Intratumoral chemotherapy for lung cancer: re-challenge current targeted therapies

Wolfgang Hohenforst-Schmidt¹

Paul Zarogoulidis^{2,3}

Kaid Darwiche³

Thomas Vogl⁴

Eugene P Goldberg⁵

Haidong Huang⁶

Michael Simoff⁷

Qiang Li⁶

Robert Browning⁸

Francis J Turner⁹

Patrick Le Pivert¹⁰

Dionysios Spyrtos²

Konstantinos Zarogoulidis²

Seyhan I Celikoglu¹¹

Firuz Celikoglu¹¹

Johannes Brachmann¹

¹II Medical Clinic, Coburg Hospital, University of Wuerzburg, Coburg, Germany; ²Pulmonary Department-Oncology Unit, G Papanikolaou General Hospital, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece; ³Department of Interventional Pneumology, Ruhrlandklinik, West German Lung Center, University Hospital, University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany; ⁴Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Goethe University of Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany; ⁵Biomaterials Science and Engineering, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Florida, FL, USA; ⁶Department of Respiratory Diseases, Changhai Hospital/First Affiliated Hospital of the Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, People's Republic of China; ⁷Bronchoscopy and Interventional Pulmonology, Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Henry Ford Hospital, Wayne State University, School of Medicine, MI, USA; ⁸Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Interventional Pulmonology, National Naval Medical Center, Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Bethesda, MD, USA; ⁹Pulmonary Medicine, University of Nevada School of Medicine, National Supercomputing Center for Energy and the Environment University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, USA; ¹⁰Interventional Drug Delivery Systems and Strategies (ID2S2), Medical Cryogenics, Jupiter, FL, USA; ¹¹Pulmonary Department, Cerrahpasa Medical Faculty, University of Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey

Correspondence: Paul Zarogoulidis
Pulmonary Department-Oncology Unit,
G Papanikolaou General Hospital, Aristotle
University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece
Tel +30 697 727 1974
Fax +30 231 099 2433
Email pzarog@hotmail.com

Abstract: Strategies to enhance the already established doublet chemotherapy regimen for lung cancer have been investigated for more than 20 years. Initially, the concept was to administer chemotherapy drugs locally to the tumor site for efficient diffusion through passive transport within the tumor. Recent advances have enhanced the diffusion of pharmaceuticals through active transport by using pharmaceuticals designed to target the genome of tumors. In the present study, five patients with non-small cell lung cancer epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) negative stage IIIa–IV International Union Against Cancer 7 (UICC-7), and with Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) 2 scores were administered platinum-based doublet chemotherapy using combined intratumoral-regional and intravenous route of administration. Cisplatin analogues were injected at 0.5%–1% concentration within the tumor lesion and proven malignant lymph nodes according to pretreatment histological/cytological results and the concentration of systemic infusion was decreased to 70% of a standard protocol. This combined intravenous plus intratumoral-regional chemotherapy is used as a first line therapy on this short series of patients. To the best of our knowledge this is the first report of direct treatment of involved lymph nodes with cisplatin by endobronchial ultrasound drug delivery with a needle without any adverse effects. The initial overall survival and local response are suggestive of a better efficacy compared to established doublet cisplatin-based systemic chemotherapy in (higher) standard concentrations alone according to the UICC 7 database expected survival. An extensive search of the literature was performed to gather information of previously published literature of intratumoral chemo-drug administration and formulation for this treatment modality. Our study shows a favorable local response, more than a 50% reduction, for a massive tumor mass after administration of five sessions of intratumoral chemotherapy plus two cycles of low-dose intravenous chemotherapy according to our protocol. These encouraging results (even in very sick ECOG 2 patients with central obstructive non-small cell lung cancer having a worse prognosis and quality of life than a non-small cell lung cancer in ECOG 0 of the same tumor node metastasis [TNM]-stage without central obstruction) for a chemotherapy-only protocol that differs from conventional cisplatin-based doublet chemotherapy by the route, target site, and dose paves the way for broader applications of this technique. Finally, future perspectives of this treatment and pharmaceutical design for intratumoral administration are presented.

Keywords: cisplatin, lymph nodes, chemotherapy, intratumoral, lung cancer

Introduction

Lung cancer treatment still remains a challenge. In the last decade an effort has been made to identify the mechanisms and pathways of lung cancer.^{1,2} Pharmacoefficacy in association with pharmacogenomics has also been investigated as the genetic mutations of lung cancer differ among populations and therefore several

targeted therapies present a higher rate of efficiency, as is the case with epidermal growth factor receptor mutation (EGFR).^{3–5} Pharmacogenetics have also been investigated for conventional chemotherapy in lung cancer in an effort to identify different pathways and mechanisms of acquired resistance to conventional chemotherapy.^{6,7} Targeted therapies have been approved as first line treatment for specific genetic mutations and many pathways are still under investigation.⁸ However, doublet chemotherapy still remains the cornerstone of treatment for many patients.⁹

Chemotherapy drugs present non-specific cytotoxic activity, which in many cases induce adverse effects from systemic administration. Patients have to stop their treatment and the national health systems are affected by the additional hospitalization days and drugs administered.¹⁰ In an effort to enhance the efficiency of conventional chemotherapy, several studies have investigated the addition of immunomodulatory agents with conventional chemotherapy and/or anti-vascular endothelial growth factors with targeted chemotherapy.^{11–14} However, systemic side effect prevalence still remains the same.

Another conceptual approach, ie, the locoregional administration of chemotherapeutics has been promoted by many groups either in the form of intratumoral chemotherapy (ITC),^{15–17} brachytherapy,¹⁸ photodynamic therapy,¹⁹ mechanic debulking,^{20,21} and aerosol chemotherapy.^{22–24} Locoregional therapy uses chemicals, genes, biologics, free or formulated drugs, and novel drug carrier systems, as a single therapy or with radiation therapy,^{25–29} thermal,^{22,74} or non-thermal local ablative methods. These studies were mostly intended to establish the safety and efficacy of locoregional therapies and investigate whether higher drug concentration could increase efficiency and decrease or prevent systematic adverse effects. Intratumoral drug administration was also investigated as a method to sensitize the tumor to radiotherapy and systematic chemotherapy.³⁰ Nearly 30% of newly diagnosed patients with lung cancer will develop respiratory distress, bleeding, atelectasis, and post-obstructive pneumonia due to partial or complete airway obstruction.³¹ The tumor core consists of chemotherapy resistant tumor cells and intratumoral chemotherapy has been observed to sensitize these cells by delivering selected drugs into different parts of the core with radioactive coils and other agents for brachytherapy.^{30,32–34} In particular, it was observed that nanocarriers for chemotherapy drugs lead to improved drug diffusion and sustain release. In addition, brachytherapy studies presented data were the radiation emitted from the coils was only cytotoxic to the site of implantation.^{30,35} It has also been observed that local tumor response is accomplished more quickly with local intratumoral drug administration.^{16,36}

Moreover, there are obstacles that have to be bypassed with these treatment techniques, such as: (a) increased interstitial fluid pressure, (b) local hypoxia, (c) heterogeneous distribution of drug formulations due to abnormal vascular architecture, (d) extracellular matrix with collagen, elastin, fibroblasts, and (e) structural abnormalities within the center of the tumor. There are two principal methods of drug permeation of the tumor as a sum effect of diffusion and distribution within the tumor: active and passive transportation, which can be combined with a physical (pre)treatment, like heating the device to enhance uptake or diffusion,^{37–39} or with a cooling device.⁴⁰ Several formulations have been investigated either with passive or active transportation in order to investigate their efficiency. In addition, carriers have been added to several pharmaceuticals to prolong their local release and diffusion rates.^{41,42} Nanocarriers have provided an effective method for drug accumulation within the tumor due to the enhanced permeability and retention effect (EPR) effect.⁴³ The EPR effect has been also observed to be enhanced with the addition of polyethylene glycol (PEG) stealth molecules,⁴⁴ and controlled by heat shock protein 32 and carbon monoxide.⁴⁵ The present study illustrates our initial experience with intratumoral chemotherapy compared to previous published studies and we propose future avenues for applications of this methodology.

