Cellular uptake and imaging studies of glycosylated silica nanoprobe (GSN) in human colon adenocarcinoma (HT 29 cell line)

Purpose: In recent years, molecular imaging by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has gained prominence in the detection of tumor cells. The scope of this study is on molecular imaging and on the cellular uptake study of a glycosylated silica nanoprobe (GSN).

Methods: In this study, intracellular uptake (HT 29 cell line) of GSN was analyzed quantitatively and qualitatively with inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy, flow cytometry, and fluorescent microscopy. In vitro and in vivo relaxometry of this nanoparticle was determined using a 3 Tesla MRI; biodistribution of GSN and Magnevist® were measured in different tissues.

Results: Results suggest that the cellular uptake of GSN was about 70%. The $r_1$ relaxivity of this nanoparticle in the cells was measured to be $12.9 \pm 1.6 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ and on a per lanthanide gadolinium (Gd³⁺) basis. Results also indicate an average cellular uptake of $0.7 \pm 0.009 \mu\text{g} \text{Gd}³⁺$ per cell. It should be noted that 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay demonstrated that the cells were effectively labeled without cytotoxicity, and that using MRI for quantitative estimation of delivery and uptake of targeted contrast agents and early detection of human colon cancer cells using targeted contrast agents, is feasible.

Conclusion: These results showed that GSN provided a critical guideline in selecting these nanoparticles as an appropriate contrast agent for nanomedicine applications.
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Introduction

Colon cancer is the second leading cause of death from cancer in the United States.¹ The American Cancer Society estimates that in 2012, 103,170 men and women (49,920 men and 53,250 women) will be diagnosed with colon cancer and that 51,960 men and women (26,470 men and 25,220 women) will die of colon cancer.¹

Efficient treatment of colon cancer cells depends on the early diagnosis of the disease. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a powerful tool for imaging cancer tissues.² Contrast agents are used to enhance soft tissues. The major barriers to the development of MRI contrast agents are low specificity to the desired tissues and potential toxicity, so designing an MRI contrast agent with unique physiochemical properties that can be taken selectively by cancer cells and be detected at the single-cell level is essential.³

Mesoporous silica nanospheres (MSN) have several attractive properties such as large surface areas and porous interiors that can be used to store various molecules.⁴ In addition, their pore size and their size and shape can be easily modified, making...
them a suitable choice as intracellular contrast agents or drug carriers. MSNs have an internal surface and an external surface; these characteristics make MSN easily multifunctional, which is the reason why these materials are used for targeting specific cells and organs in the body. Grafting of targeting groups on the surface of MSN can be used to increase the specific accumulation of these mesoporous materials in cancer tissue. Several research groups have developed different strategies to prepare nanoparticle functionalities to intervene in biological processes; glucose is one of these groups for targeting cancer cells. Cancer cells have an increased demand for glucose compared to normal cells. This demand is met through enhanced cellular uptake of glucose through the upregulation of specific transporters. The transport of glucose into cancer cells is mediated by specific membrane proteins called transporters. The upregulation of these transporters correlates with the higher transport of glucose to cancer cells. The increased glucose uptake by the tumor is often exploited for tumor detection. The 2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose molecule (2FDG) is a glucose analog that is most commonly used in positron emission tomography imaging.

In this study, a novel nanoprobe was designed and synthesized that can be monitored inside colon cancer cells by both MRI and fluorescence imaging methods. The targeting ligand modification increased the uptake of this glyconanoprobe by colon cancer cells when compared to noncancer cells. This glyconanoprobe’s potential to be used in the imaging of the targeted cell of a tissue is beneficial for both imaging purposes and for the treatment of cancer in its early stages.

**Material and methods**

**Materials**

The tetraethylorthosilicate (98%), GdCl₃·6H₂O (99%), anhydrous ethanol (99.5%), methanol sodium hydroxide (NaOH), bromoacetic acid, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, 98%), 3-aminopropyl triethoxysilane (APTES, 99%), and 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl diethylenetriamine, anhydrous N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, 99.8%), toluene (99.8%), [4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid] (HEPES), Tween® 20, phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and rhodamine B isothiocyanate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA), and used without further purification. In addition, 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl diethylenetriamine was obtained from Gelest, Inc, (Morrisville, PA, USA). N-5-azido-2-nitrobenzoyloxyoxycinimide (ANB-NOS) was purchased from Pierce (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). A dialysis bag with a 500–1000 D cut-off was obtained from Spectrum® Laboratories, Inc, (Rancho Dominguez, CA, USA). Other materials were purchased from Merck (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). Fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, Beijing, People’s Republic of China) and penicillin–streptomycin were also purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

The human colon adenocarcinoma cell line (HT 29) was purchased from the National Cell Bank of Pasteur Institute, Iran. The BALB/c mice were purchased from the Laboratory Animal Center Institute of Cancer Research, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran.

