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Abstract: Magnetic nanoparticles heated by an alternating magnetic field could be used to treat cancers, either alone or in combination with radiotherapy or chemotherapy. However, direct intratumoral injections suffer from tumor incongruence and invasiveness, typically leaving undertreated regions, which lead to cancer regrowth. Intravenous injection more faithfully loads tumors, but, so far, it has been difficult achieving the necessary concentration in tumors before systemic toxicity occurs. Here, we describe use of a magnetic nanoparticle that, with a well-tolerated intravenous dose, achieved a tumor concentration of 1.9 mg Fe/g tumor in a subcutaneous squamous cell carcinoma mouse model, with a tumor to non-tumor ratio > 16. With an applied field of 38 kA/m at 980 kHz, tumors could be heated to 60°C in 2 minutes, durably ablating them with millimeter (mm) precision, leaving surrounding tissue intact.
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Introduction

Ferromagnetic material is composed of microscopic interacting domains. Once these domains are aligned by a field, they remain oriented and the material is magnetized. For magnetite, Fe₃O₄, the domain size is 15–80 nm.¹ Subdomain nanoparticles align and respond to a magnetic field, but when the field is removed, the thermal motion is high enough to randomly reorient them, leaving no residual magnetization. These magnetic materials are termed “superparamagnetic.” The first superparamagnetic ferrofluids were formed by finely grinding magnetic material. For intravenous (IV) use, superparamagnetic iron oxide particles (or just “magnetic nanoparticles” [MNPs]) do not aggregate, thus avoiding emboli. MNPs heat up in an alternating magnetic field (AMF), either by physical rotation (the Brownian effect) or moving the magnetic moment without particle movement (the Néel effect).²

In 1957, Gilchrist et al first used magnetic particles to heat tissues with a 1.2 MHz magnetic field.³ Application to hyperthermia treatments and cancer followed.⁴–⁶ Since then, many studies have ensued to harness this technology for potential clinical use (reviews⁷–¹²). In addition to direct tissue heating, MNPs can be incorporated into drug delivery systems that involve heat releasing the drug.¹³–¹⁷ For example, MNPs have been trapped either in the core or in between the lipid bilayer of thermosensitive liposomes and, on AMF heating, shown to release encapsulated drugs.¹³,¹⁸–²⁰ A chain of three 20 nm MNPs were attached to loaded liposomes and shown to release doxorubicin and exhibit mouse tumor control over 17 days using an unusually low 10 kHz field applied for 3 hours at a time.²¹ When positively charged cisplatin
ionically bound to phosphate-starch coated MNPs was heated, it was shown to release the drug and kill cells. In another study, a thermosensitive polymer was layered onto MNPs covalently coupled to doxorubicin with an acid-labile hydrazine bond that showed release on heating with AMF and a pH of 5.3 (the pH of endosomes). Hydrophobic and hydrophilic drugs have also been encapsulated, via emulsification, with MNPs in a polyvinyl alcohol polymer that demonstrated drug release when heated with an AMF and mouse tumor control over 30 days. Oleic acid/Pluronic-coated MNPs were associatively loaded with daunorubicin and 5-bromotetrandrine and effectively treated tumors for 12 days after AMF heating – these were shown to decrease P-glycoprotein and Bcl-2 expression while increasing Bax and caspase-3 expression, which may assist in combating multidrug resistance. Gels incorporating MNPs implanted into tumors have also been developed. Much progress has also been made in developing better quality magnetic nanoparticles that: are constructed using high temperature crystallization, heat better; have different coatings, such as dextran, polyethylene glycol (PEG), dopamine, silanes, and gold; have low Curie temperatures for heat control; and for liposomal encapsulation.

Direct intratumoral injections of MNPs followed by induction heating have shown some benefit in controlling tumor growth. Direct intratumoral injection was used in the first MNP hyperthermia clinical trial treating a prostate cancer using a 100 kHz machine designed for human patients, and later in human glioma trials which demonstrated safety and some benefit. Heating was obtained, but due to inhomogeneous MNP distribution, complete tumor eradication was not possible. Although direct intratumoral injections have the advantages of achieving high concentrations of MNPs and limiting systemic toxicity, they have the severe disadvantages of not generally covering tumors adequately, being invasive, and not being amenable to small metastatic tumor growths. In contrast, IV administration, although also not uniform, covers irregular tumor shapes more precisely, even small tumors (as has been shown with similar-sized gold nanoparticles) and is minimally invasive. Although IV administration does not result in a homogeneous tumor loading, the distribution is more global and thorough rather than the punctate distribution from direct injections. Complete uniformity is not required, since heating will fill in by conduction or surround low concentration regions. More complete tumor treatment appears better attainable with IV distributions. Previous attempts to implement IV MNPs followed by AMF heating showed some efficacy but were not able to fully ablate tumors, as the required concentration was not reached in the tumors.

