Clinical study of etomidate emulsion combined with remifentanil in general anesthesia
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Background: The aim of this study was to investigate and evaluate the safety, recovery time, and side effects of general anesthesia with different doses of etomidate emulsion combined with remifentanil.

Methods: One hundred ten patients of American Society of Anesthesiologists class 1 or 2 who underwent gynecological general anesthesia with a 1–3-hour operation time were randomly divided into the following groups: etomidate emulsion group 1 ([E1] n = 30); etomidate emulsion group 2 ([E2] n = 30); etomidate emulsion group 3 ([E3] n = 20); and propofol group ([P group] n = 30). For induction of anesthesia, 0.3 mg/kg etomidate emulsion, and the continuous remifentanil infusion also to induce anesthesia (0.1–0.3 µg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹), was applied in all cases. Afterwards, continuous infusion of etomidate emulsion and remifentanil, respectively (E1: 10 µg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ and 0.1 µg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹; E2: 15 µg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ and 0.2 µg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹; E3: 20 µg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ and 0.2 µg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹), and propofol (P group: 6–10 mg·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹) were administered. Changes in blood flow kinetics and adverse reactions were noted and compared between the four groups.

Results: Both arterial blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR) decreased after induction of anesthesia (P < 0.05). Systolic (SBP) and diastolic (DBP) BP changed only slightly, and HRs were slightly infected in E1, E2, and E3. SBP, DBP, and HR during the operation all decreased significantly in P group (P < 0.05). Muscle tremor at the time of induction occurred in 13 cases (11.8%). Following etomidate emulsion anesthesia maintenance, postoperative agitation occurred in seven cases (8.75%), lethargy in 20 cases (25%), and vomiting in 19 cases (23.75%). No adverse reactions were found in P group.

Conclusion: Continuous infusion of etomidate emulsion at 10 µg·kg⁻¹·minute⁻¹ combined with remifentanil during anesthesia has the advantages of hemodynamic stability, quick wake-up, and few adverse reactions. Increasing the dose of etomidate emulsion increases the incidence of adverse reactions.
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Introduction

Currently, remifentanil and propofol is a common combination used in general anesthesia to obtain quick inductions and recoveries, although hemodynamic instability can occur.1–4 It has been confirmed that etomidate has little effect on hemodynamics and adrenal cortical inhibition. Corticoid suppression may stop, with recovery of corticoid function within 24 hours.1–5 Clinical use of etomidate as an anesthesia induction agent has been approved.1–13 Etomidate is a modulator of GABA_A receptors containing β3 subunits4 and a carboxylated imidazole derivative with anesthetic and amnestic properties. It has no analgesic properties and has been used as an anesthesia induction agent.1–13
Although etomidate, as an inhibitor of cortisol synthesis (11β-hydroxylase), is a preferred anesthetic agent for rapid-sequence intubation in critical illness, its use in critically ill patients may be associated with adrenal dysfunction, an increased rate of adrenal insufficiency, and mortality.\(^{28,29}\)

Although the use of etomidate combined with remifentanil, and propofol with remifentanil, has been researched,\(^{30}\) clinical investigation of etomidate emulsion combined with remifentanil in maintenance of general anesthesia has not yet been reported, to our knowledge.

The Narcotrend (MonitorTechnik, Bad Bramstedt, Germany) is an electroencephalography (EEG) monitor designed to measure the depth of anesthesia.\(^ {16,17}\) The Narcotrend software version used in this study includes a dimensionless Narcotrend Index (NI) from 100 (awake) to 0 (electrical silence). The raw EEG signal can be recorded by standard electrocardiogram (ECG) electrodes for single- and double-channel registration.

Accordingly, in this study, we aimed to investigate the effects of different doses of etomidate emulsion combined with remifentanil on general anesthesia duration and, using the Narcotrend, to evaluate side effects of etomidate emulsion and improve postoperative morbidity and mortality.