Patients and methods

Patients and study design

Five patients stage IIIa–IV, performance status 2 (PS2) unfit for surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy were included in the trial. The trial was initiated in May 2009 and was approved by our investigational review board (IRB; Table 1). One additional patient was excluded from the trial when she was diagnosed with mammary carcinoma stage IV with a stenotic tracheal metastasis and hypercapnia ≥ 60 mmHg unfit for treatment with laser or argon-plasma-coagulation or radiation; however, she was treated along the same line of this protocol only with slightly different ITC mixture, adding 2 mg mitomycin to 10 mg cisplatin, and observed upon follow up. The staging was decided according to the sixth edition of tumor node metastasis (TNM) classification of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) as the trial took place in 2009 and 2010. All patients had relatively adequate renal function allowing for the administration of at least 70% of the dose defined intravenous standard platinum doublet protocol (or alternatively area under the curve [AUC] 4 in case of carboplatin; defined by a level of serum creatinine of Value 1.5 mg/mL), hepatic enzymes (bilirubin

Table 1 Patient characteristics

Patient stage	ECOG	Local 4-week response	Survival (days)	Histology
1. IIIb (cT4N2Mx)	2	PR–	311	Squamous
2. IV (cT3-4NxM1b)	2	PR+	429	Squamous
3. IIIb (cT4N2M0)	2	PR+	496*	Squamous
4. IV (cT3-4N2M1b)	2	PR–	365	Adeno
5. IIIa (cT4N1M0)	2	NC	552*	Adeno
6. Mammarian CA IV	2	Local CR	319	Adeno

Notes: *Alive upon end of follow-up, other patients died due to cancer-related death. Average PR+ overall survival: 463 days; average PR– overall survival: 338 days. PR+: reduction of >50% of initial volume; PR–: reduction of 25%–50% of initial volume.

Expected median survival according to UICC 7 in ECOG 0–I patients: IIIa 1/5, IIIb 2/5, IV 2/5 = ((1*14 m) + (2*10 m) + (2*6 m))/5 = 9.2 months = 276 days.

Achieved median survival in this ECOG 2 group along our protocol = 13.2 m = 397 days: This means a surplus of 43.8% median survival in comparison to expected survival.

Abbreviations: PR, partial response; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; NC, no change; CR, complete response; Adeno, adenocarcinoma; CA, carcinoma.

of Value 1.5 mg/dL), and hematologic function (absolute neutrophil count of $1.5 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^3$ and platelet count of $1.0 \times 10^5 \text{ mm}^3$). Patients included had symptomatic obstruction of the trachea or of a major bronchus secondary to inoperable histologically confirmed NSCLC. The patient population in this study included only patients with disease confined to one hemithorax and with the major tumor involvement in the thorax. Two cases with Stage IV NSCLC showed single bone metastasis in upper arm respectively in thigh. For such cases, the therapeutic strategy was to relieve symptoms from the bronchial tumor and to address metastatic bone deposit with radiation therapy and bisphosphonates according to standard oncologic care. Nearly complete airway obstruction, superior to 50% occlusion of at least one major airway was required for inclusion into the study. Other criteria were: isolated lobar obstruction was included only if it was believed to contribute

to respiratory insufficiency, chronic (or continuous) bleeding worsening the respiratory situation or post-obstructive pneumonia, or all these conditions together. Patients with small cell carcinoma were excluded, based on anticipated rapid response to systemic chemotherapy or irradiation or both that these patients often experience.⁴⁶

All patient treatment sessions were recorded in order to evaluate the therapeutic protocol (Figures 1–6). Criteria for exclusion were: pregnancy or child-bearing potential without using contraceptive methods, concurrent highly septic situations due to poststenotic pneumonia infections (ie, requiring intravenous antibiotic therapy was allowed), an unstable or serious concurrent medical condition (eg, recent myocardial infarction and superior vena cava syndrome), recent major surgery, or large-field radiation therapy or chemotherapy in the month before entry. Patients were not allowed to receive any concurrent radiotherapy to the lungs, immunotherapy or investigational agents while on the study. These criteria were chosen based on previous publications (Table 2).^{16,47} Written informed consent was obtained from each patient before study enrollment. Patient characteristics upon admission are presented in Table 1.

Dose schedule and technical aspects

The protocol was based on previous publications in the field of intratumoral chemotherapy.^{16,36,46–48} Previous groups treated their patients with cisplatin 0.5%–4% ITC. In Germany, 0.5% cisplatin is the concentration for intravenous administration; although uncommon, other cisplatin concentrations are available through the hospital's dispensary when buying cisplatin as a salt. It is believed that upon intratumoral bolus injection, cisplatin will diffuse systemically via lymphatic draining vessels and lymph nodes; such drainage may reach sentinel lymph nodes that would be exposed to the drug as a consequence of ITC and at a higher drug concentration than possible with intravenous administration of the same cisplatin amount (Figure 5).

Figure 1 X-ray from patient I.

Notes: (A) X-ray upon diagnosis. (B) after one session (1 month). (C) and after two sessions (6 weeks after diagnosis).

Figure 2 Patient 2 upon diagnosis.

Notes: (A) Vertical computed tomography image upon diagnosis with and without contrast. (B) X-ray upon diagnosis. (C) bronchoscopy image upon diagnosis.

As lymph node involvement in lung cancer is a major concern for local recurrence, we added the direct treatment of involved lymph nodes by drug delivery through a transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA)-needle of an endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS)-probe to enhance the efficacy over ITC only. This drug delivery by an EBUS-needle (EBUS-transbronchial needle dosing [TBND]) is aiming at six passes per lymph node to optimize the permeation of the drug. We have chosen this number as six passes are the optimal number for EBUS-TBNA to get representative results of the whole lymph node volume.

A huge point of contention for the use of ITC is the under or over-dosing due to the leakage or backflow of drug. Such “loss”

if not quantified makes it very difficult to relate the dose to the effect over a tumor or target volume unit. We think that even in the case that intratumoral-volume leaks out of the tumor very easily as a so called “downstream effect”, this intratumoral-loss cisplatin dose will be reabsorbed by the alveoli and mucosa in other lung areas and will act as a systemic administration. In other words, ITC that uses aqueous platinum analog offers the advantage of very high concentrations (eg, up to 70-fold higher compared to the same amount of carboplatin given intravenously in ITC with polyethylene glycol (PEG)-carboplatin)⁴⁹ with less systemic cytotoxicity but in the end it resembles an established intravenous split schedule: the timely separation of intratumoral and intravenous administration leads to the well-known efficient intravenous split cisplatin protocols with two dosages typically 6 to 7 days apart and therefore this dosing regimen makes sense.⁵⁰

ITC has been demonstrated in animal models with lung cancer to induce a positive antitumor immunoresponse,⁵¹ meaning a significant reduction in tumor mass different than the main site directly treated by ITC. The same has

Figure 3 CT images from patient 2.

Notes: (A and C) CT upon diagnosis. (B and D) CT after 10 weeks.

Abbreviation: CT, computed tomography.

Figure 4 Patient 2 bronchoscopy findings upon diagnosis and after 10 weeks of therapy including four ITC-sessions and three reduced systemic carboplatin doublet applications.

Notes: (A) Bronchoscopy image upon diagnosis. (B) Bronchoscopy image after local intratumoral treatment.

Abbreviation: ITC, intratumoral chemotherapy.

