Determination of concentration of saturated ferrocene in aqueous solution
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Abstract: The solubility of ferrocene in aqueous solution is known to be approximately 0.04 mmol/dm$^3$. The solubility values determined by voltammetry have been overestimated because of adsorption on electrodes. This work deals with discerning diffusion from adsorption by altering not only the voltammetric time scale but also the solvents used. Fast voltammetric responses by differential pulse voltammetry and fast scan voltammetry exhibited adsorption behavior. In contrast, quasi steady-state voltammetry showed the diffusion-control, the current of which seemed to evaluate the saturated concentration accurately. However, the currents in the solution including a small amount of organic solvent were smaller than those in the aqueous solution although the concentrations were identical. Solutions including organic solvents have often been used to obtain calibration curves. Therefore, the concentration evaluated from the calibration curve was estimated to be larger than the true concentration. The current in the organic solvent was explained in terms of the extra solvation energy by supersaturation, which was dissipated to low concentrated domains by diffusion. It was formulated in the form of diffusion coefficients. The true concentration was evaluated to be 0.01 mmol/dm$^3$ by slow scan voltammetry in the solution without calibration curves.
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Introduction

Concentrations of sparingly soluble redox species have been determined quantitatively by pulse techniques such as differential pulse voltammetry$^{1-3}$ and square wave voltammetry.$^{4,5}$ Less affinity of these species for solvent often makes these species adsorb on an electrode.$^6$ Then the pulse voltammetric currents may include not only a diffusion-controlled component but also an adsorption-controlled component, an example of which is ferrocene in aqueous solution.$^7$ Adsorption contributes to the currents more than diffusion, with a decrease in the pulse width because the chronoamperometric curve for adsorbed species decays faster than that for diffusing species. Therefore, the concentration determined may be overestimated. Calibration curves themselves may include this type of error. It is desirable to be able to evaluate concentrations without using calibration curves. Adsorptive stripping voltammetry is a possible analytical tool$^{8-10}$ if a calibration curve can be obtained and if sparingly soluble species can be adsorbed quantitatively on an electrode. A drawback is the difficulty of obtaining a calibration curve.

Microelectrode techniques provide steady-state voltammograms which can circumvent complications by adsorption. However, a drawback of these techniques is the noisy currents of sparingly soluble species because of low concentrations at a small
Results and discussion

Adsorption-controlled and diffusion-controlled voltammograms

Figure 1 shows cyclic voltammograms of the ferrocene-saturated aqueous solution for scan rates of $\nu \geq 0.1$ V per second at the Pt electrode, 1.6 mm in diameter. The anodic and cathodic peak potentials were at $0.238 \pm 0.005$ V and $0.182 \pm 0.009$ V, respectively. These values are close to the reported ones. The difference between the anodic and the cathodic potentials was $56$ mV. Figure 2 shows variation of the peak current against $\nu$, where the background current was subtracted as shown in the solid line of Figure 1. The proportionality indicates that the peak current is due to an adsorption-controlled step. The amount of adsorption can generally be estimated from the area enclosed with a voltammetric wave and a base line. The background solid line in Figure 1 unfortunately did not pass through the voltammogram in the domain from $0.3$ V to $0.4$ V. A curved background might be useful for the estimation. In order to avoid the ambiguity of drawing a curved background, we used the background (dashed) line connecting points at $0.15$ V and $0.35$ V on the voltammogram. This line may provide a minimum amount of the adsorption. The density of adsorbed ferrocene was determined by the inverse of the charge density per electrode area, which was obtained from the area of the peak divided by the scan rate, as shown in Figure 1. This was $(1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-11}$ mol/cm². This corresponds to $(3.8 \text{ nm})^2$ per adsorbed ferrocene molecule, implying the spaced adsorption that is frequently observed for nonaggregated species.

Methods and materials

Ferrocene was purified by sublimation to remove 10% of its impurity. Water was deionized and distilled. Next, 0.1 M KCl ($M = \text{mol/dm}^3$) aqueous solution including ferrocene powder was ultrasonicated for ten minutes, and left to stand for a few hours. The saturated solution was filtered and became transparent. We confirmed no precipitation in the solution. Acetonitrile was of analytical grade. The volume of the solution was about 15 cm³.

