Long-term efficacy and safety of ramosetron in the treatment of diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome
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Abstract: Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a functional disease with persisting gastrointestinal symptoms that has been classified into four subtypes. Serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine [5-HT]) plays important physiological roles in the contraction and relaxation of smooth muscle. Intraluminal distension of the intestine is known to stimulate the release of endogenous 5-HT from enterochromaffin cells, activating 5-HT3 receptors located on primary afferent neurons and leading to increases in intestinal secretions and peristaltic activity. Ramosetron, a potent and selective 5-HT3-receptor antagonist, has been in development for use in patients suffering from diarrhea-predominant IBS. In a double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study of 418 patients with diarrhea-predominant IBS-D, once-daily 5 µg and 10 µg doses of ramosetron increased the monthly responder rates of IBS symptoms compared to placebo. In a 12-week randomized controlled trial of 539 patients, a positive response to treatment was reported by 47% of a once-daily 5 µg dose of ramosetron-treated individuals compared to 27% of patients receiving placebo (P<0.001). Furthermore, the responder rate was increased in the oral administration of 5 µg of ramosetron for at least 28 weeks (up to 52 weeks), and long-term efficacy for overall improvement of IBS symptoms was also demonstrated. The rate was further increased subsequently. Adverse events were reported by 7% in ramosetron treatment. No serious adverse events, eg, severe constipation or ischemic colitis, were reported for long-term treatment with ramosetron. In conclusion, further studies to evaluate the long-term efficacy and safety of ramosetron are warranted in the form of randomized controlled trials.
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Introduction

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a functional disease with persisting gastrointestinal symptoms, mainly abdominal pain/discomfort and abnormal defecation, not accompanied by an organic disease.1 The cause of IBS is unknown, but a number of factors are thought to play a role, such as altered gastrointestinal motility, increased sensitivity of the gut, psychosocial factors, and neurotransmitter imbalances.2 According to the Rome III criteria,1 IBS is classified into four subtypes: diarrhea-predominant IBS (IBS-D), constipation-predominant IBS, mixed IBS, and unsubtyped IBS. Therapies for the treatment of IBS should therefore be targeted at improving symptoms that diminish quality of life. A number of new agents with a wide range of modes of action are currently in clinical development.3,4 Ramosetron, a potent and selective serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine [5-HT]3-receptor antagonist5–8 has been used as a medication for gastrointestinal symptoms caused by antitumor agents, and it is also in development for use in patients suffering from IBS-D. In this article, we review the
long-term efficacy and safety of ramosetron in the treatment of patients with IBS-D.

**Mechanism of action**

5-HT plays important physiological roles in the contraction and relaxation of smooth muscle, platelet aggregation, and neurotransmission. Receptors mediating the actions of 5-HT are classified into seven major groups, termed 5-HT$_1$, to 5-HT$_7$, which include a total of 14 receptor subtypes. It is well known that colonic pain signals are transmitted to the spinal cord via primary nociceptive afferent neurons, and various neurotransmitters, eg, glutamate, substance P, neurotrophins, and 5-HT, are involved in the process. Among them, 5-HT is considered one of the most important neurotransmitters of visceral nociception. Intraluminal distension of the intestine, which causes abdominal pain, is known to stimulate the release of endogenous 5-HT from enterochromaffin cells, activating 5-HT$_3$ receptors located on primary afferent neurons. The activation of 5-HT$_3$ receptors stimulates the release of various neurotransmitters, such as acetylcholine, to induce the acceleration of colonic transit and abnormal water transport, which in turn leads to defecation abnormalities. Furthermore, it has been reported that selective 5-HT$_3$-receptor antagonists suppress abdominal pain induced by colonic distension, suggesting that 5-HT$_3$ receptors are involved in visceral nociceptive transmission.