Figure 5 Intratumoral chemotherapy model.

Notes: After the local administration, tumor necrosis is observed and micrometastasis occurs through the local abnormal vascular architecture and diffusion through the interstitial fluid.

Abbreviation: IF, interstitial fluid.

been proven in human beings using other local treatment modalities in different cancers.⁵² This effect is believed or has been partly proven to be caused by demonstrating vast amounts of tumor cell debris toward immunocompetent cells, which are stimulating the immune system to use these “specific” antigens to induce a “specific” antibody reaction; eg, auto-vaccination triggered by local treatment modalities. The cornerstone of these mechanisms is an intact competent immune system which could be negatively influenced by acute administration of intravenous chemotherapy. This is the second reason to separate intravenous from intratumoral administration timing for the first 5–10 days of treatment.

A major factor affecting ITC-efficacy is the distribution of the drug intratumorally. We treated the mass from all possible directions: from endoluminal or from transthoracic direction if feasible under ultrasound or C-arm fluoroscopy guidance due to local anatomy. We used direct central needle insertion in lobar stenosis (with atelectasis, chronic bleeding, and/or post-stenotic pneumonia) and in central airway stenosis >50%. Especially in lobar stenosis, the maneuverability of the needle in the peripheral site is highly reduced; therefore, the needle tip was placed in the most distant part of the tumor and then retracted 0.5 cm delivering at each

Figure 6 Scheme of proposed intratumoral protocol. Timing of intravenous 4-week-cycle with weekly intratumoral chemotherapy.

Abbreviations: d, day; ITC, intratumoral; iv, intravenous.

point a maximum of 1 mL ITC-drug. This approach was only allowed if the needle path was clear inside the inner two thirds of a post-stenotic atelectasis which could represent a tumor portion. In the exophytic parts of mainly central tumors, we additionally directly injected intratumorally and, furthermore, surrounded the exophytic parts on the mucosal layer with small injections (1 mL) intramucosally/superficially around the tumor neck aiming at closing a circle around the tumor neck at this layer. In case of mixed intra-extrabronchial stenosis with a transmucosal part of bulk and/or involved lymph nodes we treated this part of the tumor by EBUS-TBND. In lymph nodes, we aimed at six passes with different angulations for EBUS-TBND. For masses that abutted the thoracic wall, we used ultrasound guided percutaneous transthoracic drug injection (transthoracic ultrasound [tts] ITC) through only one transthoracic puncture receiving the needle tip to the most distal part of the ultrasound picture of the mass and injecting 1 mL ITC-drug after each 0.5 cm pulling step back toward the puncture point. This procedure was repeatedly performed in different angulations with 30 degrees difference in a three-dimensional manner and under bronchoscopy for controlling and calculating the “loss” amount of ITC leaking out of tumor mass and dispersing rapidly down toward the central airways – the so called downstream effect of ITC. The downstream effect may result from the typically inhomogeneous spongy tumor structure or separated by septa, layers, or from puncturing of bronchioli lumen still patent within the tumor. In order to better visualize the downstream effect, we colored the cisplatin-ITC with indigo carmine dye (0.5 mL/10 mL drug volume). If a downstream effect occurred, the injection was stopped, the needle position was changed by retracting 1 cm, followed by the next injection of 1 mL until the subpleural area adjacent to the insertion point was reached. Then a new needle pathway with different angulations was started at the most distal point of the ultrasound picture. In case visualization by ultrasound was not possible we used different angulations under c-arm fluoroscopy control. All this was to achieve a best individual distribution of the ITC-drug to cover up the major part of the tumor volume and involved lymph nodes.

Furthermore, the calculation of the ITC-drug volume was done along the lines of the formula by Monga⁵³ using the help of the computed tomography (CT)-scans (in mL ITC-drug):

$$\text{Volume} = 0.5 * \text{maximum height} * \text{maximum width} * \text{maximum depth} \quad (1)$$

covering approximately 1 mL of tumor with 1 mL of ITC-drug. In this study, we were adding the volume for

Table 2 Intratumoral therapy experience

Author	Methodology	Subjects	Cancer cells/ tissue	Response	Nanoparticles	Carriers	Reference
Jia et al	Intratumoral plus doxorubicin magnetic field	In vitro/in vivo	Lewis lung cancer	✓	Magnetic Fe ₃ O ₄	PLGA	27
Akeda et al	OK-432	In vivo	Squamous lung carcinoma	✓	–	–	80
Li et al	Multifunctional theranostic liposome drug delivery system plus doxorubicin	In vitro/in vivo	Squamous cell carcinoma-4 tumor cells	✓	Magnetic	Liposomes	62
Goldberg et al	Review	Review	Review	Review	Review	Review	51
Brincker et al	Review	Review	Review	Review	Review	Review	61
Celikoglu et al	5-fluorouracil, mitomycin, methotrexate, bleomycin, mitoxantrone, cisplatin	Patients	Lung cancer	✓	–	–	16 36 46 47 48 56
Fujiwara et al	Intratumoral-P53	Patients	Lung cancer	✓	–	–	25

Abbreviations: OK, lyophilized incubation mixture of group A *Streptococcus pyogenes* of human origin; PLGA, poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid).

involved lymph nodes which were measured by EBUS during the diagnostic TBNA in addition to the CT-scans prior to therapy. In case a downstream effect was observed, we summed up each observed 1 mL (meaning suddenly rapid unmeasured droplets) of “lost” ITC-drug after planned injection of 1 mL ITC and added this amount afterwards to another “additional” path with a different angulation until the pre-therapeutic calculated ITC-volume was reached. The consequence of this approach was that in the patients

with a downstream effect more ITC-volume than previously calculated was used. In reality, this was observed in two patients with no more than 10% additional volume only in the transthoracic approach. We restricted ourselves to a maximum of total ITC volume 2-fold of the pre-therapeutic calculated ITC-volume. A CT-scan for reevaluation and recalculation of the ITC-volumes was repeated after two complete intravenous cycles encompassed by four to six administrations of ITC. The minimal goal in these very sick patients

Table 3 Effects and safety features

Patient	Adverse effect	Positive effect	Comment
1	Enforced bleeding after 1 ITC (infiltration of the LLL-vein)	Stopped moderate chronic bleeding after second ITC, no recurrence of bleeding.	PR– (main symptom was bleeding).
2	Vomiting, nausea, and hematotoxicity. (1800 Leuc./ μ L) after first ITC with 100 mg cisplatin.	Could swallow again after second ITC stopping muscle waste, walks alone.	PR+; possible infiltration of the esophagus in reference to thorax-CT. Hematotoxicity as a proof of systemic effect.
3	None	Relief of dyspnoea and mucous retention, stopped chronic bleeding after first ITC.	PR+
4	None	Relief of retention, reduced pCO ₂ .	PR–; heavy smoker until death.
5	Acute cytotoxicity in the complete ROL as COP (including S3) radiological without clinical relevance and spontaneous regression without any lasting damage; needle was steered from inside at the inner 2/3 margin of the lobar atelectasis which occluded orifice S1+2 in ROL.	None (slightly less back pain).	NC
6	Fever for 3 days after ITC.	Local CR, no longer bleeding or retention.	Local CR; acute short mediastinitis? Breast cancer stage IV with tracheal metastasis (out of protocol).

Abbreviations: CT, computed tomography; ITC, intratumoral chemotherapy; CR, complete response; COP, cryptogenic organising pneumonia; Leuc, leucocytes; ROL, right upper lobe (rul); PR, partial response; pCO₂, partial carbon dioxide measurement; LLL, left lower lobe; S, subsegment; NC, no change.

was to administer two cycles intravenous platinum doublet every 3–4 weeks encompassed with at least four sessions ITC. We hoped to achieve four cycles intravenous doublet encompassed by 8–12 ITC-administrations timely separated as mentioned above if the patient was willing to do so and if the local (meanwhile regressed) anatomy was feasible to inject. One potential limitation of this protocol was the fact that these sick patients had to be treated weekly for the ITC-administration with aqueous cisplatin. In palliative patients, too much time inside the hospital should be avoided.

