Performance and age of African and non-African runners in half- and full marathons held in Switzerland, 2000–2010

Background: Endurance running performance of African (AF) and non-African (NAF) athletes is investigated, with better performances seen for Africans. To date, no study has compared the age of peak performance between AF and NAF runners. The present research is an analysis of the age and running performance of top AF and NAF athletes, using the hypothesis that AF athletes were younger and faster than NAF athletes.

Methods: Age and performance of male and female AF and NAF athletes in half-marathons and marathons held in Switzerland in 2000–2010 were investigated using single and multilevel hierarchical regression analyses.

Results: For half-marathons, male NAF runners were older than male AF runners ($P = 0.02$; NAF, 31.1 years ± 6.4 years versus AF, 26.2 years ± 4.9 years), and their running time was longer ($P = 0.02$; NAF, 65.3 minutes ± 1.7 minutes versus AF, 64.1 minutes ± 0.9 minutes). In marathons, differences between NAF and AF male runners in age (NAF, 33.0 years ± 4.8 years versus AF, 28.6 years ± 3.8 years; $P < 0.01$) and running time (NAF, 139.5 minutes ± 5.6 minutes versus AF, 133.3 minutes ± 2.7 minutes; $P < 0.01$) were more pronounced. There was no difference in age (NAF, 31.0 years ± 7.0 years versus AF, 26.7 years ± 6.0 years; $P > 0.05$) or running time (NAF, 75.0 minutes ± 3.7 minutes versus AF, 75.6 minutes ± 5.3 minutes; $P > 0.05$) between NAF and AF female half-marathoners. For marathoners, NAF women were older than AF female runners ($P = 0.03$; NAF, 31.6 years ± 4.8 years versus AF, 27.8 years ± 5.3 years), but their running times were similar (NAF, 162.4 minutes ± 7.2 minutes versus AF, 163.0 minutes ± 7.0 minutes; $P > 0.05$).

Conclusion: In Switzerland, the best AF male half-marathoners and marathoners were younger and faster than the NAF counterpart runners. In contrast to the results seen in men, AF and NAF female runners had similar performances. Future studies need to investigate performance and age of AF and NAF marathoners in the World Marathon Majors Series.
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Introduction
Endurance running events, including the half-marathon and marathon distances, have become a social phenomenon.1–3 This evolution was represented in an increasing participation trend for both men and women in all age groups among several competitive events all over the world.1,4–5 For example, the number of participants in US marathons has increased during the last 36 years (1976–2011), going from 25,000 to 518,000 runners (+1.972%).4 In addition, in running competitions more comparable to Swiss events, the number of athletes also increased; for example, for the Vienna City Marathon, the number of participants went from 6950 in 1990 to 36,157 in 2012.7 The group of
runners increasing most is that of male and female athletes older than 40 years.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^4\) In 2011, women accounted for 41% of all finishers in US marathons (increasing from 10% in 1980), and master athletes accounted for 46% (increasing from 26% in 1980).\(^6\)

One of the most interesting observations in endurance running events is the African (AF) dominance seen in the last decades.\(^8\)\^-\(^10\) For example, the first non-African (NAF) man in the International Association of Athletics Federations marathon all-time list placed at 32 (Khalid Khannouchi, USA, 2002). The first 31 places were held by AF runners from Kenya, Ethiopia, and Morocco.\(^11\) Similarly, in the half-marathon distance, the best NAF runner in the all-time list is ranked only in position 38 (Marilson dos Santos, Brazil, 2007). The top positions were taken by runners from Kenya, Ethiopia, and Eritrea.\(^11\)

