Quadriceps femoris muscle fatigue in patients with knee osteoarthritis
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Abstract: The purpose of this study was to characterize quadriceps femoris muscle fatigue of both lower extremities in patients with knee osteoarthritis (OA). Sixty-two subjects (mean age 68.2 years, standard deviation [SD] ± 7.9 years) with knee OA participated in the study. Significantly higher knee pain was reported in the involved knee than in the contralateral knee, as determined by a visual analog scale. Significant differences were demonstrated between the lower extremities in terms of maximal voluntary isometric contraction, in favor of the less involved leg ($P = 0.0001$). In contrast, the degree of fatigue of the quadriceps femoris muscle, as measured by the decrement in force production following ten repeated contractions, was significantly higher in the contralateral leg ($P = 0.0002$). Furthermore, normalization of the fatigue results to the first contraction yielded a similar result ($P < 0.0001$). Similar results were noted when analysis was performed separately for subjects whose involvement was unilateral or bilateral. The results indicate that, irrespective of the initial strength of contraction, the rate of muscle fatigue in the contralateral leg is significantly higher than in the involved leg. Hypotheses for these unexpected results are suggested. Rehabilitation of patients with knee OA should focus on increasing quadriceps muscle strength and endurance for both lower extremities.
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Introduction

Decline in quadriceps femoris muscle strength is common in individuals with knee osteoarthritis (OA),¹ and is due primarily to disuse atrophy and impaired ability of the central nervous system to recruit existing motor units despite maximum conscious effort.² In contrast to strength, muscle fatigue, which is defined as a temporary loss or decrease in force-generating ability due to recent contractions,³ has rarely been examined in patients with knee OA. Although methods to assess muscle fatigue in patients with knee OA have been validated,⁴,⁵ to the best of our knowledge, the only direct measure of muscle fatigue in this population was reported by Fisher et al.⁶ Their study of 15 male subjects (67.6 ± 6.1 years) with knee OA demonstrated that muscle strength and endurance were 50% lower than in an age-matched control group.

Muscle fatigue has important clinical implications due to the significant role of quadriceps muscle endurance for functional capabilities in the activities of daily living, such as walking and climbing stairs. As these capabilities are particularly affected in patients with knee OA,⁷ there is a need to expand our understanding of the characteristics of quadriceps muscle fatigue in this population.

The scope of the literature regarding quadriceps muscle performance in patients with knee pathologies has changed in recent years, shifting from a previous focus only
on the symptomatic knee to a broader view of both lower extremities. This is probably due to the fact that patients often demonstrate symptoms in both legs. Furthermore, even when one leg is asymptomatic, cartilage degeneration might already exist and may be confirmed by radiography. In addition, muscle dysfunction in one leg may be a factor in hastening the progression of joint breakdown in the contralateral leg. Accordingly, there is justification for evaluating quadriceps muscle performance and, particularly, quadriceps muscle fatigue of both lower extremities in patients with knee OA.

The purpose of this study was to compare the fatigability of the quadriceps muscle by assessing isometric contraction properties of both lower extremities in patients with knee OA. It was expected that the affected (or more affected) leg would have weaker maximal voluntary isometric contraction (MVIC) and a higher rate of fatigue compared to the contralateral leg.

**Study methodology**

**Subjects**

All patients referred to an orthopedic outpatient physical therapy clinic between September 2008 and December 2009 with the diagnosis of idiopathic knee OA were screened by a physical therapist from the clinic using an eligibility checklist. This descriptive study is a subset of a larger cohort randomized controlled trial.

Inclusion criteria were: (1) radiographic evidence of knee OA at a grade ≥ II, according to the Kellgren and Lawrence classification; (2) age above 50; (3) compliance matching with the classification of the American College of Rheumatology; (4) knee pain for at least 3 months, with pain presenting at least 3 days a week during the last month; (5) ability to ambulate independently for at least 10 meters; and (6) ability to follow simple instructions. Exclusion criteria were: (1) use of a pacemaker; (2) history of cardiovascular, neurological, or orthopedic problems that could affect functional performance; (3) previous knee surgery other than arthroscopy; (4) injections to the knee joint during the previous 6 months; and (5) change in pain medication in the previous month.