Previous experience of intratumoral administration was 30–40 mg aqueous cisplatin demonstrating good tumor reduction and no adverse effects.^{16,36,46–48} Therefore we used cisplatin 1% for smaller masses and 0.5% cisplatin concentration for larger masses to realize a compromise between injected volume and drug amount per tumor volume unit for optimization of drug distribution and tumor coverage with ITC. It has to be mentioned that it was allowable to use more than 40 mg cisplatin per ITC-session, but not more than the (theoretical) 70% standard cisplatin intravenous amount in these very sick patients. We restricted ourselves therefore to the maximal dose of 100 mg cisplatin per ITC-session. In reality, this was only used in one ITC-session, all other ITC-sessions were done with 10–50 mg cisplatin in total (average 24 mg cisplatin).

The drug injection rate for ITC was between 1 and 5 mL/minute: if the downstream effect occurred we stopped injection at the preceding injection point and reduced our velocity to 1 mL/minute at the next injection point after retraction of the needle. If there was no downstream effect with 1 mL/minute in the preceding injection point we elevated our injection velocity to 5 mL/minute in the following injection point after retraction. All this was meant to optimize the coverage of ITC-volume in the mass and the time per treatment session. The treatment times for an ITC-session covering tumor mass and node(s) (average mass dimensions: 7 cm; average number of nodes: 2) was between 25 and 60 minutes (average 38 minutes).

Pharmaceuticals

The following pharmaceuticals were used for weekly intratumoral chemotherapy 5–10 days apart from the intravenous administration: cisplatin/hospira solution for infusion 100 mg/100 mL vial BT × 1 vial × 100 mL (Hospira UK Ltd, Queensway, Royal Leamington Spa, Warwickshire, UK) in concentrations between 0.5% and 1%.

For intravenous chemotherapy, 70% of a standard platinum analog containing doublet scheme repeating every 3–4 weeks with the following drugs was used: cisplatin

or carboplatin and one of the following drugs GEMZAR® (Lilly USA LLC, Indianapolis, IN, USA; 200 mg/1 g vial), etoposide, and vincristine.

The intravenous administration was separated from ITC by 5–10 days. The protocol aimed to treat these very sick patients with at least two cycles of intravenous administration encompassed by 4–6 intratumoral administrations, if feasible due to local anatomy. The intravenous combination scheme was chosen based on the performance status of the patients. Putting intravenous and intratumoral administrations together means that between two intravenous administrations it was possible to give an additional two or three ITC-administrations (Figure 6).

Specific treatment effects and complications

In summary, there was no severe adverse event in a total of 22 ITC-sessions even in an ITC with 100 mg cisplatin showing only a one-time moderate hematotoxicity with 1800 leukocytes/mL. This is striking as a proof of the concept that ITC either passed through the lymphatic vessels or was downstreamed or reabsorbed by the bronchial mucosa and alveoli to become systemically active. It is worth mentioning that for all directly visible tumor masses the first injection with ITC, regardless of the approach (endoluminal or transthoracic), changed the tumor color to pale or white almost immediately and sometimes after the injection of only 1 mL. This appears to be an acute interruption of the perfusion or a “shock reaction” of the tumor. Another interesting observation was the fact that in patients with chronic bleeding from the tumor or from peritumoral vessels, the bleeding stopped after only one or two ITC-sessions. No acute local toxic effect in the mucosa or in the healthy central airways could be identified; no late stenotic process after ITC-sessions occurred either. Similar findings have been reported in previous studies (Table 1).^{16,36,46–48}

Discussion

Intratumoral injection of chemotherapeutics is an efficient and safe local therapeutic method. ITC as adjuvant therapy using the protocol described herein showed, as in other ITC-studies, an expected relief concerning the acute local problems caused by stenosis, bleeding, or atelectasis in four of five NSCLC patients in the protocol and in one patient (out of protocol) with mammary cancer and tracheal metastasis.^{32,42,44,54–60} Moreover, this trial demonstrated for the first time that direct treatment of involved lymph nodes with ITC by aqueous cisplatin simultaneously to the tumor mass is possible and safe. As the results concerning debulk-

ing are similar to those of other studies, loss of ITC-volume as a downstream effect seems to be negligible.^{32,42,44,54–60} Such a downstream effect was only seen with small “loss” volumes in two patients only when applying transthoracic ITC. It is worth mentioning that to the best of our knowledge we applied for the first time this protocol using a dye and simultaneous bronchoscopy during transthoracic approach of ITC to control this unintended effect of downstreaming and thereby optimized the application of aqueous cisplatin for ITC in a transthoracic approach. A big difference in this study compared to other studies was that we took this approach in very sick ECOG 2 patients who were not eligible for standard oncologic care procedures. Under general conditions these patients would have only received best supportive care with a survival prognosis around 3 months. Even comparing these very sick patients with the actual database UICC 7, which is referred to as ECOG 0–1 patients treated in general with full standard dose of intravenous platinum doublet schedules, it appears as if this simple and less toxic protocol is superior to standard intravenous regimens with respect to not only quality of life but as well to overall survival measured as cancer related death. For now, the technique described herein using free drug is applicable everywhere and induces relatively low costs compared to the so called personalized tumor treatment with modern drugs like tyrosine-kinase-inhibitors.

However, there is much room for optimization and further investigation into the formulations of the drugs administered in this study is warranted.^{36,48,51,61} First, passive or active targeting should be explored. Active targeting has the advantage that the formulation will bind locally to tumor cells and will not leak through the abnormal vascular structures. Toward this end, several efforts have been made to identify molecules that can target actively with or without an additional chemotherapy agent the tumor mutations.⁶² Several molecules/pathways have been investigated in lung cancer patients, such as Galectin-3 and Cyclin D1, however there are still no candidates for active targeting. This is an example where molecules and pathways, although similar in different tumors, play different roles.⁶³ In an effort to identify new methods of sustaining drug release, co-encapsulation of magnetic Fe_3O_4 with chemotherapeutic agents have been investigated.^{27,64} However, although this method of local drug entrapment is effective in small animals, it can be lethal in larger (>dogs) as a larger magnetic field is required. Also, extravasation has been observed when the drug formulation is injected superficially. Another method of drug release which is under investigation by Patrick Le Pivert (Interventional Drug Delivery Systems and Strategies [ID2S2], Medical Cryogenics, Jupiter, FL, USA) is intratu-

moral drug injection while simultaneously freezing tissue locally.⁴⁰ Another method for temporary local entrapment based on passive transportation is the addition of epinephrine with the cisplatin drug formulation.⁶⁵ The pH release system has also been investigated with chemotherapy, the principal theory being that at a low pH (<6.5; acidic environment) the formulated complex releases the encapsulated drug.²⁷ In the study by Callahan et al,⁶⁶ for the first time a pH responsive genetically encoded drug release nanoparticle system was engineered. This pH delivery system is designed to release drug formulations in the mildly acidic environment that prevails in the extracellular matrix (ECM) of many solid tumors. Temperature-sensitive gels as an intratumoral sustain release system were also investigated (β -Lapachone). This formulation can be combined in a drug formulation complex and act as the trigger for the drug release.²⁸

Furthermore, a new methodology for evaluating drug formulations for intratumoral delivery has to be pursued. Specifically, as previously presented in gene therapy studies, prediction models for drug diffusion within the tumor have to be established before initiation of drug administration.⁶⁷ The ITASSER (<http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu>], Ann Arbor, MI, USA) software is an established evaluation method with many applications that has been used in previous studies.^{67,68}

