Anesthesia and postoperative analgesia during unilateral lower-extremity fracture surgeries using multiple injections through catheters beside the lumbar plexus or sciatic nerve
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Objective: To compare the clinical effects of anesthesia and postoperative analgesia for patients with unilateral lower-extremity fracture between multiple injections through catheters beside the lumbar plexus or sciatic nerve and continuous epidural analgesia.

Methods: Seventy patients with unilateral lower-extremity fracture scheduled for internal fixation were randomly divided into group N (n = 35) and group E (n = 35). Patients in group N received combined lumbar plexus and sciatic nerve block, then a catheter was inserted into the psoas compartment or beside the sciatic nerve, according to the surgical site, and 25 mL 0.375% ropivacaine was injected into patients in group N through the peripheral nerve catheter 12 hours after operation. Patients in group E received combined spinal and epidural anesthesia, and when the operation was complete kept the epidural catheter and received patient-controlled epidural analgesia with an analgesia pump.

Results: The visual analog scores of patients at each time point in the two groups showed no significant difference ($P > 0.05$). Mean arterial pressure at 30 minutes after anesthesia and 4 hours postoperation in group E decreased significantly and was significantly lower than group N ($P < 0.01$). Group E had significantly higher rate of urinary retention than group N ($P < 0.05$), and the time of first food intake of patients in group N was significantly shorter than in group E ($P < 0.001$).

Conclusion: For patients with unilateral lower-extremity fracture receiving internal fixation, multiple injections through catheters beside the lumbar plexus or sciatic nerve can provide adequate postoperative analgesia, with very few adverse effects.
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Introduction

Lower-extremity fractures are common in orthopedic surgery, and are often treated with spinal anesthesia for surgical anesthesia as well as postoperative epidural analgesia. However, complications include urinary retention, delayed anal exhaust, nausea, and vomiting. Additionally there are risks for hypotension, respiratory inhibition, neurological damage, and epidural hematoma.\textsuperscript{1} One solution is peripheral blocking, which causes less disruption to the circulation as well as fewer complications.\textsuperscript{2,3} The present study aimed to compare the clinical effects of anesthesia and postoperative analgesia for patients with unilateral lower-extremity fracture between multiple injections through catheters beside the lumbar plexus or sciatic nerve and continuous epidural analgesia.
Materials and methods
Clinical data
Seventy patients with unilateral lower-extremity fractures were recruited for the study (46 males and 24 females, American Society of Anesthesiologists level I–II, age 19–74 years, weight 42–72 kg, height 152–181 cm). There were no patients with peripheral nervous system diseases, local anesthetic allergy history, or long-term use of opioids or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. The study was approved by the local ethics committee of medical research on human subjects, and written consent from all patients was obtained.

Surgery
After overnight fasting for food and water, all patients were sedated with a 0.5–1 mL mixture of sedative (midazolam 5 mg and fentanyl 0.1 mg for 5 mL mixture). Mean artery pressure (MAP), heart rate (HR), and respiratory rate (RR) were monitored.

The patients were randomly assigned into two groups: the lumbar plexus/sciatic nerve block + catheter postoperative analgesia group (N), and the spinal and epidural anesthesia + epidural controlled analgesia group (E), with 35 patients each.

In the N group, peripheral nerve block was performed with a MultiStim Switch nerve stimulator (Pajunk, Geisingen, Germany). For the sciatic nerve, 10 mL 0.75% ropivacaine mixed with 10 mL 2% lidocaine; for the lumbar plexus, 30 mL 0.5% ropivacaine. In the E group, 3 mL 0.5% bupivacaine solution (prepared by 2 mL 0.75% bupivacaine and 1 mL 10% glucose solution).

Postoperative analgesia
For postoperative analgesia, both N and E group patients received preoperative administration of 40 mg parecoxib intravenously. Parecoxib 40 mg was given on the night after the operation, followed by administration every 12 hours. In the N group, 25 mL 0.375% ropivacaine was given every 12 hours after the operation, followed by 30 minutes observation after each injection. In the E group, the analgesia pump was given for self-control (200 mL 0.15% ropivacaine containing 3 mg morphine, background flow rate 5 mL/hour, addition amount 2 mL with lock time of 15 minutes). For patients reporting incomplete analgesia (resting visual analog scale [VAS] score more than 3), 50 mg pethidine intramuscular injection was given.