From calculations, test tube experiments, and in vitro cell hyperthermia, it appears that –0.1%–0.4% iron by weight is required for adequate heating in a tumor. A barrier to this approach has been the toxicity of the MNPs at a level that achieves the required tumor loading after IV injection. Here, we present results attaining 0.19% iron in subcutaneous tumors after a nontoxic IV injection, enabling durable tumor ablation after AMF hyperthermia.

Materials and methods

MNPs
A commercially available “biocompatible” type of magnetic nanoparticles was evaluated in these studies (catalog number 9900, Nanoprobes, Yaphank, NY, USA). Specific loss power (SLP) was measured by published methods. Briefly, 1.2 mL of a 2.1 mg Fe/mL MNP solution was placed in an Eppendorf tube insulated with Styrofoam in the AMF (980 kHz, 38 kA/m). A fiber-optic thermocouple was inserted to measure the temperature over time. Using the initial slope of heating, the SLP was calculated using the formula: $SLP = (C \times V) \times \frac{dT}{dt}$, where $C$ is the volume-specific heat capacity of the sample ($C_{water} = 4185 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$), $V$ is the sample volume, and $m$ is the mass of iron (not Fe$_3$O$_4$ or compound molecular weight). Typically, in 5.3 seconds, the temperature of the sample rose by 4.2°C, whereas that of water alone rose by 0.2°C. The heating rate of water alone was subtracted from the MNP sample heating rate. A small volume of water (1.2 mL) was used, since the heating coil was only one turn. Larger volumes would lead to averaging from regions having lower applied field.

Electron microscopy
Low-magnification transmission electron microscope images were taken with an FEI BioTwinG transmission electron microscope (Hillsboro, OR, USA). High-resolution lattice images and diffraction patterns were taken with a JEOL ARM200CF double-corrected S/TEM operating at 200 keV (Tokyo, Japan). One microliter of 70 mg Fe/mL purified iron particles in water was dispersed into 1 mL acetone. The solution (50 µL) was applied to an ultrathin carbon film on holey carbon support film (400 copper mesh; Ted Pella, Redding, CA, USA) and air dried.

Dynamic light scattering
One microliter of 70 mg Fe/mL purified iron particles in water was dispersed into 1 mL water, 0.2-micron filtered, and
measured with a 90Plus Particle Size Analyzer (Brookhaven Instruments, Holtsville, NY, USA). Results are reported here for lognormal intensity analysis and error as standard error of the mean.

**Tissue culture**

Murine squamous cell carcinoma SCCVII cells (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA) were grown in Gibco® Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with Gibco 10% calf serum (Life Technologies) and Gibco Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Life Technologies). Cells were incubated at 37°C and 10% CO₂.

**Subcutaneous tumors**

SCCVII squamous cell carcinoma tumors were initiated by injecting 200,000 cells in a total volume of 50 µL containing 50% Matrigel (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) subcutaneously in the thighs of 8–10-week-old NCr nude mice (Taconic, Hudson, NY, USA). Tumors were treated with hyperthermia 10–11 days after implantation when they were ~150 mm³. Mice were euthanized when tumors reached 1000 mm³. All animal studies were carried out in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Research Council of the National Academies. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the State University of New York at Stony Brook.

**Iron injections**

Iron nanoparticles were concentrated to 130 mg Fe/mL in 80% phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) – 10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 140 mM NaCl – and injected intravenously via a tail vein at 1.7 g Fe/kg body weight (bw).

**Maximum tolerated dose (MTD50)**

Three mice in each group were intravenously injected with 0.8, 1.7, 2.6, 3.4, 4.2, and 5.1 g Fe/kg MNPs. Body weights were monitored once per day over 2 weeks and once per week for 1 month. “MTD50” is here defined as the dose at which 50% of animals lost > 15% of their original body weight any time within 1 month.