**Instrumentation**

The lanthanide gadolinium (Gd³⁺) ions were quantified using an inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES) (Optima 2300; PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). The zeta-potential of the MSN-Gd³⁺-DG dispersed in an aqueous solution (pH = 7–8) was measured using a Zetasizer analyzer (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK). MR images were acquired on a Siemens (Erlangen, Germany) and 3 Tesla MRI.

Flow cytometry analyses were performed with an Epics Altra HyperSort flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) with an air-cooled argon ion laser (488 nm, 15 mW). This standard instrument is equipped with two light scatter detectors that measure forward scatter (FSC) and side scatter. The data were analyzed using Coulter software. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm with BioTek absorbance microplate readers (ELX800; BioTek Instruments, Inc, Winooski, VT, USA).

**GSN synthesis**

The glycosylated silica nanoprobe (GSN) was synthesized according to our previous report. Briefly, MSN (1.0 g) were synthesized using a surfactant template, base-catalyzed condensation, according to the Stöber method. Amino-functionalized silica nanospheres (MSN-NH₂) (2.0 g) were prepared by silanization with APTES (2.0 g) in 200 mL water for 6 hours. The MSN (1.5 g) were refluxed in a 162 mL methanol solution of hydrochloric acid (1.57 M) for 12 hours to remove the CTAB surfactant. The Gd³⁺-Si-diethylenetriamine tetraacetic acid (DTTA) complex (0.5 mL, 0.1 M) was loaded in surfactant-extracted MSN (200.0 g) via siloxane linkage by refluxing in toluene. ANB-NOS were dissolved in DMF. MSN-NH₂ (500.0 mg) and ANB-NOS (50.0 mg) were reacted in 20 mM of HEPES at a pH of 8 for 2 hours at room temperature, in darkness. The MSN–ANB-NOS (100.0 mg) was saturated by incubation at 37°C for 30 minutes, with an excess amount of glucosamine. The glucosamine was attached to the MSN surfaces by exposing...
them to 302 nm of light for 5 minutes at room temperature. Unbound glucosamine molecules were removed by washing them three times with a phosphate buffer (pH = 7.5) containing 0.05% Tween® 20; this was dialyzed against PBS to ensure the removal of any free glucosamine (500–1000 D cutoff).

**Preparation of fluorescent-doped glycosylated silica nanosphere (GSNF)**

Rhodamine B isothiocyanate (7.0 mg) was dissolved in absolute ethanol (5.0 mL), and APTES (4.0 μL) was added to the solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature, in darkness, and under N₂, for 24 hours. Silica mesoporous nanospheres —NH₂ (50 mg) were suspended in dried toluene (5.0 mL). Then a solution of rhodamine-APS (100.0 μL, 6 mM) was added. The mixture was refluxed overnight. NH₂-SN-FITC (Fluorescent-doped Amino Fractionized Mesoporous Silica Nanospheres) was isolated by centrifuging, and it was washed with water and ethanol twice.

MSN-NH₂-FITC (500.0 mg) and ANB-NOS (50.0 mg) were reacted in 20 mM of HEPES at a pH of 8 for 2 hours at room temperature, in darkness. The MSN-FITC–ANB-NOS (100.0 mg) were saturated by incubation at 37°C for 30 minutes with an excess amount of glucosamine. The glucosamine was attached to the MSN-FITC surfaces by exposing them to 302 nm of light for 5 minutes at room temperature.

**Cell culture and silica nanosphere labeling**

The human colon adenocarcinoma cell line (HT 29) was purchased from the National Cell Bank of Pasteur Institute of Iran. The cells (2 × 10⁶) were cultured at 37°C and at 5% CO₂ using standard cell culture media, containing Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM). The cell culture medium was supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin–streptomycin.

