 cases, worrying about family members was most frequent (57 cases, 47.5%), followed by having reliance on (a) family member (39 cases, 32.5%). Becoming an object of love (13 cases, 10.8%), feeling sorry for family members (eight cases, 6.7%), and conflict in family members (three cases, 2.5%) comprised the remainder of the cases (Figure 4).

Relationship to the medical team

The paternalistic–priestly model was most frequent (58 cases, 38.9%), followed by the informative–consumer model (50 cases, 33.6%), and mutual participation model (29 cases, 19.5%) (Figure 5).
Finding enlightenment on returning to daily life
All 149 students wrote about the enlightenment experienced on returning to daily life. Regarding spiritual enlightenment on returning to daily life, the sense of belonging together was most frequent (57 cases, 38.3%), followed by gratitude for living (31 cases, 20.8%), resolution to be a good doctor (27 cases, 18.1%), and a will to live and be healthy (18 cases, 12.1%) (Figure 6).

Students’ feedback
Answers on the Likert scale for pathography beneficence were very high in understanding the desirable doctor image (4.46 ± 0.75), attaining morals and personality as a health care professional (4.49 ± 0.69), and understanding the basic skills of communication (4.46 ± 0.69).

Discussion
In modern western medicine the predominant model for the body is that of a machine.7 Practitioners of the biomechanical model reduce the patient to separate, individual body parts in order to diagnose and treat disease. Alternative models of the body, such as the phenomenological model, have been proposed to address this crisis.9 According to the phenomenological model, the patient is viewed as an embodied person within a lived context and through this view the physician comes to understand the disruption illness causes in the patient’s everyday world of meaning.9

Man is a storytelling animal. Human experience is understood, reconstructed, and transmitted as a narrative form; we seek the meaning of life from the narrative.10 In medicine, a long tradition of narrative has existed since antiquity. The doctor has always tried to listen and understand the narrative of the patient and approach the suffering of the patient through the narrative. However, the more advanced modern medicine has become through the biotechnology, the greater the decline in the narrative tradition of the medicine.10

Pathographies are a veritable gold mine of patient attitudes and assumptions regarding all aspects of illness. The narratives can be especially useful to physicians at a time when they are given less and less time to get to know their patients, but are still expected to be aware of their patients’ wishes, needs, and fears.4 According to Hawkins, when pathographies are analyzed according to author intent, four different groups of pathographies emerge.3,4

In our study, ecopathography was the most frequent pathography (30.9%), followed by testimonial pathography (25.5%). Angry pathography (13.4%) and alternative pathography (12.1%) were relatively less frequent.

We thought that writing about an experience of illness should be included in the study of literature. In the feedback from the medical students, writing about an experience of illness benefited the medical students in understanding what constituted a desirable doctor image and assisted...
in attaining morals and personality as a health care professional; all of the students experienced enlightenment on returning to daily life after their experience of illness. The sense of belonging together was felt most frequently (38.3%), followed by a gratitude for living (20.8%), resolution to be a good doctor (18.1%), and a will to live and be healthy (12.1%).

In our study, answers on the Likert scale for pathography beneficence were very high in understanding the importance of a desirable doctor image (4.46 ± 0.75), attaining morals and personality as a health care professional (4.49 ± 0.69), and understanding the basic skills of communication (4.46 ± 0.69).

Most of the pathographies written by medical students did not contain severe illness leading to death, which is a limitation of this study. Further studies may be conducted for medical doctors, nurses, or nursing students. The comparison of the results from each group of health professionals might be enlightening.

Conclusion
In this study, writing about an experience of illness allowed students to better understand patients’ experience and to grow in self-understanding. We hope that writing about an experience of illness will allow medical students to more accurately construct the lives of their patients and to recognize the human dimensions of all of the experiences that occur within their gaze.
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