It has been reported that 5-HT$_1$ receptors are widely distributed within the neurons of the gastrointestinal tract, as well as in the spinal cord and brain, and activation of gastrointestinal 5-HT$_1$ receptors results in intestinal secretion and peristaltic activity. The 5-HT$_3$ receptor is unique among the various 5-HT-receptor subtypes. It is a ligand-gated cation channel that belongs to the nicotine/$\gamma$-aminobutyric acid receptor superfamily, while all other 5-HT-receptor subtypes belong to the family of G-protein-coupled receptors. The neurotransmitter 5-HT has received much attention as one of the factors contributing to IBS pathogenesis. Furthermore, 5-HT$_3$-receptor antagonists have been reported to normalize defecation and to increase the perceptual threshold of the colon, suggesting the involvement of 5-HT$_3$ receptors in the pathogenesis of IBS.

In clinical settings, opioid-receptor agonists (eg, loperamide and trimetbutine), muscarinic receptor antagonists (eg, tiquizium), and synthetic polymers (eg, polycarbophil calcium) are used widely for the treatment of IBS-D. In addition, several 5-HT$_3$-receptor antagonists, including ramosetron, alosetron, and cilansetron, have been developed as therapeutic agents for IBS-D, and their effectiveness has now been established. These reports indicate that endogenous 5-HT and 5-HT$_3$ receptors are involved in the pathogenesis of IBS. The inhibitory effect of 5-HT$_3$-receptor antagonists on stress-induced abnormal defecation in rats is attributable to their ameliorating effects on stress-enhanced colonic transit, but the effects of 5-HT$_3$-receptor antagonists on abnormal water/electrolyte transport induced by stress are poorly understood.

Ramosetron is a potent and selective 5-HT$_3$-receptor antagonist, acting mainly in peripheral tissues. It has already been proven effective in treating IBS-D in both animal and clinical studies. In experimental animal models, ramosetron exhibits proper inhibition of corticotropin-releasing hormone-induced water secretion, inhibition of stress-induced or exogenous restraint stress did not significantly affect the colonic pain threshold. Oral administration of ramosetron (0.3 to 3 µg/kg) dose-dependently prevented this decrease in colonic pain threshold. Alosetron and cilansetron (3–30 µg/kg, orally) had similar effects, whereas loperamide (10 mg/kg, orally) had no effect on restraint stress-induced decrease in colonic pain threshold. Oral administration of ramosetron (3 µg/kg), alosetron (30 µg/kg), or cilansetron (30 µg/kg) to rats without restraint stress did not significantly affect the colonic pain threshold. Several 5-HT$_3$-receptor antagonists have been studied in IBS-D following observations that they slowed gastrointestinal transit. Initial studies with granisetron and ondansetron found decreased postprandial sigmoid motility, delay in colonic transit, and increased stool consistency in IBS-D.

**Animal studies**

In experimental animal models, ramosetron exhibits properties that are consistent with the expected effects of a drug in this class: inhibition of stress-induced or exogenous corticotropin-releasing hormone-induced water secretion, inhibition of stress-induced acceleration of colonic transit, and inhibition of colonic nociception. Oral administration of ramosetron (3,000 µg/kg, once daily for 7 days) did not affect normal defecation in dogs. Oral administration of ramosetron (10 µg/kg to 100 µg/kg) dose-dependently and significantly inhibited conditioned fear stress (CFS)-induced defecation in mice. Alosetron, cilansetron, and loperamide also inhibited CFS-induced defecation, but
their potency was less than that of ramosetron. In normal rats without CFS, however, 5-HT \textsubscript{3}-receptor antagonists (1,000 µg/kg, orally) had no effect on proximal colonic transit. Ramosetron (0.3 µg/kg–100 µg/kg, orally) showed potent inhibitory effects on abnormal defecation on restraint stress- and 5-HT (3 mg/kg, intraperitoneally)-induced diarrhea in rats and mice, and corticotropin-releasing factor (30 µg/kg, intracerebroventricularly)-induced defecation in rats. Furthermore, ramosetron (3 µg/kg and 30 µg/kg, orally) significantly prevented corticotropin-releasing factor-induced decrease in colonic fluid loss in rats.12