Sodium alginate powder (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd, Osaka, Japan) was used as received and yielded 500–600 mPa s in 12 mg/cm³ solution. This was dissolved in distilled water at 60°C to yield a homogeneous solution. Air bubbles coming from the powder were removed by ultrasonication for 30 minutes. When nitrogen gas was bubbled into the viscous sodium alginate solution, ultrasonication was applied to remove the bubbles.

Cyclic voltammetry and differential pulse voltammetry were carried out using a potentiostat (Compactstat™, Ivium Technologies, Eindhoven, the Netherlands). Platinum disks 1.6 mm and 0.1 mm in diameter were used as working voltammetric electrodes. The reference electrode was Ag/AgCl in saturated KCl. The counter electrode was a platinum wire. Viscosity was determined using a vibration viscometer (SV-10, A&D, Tokyo, Japan) at room temperature.

Figure 1 Cyclic voltammograms of saturated ferrocene in 0.1 M KCl at a platinum electrode 1.6 mm in diameter for scan rates, $\nu = (A) 0.5$, (B) 0.3, and (C) 0.1 V per second. Solid line and dashed line are backgrounds for evaluating oxidation peak current and charge, respectively.
of oxygen and noise. We confirmed that sodium alginate had no effect on diffusion.35

Figure 4 shows dependence of the limiting currents, $I_L$, divided by the electrode radii on $v^{1/2}$ at the 0.1 mm electrode (circles) in ferrocene-saturated aqueous solution and at the 1.6 mm electrode (triangles) in sodium alginate-included ferrocene-saturated solution. The scan rates of the latter case are much lower than those of the former. The currents have a linear relationship with $v^{1/2}$, but do not show proportionality owing to the edge effect.37 The slope at the 0.1 mm electrode was larger than the theoretical diffusion-controlled one, indicating the effect of adsorption. In contrast, the limiting currents for 0.1 mV per second $\leq v \leq$ 1 mV per second (triangles in Figure 4) are close to the steady state. From the linearly extrapolated value of $I_L/a$ to $v^{1/2} \to 0$ (triangles) and the steady-state equation, $I_L/a = 4FcD$, we evaluated $c = 0.015$ mM for $D = 0.7 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$ per second. The concentration is smaller than the reported value (approximately 0.04 mM). The problem of the present measurement is whether the adsorption effect is still included or not.

The conventional technique of determination is differential pulse voltammetry. We performed pulse voltammetry for ferrocene in both an aqueous solution and a mixed solution. A peak appeared at 0.16 V in both the ferrocene-saturated solution and in the concentration-controlled mixed solvents, as shown in Figure 5. Peak currents in the mixed solvents were proportional to the concentrations of ferrocene for several values of pulse width. Proportionality was used as the calibration line for determination of concentration in the ferrocene-saturated solution. Figure 6 shows the variation in concentration determined with pulse width. The longer the pulse width, the lower the concentration found.
The concentration values approach the quasi-state value (dashed line) obtained above. Given that the electrolysis time for pulse voltammetry is much shorter than that of cyclic voltammetry in Figure 1, the pulse current in the aqueous solution should be controlled by adsorption. On the other hand, the calibration lines made in the mixed solvent were expected to provide diffusion-controlled current. Therefore, inconsistency of conditions between calibration and sampling provides erroneous concentrations. Because the difference is remarkable with a decrease in pulse width, the shorter time response yields higher concentrations in Figure 6.

**Voltammograms in mixed solutions**

A strategy for preventing adsorption of ferrocene is to facilitate dissolution either in solution containing a surfactant or water-miscible mixed solvents. We used the latter here because mass transport in mixed solvents is simpler than diffusion of micelles. We used a mixed solvent of acetonitrile in water. An aqueous solution of saturated ferrocene was prepared first, and a 5% volume of acetonitrile was then added to this solution. The voltammetric shape in the mixed solution was very similar to that in the aqueous solution. Figure 2 shows the plot of the peak current against \( \nu \) and \( \nu^{1/2} \) in the acetonitrile-mixed solution. The peak current was proportional to \( \nu^{1/2} \) rather than \( \nu \). This is evidence of removing adsorption owing to dissolution of ferrocene in the mixed solvent.

