Effect of ranibizumab on serous and vascular pigment epithelial detachments associated with exudative age-related macular degeneration
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Purpose: To report the effect of intravitreal ranibizumab therapy for serous and vascular pigment epithelial detachments (PED) associated with choroidal neovascularisation (CNV) secondary to age-related macular degeneration (AMD).

Methods: In a prospective study, best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and optical coherence tomography (OCT) data were collected for 62 eyes of 62 patients, with serous or vascular PED associated with CNV secondary to AMD. Intravitreal ranibizumab 0.5 mg was administered with a loading phase of three consecutive monthly injections, followed by monthly review with further treatment, as indicated according to the retreatment criteria of the PrONT0 study. The change in visual acuity and PED height from baseline to month 12 after the first injection was determined.

Results: Sixty-one eyes of 61 patients (one of the patients developed retinal pigment epithelial tear and was excluded from the study) were assessed at the 12-month follow-up examination. There were two types of PED, including vascular PED in 32 patients (Group A) and serous PED (Group B) in 29 patients. The mean improvement of mean BCVA from baseline to 12 months was 0.09 logMAR (Logarithm of the Minimum Angle of Resolution) in Group A and 0.13 logMAR in Group B. Both groups showed significant improvement of the mean BCVA 12 months after the first injection compared with the baseline value \((P<0.05)\). In relation to the PED height, the mean decrease of mean PED height from baseline to 12 months was 135 \(\mu m\) in Group A and 180 \(\mu m\) in Group B. Both groups showed significant reduction of the PED height during the follow-up period \((P<0.01)\). The PED anatomical response to ranibizumab was not correlated with the BCVA improvement in any of the groups. Apart from one patient who developed pigment epithelial tear no other complications were documented.

Conclusion: Ranibizumab is an effective and safe treatment for improving vision in patients with serous and vascular PED, although the anatomical response of the PED to ranibizumab may not correlate directly with the visual outcome.
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Introduction

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a leading cause of blindness in industrialised countries.1 AMD has been classified into a non-exudative or dry form and an exudative or wet form with choroidal neovascularization (CNV). Both of these forms lead to vision loss, but prognosis is even worse in patients affected by the exudative form, due to intra- and subretinal leakage and fibrotic lesions in the posterior pole.2
Some patients with AMD may manifest retinal pigment epithelial detachment (PED), in which the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) physically separates from the underlying Bruch's membrane. PEDs associated with AMD are classified by clinical and angiographic characteristics as drusenoid, serous, vascular or fibrovascular, and hemorrhagic.

The management of patients with PED secondary to AMD is controversial due to the difficulty in specifying the presence of underlying CNV. In addition, therapeutic options for PED are limited and usually provide poor outcome. Recently, agents inhibiting the activities of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), such as ranibizumab, bevacizumab and pegaptanib have been used for the treatment of CNV in patients with AMD.

This prospective study reports the efficacy of intravitreal ranibizumab (Lucentis, Novartis, Basel, Switzerland) monotherapy as a treatment for serous and vascular PEDs associated with exudative AMD (CNV-PED).

**Material and methods**

This study was performed in accordance with institutional guidelines and the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients were thoroughly informed and provided their consent to participate in the study.

In a prospective cohort study of 62 patients, baseline and follow-up data were collected for eyes with newly diagnosed serous and vascular PED associated with CNV secondary to AMD and treated with 0.5 mg of intravitreal ranibizumab monotherapy. The study protocol included best-corrected Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS), visual acuity score (BCVA), PED height as measured by optical coherence tomography (OCT), and the assessment of intraretinal or subretinal fluid as evaluated by OCT.

Patients with BCVA worse than 20/400 at baseline, retinal angiomatic proliferation (RAP), polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy (PCV), PED without any evidence of CNV, hemorrhagic PED, mixed PED (co-existing fibrovascular and serous PED), co-existing ocular diseases such as glaucoma or diabetic retinopathy, and patients previously treated for AMD were not included in the study.

All patients were scanned with Spectralis OCT (Heidelberg, Germany). The built-in manual calliper tool was used to measure the PED height on the OCT monitor. Before treatment, fluorescein and indocyanine green (ICG) angiography (Spectralis, Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) was performed to determine the baseline lesion features, and the images were evaluated by a single physician. According to baseline fluorescein and ICG angiography and OCT examination findings, PEDs were classified into two subgroups, vascular/fibrovascular PEDs and serous PEDs as described by Pepple and Mruthyunjaya. The objective of classifying PED into two groups was to investigate whether vascular PED shows better or worse response to intravitreal ranibizumab than serous PED.