**Materials and methods**

**Patient selection**

The investigation protocol conformed to ethical guidelines as set forth in the Declaration of Mindong Hospital Affiliated to Fujian Medical University, Fujian Province, People’s Republic of China, after receiving approval for the investigation by the Human Subjects Review Committee and the Research Ethics Board of Mindong Hospital Affiliated to Fujian Medical University, and informed consent was obtained from each patient. One hundred ten patients scheduled for gynecologic surgery of 1–3 hours, of American Society of Anesthesiologists class 1 or 2, and aged 20 to 60 years, were enrolled in this study. Patients with anemia, shock, metabolic diseases, electrolyte disorder, or emulsion allergies were excluded, as were: pregnant women; patients abusing hormones or narcotic analgesic drugs; those with predicted or current airway difficulties; those with any cardiac, liver, pulmonary, or renal diseases; and those taking diuretics or any other medication known to interfere with the investigation parameters.

Patients were randomized via sealed-envelope assignment into four groups: etomidate emulsion group 1 ([E1] n = 30), etomidate emulsion group 2 ([E2] n = 30), etomidate emulsion group 3 ([E3] n = 20), and propofol group ([P group] n = 30). Etomidate emulsion and midazolam were obtained from Xuzhou Enhua Zhiyao Limited Company (Xuzhou, People’s Republic of China). Propofol was purchased from Fresenius Kabi (Bad Homburg, Germany). Vecuronium, remifentanil, and fentanyl were obtained from Yichang Humanwell Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Yichang, People’s Republic of China). Flurbiprofen was purchased from Beijing Tide Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd (Beijing, People’s Republic of China).

**Anesthesia technique**

All patients fasted for more than 8 hours before surgery and did not receive any premedication. All patients were monitored in the operating room using blood pressure (BP), ECG, blood-oxygen saturation (SPO\(_2\)), and Narcotrend instruments. Fifteen minutes before induction of anesthesia, 5 mL/kg balance liquid (physiological saline) was intravenously injected. Five minutes before tracheal intubation, 0.05 mg/kg midazolam was intravenously infused; 3 minutes before tracheal intubation, 3–4 µg/kg fentanyl was intravenously injected; 2 minutes before tracheal intubation, 0.1 mg/kg vecuronium was intravenously injected; and 0.5 minutes before tracheal intubation, 0.3 mg/kg etomidate emulsion was intravenously injected. After full muscle relaxation, endotracheal intubation was carried out and intermittent positive pressure ventilation was established using a Datex-Ohmeda Aestiva®/5 (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK). One hundred percent oxygen was used at the rate of 1 L/minute. Ventilator settings (Vi = 8–10 mL/kg and respiratory rate (RR) = 12 times/min) were adjusted to maintain (end-tidal carbon dioxide) P\(_{\text{ET}}\) CO\(_2\) between 30–40 mmHg.

Anesthesia was induced with a 0.3 mg/kg etomidate emulsion bolus and a remifentanil 0.1–0.3 µg·kg\(^{-1}\)·minute\(^{-1}\) continuous infusion, then maintained by continuous infusion of etomidate emulsion. Depending on the group, the anesthesia was maintained by propofol infusion (P group: 6–10 mg·kg\(^{-1}\)·h\(^{-1}\)) or three different constant rate infusions of etomidate emulsion and remifentanil (E1: 10 µg·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\) and 0.1 µg·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\); E2: 15 µg·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\) and 0.2 µg·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\); E3: 20 µg·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\) and 0.3 µg·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\), respectively). Intermittent bolus injections of vecuronium were used to maintain full muscle relaxation.