Recent studies have investigated the reasons for AF long-distance runners’ success. Different authors have analyzed several potential reasons for this overwhelming domination, such as physiological characteristics,\(^10\) social factors,\(^9\) nutritional differences between Kenyan/Ethiopian diets and mostly recommended macronutrient intake for endurance athletes,\(^12\)\^-\(^13\) genetic predisposition,\(^10\)\^-\(^14\) development of a high maximal oxygen uptake and/or running economy as a result of extensive running in childhood,\(^15\)\^-\(^16\) living at high altitude,\(^16\)\^-\(^17\) differences in skeletal-muscle-fiber composition,\(^18\) differences in oxidative enzyme profile,\(^19\) psychological advantage,\(^20\) and higher motivation to achieve economic success.\(^16\) Until now, it seems that East African superiority in long-distance running is not based on a unique factor but rather, is a result of a combination of the factors mentioned earlier.\(^21\)

Regarding the dominance of AF runners in road running events, we questioned whether the age of peak performance differed between AF and NAF athletes. For elite athletes, marathon performance actually peaked when runners were between 25 and 35 years old.\(^3\)\^-\(^22\) A recent study investigating the age of the top five men and women in the seven marathons of the World Marathon Majors Series indicated a similar age of peak marathon performance for men of 28.9 ± 3.8 years, and for women of 29.8 ± 4.2 years.\(^23\) In their investigation, Hunter et al did not investigate the ethnicity of top runners.\(^23\) To the best of our knowledge, no data exist regarding a potential difference in the age of peak running performance between AF and NAF runners. Therefore, the goals of the present study were to analyze the running performance of the best female and male AF versus the best female and male NAF runners in half-marathons and marathons in a single country (Switzerland) between 2000 and 2010, and to compare the age of peak running performance of the best AF and NAF half-marathon and marathon runners during the same period.

### Materials and methods
All procedures used in the study met the ethical standards of the Swiss Academy of Medical Sciences and were approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kanton St Gallen, Switzerland, with a waiver of the requirement for informed consent of the participants, given that the study involved the analysis of publicly available data.

### Data sampling
Half- and full marathons from Switzerland were examined because of the completely archived and easily accessible data. Complete data for this study were obtained from the race directors. All officially held road-race half- and full marathons in Switzerland during 2000–2010 with an official ranking were analyzed, for a collective total of 145 half-marathons and 30 full marathons. Mountain races were excluded because of their different conditions (ie, temperature, altitude difference during race) compared with international events such as the Olympic Games or World Championships. All runners were analyzed regarding age, ethnicity (as declared at registration), participation, and performance (ie, running time).

### Data analysis
In total, data were available for 313,173 athletes (Table 1). These athletes were divided into eight groups. First, we examined the changes in the number of male and female participants in half-marathons and marathons during the studied period. Second, to find trends in the development of the top performance and the age of the fastest runners for all marathons and half-marathons taking place in the same year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Finishers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Half-marathons</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-African men</td>
<td>162,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African men</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-African women</td>
<td>64,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African women</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marathons</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-African men</td>
<td>71,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African men</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-African women</td>
<td>14,982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African women</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>313,173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** We excluded 708 runners from the analysis due to lack of information about race time or age.
all data were pooled. Data for all half-marathons and mara-
thons, and the performance and age of the top five overall
male and female AF and NAF runners for every year were
analyzed. Because of the low number of AF runners, it was
not possible to include a higher number of annual runners
(eg, top 10 or top 20 runners per year) into the analyses.
Subsequently, the five values from the same year were
pooled to calculate the mean ± standard deviation (SD). For
the marathons held in 2000, for both AF men and women,
data for fewer than five athletes existed. Therefore, male and
female AF marathons from 2000 were excluded from
analysis. However, data from 2000 from NAF marathons
and for both NAF and AF half-marathoners were included
to maximize data for statistical analyses.