**Pharmacokinetics**

Female NCr nude mice were subcutaneously implanted with SCCVII cells as described above. The animals were intravenously injected with MNPs (1.7 g Fe/kg) once the tumors reached ~0.15 cc and three mice per time point were killed at various time points thereafter. Tissues were harvested, weighed, and analyzed for iron content. After subtraction of iron from control mice (without MNP injection), the means and standard error of the means were plotted. Six time points were assayed: 5 minutes, 1 hour, and 4, 8, 24, and 96 hours. Blood half-life was analyzed as a two-component decay with exponential fitting using a two-phase half-life model with Prism 5 software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA).

**Iron measurement**

To release iron, the tissues were first digested with a strong acid mixture of 1 M H₂SO₄ and 1 M HNO₃ and heated to 60°C. After tissues were mostly dissolved (~30–40 minutes), HCl was added at 3:1 HCl:HNO₃ ratio. Triton X-100 (final 10%) was also added to solubilize cell membranes.

Tissue iron content was measured by a colorimetric method adapted from Ceriotti and Ceriotti. Briefly, 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) was added to the digested tissue samples to 0.1 M and the pH adjusted to 3 with 10 N KOH. Ascorbic acid (final 10%) was added to reduce the ferric ions to ferrous ions. Finally, Ferrozine™ reagent (3-(2-Pyridyl)-5,6-diphenyl-1,2,4-triazine-p,p′-disulfonic acid monosodium salt hydrate; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) was added to the solution to form a purple-colored complex. The absorption was measured at 562 nm and compared with a standard curve. This method was further calibrated by the Nanotechnology Characterization Laboratory at the National Institutes of Health using inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy using a National Institute of Standards and Technology iron standard. The tissue iron concentration of mice without MNPs was subtracted from the MNP-injected mice tissue concentrations.

**Induction equipment**

A 10 kW induction heater with a single turn, 2.5 cm diameter coil operating at 980 kHz and 38 kA/m (model SI-10KWHF, Superior Induction, Pasadena, CA, USA) was used for treatment, or alternatively model IMH5.0 (MSI Automation, Inc., Wichita, KS, USA). Field strength was measured with a two-dimensional magnetic field probe (model 0015, 100 kHz to 1 MHz, AMF Life Systems, Rochester, MI, USA).

**Hyperthermia treatment**

Mice were anesthetized intraperitoneally with ketamine (100 mg/kg)/xylazine (8 mg/kg) and positioned in a Plexiglas holder such that one leg extended downward through a 1 cm hole and this was anchored to a lower plate via dental floss loosely tied around the ankle. The holder was attached to a computer-controlled stepping motor (T-LS80-I; Zaber, Vancouver, BC, Canada) that oscillated the mouse leg vertically through
the center of the coil with a stroke of 25 mm, encompassing the
~6 mm tumors and surrounding tissue. The oscillation speed
was 4 mm/second with a period of 6 seconds. The surface
temperature of the tumor and surrounding skin was monitored
using a FLIR SC300 series thermal camera (FLIR Systems,
Wilsonville, OR, USA). Internal tumor temperatures were
monitored with fiber-optic thermocouples (Reflex-4, Neoptix
Canada, La Malbaie, QC, Canada) on some mice to determine
the correlation between internal versus external temperatures.
The mice legs were scanned in the AMF 24 hours after IV injec-
tion of MNPs. To monitor and limit normal tissue damage from
heat conduction from the heated tumor into surrounding tissue,
the field was applied until the skin 0.8 cm from the tumor edge
reached 50°C, which typically took ~2 minutes.

Results
We evaluated the properties and in vivo use of a newly avail-
able type of biocompatible magnetic particles with a core of
Fe₃O₄ (magnetite) and a 2000 MW PEG coating. Electron
microscopy showed the iron oxide core to be 11.3 ± 2.3 nm in
size (Figure 1A). High-resolution imaging and the diffraction
pattern were consistent with Fe₃O₄ cores (Figure 1B and C).⁶⁴
Dynamic light scattering indicated that the MNPs had a
hydrodynamic diameter of 23.8 ± 0.1 nm and a polydispersity
of 0.087. Their efficiency of heating in an AMF (38 kA/m,
980 kHz), characterized by SLP, was 754 W/g(Fe). “SLP,”
also termed “specific absorption rate,” is the rate of energy
absorbed from the applied AMF per unit mass. A control
sample of water showed no measurable heating.