The study coordinator explained the purpose and procedures of the study to the eligible participants. The study was approved by the Helsinki committee of the Emek Medical Center, and all subjects signed an informed consent form prior to assessment.

**Procedures**

The subjects completed questionnaires regarding their knee pain intensity, followed by an assessment of their quadriceps muscle performance.

**Pain assessment**

Subjects evaluated their level of knee pain during the previous week on a visual analog scale (VAS), with 0 representing no pain and 10 representing the most severe pain (intraclass correlation coefficient [ICC] = 80).

**Assessment of the quadriceps femoris muscle isometric contraction**

A computerized myometry system (Quantitative Muscle Assessment [QMA] system; Aeverl Medical, Gainesville, GA, USA) was used for all quadriceps muscle assessments. Test–retest reliability for this apparatus was previously determined in our laboratory (ICC = 0.89). Assessment included the following tests: (1) quadriceps muscle MVIC test; (2) quadriceps muscle fatigue test. The two tests were implemented in the same order for all patients, and there was a 5-minute rest period between tests.

Both knees were tested, with the uninjured or less painful leg (in the case of bilateral knee involvement) tested first, followed by the involved leg. All quadriceps muscle assessments were carried out while the subjects were seated on a straight-back chair, with hips flexed at 90° and knees flexed as close to 90° as could be tolerated, a protocol previously used for muscle assessment with knee OA. The thighs, chest, and pelvis were stabilized using inelastic straps with hook-and-loop closures to prevent substitution movements by the body, and the arms were positioned across the chest. The ankles were attached to a padded strap placed 2 cm above the lateral malleolus and connected to a high-tension wire with a strain gauge (SM250 force transducer). The system’s software provided summary data of force and force-integral outputs at a 50 Hz data acquisition rate.

**MVIC**

The subjects first performed a 5-minute warm-up on a stationary bicycle with no resistance. The subjects were then seated in the testing apparatus and, following a 5-minute rest period, performed three to five isometric contractions against the strain gauge at 50%, 75%, and 100% of their perceived MVIC. To achieve this goal, the subjects were instructed to “push the strain gauge at 50%, 75%, and 100% of what you perceive your maximum force to be.” The intensity of these contractions was monitored on the screen of the computer by the researcher to ensure that the subjects understood the instructions. The data for these preliminary contractions were excluded from the analysis.

Following a second 5-minute rest break, participants performed three consecutive brief (~5-second) MVICs of the quadriceps, each separated by 90 seconds of rest.
The investigators offered standardized verbal encouragement throughout the testing protocol. An additional contraction was attained when one of the consecutive MVICs differed by more than 5% from the others. The mean of the three MVIC trials was utilized for subsequent calculations. In addition, the values were normalized to the subjects’ weight.

Fatigue test procedure
The subjects were asked to perform ten consecutive MVICs of the quadriceps muscle, each lasting 10 seconds. Two indexes were utilized in order to quantify quadriceps muscle fatigue in each leg: (1) the fatigue index was calculated by subtracting the value of the tenth MVIC from the value of the first MVIC; (2) the fatigue index normalized to the first contraction. For the fatigue index, the first contraction was defined as 100%, and all other contractions were defined as a percentage of this contraction. The index value was calculated as the tenth contraction (in percentage) subtracted from 100. Thus, in both indexes, lower numbers indicate greater fatigue.

Statistical analyses
The following variables were considered for analysis: VAS; MVIC; fatigue index; normalized fatigue index. Additionally, the muscle force and fatigue measures were normalized to body-weight. Descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations [SDs]) were calculated for all outcome measures. Normalized distribution was determined for all variables using the Shapiro–Wilk test. One-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) were performed to determine differences between the involved leg and the contralateral leg with all subjects grouped together, as well as separately for subjects whose involvement was unilateral or bilateral. Since a large variability in body mass index (BMI) was observed, the analysis was repeated with the BMI as a covariant, for the entire group as well as for each group separately, for all outcome measures. Level of significance was set at α = 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using JMP (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Results
Of the 134 patients with a diagnosis of knee OA who were considered as potential candidates for the study, 62 subjects (51 females, eleven males) were found to be eligible to take part in the study based on the inclusion/exclusion criteria. The characteristics of the 62 subjects are presented in Table 1.