Statistical analysis
To increase the reliability of data analyses, each set of data
was tested for a normal distribution, as well as for homoge-
neity of variances before the statistical analyses. A normal
distribution was tested using a D’Agostino and Pearson
omnibus normality test, and homogeneity of variances was
tested using a Levene’s test. Linear regression analysis was
used to find significant differences in the development of a
variable over the years. A hierarchical regression model was
used to avoid the effect of a cluster effect on results in case
one athlete finished more than once in the annual top five.
Furthermore, regression analyses of performance were cor-
rected for the age of the athletes to prevent a misinterpreta-
tion of the age effect as a time effect. For both sexes and both
running distances, a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)
(ethnicity × years) was performed to test for the interaction
between ethnicity and time on performance. The running
performance and the age of AF versus NAF runners over
the years were compared using a subsequent Bonferroni post
hoc analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM
SPSS Statistics (version 19; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY,
USA) and GraphPad Prism (version 5; GraphPad Software,
Inc, La Jolla, CA, USA). Significance was accepted at the
P < 0.05 level (two-sided for t-tests). Data in the text and
figures are given as mean ± SD.

Results
Participation trends
The analyzed events averaged at 13 half-marathons per
year (range, 9–20 half-marathons) and three full marathons
per year (range, 1–5 full marathons), respectively. During
2000–2010, the number of male and female finishers in
half-marathons increased over the years, going from 2904
to 8690 (+199%) for women (r² = 0.98; P < 0.01) and 9333
to 21,583 (+131%) for men (r² = 0.98; P < 0.01) (Figure 1).
The male/female ratio (m/w-ratio) decreased during the
11-year period from 3.2 to 2.5. After the number of marathon
finishers peaked in 2005 (9771 men and 2156 women;
m/w-ratio, 4.5), the number of finishers decreased to
7050 (−28%) men and 1357 (−37%) women (m/w-ratio,
5.2). The percent distribution of AF and NAF athletes is
presented in Figure 2. AF women and men accounted for
less than 1% of all finishers. On average, 15 AF men and
six AF women participated annually in half-marathons. In
marathons, the mean annual number of AF participants was
18 for men and five for women, respectively. At least 67%
of AF athletes (male half-marathoners) were Kenyan and
Ethiopian runners.

Performance trends
During the 11-year period, the marathon performance time
of the annual top five NAF women decreased significantly,
by 6.8%, going from 174.0 minutes ± 7.5 minutes in 2000
to 162.2 minutes ± 5.4 minutes in 2010 (Figure 3), including
when corrected for age (Table 2). Across years, the annual
top five male NAF marathoners improved their running time
significantly, going from 147.0 minutes ± 5.1 minutes in
2000 to 140.4 minutes ± 0.5 minutes in 2010 (Figure 3), both
uncorrected and corrected for age (Table 2). In contrast, running
time of the annual top five female AF marathoners showed
no change during the studied period (Table 2 and Figure 3).
The annual top five male AF marathoners were significantly
faster running across years, with and without correction for
age (Table 2), with times of 137.0 minutes ± 3.6 minutes in
2001 versus 133.2 minutes ± 2.2 minutes in 2010 (Figure 3).
The half-marathon performance time for the
uncorrected regression analysis showed no significant
change over the years independent of the sex and origin
of the runners (Table 2 and Figure 3). When corrected
for age, only the running time of the annual top five male
NAF half-marathoners increased significantly across years
(Table 2), going from 64.0 minutes ± 0.0 minutes in 2000 to
65.8 minutes ± 0.8 minutes in 2010 (Figure 3).

The mean male finishing times were significantly
lower for the best AF runners compared with those of
the best NAF runners for both the half-marathon (−1.9%;
P = 0.02) and marathon (−4.7%; P < 0.01) (Table 3). The
two-way ANOVA showed an effect of ethnicity on race
time that explained 18.3% (F = 64.2; P < 0.0001) of total
variance in male half-marathoners and 89.3% (F = 812.7;
P < 0.0001) in male marathoners. In women, mean running
times did not differ between ethnicities ($P > 0.05$) for both half-marathon (NAF, 75.0 minutes ± 3.7 minutes versus AF, 75.6 minutes ± 5.3 minutes) and marathon (NAF, 162.4 minutes ± 7.2 minutes versus AF, 163.0 minutes ± 7.0 minutes) (Table 3). The two-way ANOVA performed for female athletes showed an effect of ethnicity on race time explaining 75.9% ($F = 574.1; P < 0.0001$) in female marathoners, but not in female half-marathoners, with 0.90% ($F = 1.30; P = 0.26$) of total variance.