**Clinical studies and efficacy**

In a double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study of 418 patients with IBS-D, once-daily 5 µg and 10 µg doses of ramosetron increased the monthly responder rates of “patient-reported global assessment of relief of IBS symptoms” compared to placebo; the benefit was similar in men and women.30 In a second double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study of 539 patients with IBS-D, a once-daily 5 µg dose of ramosetron was effective and well tolerated in the treatment of abdominal pain, discomfort, and altered bowel habits.21 In a 12-week randomized controlled trial of 539 patients, a positive response to treatment was reported by 47% of ramosetron-treated individuals compared to 27% of patients receiving placebo (P<0.001), relief of abdominal pain or discomfort was reported by 46% patients with ramosetron versus 33% with placebo (P=0.005), and improved abnormal bowel habits were reported by 44% with ramosetron compared to 24% with placebo (P<0.001),25 which was similar to alosetron.31 The responder rates for global IBS symptoms, abdominal pain/discomfort, and abnormal bowel habits in the ramosetron and mebeverine groups significantly increased during the treatment period. The severity scores of abdominal pain/discomfort and urgency, stool form score, and stool frequency in both treatment arms were significantly reduced, compared with baselines.32 Furthermore, the responder rate was increased in the oral administration of 5 µg of ramosetron for at least 28 weeks (up to 52 weeks), and long-term efficacy for overall improvement of IBS symptoms was also demonstrated in the postmarketing survey. The rate was further increased subsequently.33 Further studies to evaluate the long-term effects of ramosetron are needed in the form of randomized controlled trials (Table 1).

There are various factors that may contribute to sex differences in treatment response for patients with IBS, including biobehavioral responses to stress, sexual cycle, and sex differences in roles and emotions. Whether any of these factors may affect the response to 5-HT\textsubscript{3}-receptor antagonists in either sex has not been elucidated.34 Ramosetron acts only on peripheral tissues, whereas alosetron also acts in the brain. Sex differences in the central pathophysiology of IBS have been reported.34,35 A significant association has been observed between female patients with IBS-D and polymorphisms in the serotonin-reuptake transporter protein, suggesting that the serotonin transporter is a potential candidate gene for IBS-D in women.36,37 However, it is not known whether differences in serotonin-reuptake transporter polymorphisms between women and men with IBS contribute to the observed differences in clinical response to 5-HT\textsubscript{3}-receptor antagonists.21

Ondansetron was the first 5-HT\textsubscript{3}-receptor antagonist to show benefit in IBS-D; however, most of the clinical studies were performed with alosetron, which is three- to tenfold more potent and provides longer-lasting receptor inhibition. Trials showed improved stool consistency and urgency within the first week, which was only significant in females with IBS-D.38 A meta-analysis reported both alosetron and cilansetron showed significant benefit in providing satisfactory relief of IBS symptoms (pooled relative risk 1.60, 95% confidence interval 1.49–1.72) and relief of abdominal pain and discomfort (pooled relative risk 1.30, 95% confidence interval 1.22–1.39). 5-HT\textsubscript{3}-receptor antagonists increased the risk of constipation fourfold, with a number needed to harm of 4.7. Constipation accounted for a significant proportion of those discontinuing treatment. In this pooled analysis, both males and females showed benefit, and 0.2% had possible ischemic colitis.39