Moreover, several other studies were performed using the ITASSER methodology; however, they were all implemented in other organs, but they did demonstrate both efficiency and safety.³⁰ Radioactive wires have been applied with or without the combination of a chemotherapy agent. These studies used nanoparticles for better tumor penetration and diffusion (Table 4).^{42,44,64,69,70} In these studies, it was observed that healthy tissue was not affected by this therapy. In a study by Watson et al⁷⁰ an ultrasound methodology was investigated to efficiently deliver nanoparticles in epithelial and epithelial-mesenchymal transition tumors. Nanoparticles have also been used in association with thermal ablation as a method for enhancing drug delivery/diffusion within the tumor and to enhance local cytotoxicity.²⁹ Intratumoral gene therapy was also investigated with or without the addition of a chemotherapy agent and or radiotherapy.^{44,67,71–73} In a gene therapy study by Hanna et al⁷² the methodology of intratumoral injection was presented making this study an example for others to follow. In these studies, it was observed that larger particles have a higher probability of being absorbed by macrophages. New photo-absorbent agents have also been tested as intratumoral therapy. Indocyanine green was conjugated with phospholipid-polyethylene glycol-monoclonal antibody (PL)-PEG-mAb in order to create a formulation with slow clearance times.

Table 4 Intratumoral studies using different approaches

Author	Methodology	Subjects	Cancer cells/tissue	Response	Nanoparticles	Carriers	Reference
Horev-Drori et al	²²⁴ Ra-loaded wires plus gemcitabine/5-FU	In vitro/in vivo	Pancreas	✓	–	–	30
Xie et al	⁶⁴ Cu-nanoshells	Nude rats	Head-neck	✓	✓	Nanoshells	42
Hecht et al	TNIFerade (AdGVEGR.TNF.I ID)	Patients	Pancreas	✓	–	–	71
Govindarajan et al	TMAF	In vitro/in vivo	Breast-ovarian	✓	–	–	67
Lin et al	Review	Review	Review	Review	Lipid nanoparticles	Review	41
Cunha-Filho et al	β-Lapachone	In vitro	Intratumoral implant	✓	–	–	28
Zheng et al	ICG-PL-PEG-mAb	In vitro/in vivo	U87-MG human glioblastoma cancer cells	✓	ICG-PL-PEG-mAb	PL-PEG	74
Luo et al	Core-loaded fibers with hydroxycamptothecin	In vitro/in vivo	H22 hepatoma cells	✓	–	Fibers	75
Tsuda et al	<i>Propionibacterium acnes</i>	In vitro/in vivo	B16 melanoma cell line	✓	–	–	77
Oh et al	SLC-Fc, CpG-ODN	In vitro/in vivo	B16F10 murine melanoma model	✓	–	–	78
Yang et al	Hu14.18-IL-2	In vitro/in vivo	NXS2 neuroblastoma Cell line	✓	–	–	79
Peiris et al	Three nanoparticle magnetic chain with doxorubicin	In vitro/in vivo	MAT B III tumor-bearing animals	✓	Nano chain magnetic particles	–	64
Hanna et al	BC-819	In vitro/in vivo	Pancreas	✓	–	–	72
Liu et al	mPEG-PCL-Docetaxel	In vitro/in vivo	H22 hepatoma cells	✓	mPEG-PCL	Poly (caprolactone)	69
Luo et al	PELA fibers plus hydroxycamptothecin	In vitro/in vivo	H22 hepatoma cells	✓	PELA	Poly(_{6,6} -lactide)	76
Geletneký et al	Parvovirus H-1	In vivo	Glioblastoma multiforme	✓	–	–	73
Zhao et al	NLP-PEG, CLP-PEG plus DOX	In vitro/in vivo	H22 hepatoma cells	✓	DOX-NLPs, DOX-CLPs, DOX-NLP-PEG, DOX-CLP-PEG	Cationic liposomes, nano-lipid particles	44
Ahmed et al	Nanoparticles and thermal ablation	Review	Review	Review	Review	Review	29
Betting et al	CpG plus rituximab/cyclophosphamide	In vitro/in vivo	B-cell lymphoma	✓	–	–	81
Son et al	Dendritic cells plus cyclophosphamide/irradiation	In vitro/in vivo	CT-26 colon carcinoma cell line	✓	–	–	83
Galli	Anti-gal human antibody	Review	Review	Review	Review	Review	84
Hamalukic et al	HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor lovastatin	In vitro/in vivo	HT29 human colon carcinoma cells	✓	–	–	85
Raut et al	Sorafenib	Patients	Refractory sarcomas	✓	–	–	86
Werner et al	Cisplatin/epinephrine	Patients	Head neck	✓	–	–	65

Abbreviations: FU, fluorouracil; PEG, polyethylene glycol; U-87-MG, human glioblastoma-astrocytoma, epithelial-like cell line; DOX, doxorubicin; B16, melanoma cell line; HMG-CoA, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A; PL, polylactic; H22, hepatoma cells; CT-26, colon carcinoma cell line; ODN, oligodeoxynucleotide; HT29, human colon carcinoma cell lines; B16F10, murine metastatic melanoma in the tails of C57BL/6 mice; TNIFerade, a replication-deficient adenoviral vector that expresses tumor necrosis factor-α (TUMOR NECROSIS FACTOR-α); BC-819, a plasmid comprised of the H19 gene regulatory sequences; mPEG-PCL, poly(caprolactone); PELA, poly(D,L-lactide); SLC-Fc, secondary lymphoid tissue chemokine-Fc; CLP, cationic liposomes; NLP, neutral liposomes; ICG-PL-PEG-mAb, Indocyanine green-poly(lactic-polyethylene glycol)-integrin α(v)β(3) monoclonal antibody; AdGVEGR.TNF.I ID, a replication-deficient adenoviral vector that expresses tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α); Hu14.18-IL-2, an immunocytokine consisting of human IL-2 linked to hu14.18 mAb, which recognizes the GD2 disialoganglioside; NXS2, neuroblastoma cell line; MAT B, animals (inoculated with Mat B-III-uPAR cells).

This drug formulation has numerous applications for several cancer types.⁷⁴ Moreover, fibers bearing chemotherapy agents were constructed for intratumoral therapy and were evaluated for their pharmacokinetic profile and efficiency in vitro and in vivo.^{75,76} The fibers presented efficient tumor control and a correlation with radiolabelled coils was established.

Immunotherapy as intratumoral therapy has also been investigated: (a) *Propionibacterium acnes* induces immune-stimulation by increasing interleukin-12, tumor necrosis factor- α , and interferon- γ ,⁷⁷ (b) secondary lymphoid chemokine and unmethylated cytosine-phosphorothioate-guanine-oligodeoxynucleotide were used to mobilize lymphocytes and dendritic cells and increased the infiltration of CD4⁺ T-cells and CD11c⁺ cells in the tumor mass with observed reduction in tumor mass,⁷⁸ (c) hu14.18-interleukin-2 administration resulted in increased natural killer (NK) group 2, member D receptors on intratumoral NKG2A/C/E⁺NKp46⁺ NK cells,⁷⁹ (d) OK-432 efficiently suppressed metastatic squamous cell carcinoma lesion by inducing interferon- γ and tumor necrosis factor- α ,⁸⁰ (e) pre-treatment with cyclophosphamide and oligodeoxynucleotides plus rituximab enhanced immune activation against tumor cells and reduced tumor evolution,⁸¹ (f) dendritic cells and dendritic cells plus cyclophosphamide or paclitaxel at low doses enhanced immune system activation,^{82,83} (g) anti-gal antibody injection.⁸⁴ Furthermore, several biomarkers were used specifically in intratumoral therapies as independent predictive factors, such as T cells and 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase, an inhibitor of lovastatin, as a formulation that blocks local metastasis after irradiation.⁸⁵

Recently, sorafenib, a multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor, was used in an effort to modify interstitial fluid pressure (IFP) and vascular density.⁸⁶ It was observed that sorafenib and imatinib both decreased IFP, increased vascular endothelial growth factor, human placental growth factor, stromal cell-derived factor α , and decreased soluble vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2, and, consequently, disease control was observed.