The current was smaller than that in the aqueous solution, as shown in Figure 2. This variation is opposite to the difference between the viscosity of the solution containing acetonitrile (1.1 mPa s) and that of the aqueous solution (1.3 mPa s) at 21°C. Supersaturated ferrocene in aqueous solution obviously has higher energy than that in the mixed solvent. When the concentration of ferrocene is decreased by consumption of the electrode reaction near the electrode, the high energy of the supersaturation may be dissipated to the domain with the lower concentration. Mass transport may then be facilitated. The concept and theory behind this is described in the following paragraphs.

We obtained two points for the ambiguity of determination of saturated concentration.

First, the voltammetric current at a short time is controlled by adsorption, whereas that at a long time is by diffusion. Therefore, concentrations evaluated at a short time are larger than those at a long time. Only slow voltammetry can be valid for accurate determination of sparingly soluble species. Second, a sparingly soluble species in supersaturation has a larger diffusion coefficient than that in the dissolved state. Therefore, a saturated species shows currents larger than those predicted from the known value of the diffusion coefficient.

In order to overcome the two points simultaneously, slow scan voltammetry was performed in a ferrocene-saturated solution to which 5% acetonitrile was added. A calibration curve was not required when we used a combination of cyclic voltammetry with \( 0.1 < \nu < 0.4 \) V per second at a regular sized electrode (1.6 mm in diameter) and with quasi-steady-state voltammetry at a small electrode (0.1 mm in diameter). Voltammograms at the regular electrode were similar to that in Figure 1, whereas those at the small electrode were of sigmoidal form. Figure 7 shows plots of the peak currents and the limiting currents against \( \nu^{1/2} \). The peak currents were proportional to \( \nu^{1/2} \), whereas the limiting currents fell on a line with an intercept. By taking the ratio...
of the slope of the proportional line and the intercept, we evaluated $D = 0.68 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$ per second and $c = 10^{-6}$ µM. The value of the diffusion coefficient is reasonable, and hence the concentration is reliable. The concentration value is plotted in Figure 6B. It is the smallest of the other techniques because the effects of the two sources of ambiguity described above were removed.

**Diffusion theory of sparingly soluble species**

This section deals with the theory of enhancement of the diffusion coefficient of supersaturated ferrocene. If extra energy of supersaturation is formulated as a function of concentration, the diffusion coefficient can be expressed in terms of the concentration. The derivation proceeds in the following steps: derivation of the expression for free energy of supersaturation, introduction of the chemical potential of supersaturated species, and derivation of the diffusion equation depending on the concentration.

Our model is composed of $n_1$ solute molecules under supersaturation and $n_2$ solvent molecules. When $n_1$ increases by $\Delta n_1$, the enthalpy relevant to the solubility, $H(n_1)$, increases in proportion to $\Delta n_1$ as a variable of the amount. Because the solute is forced to be dissolved against ordinary enthalpy, the increment of the enthalpy should be proportional to $H(n_1)$ as an intensity variable. In total, the enthalpic increment is proportional to $H(n_1)\Delta n_1$. Then we have

$$H(n_1 + \Delta n_1) = H(n_1) + kH(n_1)\Delta n_1/N$$

where $k$ is a dimensionless constant and $N = n_1 + n_2$ is the total number of molecules in the volume $V$. Taking the Taylor expansion on the left hand side and keeping the first and second terms, we have

$$dH(n_1)/dn_1 = (k/N)H(n_1)$$

A solution has the form of $\exp(kn_1/N)$. Letting the enthalpy in $V$ at the critical number, $n_1^*$, be $H^{*}$, the enthalpy is expressed by