Thirty minutes before the end of surgery, 0.1 mg fentanyl and 50 mg flurbiprofen were administrated intravenously and vecuronium infusion was stopped. Five minutes before the end of operation, 3 mg granisetron...
was administrated by intravenous injection. When the surgery was complete, remifentanil, etomidate emulsion, and propofol infusion were stopped. When spontaneous respiration was recovered, neostigmine was used to reverse residual muscle relaxation. When the NI was maintained at phases D and E, we adjusted the dose of remifentanil (ie, infusion rate 0.1–0.3 µg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹) to control hemodynamic changes. Awakening was considered as the moment when the patient opened their eyes after being called by their name. Analysis of extubation indications was divided into four grades: cough and swallowing reflex complete recovery; basic consciousness complete recovery and opening of the eyes when the name was called; 

\[ V_e > 6 \text{ mL/kg, } RR = 12–30 \text{ times/minutes}, \text{ muscle strength recovered time of flight (TOF) of ballistic } >75\% , \text{ and head elevation for more than 2 seconds; and } BP, \text{ heart rate (HR), and ECG at normal levels}. \]

Antiectics were administered intravenously if patients experienced nausea or vomiting. All patients were prohibited administration of corticosteroids during and 24 hours after the operation. Postoperative analgesia was also prohibited.

**Assessment of variables**

The maximum and minimum systolic BP (SBP), diastolic BP (DBP), and HR values were continuously monitored in the hospital operating room immediately before surgery, before tracheal intubation, at the time of skin incision, and during the operation. The amount of drug injected was monitored. Durations of anesthesia, surgery, wake-up, and extubation were recorded. Side effects were observed and recorded during induction of general anesthesia, postoperatively, and at follow-up visits. The same surgical team performed all procedures on the investigation patients.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 13.0 software (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test the significance of the differences between two groups. Data were considered significantly different at the level of \( P < 0.05 \) and very significantly different at the level of \( P < 0.01 \).

**Results**

There were no significant differences between the four patient groups with regards to the demographic characteristics age, height, body weight, anesthetic duration, and operation duration (Table 1). No complications were observed, and no patient required blood transfusion during the overall investigation period.

As shown in Table 2, the SBP, DBP, and HR of the four groups decreased before tracheal intubation versus in hospital operating rooms pre-surgery (\( P < 0.05 \)). The SBP and HR of P group patients and the SBP of E3 patients reduced at the time of skin incision (both \( P < 0.05 \)). The SBP, DBP, and HR of P group patients decreased during the operation (\( P < 0.05 \) or \( P < 0.01 \)). The SBP and DBP of E1, E2, and E3 patients decreased during the operation (\( P < 0.05 \)), but their HRs showed no significant difference. The intraoperative SBP and HRs of P group patients decreased compared with skin incision (\( P < 0.05 \)). The intraoperative SBP of E3 patients decreased compared with skin incision (\( P < 0.05 \)). The maximum and minimum values of intraoperative SBP, DBP, and HR in P group decreased compared with skin incision (\( P < 0.05 \) or \( P < 0.01 \)). The maximum and minimum values of intraoperative SBP, DBP, and HR in E2 and E3 showed no significant difference from skin incision (\( P < 0.05 \) or \( P < 0.01 \)).

As Table 3 shows, the remifentanil dose significantly decreased, comparing E1 to P group (\( P < 0.05 \)), while E2 and E3 showed no significant difference. Durations of wake-up and extubation in E3 were prolonged (\( P < 0.01 \)); E2 and P group showed no significant difference.

As Table 4 shows, of the groups receiving etomidate emulsion maintenance, 13 patients (11.8%) showed myoclonus during the induction of anesthesia; seven patients (8.75%) experienced postoperative agitation; 20 patients (25%) experienced postoperative sleepiness; and 19 patients (23.75%) experienced

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Demographic characteristics of the four groups (mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group</strong></td>
<td><strong>Patients, n</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Etomidate emulsion and remifentanil, respectively: E1, 10 µg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹ and 0.1 µg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹; E2, 15 µg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹ and 0.2 µg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹; E3, 20 µg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹ and 0.2 µg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹. Propofol: P, 6–10 mg · kg⁻¹ · h⁻¹.

**Abbreviation:** SD, standard deviation.
postoperative vomiting. These side effect incidences were related to the amount of etomidate emulsion administered. There were no side effects among P group patients during the investigation. No patient showed adrenal hypofunction in renal function tests (detecting the levels of creatinine, blood urea nitrogen, and uric acid) at the return visit.