For GSN labeling, 2 × 10⁶ cells per well were plated in six-well plates for 24 hours, and after incubation (1 hour) were plated with the GSN (75 μg/mL⁻¹) for 1 hour. Labeled cells were then washed twice with PBS to remove any excess caron nano tubes that might be adsorbed on the cell membrane. Then, the cellular uptake of Gd³⁺ ions was analyzed by ICP-AES (Optima 3100XL; PerkinElmer), quantitatively. The analyses were performed in triplicates and the means ± standard deviations of the results were calculated.

For the GSN-labeling, the cells were subcultured every 72 hours, and they were harvested when they reached 70% of confluency using 0.05% trypsin–ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. The cells were cultured at a density of 2 × 10⁶ cells/well in 96-well plates for the following experiments.²⁷,¹⁸

**MTT assay**

It should be noted that a 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT)-based cell proliferation assay was used to determine the highest concentration of GSN solution suspension that could be used for cell labeling without significant cytotoxicity. To do so, HT 29 cells were incubated with various amounts of GSN (10 μg/mL⁻¹, 30 μg/mL⁻¹, 60 μg/mL⁻¹, and 90 μg/mL⁻¹) in a 96-well microplate for 24 hours, using unlabeled cells as control. Each concentration was tested in triplicate. The cells were washed with PBS before the addition of MTT to each well. After 4 hours, the absorbance of purple formazone was measured using BioTek’s absorbance microplate reader at 450 nm.¹⁰

**Flow cytometry**

Flow cytometry was performed with a FAC Scan Cytometer equipped with Cell Quest Software (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry System; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), by counting 30,000 events, and data were analyzed using WinMDI software. The experiments were performed as follows: 5 × 10⁶ cells were washed twice with 500 μL buffer by centrifugation (2000 rpm for 10 minutes) and reconstituted in 100.0 μL of buffer. Then, 100.0 μL of GSN-FITC (fluorescent-doped glycosylated silica nanosphere) was added and incubated with cells for 1 hour. Cells were washed twice and reconstituted in 200.0 μL of buffer. GSN-FITCs were directly detected by flow cytometry.¹⁹

**Fluorescence staining and microscopy**

Following this, 5 × 10⁶ cells were seeded per well in 6-well plates, in 3 mL of culture medium to allow for attachment. After 24 hours, cells were incubated with GSNF for 1 hour. After that, the growth medium was removed, and the cells were then washed three times with PBS and fixed with PBS solution of 4% formaldehyde. Cellular uptake was observed using a fluorescence microscopy (Olympus IX51; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

**In vitro MRI phantom preparation**

HT 29 cells (1 × 10⁶) were incubated with different doses of GSN for 1 hour. The labeled cells were washed with PBS and the cells were trypsinized and resuspended in an equal volume of DMEM culture media and 2% of agarose gel (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C. Cells were then transferred immediately to 1 mL syringes to prepare MRI phantom; the relaxation times were measured. The unlabeled cells were used as the control group. For quantitative data analysis, obtained MRI images were transferred by DICOM software.
V 1.3.5 (Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine, Rosslyn, VA, USA).\(^{18}\)

In another experiment, four different concentrations of labeled cell phantoms (1 × 10\(^6\), 3 × 10\(^6\), 5 × 10\(^6\), and 7 × 10\(^6\) cells) were labeled with the same GNS concentration (75 \(\mu\)g/mL\(^{-1}\)) and relaxation times were measured. Another phantom at the same concentration of unlabeled cells was also used as a control. All the phantoms had a cylindrical shape and were about 1.5 cm long. The total amount of Gd\(^{3+}\) ions in each of the phantoms was evaluated by ICP-AES. The average uptake of Gd\(^{3+}\) ion by each cell was calculated from the concentration of Gd\(^{3+}\) ions and the total number of cells. The analysis was performed in triplicate, and the means and standard deviations of the results were calculated.