Finally, lymphatic vascular circulation biology plays a key role in micrometastasis formation. Tumor cells are mobilized from the tumor site to the lymphatics before returning to the systemic vascular circulation.^{87–90} Lymphatics have thin walls and low pressure and, in addition, they collect fluid of various substances from the interstitium and return it to the vascular circulation.^{53,90} The lymphatics also act as a filter for tumor cells and although they redeposit cancer cells they additionally destroy cancer cells using their immunomodulatory activity.⁸⁷ Sentinel lymph node mapping has been well described with

tracer substances. Specifically, it was observed that when a tracer was injected through the bronchial wall the tracer was transported to the regional lymph nodes by local lymphatic drainage within 20–60 minutes depending on the site of injections and available lymph node vasculature.^{5,56,91,92} The same principle is in effect with regard to cytotoxic drug tissue injection.

At this point, the authors would like to state that the major limitation of this study is the small number of patients; however, statistically significant overall survival was observed $P = 0.048$ (Table 1). Thus, our data provide us with the necessary support to evaluate this modality in a larger prospective study.

Based on our data and published literature we are confident that intratumoral chemotherapy should be considered for the following uses:¹⁶

- As a debulking tool in central NSCLC with a high efficacy rate of >75% after four weekly sessions of ITC, demonstrated efficacy in 378 published patients including this trial over two decades in different countries,^{32,42,44,54,55–60}
- As an adjunct to standard therapies even now in healing trials in PS2-patients with central obstructive palliative NSCLC;
- Five studies, including this trial using ITC as an adjunct to different standard therapies, are observational studies using different modalities (to the best knowledge Phase IIb), but they have shown in 68 patients with NSCLC IIIa–IV unexpected median survival with an improvement of 21%–78% compared to UICC 7 data (4–6 months in total),^{42,57,59,60}
- Direct treatment with ITC (cisplatin 0.5%–1%) of central tumor mass and involved lymph nodes by EBUS-TBND is possible without severe adverse effects;
- Direct treatment with ITC (para-toluenesulfonamide) of peripheral nodules in combination with standard carboplatin doublet chemotherapy shows very promising results;⁶⁰ and
- ITC as an adjuvant local procedure in combination with standard, timely separated intravenous protocols should be tested against adjuvant radiotherapy especially in regards to (reduced) toxicity and survival.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Hinoda Y. [Molecular targeted cancer therapy and genetic tests – chairman's introductory remarks.] *Rinsho Byori*. 2012;60(10):967–968. Japanese.
- Domvri K, Darwiche K, Zarogoulidis P, Zarogoulidis K. Following the crumbs: from tissue samples, to pharmacogenomics, to NSCLC therapy. *Transl Lung Cancer Res*. 2012. DOI: 10.3978/j.issn.2218-6751.2012.12.06.

3. Saijo N. The role of pharmacoethnicity in the development of cytotoxic and molecular targeted drugs in oncology. *Yonsei Med J*. 2013;54(1):1–14.
4. Savonarola A, Palmirotta R, Guadagni F, Silvestris F. Pharmacogenetics and pharmacogenomics: role of mutational analysis in anti-cancer targeted therapy. *Pharmacogenomics J*. 2012;12(4):277–286.
5. Mamot C, Drummond DC, Noble CO, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor-targeted immunoliposomes significantly enhance the efficacy of multiple anticancer drugs in vivo. *Cancer Res*. 2005;65(24):11631–11638.
6. Giovannetti E, Toffalorio F, De Pas T, Peters GJ. Pharmacogenetics of conventional chemotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer: a changing landscape? *Pharmacogenomics*. 2012;13(9):1073–1086.
7. Yin JY, Huang Q, Zhao YC, Zhou HH, Liu ZQ. Meta-analysis on pharmacogenetics of platinum-based chemotherapy in non small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(6):e38150.
8. Mayo C, Bertran-Alamillo J, Molina-Vila MA, Gimenez-Capitan A, Costa C, Rosell R. Pharmacogenetics of EGFR in lung cancer: perspectives and clinical applications. *Pharmacogenomics*. 2012;13(7):789–802.
9. Wang S, Peng L, Li J, et al. A trial-based cost-effectiveness analysis of erlotinib alone versus platinum-based doublet chemotherapy as first-line therapy for eastern asian nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(3):e55917.
10. Ihbe-Heffinger A, Paessens B, Berger K, et al. The impact of chemotherapy-induced side effects on medical care usage and cost in German hospital care – an observational analysis on non-small-cell lung cancer patients. *Support Care Cancer*. 2013;21(6):1665–1675.
11. Mylonaki E, Manika K, Zarogoulidis P, et al. In vivo synergistic cytogenetic effects of aminophylline on lymphocyte cultures from patients with lung cancer undergoing chemotherapy. *Mutat Res*. 2012;740(1–2):1–5.
12. Boutsikou E, Kontakiotis T, Zarogoulidis P, et al. Docetaxel-carboplatin in combination with erlotinib and/or bevacizumab in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Oncotargets Ther*. 2013;6:125–134.
13. Zarogoulidis K, Eleftheriadou E, Kontakiotis T, et al. Long acting somatostatin analogues in combination to antineoplastic agents in the treatment of small cell lung cancer patients. *Lung Cancer*. 2012;76(1):84–88.
14. Zarogoulidis K, Ziogas E, Papagiannis A, et al. Interferon alpha-2a and combined chemotherapy as first line treatment in SCLC patients: a randomized trial. *Lung Cancer*. 1996;15(2):197–205.
15. Hohenforst-Schmidt W. Intratumoral Chemotherapy (ITC) – a forgotten option at least in functionally or oncologically driven palliation! Paper presented at: 16th WCBE 20102010.
16. Celikoglu F, Celikoglu SI, Goldberg EP. Bronchoscopic intratumoral chemotherapy of lung cancer. *Lung Cancer*. 2008;61(1):1–12.
17. Kar UK, Srivastava MK, Andersson A, et al. Novel CCL21-vault nanocapsule intratumoral delivery inhibits lung cancer growth. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(5):e18758.
18. Macha HN, Freitag L. The role of brachytherapy in the treatment and control of central bronchial carcinoma. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis*. 1996;51(4):325–328.
19. Freitag L, Ernst A, Thomas M, Prenzel R, Wahlers B, Macha HN. Sequential photodynamic therapy (PDT) and high dose brachytherapy for endobronchial tumour control in patients with limited bronchogenic carcinoma. *Thorax*. 2004;59(9):790–793.
20. Porpodis K, Karanikas M, Zarogoulidis P, et al. A case of typical pulmonary carcinoid tumor treated with bronchoscopic therapy followed by lobectomy. *J Multidiscip Healthc*. 2012;5:47–51.
21. Freitag L, Macha H-N, Loddenkemper R. Interventional bronchoscopic procedures. *Eur Resp mon*. 2001;17:272–304.
22. Zarogoulidis P, Chatzaki E, Porpodis K, et al. Inhaled chemotherapy in lung cancer: future concept of nanomedicine. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2012;7:1551–1572.
23. Zarogoulidis P, Eleftheriadou E, Sapardanis I, et al. Feasibility and effectiveness of inhaled carboplatin in NSCLC patients. *Invest New Drugs*. 2012;30(4):1628–1640.
24. Darwiche K, Zarogoulidis P, Karamanos NK, et al. Efficacy versus safety concerns for aerosol chemotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer: a future dilemma for micro-oncology. *Future Oncol*. 2013;9(4):505–525.
25. Fujiwara T, Tanaka N, Kanazawa S, et al. Multicenter phase I study of repeated intratumoral delivery of adenoviral p53 in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24(11):1689–1699.
26. Zarogoulidis P, Chatzaki E, Hohenforst-Schmidt W, et al. Management of malignant pleural effusion by suicide gene therapy in advanced stage lung cancer: a case series and literature review. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2012;19(9):593–600.
27. Jia Y, Yuan M, Yuan H, et al. Co-encapsulation of magnetic Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles and doxorubicin into biodegradable PLGA nanocarriers for intratumoral drug delivery. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2012;7:1697–1708.
28. Cunha-Filho MS, Alvarez-Lorenzo C, Martinez-Pacheco R, Landin M. Temperature-sensitive gels for intratumoral delivery of beta-lapachone: effect of cyclodextrins and ethanol. *ScientificWorldJournal*. 2012;2012:126723.
29. Ahmed M, Moussa M, Goldberg SN. Synergy in cancer treatment between liposomal chemotherapeutics and thermal ablation. *Chem Phys Lipids*. 2012;165(4):424–437.
30. Horev-Drori G, Cooks T, Bittan H, et al. Local control of experimental malignant pancreatic tumors by treatment with a combination of chemotherapy and intratumoral 224 radium-loaded wires releasing alpha-emitting atoms. *Transl Res*. 2012;159(1):32–41.
31. Ginsberg RJ. Resection of non-small cell lung cancer: how much and by what route. *Chest*. 1997;112(Suppl 4):203S–205S.
32. McGinn CJ, Shewach DS, Lawrence TS. Radiosensitizing nucleosides. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1996;88(17):1193–1203.
33. Pauwels B, Korst AE, Lardon F, Vermorken JB. Combined modality therapy of gemcitabine and radiation. *Oncologist*. 2005;10(1):34–51.
34. Shewach DS, Lawrence TS. Antimetabolite radiosensitizers. *J Clin Oncol*. 2007;25(26):4043–4050.
35. Arazi L, Cooks T, Schmidt M, Keisari Y, Kelson I. Treatment of solid tumors by interstitial release of recoiling short-lived alpha emitters. *Phys Med Biol*. 2007;52(16):5025–5042.
36. Celikoglu F, Celikoglu SI. Intratumoural chemotherapy with 5-fluorouracil for palliation of bronchial cancer in patients with severe airway obstruction. *J Pharm Pharmacol*. 2003;55(10):1441–1448.
37. Hohenforst-Schmidt W. Intratumoral chemotherapy (ITC) as adjunct therapy in NSCLC iiiia–iv prolongs life. Poster presented at: International Conference and Exhibition on Cancer Science and Therapy; August 15–17, 2011; Las Vegas, NV.
38. Bae YH. Interview with Dr You Han Bae: ligand-mediated versus ‘passive’ targeting approaches in nanoparticle oncology research. *Ther Deliv*. 2012;3(8):933–936.
39. Yang W, Ahmed M, Elian M, et al. Do liposomal apoptotic enhancers increase tumor coagulation and end-point survival in percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of tumors in a rat tumor model? *Radiology*. 2010;257(3):685–696.
40. Le Pivert PJ, Morrison DR, Haddad RS, et al. Percutaneous tumor ablation: microencapsulated echo-guided interstitial chemotherapy combined with cryosurgery increases necrosis in prostate cancer. *Technol Cancer Res Treat*. 2009;8(3):207–216.
41. Lin X, Gao R, Zhang Y, et al. Lipid nanoparticles for chemotherapeutic applications: strategies to improve anticancer efficacy. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv*. 2012;9(7):767–781.
42. Xie H, Goins B, Bao A, Wang ZJ, Phillips WT. Effect of intratumoral administration on biodistribution of 64Cu-labeled nanoshells. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2012;7:2227–2238.
43. Sim H, Bibee K, Wickline S, Sept D. Pharmacokinetic modeling of tumor bioluminescence implicates efflux, and not influx, as the bigger hurdle in cancer drug therapy. *Cancer Res*. 2011;71(3):686–692.
44. Zhao W, Zhuang S, Qi XR. Comparative study of the in vitro and in vivo characteristics of cationic and neutral liposomes. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2011;6:3087–3098.