**Age of the fastest runners**

The age of half- and full marathoners showed no change over the years for both male AF and NAF runners (Figure 4). The mean age of the male runners was significantly higher for NAF than for AF runners in both half-marathon (NAF, 31.1 years ± 6.4 years versus AF, 26.2 years ± 4.9 years; $P = 0.02$) and marathon (NAF, 33.0 years ± 4.8 years versus AF, 28.6 years ± 3.8 years; $P < 0.01$) (Table 3). For female half-marathoners, age showed no change across years for both AFs and NAFs. In addition, no difference in age was found between female AF and NAF half-marathoners ($P > 0.05$) (Table 3). In marathons, the mean age of the annual top five female AF increased significantly from 25.0 years ± 1.0 years in 2001 to 38.0 years ± 2.8 years in 2010 (Figure 4). The mean age of the corresponding NAF group remained unchanged over the years ($P > 0.05$). For the marathon, the top five female NAF runners were significantly older than the AF counterpart (NAF, 31.6 years ± 4.8 years versus AF 27.8 years ± 5.3 years; $P = 0.03$) (Table 3).

**Discussion**

The main findings of the present study were better running performances for male AF half-marathoners and marathoners compared with non-AF athletes and younger ages for the best AF male half-marathoners, AF male marathoners, and AF female marathoners compared with their NAF counterparts.

**Best African runners were younger than the best non-African runners**

An important finding of the present study was the younger age of the top five AF runners compared with NAF runners in men’s half-marathons, in men’s marathons, and in women’s marathons. This constitutes a novel finding, although it must be interpreted with caution. Indeed, the rate of overall finishers increased over the years, but the percentage of AF runners remained very small ($<1\%$ of overall finishers). In addition, the mean age of the female AF marathoners increased significantly across years, whereas the corresponding value of the female NAF group remained stable. Hence, when comparing these groups, a difference in the age of peak performance is highly questionable. Nonetheless, male AF half- and full marathoners were younger than their NAF counterparts, whereas the mean age of these four groups did not change during the 2000–2010 period.
A possible reason for the younger age of AF finishers may be their motivation. Approximately 50% of the Kenyan and 39% of the Ethiopian population live below the poverty line. Therefore, AF athletes compete in endurance running events mostly for economic reasons (33%) in addition to other reasons such as tradition (18%), talent (18%), and Olympic glory (14%). Njororai also has presented the financial motivation of Kenyan athletes competing in endurance running events. However, not a single Kenyan athlete participated at the Boston marathon until prize money was introduced in 1986. These financial incentives might result in AF athletes competing at younger ages because of the possibility of earning enough money early in life to support their families.

Onywera et al and Wilber and Pitsiladis report that most of the AF athletes come from isolated parts of the two countries, such as the Rift Valley in Kenya and the Arsi region in Ethiopia. Our data set lacks the exact origin of the AF athletes, although the ethnic distribution of the AF finishers in our study does show a dominance of Kenyan and Ethiopian runners. Running schools in these two countries

Figure 2 Distribution of total (A and D), African women (B and E), and African men (C and F) participating in half-marathons (A–C) and in marathons (D–F) in Switzerland during 2000–2010.

Abbreviations: KEN, Kenya; EGY, Egypt; ETH, Ethiopia; MAR, Morocco; RSA, South Africa; SWZ, Swaziland; TAN, Tanzania; ERI, Eritrea; TOG, Togo; TUN, Tunisia; ALG, Algeria; RWA, Rwanda; SEY, Seychelles.
have created many international elite athletes by exposing young runners daily to the tradition of Kenyan and Ethiopian running.\textsuperscript{10} As a result of that exposure it is perhaps possible that these running schools want to measure their students early-on against NAF runners. With this approach, they may be able to create their own national athletes who are competitive in championships or Olympic Games. This may also explain the shift to AF participants’ younger age in the half-marathons and marathons in Switzerland. Despite this potential age-induced bias, it remains unclear why there was a significant difference in the age of peak performance except for women in half-marathons.
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**Figure 3** Changes in race time of the top five athletes from 2000–2010 for men (A and C) and women (B and D) in half-marathons (A and B) and marathons (C and D).