Pharmacokinetics was measured after injection of the
dose used for therapy (IV 1.7 g Fe/kg). The concentration of
iron in various tissues after subtraction of normal body iron
is shown in Figure 2. For the measurement times assayed,
the tumor concentration peaked at 1.9 ± 0.3 mg Fe/cc at
24 hours. The highest muscle concentration occurred at
8 hours, 0.12 ± 0.02 mg Fe/cc, giving a peak tumor to peak
non-tumor (surrounding muscle) ratio of 15.8. At 24 hours,
the muscle content could not be distinguished from normal
muscle iron content (0.068 mg Fe/cc⁶⁶), which would give a
tumor to non-tumor ratio of >16.0 at 24 hours. Blood clear-
ance exhibited a rapid early half-life of 2.0 hours followed
by a slow component half-life of 14.0 hours.

An initial toxicity study determined the MTD50 (defined as
the dose at which 50% of animals lost >15% of original body
weight any time within 1 month) to be 4.7 g Fe/kg. Mice IV
injected at 3.4 g Fe/kg have now survived >12 months
without showing any clinical signs of toxicity.

Nude mice with subcutaneous squamous cell carcinomas
(SCCVII) implanted in their legs were heated by placing the
legs in an AMF (Figure 3). A stepping motor was used to scan
the leg through the field so that it would be heated uniformly.
A fiber-optic thermocouple was placed in the tumor center in
test animals to determine the difference between the surface
(measured with an infrared camera) and center of the tumor.
This difference was less than ±2°C (which has also been
observed by others⁶⁷), so the external temperature was used
so as not to invasively disturb tissues. The tumor heating rate
is shown in Figure 4. These experiments showed that tumors
could be rapidly heated to ablative temperatures (60°C in
2 minutes) after a well-tolerated IV injection of MNPs. Due
to the 16:1 MNP ratio of tumor to non-tumor surrounding tissue,
normal tissue (with the same IV MNP injection and field) was
found to have a temperature of 36°C after 2 minutes. Lowering
the MNP injection by one-half (0.85 g Fe/kg) or lowering the
field by one-half also resulted in ineffective treatment levels
of a temperature < 42°C after 2 minutes. AMF alone without
MNPs resulted in a leg temperature of 36°C after 2 minutes
(Figure 4). We also noted that tumors after 2.6 g Fe/kg IV
administration were heated to 82°C in 2 minutes.

For therapy, mice with subcutaneous squamous cell car-
cinomas implanted on their legs were treated by IV injection
of 1.7 g Fe/kg then their legs were heated 24 hours later using

Figure 1 Transmission electron microscopy images of the magnetic nanoparticles. (A) Particle cores measured to be 11.3 ± 2.3 nm (scale bar = 100 nm). (B) High-resolution lattice image of a 9.9 nm particle showing its crystalline core (scale bar = 5 nm). (C) Electron diffraction pattern, identifying cores as Fe₃O₄ (scale bar = 10 nm⁻¹).
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the magnetic field. Results are shown in Figure 5. The amount of IV-administered MNPs delivered to the tumors was enough in combination with the field strength to effectively ablate nearly all tumors (78%–90%, results of two independent experiments). Control treatments (ie, no treatment, magnetic field treatment only, or MNP treatment only) had no measurable effect on tumor growth or survival. Successfully treated tumors were rapidly liquefied and resorbed in 1–2 days (Figure 6). After complete remission (at 160 days), mice had virtually the same leg diameter at the place of the tumor (5.47 mm average) as at their untreated contralateral leg (5.53 mm average) with no leg dysfunction, indicating that the treatment was well confined with less than ∼1 mm of normal tissue damage.

**Discussion**

The extraordinary efficacy attained in our study for an extremely aggressive tumor can be attributed to a...
Notes: Intravenous injection of 1.7 g Fe/kg and followed 24 hours later by exposure to an alternating magnetic field (38 kA/m, 980 kHz, 2 minutes) resulted in durable ablation of tumors (7/9 = 78%, n = 9, absence of palpable tumor). A repeated experiment showed 90% (n = 10) thermoablation. Controls – no treatment, magnetic field only, and only magnetic nanoparticles – had no measurable effect on survival.

Abbreviations: AMF, alternating magnetic field; n, number of animals per group.

Figure 5 Magnetic nanoparticle (MNP) hyperthermia treatment.