45. Fang J, Qin H, Nakamura H, Tsukigawa K, Shin T, Maeda H. Carbon monoxide, generated by heme oxygenase-1, mediates the enhanced permeability and retention effect in solid tumors. *Cancer Sci*. 2012;103(3):535–541.
46. Celikoglu F, Celikoglu SI, York AM, Goldberg EP. Intratumoral administration of cisplatin through a bronchoscope followed by irradiation for treatment of inoperable non-small cell obstructive lung cancer. *Lung Cancer*. 2006;51(2):225–236.
47. Celikoglu F, Celikoglu SI, Goldberg EP. Intratumoral chemotherapy of lung cancer for diagnosis and treatment of draining lymph node metastasis. *J Pharm Pharmacol*. 2010;62(3):287–295.
48. Celikoglu SI, Karayel T, Demirci S, Celikoglu F, Cagatay T. Direct injection of anti-cancer drugs into endobronchial tumours for palliation of major airway obstruction. *Postgrad Med J*. 1997;73(857):159–162.
49. Horng G, Askari S, Choi Y, Grundfest W. Sustained Localized Drug Delivery In Mammalian Lung By Bronchoscopy. Paper presented at: American Thoracic Society 2012 International Conference; May 18–23, 2012; San Francisco, CA.
50. Yeh CT, Chen HC, Sung CM, et al. Retrospective comparison between a regular and a split-dose protocol of 5-fluorouracil, cisplatin, and mitoxantrone for the treatment of far advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *BMC Cancer*. 2011;11:117.
51. Goldberg EP, Hadba AR, Almond BA, Marotta JS. Intratumoral cancer chemotherapy and immunotherapy: opportunities for nonsystemic preoperative drug delivery. *J Pharm Pharmacol*. 2002;54(2):159–180.
52. Vogl TJ, Wissniowski TT, Naguib NN, et al. Activation of tumor-specific T lymphocytes after laser-induced thermotherapy in patients with colorectal liver metastases. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2009;58(10):1557–1563.
53. Monga SP, Wadleigh R, Sharma A, et al. Intratumoral therapy of cisplatin/epinephrine injectable gel for palliation in patients with obstructive esophageal cancer. *Am J Clin Oncol*. 2000;23(4):386–392.
54. Wagai F, Kinoshita M, Shiraki R, Watanabe H, Kitamura S. [The direct injection of several anti-cancer drugs into the primary lung cancer lesion through a fiberoptic bronchoscope (author's transl).] *Nihon Kyobu Shikkai Gakkai Zasshi*. 1982;20(2):170–175. Japanese.
55. Liu M, Ma P, Lu Z. [Local chemotherapy by fibrobronchoscopy for advanced bronchogenic carcinoma.] *Zhonghua Jie He He Hu Xi Za Zhi*. 2000;23(9):550–551. Chinese.
56. Celikoglu SI, Celikoglu F, Goldberg EP. Endobronchial intratumoral chemotherapy (EITC) followed by surgery in early non-small cell lung cancer with polypoid growth causing erroneous impression of advanced disease. *Lung Cancer*. 2006;54(3):339–346.
57. Nader AD. Intratumoral chemotherapy as an adjuvant to endobronchial brachytherapy. *Chest*. 2007;132(Suppl):459.
58. Jabbardarjani H, Safara H, Kharabian S, Reza M. Endobronchial chemotherapy in malignant airway lesions of the lung: Report of 3 years experience. *J Bronchology*. 2007;14:242–245.
59. Ramos HC, Ruivo I, de Brito U. Use of intratumoral cisplatin in lung cancer. *Experimental Pathology and Health Science*. 2008;2(2):45–46.
60. He J, Ying W, Yang H, et al. Gemcitabine plus cisplatin chemotherapy with concurrent para-toluenesulfonamide local injection therapy for peripherally advanced non-small cell lung cancer larger than 3 cm in the greatest dimension. *Anticancer Drugs*. 2009;20(9):838–844.
61. Brincker H. Direct intratumoral chemotherapy. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 1993;15(2):91–98.
62. Li C, Wang Y, Zhang X, Deng L, Zhang Y, Chen Z. Tumor-targeted liposomal drug delivery mediated by a diseleno bond-stabilized cyclic peptide. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2013;8:1051–1062.
63. Kosacka M, Piesiak P, Kowal G, Golecki M, Jankowska R. Galectin-3 and cyclin D1 expression in non-small cell lung cancer. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res*. 2011;30:101.
64. Peiris PM, Bauer L, Toy R, et al. Enhanced delivery of chemotherapy to tumors using a multicomponent nanochain with radio-frequency-tunable drug release. *ACS Nano*. 2012;6(5):4157–4168.
65. Werner JA, Kehrl W, Pluzanska A, et al. A phase III placebo-controlled study in advanced head and neck cancer using intratumoral cisplatin/epinephrine gel. *Br J Cancer*. 2002;87(9):938–944.
66. Callahan DJ, Liu W, Li X, et al. Triple stimulus-responsive polypeptide nanoparticles that enhance intratumoral spatial distribution. *Nano Lett*. 2012;12(4):2165–2170.
67. Govindarajan S, Sivakumar J, Garimidi P, et al. Targeting human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 by a cell-penetrating peptide-affibody bioconjugate. *Biomaterials*. 2012;33(8):2570–2582.
68. Gopal V, Guruprasad K. Structure prediction and validation of an affibody engineered for cell-specific nucleic acid targeting. *Syst Synth Biol*. 2010;4(4):293–297.
69. Liu Q, Li R, Zhu Z, et al. Enhanced antitumor efficacy, biodistribution and penetration of docetaxel-loaded biodegradable nanoparticles. *Int J Pharm*. 2012;430(1–2):350–358.
70. Watson KD, Lai CY, Qin S, et al. Ultrasound increases nanoparticle delivery by reducing intratumoral pressure and increasing transport in epithelial and epithelial-mesenchymal transition tumors. *Cancer Res*. 2012;72(6):1485–1493.
71. Hecht JR, Farrell JJ, Senzer N, et al. EUS or percutaneously guided intratumoral TNFerade biologic with 5-fluorouracil and radiotherapy for first-line treatment of locally advanced pancreatic cancer: a phase I/II study. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 2012;75(2):332–338.
72. Hanna N, Ohana P, Konikoff FM, et al. Phase 1/2a, dose-escalation, safety, pharmacokinetic and preliminary efficacy study of intratumoral administration of BC-819 in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2012;19(6):374–381.
73. Geletneký K, Huesing J, Rommelaere J, et al. Phase I/IIa study of intratumoral/intracerebral or intravenous/intracerebral administration of Parvovirus H-1 (ParvOryx) in patients with progressive primary or recurrent glioblastoma multiforme: ParvOryx01 protocol. *BMC Cancer*. 2012;12:99.
74. Zheng X, Zhou F, Wu B, Chen WR, Xing D. Enhanced tumor treatment using biofunctional indocyanine green-containing nanostructure by intratumoral or intravenous injection. *Mol Pharm*. 2012;9(3):514–522.
75. Luo X, Xie C, Wang H, Liu C, Yan S, Li X. Antitumor activities of emulsion electrospun fibers with core loading of hydroxycamptothecin via intratumoral implantation. *Int J Pharm*. 2012;425(1–2):19–28.
76. Luo X, Xu G, Song H, et al. Promoted antitumor activities of acid-labile electrospun fibers loaded with hydroxycamptothecin via intratumoral implantation. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm*. 2012;82(3):545–553.
77. Tsuda K, Yamanaka K, Linan W, et al. Intratumoral injection of *Propionibacterium acnes* suppresses malignant melanoma by enhancing Th1 immune responses. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(12):e29020.
78. Oh SM, Oh K, Lee DS. Intratumoral administration of secondary lymphoid chemokine and unmethylated cytosine-phosphorothioate-guanine oligodeoxynucleotide synergistically inhibits tumor growth in vivo. *J Korean Med Sci*. 2011;26(10):1270–1276.
79. Yang RK, Kalogiropoulos NA, Rakhmievich AL, et al. Intratumoral hu14.18-IL-2 (IC) induces local and systemic antitumor effects that involve both activated T and NK cells as well as enhanced IC retention. *J Immunol*. 2012;189(5):2656–2664.
80. Akeda T, Yamanaka K, Kitagawa H, et al. Intratumoral injection of OK-432 suppresses metastatic squamous cell carcinoma lesion inducing interferon- γ and tumor necrosis factor- α . *Clin Exp Dermatol*. 2012;37(2):193–194.
81. Betting DJ, Hurvitz SA, Steward KK, et al. Combination of cyclophosphamide, rituximab, and intratumoral CpG oligodeoxynucleotide successfully eradicates established B cell lymphoma. *J Immunother*. 2012;35(7):534–543.
82. Zhong H, Han B, Tourkova IL, et al. Low-dose paclitaxel prior to intratumoral dendritic cell vaccine modulates intratumoral cytokine network and lung cancer growth. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2007;13(18 Pt 1):5455–5462.