Only the mean age of the top five female AF marathoners increased over the years. One reason may be that most of the AF women participated every year, as the increase in mean age of +1.3 years/year signals. In our investigation, marathon repeaters were not acknowledged. Hence, it is possible that the same athletes participated in consecutive races and were ranked top in most of the years. Leyk et al show in their analysis of marathon runners aged 20 years to 80 years that about 35% of female participants and 45% of male participants were marathon repeaters.\textsuperscript{26} Certainly, multiple participation of a single athlete may have occurred in the other groups, too. However, the effect in the group of AF women may have been greater because of the lower number of participants, with 57 athletes in eleven years.

### Differences in finishing times between African and non-African runners

AF men were running significantly faster than NAF men in both the half-marathon (\(-1.8\%)\) and marathon (\(-4.4\%).\) These findings corroborate the current AF dominance in long-distance running events.\textsuperscript{9,21} Several investigations tried to explain the AF superiority. Comparing well-trained AF and Caucasian runners, AF athletes seemed not to have a higher maximum uptake but, rather, a better running economy and a lower blood lactate concentration at very high intensities of exercise.\textsuperscript{15} Several studies attempted to
Table 2 Multilevel regression analyses for change in performance across years (model 1) and with correction for age (model 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>SE (β)</th>
<th>Stand β</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female African marathoners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male African marathoners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.362</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>−0.389</td>
<td>−2.928</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−0.365</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>−0.392</td>
<td>−2.899</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female African half-marathoners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>1.192</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>0.338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male African half-marathoners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>1.287</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>1.273</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female non-African marathoners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−1.125</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>−0.495</td>
<td>−4.147</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−1.135</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>−0.499</td>
<td>−4.139</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male non-African marathoners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.676</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>−0.379</td>
<td>−2.981</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>−0.547</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>−0.307</td>
<td>−2.490</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female non-African half-marathoners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>0.509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male non-African half-marathoners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>1.994</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.337</td>
<td>2.526</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: β, not standardized regression coefficient; SE(β), standard error of β; Stand β, standardized regression coefficient; T, result (t-value) of t-test of regression coefficient; P, P-value of t-test of regression coefficient.

find a single advantage of the AF athletes in running events; for example, nutritional benefits, living and training at higher altitudes, skeletal-muscle-fiber composition, a psychological advantage (such as knowing that there may be a situational advantage to winning; stereotype threat), and a higher motivation to achieve economic success. Concerning some performance genes, further investigation of Nevill and Whyte, who analyzed the evolution of world records during the 20th century and predicted a peak running world record. Hamilton did not exclude the possibility that in a few years, another nationality may take the reins, considering that other nations are not accepting the AF dominance because of factors they cannot influence.

A significant improvement of running times across years, when corrected for age, was observed in male AF and NAF marathoners and in female NAF marathoners. As the mean age of these groups remained stable over the same period, this may mean that the best runners were not yet close to their performance limits. This finding is supported by the investigation of Nevill and Whyte, who analyzed the evolution of world records during the 20th century and predicted a peak running world record. Another study examined the time progression in male marathoners from 1969 to 2010 and concluded that the limits of male marathon runners’ performance has not yet been reached.