**Biodistribution studies**

To study the biodistribution of GSN and Magnevist\(^{®}\) (Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Inc, Montville, NJ, USA) in tumor or nontumor tissues, 0.2 mmol kg\(^{-1}\) was injected (intravenously) into the mice. After different time intervals (10 minutes and 30 minutes) postinjection, the tumor, kidney, heart, and gastrointestinal tissues of animals were removed and their Gd\(^{3+}\) content was determined by ICP-AES analysis. Each experiment was carried out at least three times. For the ICP-AES analysis, the animals were sacrificed and the target samples were collected and weighed. By addition of Ultrapure nitric acid (Sigma-Aldrich) (1.00 mL, 70%; EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) to the samples, as well as centrifugation of the prepared solutions after 2 days, the supernatant was removed and diluted with water and used for the next step of Gd\(^{3+}\) determination using ICP-AES (Optima 3100XL; PerkinElmer).\(^{20}\)

**MR measurement**

Relaxation time rates of GSN were measured at different concentrations, using different spin echo and gradient echo protocols in 3T MRI (Siemens AG, Munich, Germany) with a head coil. For \(T_1\) measurements, multiple spin echo protocols were used. In total, 32 echoes with an echo spacing of 2 ms were obtained. The first echo time was 13.2, the repetition time was 3000 ms (matrix = 256 × 256), and the slice thickness was 1.5 mm and nonaveraged. A FLASH protocol was used to compute \(T_2\) maps. Repetition times were 20 ms, 50 ms, 100 ms, 200 ms, 400 ms, 600 ms, 1000 ms, 2000 ms, and 3000 ms. The first echo time was 12 ms (matrix = 256 × 256), and the slice thickness was 1.5 mm and nonaveraged.

**Cancer cells imaging**

For cancer cell imaging, 5 × 10\(^3\) labeled cells per pellet with a volume of 0.1 mL (subcutaneous) were injected into the dorsal flank of a male BALB/c mouse. Another equivalent amount of unlabeled cell pellets was also injected into right side as the control. The animal was then immediately transferred to 3 Tesla MRI for imaging.

**Statistical analysis**

Multigroup comparisons of the means were analyzed by a one-way analysis of variance. Statistical significance for all tests was set at \(P < 0.05\). Results were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (n = 3-5).

**Results**

**Cell toxicity**

Figure 1 shows the cell viability using MTT assay on the HT 29 cell line. As shown in Figure 1, GSN-labeled cells had insignificant differences in cell viability at concentrations of 10 \(\mu\)g/mL\(^{-1}\), 30 \(\mu\)g/mL\(^{-1}\), 60 \(\mu\)g/mL\(^{-1}\), and 90 \(\mu\)g/mL\(^{-1}\) for 24 hours (\(P < 0.05\)).

Inductively coupled plasma results

Inductively coupled plasma results indicated an average cellular uptake of 0.7 ± 0.09 pg Gd\(^{3+}\) per cell.

**Flow cytometry**

As shown in Figure 2, more than 70% of the cells were labeled after incubation with GSN-FITC within 2 hours. This indicates that GSN could be readily internalized into the...
Figure 2 Flow cytometric data on the HT 29 cells. Notes: The insets show the purity of the cell populations. (A) $1 \times 10^6$ HT 29 cells in mL media; (B) 0.6% GSN-labeling cell after 1 hour. Abbreviations: FL, fluorescent; GSN, glycosylated silica nanoprobe.

the cells within a relatively short incubation time. This high uptake is related to the increased demand for glucose among tumor cells.

Fluorescent microscopic study

The uptake of GSN by the HT 29 cell line was investigated using fluorescence microscopy. The red color of GSN loaded with fluorescent dye (GSNF) could clearly be seen in Figure 3. The result indicates that the nanoparticles were internalized into the cells.

Relaxivity measurement of GSN in the HT 29 cell line

The relaxation of GSN-labeled cells was characterized by 3 Tesla MRI. Figure 4 shows the relaxation rates of GSN-labeled cells. The $r_1$ relaxation of GSN-labeled cells was $12.9 \pm 1.6$ mM$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$. The fitted curves for the $R_1$ volume was near 1, indicating that the relaxation rate curve was an excellent fit for the measured data.

In vitro MRI imaging

Figure 5 shows the $r_1$ and $R_2$ relaxation rates for an increasing number of labeled cells ($1 \times 10^6$, $3 \times 10^6$, $5 \times 10^6$, and $7 \times 10^6$ cells). The results showed that $1/T_1$ effects increased linearly with the increasing number of labeled cells. This result was confirmed by the ICP-AES results.

Figure 3 Fluorescent microscopic images. Notes: (A) Images of unlabeled HT 29 cell lines. (B) Red fluorescence images of the labeled cells.

Biodistribution

Figure 6 shows the percentage of Gd$^{3+}$ ions in different tissues, including tumor tissues. The results showed a high GSN accumulation in tumor tissues compared to Magnevist®. The tumor accumulation was time dependent; the accumulation levels of GSN 10 minutes and 30 minutes after injection were 24% and 45%, respectively, which were higher than those of Magnevist® accumulation in tumor tissues (11% [10 minutes] and 5% [30 minutes]). Biodistribution data of the other tissues is illustrated in Figure 6.