83. Son CH, Shin DY, Kim SD, et al. Improvement of antitumor effect of intratumoral injection of immature dendritic cells into irradiated tumor by cyclophosphamide in mouse colon cancer model. *J Immunother*. 2012;35(8):607–614.
84. Galili U. Conversion of tumors into autologous vaccines by intratumoral injection of alpha-Gal glycolipids that induce anti-Gal/alpha-Gal epitope interaction. *Clin Dev Immunol*. 2011;2011:134020.
85. Hamalukic M, Huelsenbeck J, Schad A, Wirtz S, Kaina B, Fritz G. Rac1-regulated endothelial radiation response stimulates extravasation and metastasis that can be blocked by HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(10):e26413.
86. Raut CP, Boucher Y, Duda DG, et al. Effects of sorafenib on intratumoral interstitial fluid pressure and circulating biomarkers in patients with refractory sarcomas (NCI protocol 6948). *PLoS One*. 2012;7(2):e26331.
87. Alitalo K, Tammela T, Petrova TV. Lymphangiogenesis in development and human disease. *Nature*. 2005;438(7070):946–953.
88. Fan Y, Du W, He B, et al. The reduction of tumor interstitial fluid pressure by liposomal imatinib and its effect on combination therapy with liposomal doxorubicin. *Biomaterials*. 2013;34(9):2277–2288.
89. Shayan R, Achen MG, Stacker SA. Lymphatic vessels in cancer metastasis: bridging the gaps. *Carcinogenesis*. 2006;27(9):1729–1738.
90. Oliver G, Harvey N. A stepwise model of the development of lymphatic vasculature. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2002;979:159–165; discussion 188–196.
91. Lardinois D, Brack T, Gaspert A, et al. Bronchoscopic radioisotope injection for sentinel lymph-node mapping in potentially resectable non-small-cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2003;23(5):824–827.
92. Chen X, Wang X, Wang Y, et al. Improved tumor-targeting drug delivery and therapeutic efficacy by cationic liposome modified with truncated bFGF peptide. *J Control Release*. 2010;145(1):17–25.

Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are a feature of the journal, which

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal>

has also been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Dovepress