Forty-three subjects had a bilateral leg involvement and 19 subjects had a unilateral involvement. In case of bilateral involvement, the more painful leg was considered the involved leg. The muscle performance results of the entire group, as well as the separate results of the group with unilateral involvement and the group with bilateral involvement, are presented in Table 2. As the results of the statistical analysis of all the muscle performance variables normalized for weight did not differ from the results of the non normalized values, only the non normalized values are presented (see Table 2). Additionally, analysis with BMI as a covariant determined no significant effect of BMI on any of the outcome measures.

Pain intensity
The mean (SD) pain intensities of the entire group of the involved knee and contralateral leg were 7.2 cm (1.95) and 3.2 cm (2.86), respectively. The overall difference between the legs with respect to pain intensity was statistically significant (P < 0.0001). In the group with unilateral involvement, mean (SD) VAS of the involved leg was 6.3 cm (2.14), with no pain reported in the contralateral leg. In the group with bilateral involvement, mean (SD) VAS of the more affected sides and the less affected side were 7.6 cm (1.66) and 4.6 cm (2.26), respectively. In both subgroups with the unilateral and bilateral leg involvement the difference between the legs with respect to pain intensity was statistically significant (P < 0.0001).

Quadriceps femoris muscle isometric contraction performance
The 90° knee flexion position was well tolerated by all subjects. The MVIC in the involved leg of the entire group was significantly lower than in the contralateral leg (P = 0.0001). Similar statistical differences were observed in the groups with unilateral and with bilateral leg involvement (see Table 2).

Fatigue test performance
The mean (±SD) force of the first and tenth contractions in the fatigue tests of the entire group, and of the groups

| Table 1 The subjects’ characteristics (n = 62) |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Characteristic                | Value            |
| Age (years): mean ± SD (range)| 68.2 ± 7.9 (54–83) |
| Weight (kg): mean ± SD (range)| 79.4 ± 15.6 (48–120)  |
| Height (cm): mean ± SD (range)| 160.9 ± 7.4 (148–176)  |
| BMI (weight/height²): mean ± SD (range)| 30.9 ± 5.8 (19.5–47.3)  |
| Sex (women:men)               | 51:11            |
| Duration of knee osteoarthritis (years): mean ± SD (range)| 4.3 ± 5.5 (0.17–25)  |
| Use of walking device (yes:no) | 6.56             |
| Participation in regular physical activity (yes:no) | 14.48            |
| Involvement of both legs (yes:no) | 43.19            |

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index.
with unilateral and bilateral involvement, are presented in Table 3. The degree of fatigue of the quadriceps muscle, as indicated by the fatigue index of the entire group, was significantly greater in the contralateral leg than in the involved leg ($P = 0.002$) (see Table 2 and Figure 1). This significant difference between the legs held true even when the fatigue index was normalized to the first contraction ($P < 0.0001$) (see Table 2 and Figure 2). This additional analysis was conducted as the first MVIC of the contralateral leg was significantly stronger than the first contraction of the involved leg (see above; $P = 0.0001$). The separate analysis, by group, indicated significant leg difference in the two fatigue indexes in the groups with unilateral and with bilateral leg involvement ($P = 0.04, P < 0.0001$, respectively), except that in the group with unilateral involvement ($n = 19$), the comparison between legs for the normalized fatigue index did not quite reach significance ($P = 0.12$).

**Discussion**

Significant differences were observed between the lower extremities in terms of MVIC of the quadriceps muscle in the entire group and in the subgroups with the unilateral and bilateral leg involvement, in favor of the less involved leg. In contrast, surprisingly, the degree of fatigue (decrement in force generating ability following recent repeated contractions) of the quadriceps muscle was significantly higher in the less involved or uninvolved knee. It appears these results have never been previously reported.

As the contralateral leg was significantly stronger to start with, in the overall group and in the subgroups, which could affect fatigue difference between legs, a normalization of the fatigue results was done to the first contraction. Yet, this analysis provided similar results which may indicate that irrespective of the initial strength of contraction, the rate of muscle fatigue in the contralateral leg is significantly higher than in the involved leg. The only exception to this rule was demonstrated in the normalized fatigue index for the subgroup of patients with unilateral involvement where the comparison between legs did not reach significance. However, this may be due to the small size of the group ($n = 19$), which did not provide sufficient power for the analysis. While it may be claimed that the greater fatigability of the less involved leg is due to a floor effect, the raw data (Table 3) indicate that this is not a likely cause for the observed results.