The present study focused on obtaining long-lasting cancer abatement in vivo to address the substantial obstacles encountered in transitioning from cell studies to animals with tumors. What appears exciting in vitro may easily fail in vivo. The translation from mice to humans is also fraught with uncertainty and new reasons for potential failure. Personnel at MagForce (Berlin, Germany) are to be commended for their construction of an appropriate AMF machine for humans and application to human prostate in 2005 and more recently to human gliomas. Direct intratumoral injection of MNPs into recurrent gliomas and AMF heating to the same temperature.

Arterial administration of magnetic microparticles causing emboli in liver tumors followed by AMF heating was found to be vastly superior to direct injection of the same amount and AMF heating to the same temperature. A heating strategy does not require perfect homogeneity, because the heat will either extend to adjacent cells that have fewer MNPs or starve entrapped regions. Ideally, the heating should cover the tumor’s growing edge to be consistently effective, precisely where the leakage of IV nanoparticles is greatest. In comparison with direct intratumoral injection, IV injection has the additional advantage of precisely loading many tumors simultaneously, which could then be treated in one application – a much needed strategy for metastases.

The present study focused on obtaining long-lasting cancer abatement in vivo to address the substantial obstacles encountered in transitioning from cell studies to animals with tumors. What appears exciting in vitro may easily fail in vivo. The translation from mice to humans is also fraught with uncertainty and new reasons for potential failure. Personnel at MagForce (Berlin, Germany) are to be commended for their construction of an appropriate AMF machine for humans and application to human prostate in 2005 and more recently to human gliomas. Direct intratumoral injection of MNPs into recurrent gliomas and AMF heating combined with radiotherapy resulted in a survival time (from primary diagnosis, “OS-1”) of 23.2 months compared with 14.6 months (taken from another published study) with radiotherapy only. Another objective of the present study was to follow tumors for at least 3 months, since frequently a treatment that appears promising after 10–30 days has really
only killed some of the tumor cells and tumors reappear after a month or more. In Tables 1 and 2, our results are compared with some other in vivo MNP treatments reported thus far. Table 1 shows studies using direct intratumoral injections of the MNPs and Table 2 shows those attempting intravenous administration. Our study (to 160 days) was the longest; many others report tumor response to only 14–35 days. For direct intratumoral injection (Table 1), several studies reported tumor-free survival. For example, Ito et al{42} impressively controlled 1.5 cm tumors for at least 120 days by multiply retreatting with intratumoral MNP injections and AMF heating treatments. It appears that direct intratumoral injections can be effective but are restricted by invasiveness and ability to produce adequate tumor MNP coverage. Fewer studies have been reported using IV injections (Table 2); those that have, all reported some tumor growth inhibition but all animals died of tumor overgrowth. Our study is the only one showing long term survival after IV administration. For other IV treatments, the maximum iron injected was 100 mg Fe/kg, presumably limited by toxicity. As has been noted previously, it is difficult to achieve the required tumor concentration by IV administration. In our study, 1.7 g Fe/kg was used and delivered a sufficient amount to the tumor. Another striking difference between our study and others is that only a single heat treatment of 2 minutes duration was used, while all other studies utilized a treatment time of at least 20 minutes and some performed multiple treatments.

The amount of iron used here is considerably larger than that used in other iron imaging or therapy applications. It was used for proof of principle to demonstrate that highly effective selective tumor heating can be obtained at a well-tolerated IV dose. The amount of iron might be reduced with further dose–time–temperature studies or use of particles with higher SLP. Nevertheless, this high amount of iron raises issues of toxicity and clearance. With a MTD50 of 4.8 g Fe/kg, the magnetite particle used here (still investigational) is in the same range as US Food and Drug Administration-approved MNPs used as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrast agents, which have been thoroughly tested for broad-spectrum toxicity, some of which have a median lethal dose (ie, lethal dose, 50% [LD50]) of 6 g/kg. At 1.7 g Fe/kg, the amount of iron given to a human would be 119 g Fe, 34 times the normal body iron content of 3.5 g. At this level in mice we observed no obvious clinical signs of toxicity (no weight loss or abnormal behavior) over the course of 1 year, but there was darkening of the skin that very gradually cleared over several months. The stability and slow breakdown of the particles is key to their not imposing any sudden toxic free iron load. This might be considered similar to swallowing arsenic encased in a glass bead, which would produce no adverse effects. Thus, the surprisingly large amount of iron should not be grounds for immediate dismissal of consideration for human use. Rejection should also not be based on comparison with other iron compounds, since each compound or construct has its own, often radically different, toxicity profile. In addition, if the method eradicates cancers when other methods do not, minor side effects could be tolerated. For example, cisplatin has a LD50 of 11 mg/kg IV in mice. Scaled by body surface area, it would have a projected human equivalent LD50 of 0.89 mg/kg. However, standard human treatment doses are 2.5 mg/kg (100 mg/m²), 2.8 times higher than the LD50 predicted from animal studies. In any case, more thorough toxicity studies are needed.