Cancer cell imaging

For cell imaging, we injected about $5 \times 10^3$ labeled cells and $5 \times 10^3$ unlabeled cells in the right and left dorsal flank of mice, respectively. Figure 7 showed that the labeled cells had very good signals after 20 minutes when compared to the unlabeled cells.
Discussion

Cancer cells have an increased demand for glucose, which is met by increased availability of glucose through vasculogenesis and through enhanced cellular entry of glucose through the upregulation of specific transporters (GLUT1 and SGLT1). The rate of glucose entry into cancer cells is at least 20–30-fold higher than in normal cells. This unique phenomenon is the basis for the use of glycosylated nanoparticles for cell imaging. The GSN serves as the MRI probe.

In the present study, intracellular uptake of GSN by ICP-AES was quantified and qualified using flow cytometry and fluorescent microscopy, respectively. The intracellular uptake of GSN was also studied based on transverse relaxations (T1) in vitro, with increasing concentration of labeled cells dispersed in homogenous phantoms. The in vitro studies on human colon adenocarcinoma (HT 29 cell line) showed that the GSN is taken up by cells two to seven times more efficiently than MSN-Gd3+. The ICP-AES results showed that the intracellular uptake of GSN in cells was on average 0.76 ± 0.09 pg Gd3+ per cell, which was consistent with other studies that used the serine-derivatized carbon nanotube to label the MCF-7 human breast cancer cell line and Gd3+-based single-wall carbon nanotube in a mouse macrophage cell line. The results have shown that HT 29 could also be reliably labeled with GSN, without using a transfection agent or without using advanced labeling techniques. This property can be used for intracellular uptake quantifications and cancer cell imaging.

GSNs are a new biocompatible class of MRI contrast agents. The cytotoxicity studies have shown that GSN-labeled cells exhibited 100% viability when compared to unlabeled controls. A further increase in the concentration would lead to a very low decrease in cell viability at 90 μg/mL Gd3+ ions. The results suggested that 90 μg/mL Gd3+ is probably the best concentration used for cell labeling.

The quantitative biodistribution results have revealed that the accumulation of GSN in tumor tissues is much higher than for Magnevist® (Figure 6). The GSN accumulation in tumor tissues 10 minutes and 30 minutes postinjection was 24% and 45%, respectively. However, the Magnevist® accumulations were 11% and 5%, respectively. These results were attributed to the higher demand of cancer tissues for glucose, the increased availability of glucose through vasculogenesis, and the overexpression of GLUTs in cancerous cells.

The relaxivity studies have shown that the r1 values of GSN-labeled cells was 12.9 ± 1.6 mM−1s−1 (Figure 4). The enhanced MR relaxivity is attributed to the easy access of cells’ water molecules to Gd3+ which have been loaded in GSN. A significant positive signal enhancement in the T1-weighted image was observed for the labeled cells when compared to the unlabeled cells (Figure 7). The T1-weighted image enhancements were related to the reduction of T1 relaxation times.
Another interesting objective was to enhance the sensitivity of this class of glucose transporter-targeting agents, which relies on the observation that the internalization occurs through receptor-mediated endocytosis. It is likely that upon binding of the modified glucose, the transporting protein is unable to proceed with the successive steps that bring glucose into the cytoplasm; thus, it moves to the clathrin-rich region to be entrapped in an endosomal vesicle. However, to carry enough imaging contrast agent to the target site, we used MSN loaded with Gd\(^{3+}\) chelates, whose size limited the access only to the glucose transporters on the endothelial walls of the tumor tissue.

In summary, GSN displays several positive features; its small size allows for its easy diffusion into the tissue to reach the surface of the tumor cells. It is internalized by receptor-mediated endocytosis, thus avoiding undesirable interactions with the molecules of the cytoplasm. Clearly, targeting glucose transporters with small, stable GSN appears to be a more efficient route for an improved delineation of the pathological tissue.

**Conclusion**

The large and linear transverse relaxation of GSN provides these contrast agents with good sensitivity for cellular imaging in 3 Tesla MRI. Future research may be needed to study the cellular uptake and distribution mechanisms of GSN and its subcellular localization.
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