Routine examination included ETDRS BCVA, Goldmann applanation tonometry, slit-lamp biomicroscopy, fundoscopy, and OCT. Time points of measurements were prior to intravitreal ranibizumab treatment (baseline), after 3 months, after 6 months, and after 12 months from the first intravitreal injection. Moreover, fluorescein and ICG angiography was performed every 3 months after the first injection in order to determine new area of CNV.

Initial treatment was administered as a loading phase of three consecutive monthly 0.5 mg/0.05 mL ranibizumab injections, followed by a maintenance phase of repeated injections as required, according to visual acuity, OCT, and clinical examination findings. Intravitreal injections were repeated if any of the following changes were observed by the evaluating ophthalmologist: (1) Visual acuity loss of at least 5 letters with OCT evidence of fluid in the macula, (2) an increase in OCT central foveal thickness of at least 100 μm, (3) new macular hemorrhage, (4) new area of CNV, or (5) evidence of persistent fluid on OCT one month after the previous injection; according to the retreatment criteria of the Prospective OCT Imaging of Patients with Neovascular AMD Treated with Intravitreal Ranibizumab (PrONTO) study. The presence of PED alone was not considered to be indication for retreatment.

All data were collected on an MS-Excel 2003 spreadsheet (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, 2003). The BCVA (Snellen equivalent of the ETDRS score) was converted to logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) equivalents for statistical analysis. Changes in the BCVA and PED height between baseline and the follow-up examinations were evaluated using paired Student’s t-test. Results are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). In addition, Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to evaluate a possible correlation between anatomical and functional results. In all comparisons, P < 0.05 defined statistically significant differences.

**Results**

The study included a total of 62 eyes presenting with exudative AMD associated with CNV-PED. One of the patients developed RPE tear after the second injection and was excluded from the study.

Group A (vascular PED) consisted of 32 patients of 69 years of age on average (55 to 80 years). The right eye was affected in 12 cases. Group B (serous PED) consisted...
of 29 patients of 71 years of age on average (55 to 83). The right eye was affected in 12 cases. Baseline characteristics of the two groups are summarized in Table 1.

In Group A, mean baseline BCVA was 0.57 logMAR. At month 3, 6 and 12 mean BCVA improvement was 0.06 logMAR, 0.06 logMAR and 0.09 logMAR respectively. No statistically significant difference was observed between baseline BCVA and 3 months BCVA ($P = 0.12$), or between baseline BCVA and 6 months BCVA ($P = 0.14$), however significant difference was documented between baseline BCVA and 12 months BCVA ($P = 0.04$).

In Group B, mean baseline BCVA was 0.60 logMAR. At month 3, 6 and 12 mean BCVA improvement was 0.08 logMAR, 0.10 logMAR and 0.13 logMAR respectively. There was no statistically significant difference between baseline BCVA and 3 months BCVA ($P = 0.06$), or between baseline BCVA and 6 months BCVA ($P = 0.09$), however significant difference was observed between baseline BCVA and 12 months BCVA ($P = 0.04$).

The mean improvement of mean BCVA from baseline to 12 months was statistically significant for both the vascular PED group ($P = 0.04$) and the serous PED group ($P = 0.04$), but the difference of the improvement between the two groups was not significant (Unpaired $t$-test, $P = 0.6$).

The detailed status of mean BCVA in both groups is outlined in Table 2.

In Group A, mean baseline PED height was 420 $\mu$m. At month 3, 6 and month 12 mean PED height decrease was 80 $\mu$m, 103 $\mu$m and 135 $\mu$m respectively. Statistically significant difference was observed between baseline PED height and PED height at 3 ($P < 0.01$), 6 ($P < 0.01$) and 12 months ($P < 0.01$).

In Group B, mean baseline PED height was 524 $\mu$m. At month 3, 6 and month 12 mean PED height decrease was 107 $\mu$m, 147 $\mu$m and 180 $\mu$m respectively. Statistically significant difference was observed between baseline PED height and PED height at 3 ($P = 0.01$), 6 ($P < 0.01$) and 12 months ($P < 0.01$).

The mean decrease of mean PED height from baseline to 12 months was statistically significant for both the vascular PED group ($P < 0.01$) and the serous PED group ($P < 0.01$), but the difference of the decrease between the two groups was not significant (Unpaired $t$-test, $P = 0.097$).

The detailed status of mean PED height in both groups is depicted in Table 3.

This study did not reveal any correlation between change in the PED height and change in the BCVA either in Group A (vascular PED group) (Pearson’s correlation coefficient, $r = 0.09$; $P = 0.6$), or in Group B (serous PED group) (Pearson’s correlation coefficient, $r = 0.04$; $P = 0.8$).