Liver uptake per gram for the MNPs is greater than for the tumor (Figure 2). This is commonly the case for intravenously injected materials. It might imply that tumors near the liver should be avoided by the locally applied magnetic field, but not necessarily, since the liver regenerates and often half or more is resected surgically to remove tumors. Liver tumors might be treated if sufficient differential tumor delivery could be achieved by targeting or hepatic artery administration.

Tumor targeting in this study was by the enhanced permeability and retention effect. Targeting by antibodies, peptides, porphyrins, drugs, or other tumor-binding molecules or, alternatively, targeting tumor vasculature, tumor-related, and tumor environment epitopes could improve tumor uptake and specificity and lower the amount needed for injection. A potential problem with previously trialed MNPs coated with dextran was their rapid removal by liver and spleen. At 1 hour post-injection, dextran MNPs had 52% of the injected dose in the liver and spleen, compared with 16% for the MNPs used here. Another study used 20 nm antibody-targeted intravenously administered MNPs, which produced a tumor uptake of 14% injected dose per gram of tissue (id/g), higher than our 6% id/g, but the injected amount was ~1.6 mg compared with our ~42 mg, resulting in tumor concentrations of ~0.2 mg Fe/g versus our 1.9 mg Fe/g. Their study showed delay of tumor doubling time but no complete remissions, consistent with basic studies indicating the need for higher concentrations in the tumor.

External magnetic focusing (such as placement of external magnets or fields) to guide MNPs to an internal location is not stably possible, since external fields are strongest at their origin and MNPs move in a field gradient toward such an external source; that is, the MNPs would move toward the skin. Therefore, biotargeting appears to be the most fruitful approach to localizing MNPs to internal
Table 1 Direct intratumoral injection of magnetic nanoparticles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injection, animal, tumor location</th>
<th>NP core size (nm)</th>
<th>SLP (W/g)</th>
<th>Amount of Fe</th>
<th>Freq (kHz)/Field (kA/m)</th>
<th># Treatments</th>
<th>Heat time (min)</th>
<th>Temp reached (˚C)</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Days assayed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IT, m, sc&lt;sup&gt;67&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>17 mg</td>
<td>55/20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Tumor size decreased 60% in 14 days</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT, m, sc&lt;sup&gt;79&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>0.3 mg</td>
<td>500/37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>All tumors went to 0 volume</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT, m, sc&lt;sup&gt;45&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>28 mg (5 mg Fe/g tumor)</td>
<td>160/56</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3 of 4 mice tumor free at 60 days</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT, m, sc&lt;sup&gt;62&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10 nm MNPs in cationic liposomes</td>
<td>118/nr</td>
<td>many</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5 of 5 mice with initial tumor size of 1.5 cm tumor free at 120 days</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT, m, sc&lt;sup&gt;62&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10 nm MNPs in cationic liposomes</td>
<td>1.4 mg</td>
<td>118/nr</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5 of 5 mice with 0.7 cm initial tumor size tumor free at 35 days; 1 of 5 survived to 35 days if tumor was 1.5 cm</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT, h, sc&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10 nm MNPs in cationic liposomes</td>
<td>3 mg</td>
<td>118/nr</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4 of 4 tumor free at 90 days</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT, m, sc&lt;sup&gt;46&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10 nm MNPs in cationic liposomes</td>
<td>1.4 mg</td>
<td>118/31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1 of 8 survived to 30 days</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT, r, sc&lt;sup&gt;67&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>150 nm MNPs in 32 µm micro-spheres</td>
<td>-54 mg</td>
<td>53/45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>43–50</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tumors reduced in volume 29%</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP*, m, ip&lt;sup&gt;46&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-18x7 nm rods</td>
<td>145/40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>7 day life extension, all dead by day 33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT, r, sc&lt;sup&gt;66&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10 nm MNPs in cationic liposomes</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>360/nr</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>3 of 4 tumors decreased over 30 days, but increased thereafter</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT, m, sc&lt;sup&gt;67&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10 nm MNPs in cationic liposomes</td>
<td>2 mg</td>
<td>118/nr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>9 of 10 were tumor free at 90 days</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT, m, sc&lt;sup&gt;72&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>21 mg</td>
<td>400/6.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Immediate histology study</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Fe, iron; Freq, frequency; h, hepatic; IT, intratumoral; IP*, intraperitoneal of macrophages preloaded with MNPs; m, mouse; NP, nanoparticle; r, rabbit; sc, subcutaneous; SLP, specific loss power; nr, not reported.
tumors. However, to some extent, the field can be shaped with external low-reluctance material to help avoid critical regions. 87