**Discussion**

Although etomidate combined with remifentanil has more favorable effects than propofol with remifentanil during the monitoring of transcranial motor evoked potentials under comparable bispectral index (BIS) levels, the safety, recovery time, and side effects of general anesthesia with different doses of etomidate emulsion combined with remifentanil have not yet been fully investigated. The single intravenous injection of etomidate emulsion to induce anesthesia is often 0.2 \( \mu \)g kg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\) and 0.1 \( \mu \)g kg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\); E2, 15 \( \mu \)g kg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\) and 0.2 \( \mu \)g kg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\); E3, 20 \( \mu \)g kg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\) and 0.2 \( \mu \)g kg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\). Propofol: P, 6–10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\). \( P < 0.05 \), vs before treatment; \( P < 0.01 \), vs before treatment; \( * P < 0.05 \), vs E1.

**Abbreviations:** DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HR, heart rate; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation.

The dosage of 0.3 mg/kg was used for inducing anesthesia by intravenous injection in this study. We found that arterial BP and HR declined slightly, but the two parameters rapidly recovered after tracheal intubation to the levels found, preoperatively, after skin incision (E1 and E2), although SBP of E3 patients remained at low levels. This was possibly because etomidate emulsion was used for inducing anesthesia and then a large dosage of etomidate emulsion was infused for the maintenance of anesthesia, which was concerned with the resulting of deep anesthesia by a large amount of etomidate emulsion administered. SBP and DBP of E1, E2, and E3 patients did not change. HRs changed slightly during the maintenance of anesthesia. SBP, DBP, and HRs of P group patients decreased from the beginning of skin incision. These results show that hemodynamics may be more stable with etomidate emulsion (at 20 \( \mu \)g kg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)) in anesthesia maintenance than with propofol. A large dosage of etomidate emulsion (20 \( \mu \)g kg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)) was also used for anesthesia maintenance in this study, and produced a transient effect on SBP and almost the same effect on DBP and HR. Etomidate emulsion showed anesthetic and amnestic properties, but no analgesic properties.

Remifentanil was used as an ultra-short-acting synthetic opioid analgesic drug. The two drugs, Remifentanil in combination with etomidate emulsion, worked rapidly, with a short half-time in vivo. We designed our study for the use of different dosages of etomidate emulsion combined with remifentanil in the maintenance of general anesthesia. BP, ECG, SPO\(_2\), and depth of anesthesia were monitored in all patients in the operating theater with a Narcotrend EEG device.

The amount of remifentanil administered can be adjusted to maintain hemodynamic stability when the NI is maintained at phase D or E. The results show that, when the dosage