Increasing performance was mostly seen for the female NAF marathoners, but the reasons for this finding are unclear. For example, better competitive training of women in recent

Table 3 Race time and age of top five non-African and African runners during 2000–2010, expressed in mean ± SD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race time (minutes)</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Half-marathons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African men</td>
<td>64.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African women</td>
<td>75.6 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-African men</td>
<td>65.3 ± 1.7w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-African women</td>
<td>75.0 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marathons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African men</td>
<td>133.3 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African women</td>
<td>163.0 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-African men</td>
<td>139.5 ± 5.6w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-African women</td>
<td>162.4 ± 7.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Significantly different from African counterparts (same sex and running distance); P < 0.05.
years may have led to this improvement. Furthermore, Hunter and Stevens found a correlation between participation number and finishing times. Their investigation explained about one third of the difference between sexes in running velocity by the lesser number of female compared with male finishers. This effect may also have taken place in the races in Switzerland. For female marathoners, participation increased until 2005. This larger number of participants might be correlated with the improvement in female running performance until 2005. However, it remains unclear why the improvement continued after 2005 despite the decreasing number of participants in female marathons from 2005 to 2010.

The running times of the female AF marathoners showed no change across years. As the mean age of the top five female AF runners increased during 2001–2010, it seemed that there was a minor age-related decline in running performance that is in line with the findings of Celie et al. In half-marathons, running time across years did not differ significantly for all groups except for male NAF half-marathoners, with a correction for age. As the mean age in all the groups did not differ, one can assume that the half-marathoners have reached their limits. On the one hand, this finding is corroborated by the results of Lepers and Cattagni, showing that running times of athletes aged less than 60 years plateaued in the New York City Marathon of the 1980–2009 period. On the other hand, these results are in contrast to the marathon data from the present study and to investigations already described in previous sections, which report an ongoing improvement of endurance runners’ performance.

**Figure 4** Changes in age of the top five athletes from 2000 to 2010 for men (A and C) and women (B and D) in half-marathons (A and B) and marathons (C and D).

**Increasing participation over the years in half-marathon, but not in marathon**

The results showed an increasing finisher rate in half-marathons, whereas in marathons, the finisher rate increased until 2005 for both men and women but decreased thereafter. Regarding the existing literature, an increase in participants was expected, but not the decrease in marathon participation observed after 2005. Marathon participation also decreased
in the Vienna City Marathon in 2004 but increased again afterward compared with the marathons in Switzerland.7

As a first approach to explaining this trend, we could consult the investigation of Leyk et al, who reported in their study about performance, training, and lifestyle parameters of marathon runners that 72% of all athletes rated themselves as health and recreational runners.26 In addition, more than 25% of the marathon finishers aged 50 to 69 years began running within the previous five years.32 Given that physical activity has positive effects on health,33 this might indicate an increased awareness of the effect of health and physical activity on morbidity.34 Second, in a half-marathon, the effort is smaller than in a marathon. This may illustrate a different anthropometry of half-marathoners and marathoners. Half-marathoners had a higher body mass and a higher percentage of body fat compared with marathoners.35 In addition, half-marathoners had shorter training sessions than marathoners.35 Thus, successful participation in a half-marathon might not take up as much of one’s leisure time compared with marathon running. Third, newcomers might prefer finishing half-marathons in less time to running a marathon with dissatisfactory race times.35 The decline in participation in marathons after 2005 could possibly be seen in this context, too, whereas athletes who already started at a marathon, and with longer race times than expected, might start only in half-marathons in the following years to improve their performance and adjust slowly to endurance running.

Limitations
Factors that seem to influence performance, such as weather,36 hydration strategies during competition,37 anthropometry,38 or training variables,39 have not been integrated in this study. The small number of AF athletes constitutes the main limitation of the present investigation. Considering this small AF group, further research is needed to gain more insight about a possible difference between AF and NAF athletes regarding the age of peak endurance running performance.

Conclusion
Male AF runners were faster than NAF runners in both half- and full marathons. However, in contrast to men, AF and NAF female runners had similar performances. This is the first study investigating the age of peak endurance running performance between AF and NAF athletes. The results show that the fastest male AF half-marathoners and marathoners, and fastest female AF marathoners, were younger than their NAF counterparts in marathons held in Switzerland. It is questionable whether these results could be generalized to greater events. Thus, it would be interesting to analyze the age of the fastest AF and NAF runners in other countries or other races in the world and to compare them with these results from Switzerland.
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