**Safety**

Adverse events that occurred frequently during treatment with ramosetron were abdominal distension, constipation, and hard stool, which are considered to be classic effects of 5-HT\textsubscript{3}-receptor antagonists. The incidence of constipation with the administration of alosetron was 29%, whereas the incidence with ramosetron was only 5.2%. Ischemic colitis and severe constipation, which have been reported with alosetron use, were not observed in individuals treated with ramosetron. Although the pathogenesis of ischemic colitis in patients with IBS treated with alosetron is uncertain, ischemic colitis might arise secondary to constipation, due to the slow transit induced by alosetron. The incidence of constipation in the ramosetron group is considered to be lower than that in the alosetron group, and as a result ischemic colitis is unlikely to be caused by ramosetron.12,40
Adverse events were reported by 7% of patients in the ramosetron-treatment group during the 4-week treatment period. Constipation was the most commonly recorded adverse event in the ramosetron group (2%). The major adverse event associated with these withdrawals in the ramosetron group was constipation. All of the adverse events were described as of mild or moderate severity. Absence of stool despite the use of rescue laxatives was defined as severe constipation. No serious adverse events, eg, severe constipation or ischemic colitis, were reported in the ramosetron and mebeverine groups. Laboratory values were not significantly changed by ramosetron treatment. Neither severe constipation nor ischemic colitis was reported by ramosetron-treated patients.32 Therefore, for safety, ramosetron was well tolerated, as demonstrated by the lack of the increase in the incidence of adverse events associated with long-term treatment.33

5-HT3-receptor antagonists improve symptoms in IBS-D, but have constipation as a side effect, with alosetron > cilansetron > ramosetron > ondansetron. While both alosetron and cilansetron have been associated with ischemic colitis, this has not been reported with either ramosetron or ondansetron. The original doses recommended may well have been excessive, and careful dose titration starting with very low doses may well avoid severe side effects in the future.41

**Conclusion**

The long-term effectiveness of ramosetron in IBS has been useful in attaining relief of abdominal pain or discomfort, and improvements in abnormal bowel habits. Finally, ramosetron is associated with a low incidence of adverse events, such as abdominal distension, constipation, and hard stool, and ischemic colitis is unlikely to be caused by ramosetron. Thus, ramosetron would be the candidate of first choice for treating IBS-D clinically. Further studies to evaluate the long-term efficacy and safety of

---

**Table 1** Summary of clinical studies of ramosetron in the treatment of diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Efficacy</th>
<th>Statistical analysis</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matsueda et al30</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>12-week IBS-symptom responders: 42.57% with 5 μg ramosetron, 43.01% with 10 μg ramosetron, 26.92% with placebo.</td>
<td>The responder rates in the ramosetron 5 and 10 μg groups were higher than placebo (P&lt;0.05).</td>
<td>Neither ischemic colitis nor severe constipation was observed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsueda et al31</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>12-week IBS-symptom responders: 47% with 5 μg ramosetron, 27% with placebo.</td>
<td>The responder rates in the ramosetron group were higher than placebo (P&lt;0.001).</td>
<td>Hard stool occurred in 7.41% in ramosetron group compared with 0.74% in placebo (P&lt;0.001). No adverse events were classified as severe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsueda et al31</td>
<td>Nonrandomized, noncomparative study (postmarketing survey)</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>Responder rates for at least 28 weeks (up to 52 weeks) with ramosetron: 50.00% with 2.5 μg dose-reduction group, 35.71% with 5 μg dose-maintenance group, 22.50% with 10 μg dose increase group. The rate was further increased subsequently.</td>
<td>No statistical analysis was reported.</td>
<td>The lack of the delayed increase in the incidence of adverse events associated with long-term treatment was demonstrated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al32</td>
<td>Multicenter, randomized, open-label design</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>Responder rates during 4-week treatment: 37% with ramosetron, 38% with mebeverine.</td>
<td>Responder rates for IBS symptoms in the ramosetron and mebeverine groups were increased compared with baselines (P&lt;0.001). There were no significant differences in the responder rates between the ramosetron and mebeverine groups.</td>
<td>Neither severe constipation nor ischemic colitis was reported by ramosetron-treated patients.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ramosetron are warranted in the form of randomized controlled trials.
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