$$H(n_1) = H^{*} \exp(\mu/(n_1 - n_1^*)/N)$$

The total free energy of solute and solvent is generally written as the sum of two species, $n_1 \mu_1^0 \cdot n_2 \mu_2^0$ and the mixing entropic term, $k_B T[n_1 \ln(n_1/N) + n_2 \ln(n_2/N)]$, where $\mu_1^0$ and $\mu_2^0$ are standard chemical potentials of the solute and solvent per molecule. Since the solute is in the supersaturated state, free energy includes the contribution of excess enthalpy. The free energy in $V$ is then given by

$$G = n_1 \mu_1^0 + n_2 \mu_2^0 + H^{*} \exp(\mu/(n_1 - n_1^*)/N) + k_B T \left[ n_1 \ln(n_1/N) + n_2 \ln(n_2/N) \right]$$

The chemical potential of the solute, defined by $\mu_i = \partial G/\partial n_i$ for a constant $n_j$, is given by

$$\mu_i = \mu_i^0 + (k/N)H^{*} \exp(\mu/(n_1 - n_1^*)/N) + k_B T \ln(n_1/N)$$

When $n_1$ in Equation (5) can be represented by the volume concentrations, $c = n_1/NV$ and $c^* = n_1^*/NV$, Equation (5) becomes

$$\mu_i = \mu_i^0 + (k/N)H^{*} \exp(\mu V(c - c^*)/N) + k_B T \ln(c/c^*)$$

where $c^*$ is the standard concentration.

When the solute has heterogeneity in concentration, the gradient in the $x$ direction is given by

$$d\mu_i/dx = \left[ k^2 H^{*} V/c \right] \exp(\mu V(c - c^*)/N) + k_B T \ln(c/c^*)$$

The solute molecule is driven by the force, $d\mu_i/dx$, to reach the steady-state velocity, $u$, being balanced with Stokes’ friction for a spherical molecule, $6\pi \eta ru$, where $\eta$ is the viscosity of the solvent. Rewriting Equation (7) by use of the flux, $J = cu$, we have

$$J = -D \left[ 1 + k^2 H^{*} V \right/c \exp(\mu V(c - c^*)/N) \right] \ln(c/c^*)$$

where $D = k_B T/6\pi \eta r$. When $N$ is taken to be Avogadro’s constant, $V$ means the average molar volume of the solution. Then Equation (8) becomes

$$J = -D \left[ 1 + k^2 H^{*} V \right/c \exp(\mu V(c - c^*)/N) \right] \ln(c/c^*)$$
addition of a small amount of acetonitrile to the saturated solution. This effect can be solved by use of calibration curves. The shorter the voltammetric time scale, the more remarkable the adsorption effects. Steady-state measurements are desirable.

The other important point for determination is enhancement of diffusion-controlled currents near saturation.

**Conclusion**

Voltammetric determination of ferrocene saturated in aqueous solution is complicated by adsorption of ferrocene at an electrode, and hence the observed voltammetric current is larger than that predicted from the diffusion equation. Known concentrations for making calibration curves have to be prepared using mixed solvents, in which ferrocene is not adsorbed on the electrode. Therefore, use of calibration curves is not suitable for determination of sparingly soluble species. The shorter the voltammetric time scale, the more remarkable the adsorption effects. Steady-state measurements are desirable.

The other important point for determination is enhancement of mass transport of saturated ferrocene. This can be explained in terms of the extra energy of the dissolution, which is dissipated to the lower concentration domain near the electrode. This dissipation is equivalent to the enhancement of diffusion. The degree of enhancement can be estimated from the present simple theory. This effect can be solved by addition of a small amount of acetonitrile to the saturated solution. The current in the solution containing acetonitrile is approximately half that in the saturated aqueous solution. The concentration of saturated ferrocene is 10 µM.
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![Figure 8 Variation of dimensionless diffusion coefficient with concentration for kV₂M/c₀ = (A) 1, (B) 2, and (C) 3 at kV₂M/H₂O/RT c₀ = 1, calculated from equation (9).](image-url)