It appears that the method presented here is powerful enough to heat and ablate tumors (at least in mice), but it must be applied judiciously, as overheating can damage surrounding normal tissue due to direct heat conduction and blood-flow heat transfer. Many proteins denature at ∼55°C. Controls indicated that the amount of MNPs in normal tissue did not significantly contribute to normal tissue heating, since with or without MNPs, both showed the same 36°C temperature after 2 minutes (Figure 4). Optimization of a heating protocol is critical to minimizing normal surrounding tissue damage. Here, we chose to heat tumors rapidly to ablative temperatures for a short total time (∼2 minutes), as opposed to heating slowly, which would allow adjacent normal tissue to equilibrate with the tumor temperature. This strategy protected the underlying leg from damage. However, other protocols might be to heat for a longer time at lower temperatures, which would lead to cellular apoptosis rather than necrosis. Theoretical thermodynamic studies have been reported that address the optimal application of magnetic hyperthermia. 88,89

For clinical use, it may be envisioned that dose planning will be undertaken similarly to that for radiation. The iron concentrations can be mapped by MRI, computed tomography, or magnetorelaxometry 90,91 and, knowing the precise SLP of the particles and field strength, the heating topography can be predicted, as has been done in human magnetic nanoparticle brain tumor hyperthermia treatments. 76 Subjecting to a tissue/blood-flow modeling program can approximate the heating profile without the need for multiple invasive thermocouples. 92 It would be difficult to measure internal temperatures in real-time by MRI, since the induction heating equipment would have to be non-magnetic.

For clinical application, there is also concern about eddy current heating in normal tissues at high fields and frequencies. 10,41 However, this might be countered by increasing the SLP of the particles, reducing the frequency, application to smaller diameters such as head or extremities, and lower target temperatures. Hyperthermia has long been known to be synergistic with chemotherapy and radiotherapy 78,92-94 and requires much lower temperatures (∼40°C–43°C).

Conclusion
The IV delivery of biocompatible magnetic nanoparticles is now able to achieve the tumor iron concentrations needed for effective hyperthermia. With these concentrations and a high tumor to non-tumor ratio, precise tumor ablation is now possible. Because IV delivery generally loads tumors better than direct intratumoral injection, conforming to tumors’ irregular shapes, this advance in mice may be of use clinically. Combination with chemotherapy or radiotherapy should enhance their efficacy.
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Table 2 Intravenous injection of magnetic nanoparticles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injection, animal, tumor location</th>
<th>NP core size (nm)</th>
<th>SLP (W/g)</th>
<th>Amount of Fe mg/kg</th>
<th>Freq (kHz)/Field (kA/m)</th>
<th># Treatments</th>
<th>Heat time (min)</th>
<th>Temp reached (°C)</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Days assayed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV, m, sc90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>–75</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>153/56</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Doubling time 20 days compared to control</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV, m, sc90</td>
<td>10 nm MNPs in antibody-liposomes</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>–100 mg/kg</td>
<td>118/31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Survival better than untreated but all animals dead by day 75</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA, r, h87</td>
<td>–150 nm MNPs in 32 um microspheres</td>
<td>–54 mg</td>
<td>53/45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>43–50</td>
<td>Tumors reduced in volume 79%; found superior to direct injection</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV, m, sc160 (this study)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>1.7 g/kg</td>
<td>980/38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7 of 9 (78%) tumor free at 160</td>
<td>160 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Fe, iron; Freq, frequency; h, hepatic; IV, intravenous; IA, intraarterial; m, mice; MNP, magnetic nanoparticle; NP, nanoparticle; r, rabbit; sc, subcutaneous; SLP, specific loss power.
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