Measurements
Pain-intensity scores at 30 and 60 minutes after anesthesia, end of surgery, and 4, 8, 12, 24, and 48 hours later were evaluated with VAS (0–10), including resting VAS and motion VAS (active/subjective knee movement). MAP, HR, and RR values at 30 and 60 minutes after anesthesia, end of surgery, and 4, 8, 12, 24, and 48 hours later were monitored. The starting time point of food intake after surgery and complications within 48 hours after surgery were recorded (vomiting, itching, and urine retention). Satisfaction scores for the surgery were evaluated by patients (0–5 from unsatisfied to very satisfied).

Statistics
Data were processed with Stata 7.0 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA); α = 0.05, 1−β = 0.8. Comparisons between groups were done with t-tests. Complications and satisfaction scores were compared with the Chi-squared test. P < 0.05 was determined as statistically significant.

Results
We found no differences in sex, age, height, or weight between the two groups (P > 0.05, Table 1). All patients showed satisfactory anesthesia, and the surgeries were completed successfully.

Analgesia results
In the N group 17 cases were with sciatic nerve catheter and 18 cases were with lumbar plexus catheter analgesia. In both N and E groups, postoperative analgesia was satisfactory and no pethidine was used. VAS scores (both resting and motion) were low, without differences across groups (P > 0.05, Table 2).

Vital signs
MAP, HR, and RR are shown in Table 2. In the N group, postoperative MAP, HR, and RR values did not change compared to basal values (P > 0.05). In the E and N groups, the MAP value was lower than the basal value 30 minutes after anesthesia and 4 hours after surgery (P < 0.01).

Complications
The N group showed a lower rate of urinary retention than the E group (one case for N, 24 cases for E; P < 0.001), less nausea

Table 1 Clinical data for the two groups of patients (n = 30)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>ASA grade (I/II)</th>
<th>Sex (m/f)</th>
<th>Age ± SD (years)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>22/13</td>
<td>24/11</td>
<td>50 ± 16</td>
<td>61 ± 11</td>
<td>168 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>25/10</td>
<td>23/12</td>
<td>49 ± 15</td>
<td>60 ± 8</td>
<td>167 ± 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: N, the lumbar plexus/sciatic nerve block + catheter postoperative analgesia group; E, the spinal and epidural anesthesia + epidural controlled analgesia group.
Abbreviations: ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; m, male; f, female; SD, standard deviation.
and vomiting (no cases for N, eight cases for E; \( P < 0.001 \)),
less itching (no cases for N, ten cases for E; \( P < 0.001 \)), and
shorter time to restart food intake (1.2 \( \pm \) 1.1 hours for N,
6.9 \( \pm \) 0.8 hours for E; \( P < 0.001 \)). Satisfaction percentages
were 96.7% for N and 90% for E (\( P = 0.6 \)).

Discussion
Perioperative pain in patients with fractures is associated with
fear, suffering, and increased medical care/costs.\(^{1,4,5}\) The
management of such surgeries with spinal anesthesia and/or
epidural anesthesia brings certain clinical effects, as well as
different complications.\(^{1}\) On the contrary, lumbar plexus/
sciatic nerve block is limited to the lower limb on the affected
side, with little interference to the circulation system, and
therefore is hemodynamically stable.\(^{2}\) It will be important to
compare the use of the two different approaches in clinical
practice to achieve better treatment and clinical care.

In the present study, we found similar anesthetic and
postoperative analgesic effects with peripheral nerve block,
compared to the spinal anesthesia approach. Additionally, the
peripheral nerve-block approach was associated with fewer
complications. The blocking effects with a single injection
diminished in 12 hours,\(^{4}\) while the catheter technique can be left
for a week in order to maintain the perfusion of local anesthetics
when necessary. This could be done with continuous perfusion
through a pump (8–12 mL/hour),\(^{5}\) which sometimes led to
overdose and toxicity.\(^{6}\) In our case, we employed a multiple-injection
protocol,\(^{6}\) which significantly extended the pharmacological
effects without adverse events being observed.

In summary, catheter-mediated peripheral nerve-block
anesthesia and postoperative analgesia for lower-limb unilaterial fractures demonstrated similar clinical effects of
continuous epidural analgesia, and had fewer complications.
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