---

**Table 2** Hemodynamics of the four groups before and after anesthetization (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Patients, n</th>
<th>Before treatment</th>
<th>Before intubation</th>
<th>Skin incision</th>
<th>Maximum intraoperative</th>
<th>Minimum intraoperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>123.4 ± 12.7</td>
<td>103.8 ± 10.6( ^* )</td>
<td>118.9 ± 10.3</td>
<td>124.5 ± 10.5</td>
<td>108.7 ± 9.5( ^* )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>121.5 ± 10.6</td>
<td>101.3 ± 9.0( ^* )</td>
<td>116.2 ± 9.5</td>
<td>123.3 ± 9.3</td>
<td>106.3 ± 9.0( ^* )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>121.9 ± 10.0</td>
<td>103.3 ± 8.6( ^* )</td>
<td>106.3 ± 9.6( ^* )</td>
<td>122.5 ± 10.5</td>
<td>106.5 ± 8.7( ^* )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>121.4 ± 10.3</td>
<td>101.4 ± 9.4( ^* )</td>
<td>103.6 ± 9.3( ^* )</td>
<td>109.3 ± 9.0( ^* )</td>
<td>93.9 ± 8.6( ^* )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Remifentanil dose and awakening and extubation durations (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Patients, n</th>
<th>Remifentanil dose (mg)</th>
<th>Awakening times (minutes)</th>
<th>Extubation times (minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.31</td>
<td>12.3 ± 5.7</td>
<td>18.6 ± 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.26</td>
<td>12.8 ± 6.5</td>
<td>17.9 ± 8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.24</td>
<td>22.6 ± 9.1( ^* )</td>
<td>27.3 ± 14.4( ^* )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.15( ^* )</td>
<td>11.6 ± 5.4</td>
<td>17.5 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Remifentanil dose and awakening and extubation durations (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Patients, n</th>
<th>Remifentanil dose (mg)</th>
<th>Awakening times (minutes)</th>
<th>Extubation times (minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.31</td>
<td>12.3 ± 5.7</td>
<td>18.6 ± 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.26</td>
<td>12.8 ± 6.5</td>
<td>17.9 ± 8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.24</td>
<td>22.6 ± 9.1( ^* )</td>
<td>27.3 ± 14.4( ^* )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.15( ^* )</td>
<td>11.6 ± 5.4</td>
<td>17.5 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of etomidate emulsion used for anesthesia maintenance was 10–20 µg·kg⁻¹·minute⁻¹, NI could be maintained at phase D or E and the hemodynamics remain stable. The dosage (6–10 mg · kg⁻¹ · h⁻¹) of remifentanil in the P group was less than the etomidate emulsion dose in E1–E3 (10–20 µg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹). These results show that remifentanil should be added up to the dosage of etomidate emulsion in anesthesia maintenance.

Awakening and extubation times were slightly prolonged when a single etomidate emulsion was used in anesthesia maintenance, but the difference was not significant. The study results show that awakening and extubation times were 12 minutes and 18 minutes, respectively, with etomidate emulsion at 10–15 µg · kg⁻¹ · minute⁻¹ combined with remifentanil, which was used for maintenance of general anesthesia and administered at equal dosage to P group. When the dosage of etomidate emulsion for maintenance of general anesthesia was increased (20 µg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹), the awakening and extubation times were prolonged.

Myoclonus is a frequent complication with etomidate emulsion induction in anesthesia maintenance, but the complications may interfere with anesthetics and analgesics. We adopted the sequence of midazolam, fentanyl, vecuronium, and etomidate emulsion according to their pharmacokinetic characteristics in inducing anesthesia and in anesthesia maintenance. The incidence of myoclonus was 11.8%, equal to the findings of a previous study and below those of other reports (25%). The results show that the incidences of postoperative agitation, sleepiness, and vomiting were 8.15%, 25%, and 23.75%, respectively, and were higher than in P group. The incidences of postoperative agitation, sleepiness, and vomiting increased with increased etomidate emulsion dosages. The incidence of side effects was lower with the low dosage of etomidate emulsion (10 µg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹). When the dosage was increased, the incidence of postoperative agitation also increased, and quality of recovery from anesthesia decreased. The incidence of vomiting also increased with increasing etomidate emulsion dosage. This was possibly because the etomidate emulsion affected transient adrenal cortical inhibition, but this needs to be confirmed in future studies. Adrenal hypofunction was not found in any patient in postoperative return visits when etomidate emulsion had been continuously infused during anesthesia for 1–3 hours.

Conclusion
Etomidate emulsion combined with remifentanil was used for the maintenance of general anesthesia and the hemodynamics kept stable. Etomidate emulsion at the dosage of 10 µg · kg⁻¹ · minute⁻¹ combined with remifentanil in maintenance of general anesthesia led to few side effects and rapid awakening. The amount of etomidate emulsion used in the maintenance of general anesthesia should be increased with larger doses of remifentanil. The etomidate emulsion combined with remifentanil demonstrates benefit-to-risk balance: remifentanil prevents the hemodynamic reflex response to tracheal intubation and surgical stimulation, while, in combination with etomidate emulsion, reducing side effects associated with etomidate emulsion and improving postoperative morbidity and mortality.
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