We can only hypothesize as to the causes of this unexpected phenomenon. It might be that the pain related to OA stimulates nociceptive afferents that decrease the initial maximal force produced, as indicated by a central activation failure during MVIC.\textsuperscript{2,13} Consequently, the lower reduction of muscle strength during the fatigue test observed in the involved limb could be the result of submaximal muscle contraction during the consecutive isometric contractions. This may prevent muscle overload during repeated contractions and therefore reduce maximal utilization of the metabolic and excitation-coupling abilities of the muscle, subsequently reflected in the observed lower degree of muscle fatigue.

Another possibility may be related to differences in the muscle fiber composition between the quadriceps muscle of the two extremities. Selective atrophy of type II fast-

**Table 2** Quadriceps femoris muscle isometric contraction performance of both legs (mean ± SD) and comparison between the legs ($P$-value) of the entire group and of the groups with unilateral and bilateral leg involvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>The entire group ($n = 62$)</th>
<th>Unilateral involvement ($n = 19$)</th>
<th>Bilateral involvement ($n = 43$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Involved leg</td>
<td>Uninvolved leg</td>
<td>Involved leg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MVIC (kg)</td>
<td>14.4 ± 6.3</td>
<td>14.1 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fatigue index (kg)</td>
<td>−1.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td>−2.0 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normalized fatigue index (%)</td>
<td>−14.4 ± 14.7</td>
<td>−13.7 ± 15.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** The mean (±SD) force of the first and tenth contractions in the fatigue tests of the entire group and of the groups with unilateral and bilateral leg involvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>The entire group ($n = 62$)</th>
<th>Unilateral involvement ($n = 19$)</th>
<th>Bilateral involvement ($n = 43$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Involved leg</td>
<td>Uninvolved leg</td>
<td>Involved leg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First MVIC (kg)</td>
<td>14.3 ± 6.7</td>
<td>14.3 ± 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tenth MVIC (kg)</td>
<td>12.2 ± 5.9</td>
<td>12.9 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** SD, standard deviation; MVIC, maximal voluntary isometric contraction.
twitch fibers in quadriceps muscle has been repeatedly demonstrated in histological studies involving subjects with knee OA.\textsuperscript{24–26} This selective fiber atrophy is suggested to occur as a consequence of pain-related immobilization due to OA.\textsuperscript{27,28} Muscles with a higher percentage of fast-twitch fibers have been shown to fatigue more rapidly than muscles with a greater percentage of slow-twitch fibers.\textsuperscript{29} Thus, perhaps, the contralateral leg, which was significantly less painful than the involved leg, had a lower decline rate in type II fast-twitch fibers, resulting in a lower proportion of type I fatigue-resistant fibers. Accordingly, the asymmetric decline in type II fibers between the extremities rendered the quadriceps muscle of the involved leg more fatigue resistant. A similar rationale has been suggested for sex-related differences in quadriceps muscle fatigue in patients with knee OA.\textsuperscript{24} A higher proportion of slow, fatigue-resistant, type I fibers noted in women is suggested as the reason for the higher degree of fatigue observed in age-matched male subjects with knee OA;\textsuperscript{30–32} however, further studies are needed to substantiate these speculative hypotheses.

Limitations
The current experimental design used dynamometry, which has been shown to be reliable and valid, and which is readily available in the clinical setting.\textsuperscript{33} However, in spite of standardized verbal encouragements, the results may have been affected by the subjects’ motivation and pain, particularly during repeated contractions.\textsuperscript{34} Further studies with larger samples should examine whether the results are affected by the severity of OA and should include a healthy control group.
Conclusion
The results of this study indicate that, while the quadriceps muscle of the involved leg is weaker than the quadriceps muscle of the contralateral leg, the decrement in quadriceps muscle force production following repeated contractions – that is, muscle fatigue – is greater in the contralateral leg. It is recommended that the rehabilitation of patients with knee OA include exercises aimed at increasing quadriceps muscle endurance for both lower extremities.
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