Treatment was well tolerated, and there were no recorded cases of endophthalmitis, however one of the patients developed RPE tear after the second injection. This was the only complication.

Representative cases of PED evolution after intravitreal ranibizumab injection are depicted in Figures 1 and 2.

**Table 1 Patient demographics and baseline characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Group A (vascular PED)</th>
<th>Group B (serous PED)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>17♀, 15♂</td>
<td>17♀, 12♂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye affected</td>
<td>12 OD, 20 OS</td>
<td>12 OD, 17 OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age ± SD (years)</td>
<td>69 ± 8</td>
<td>71 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean baseline BCVA ± SD (logMAR)</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean baseline PED height ± SD (µm)</td>
<td>420 ± 110</td>
<td>524 ± 127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean average injections ± SD</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>7.1 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2 Mean BCVA (logMAR)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Baseline Mean ± SD</th>
<th>3 months Mean ± SD</th>
<th>6 months Mean ± SD</th>
<th>12 months Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3 PED height (µm)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Baseline Mean ± SD</th>
<th>3 months Mean ± SD</th>
<th>6 months Mean ± SD</th>
<th>12 months Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>420 ± 110</td>
<td>340 ± 91</td>
<td>317 ± 97</td>
<td>285 ± 114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>524 ± 127</td>
<td>417 ± 113</td>
<td>377 ± 111</td>
<td>344 ± 117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The principal finding in this study is that ranibizumab is effective in improving vision in patients with CNV-PED secondary to exudative AMD, as evaluated at 12 months after the first intravitreal injection. At the end of the follow-up period, BCVA worsened only in five patients (15.6%) in Group A, and in four patients (13.8%) in Group B. According to previous studies, patients with PED, secondary to AMD, presented significant functional and anatomical benefit after anti-VEGF therapy compared with those receiving no treatment. However, the use of anti-VEGF agents for the treatment of PED associated with exudative AMD remains controversial. Introini et al in a retrospective study...
of 132 eyes concluded that there is no effective therapy for PED secondary to AMD, suggesting that anti-VEGF therapy could achieve only stabilization of the disease, but with high risk of RPE tear. On the other hand, Arora and McKibbin in their retrospective study, including 19 eyes with vascularized and avascular PED, reported that moderate visual loss (15 or more ETDRS letters) was avoided in 95% of their patients and that 25% had a gain of 15 or more ETDRS letters, concluding that ranibizumab is an effective treatment for both vascularized and avascular PED. In a prospective, comparative study, including 15 eyes, Arias reported that pegaptanib and bevacizumab are both an effective and safe treatment for CNV-PEDs secondary to AMD.

Our study demonstrated that ranibizumab was effective in improving vision and macular anatomy in patients with both vascular and serous CNV-PED secondary to AMD. Regarding the number of injections required per eye, our study revealed no significant differences according to the type of PED (P = 0.06) suggesting that equivalent suppression of CNV and resolution of exudative findings, such as subretinal or intraretinal fluid, were achieved after ranibizumab therapy irrespective of the PED type. Regarding the influence of the PED height on BCVA, the BCVA response to treatment with ranibizumab was not correlated with the degree of response of the PED height to the treatment. Previous studies have also reported absence of any correlation between visual improvement and resolution of the PED. Therefore, the visual acuity improvement after ranibizumab may be independent of the response of PED to ranibizumab.

In literature there is only one recent study, evaluating the prognosis of patients with neovascular AMD after intravitreal anti-VEGF treatment according to the type of PED, by Inoue et al. This study, consisting of 56 eyes (11 serous PED, 28 fibrovascular PED, 7 mixed PED, and 10 hemorrhagic PED) treated with intravitreal ranibizumab and evaluated over a period of 12 months after the initial injection, demonstrated that ranibizumab was an effective treatment for stabilizing or improving vision in patients with PED, but with better results in patients with serous PED. On the contrary, our study showed that patients with serous CNV-PED secondary to AMD treated with ranibizumab presented the same functional and anatomical results as patients with vascular...
PED. This disagreement between these two studies could be explained by the following facts: (1) in the study of Inoue et al there was a significant difference in the sample size between the two groups (28 fibrovascular PED, 11 serous PED) whereas in our study the two samples were symmetric (32 fibrovascular PED, 29 serous PED) and (2) there were different inclusion criteria between the two studies; patients with PCV were excluded in our study, whereas patients with PCV were included in the study of Inoue et al in the group of serous PED.

In conclusion, ranibizumab is an effective and safe treatment for stabilizing or improving vision in patients with serous and vascular PED, although the anatomical response of the PED to ranibizumab may not correlate directly with the visual outcome. Larger studies with longer follow-up periods are necessary in order to validate the results of this study.
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