Sinus venosus atrial septal defect: a rare cause of misplacement of pacemaker leads
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Abstract: Routine implantation of pacemakers and implantable cardioverter defibrillators is not commonly associated with complications. However, in some cases we see misplacement of pacemaker leads which is most often related to the presence of underlying cardiac anomalies. We report the case of misplacement of a pacemaker lead into the left ventricle of a 56-year-old patient paced in VVI/R mode and with a tined type pacemaker lead because of a symptomatic complete atrioventricular block. Electrocardiogram showed a pacemaker-generated rhythm with a right bundle branch block pattern. Chest X-ray showed the pacemaker lead located relatively high in relation to the diaphragm. Echocardiography visualized the pacemaker lead in the left heart chambers (atrium and ventricle), hence confirming its aberrant course. Further, the defect causing its passage to the left heart chambers was a sinus venosus atrial septal defect. The patient reported no complication related to the misplacement of the lead. After a brief period of oral anticoagulation, the lead was inserted into the right ventricle by percutaneous technique.
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Introduction
One of the complications associated with transvenous pacemaker implantation is the inadvertent passage of the pacing lead into the left ventricle. This is a rare complication and can be a source of arterial thromboembolism. We report here the case of a patient with pacemaker lead misplacement in the left ventricle through an aberrant path via a sinus venosus atrial septal defect.

Case report
A 56-year-old woman presented at consultation with stage III New York Heart Association dyspnea which had started 3 months prior to presentation. Clinical examination found systolic and diastolic hypertension of 200/90 mmHg, auscultatory bradycardia of 42 beats per minute, and an apical systolic murmur with an intensity of 3/6. There was a complete atrioventricular block with narrow QRS complexes, at an atrial rate of 79 cycles per minute and a ventricular rate of 38 cycles per minute on surface electrocardiogram. Following this diagnosis, the patient underwent a single chamber pacemaker implantation (mode VVI/R) with a tined type pacemaker lead via the left cephalic vein. Post-implantation electrocardiography showed a pacemaker-generated rhythm with ventricular spikes and a right bundle branch pattern (Figure 1A), and chest X-ray showed the pacemaker lead located relatively high in relation to the diaphragm (Figure 1B). These findings lead us to consider possible misplacement of the lead which was confirmed by transthoracic echocardiography visualizing the pacemaker lead in the left heart chambers (atrium and ventricle), hence confirming its aberrant course. Further, the defect causing its passage to the left heart chambers was a sinus venosus atrial septal defect. The patient reported no complication related to the misplacement of the lead. After a brief period of oral anticoagulation, the lead was inserted into the right ventricle by percutaneous technique.
lead in the left atrium and ventricle and its attachment to the posterior wall of the left ventricle (Figure 2A and B). There was no thrombus in contact with the lead. The mitral valve appeared normal without structural alteration. Doppler echocardiography found moderate biatrial and right ventricular dilatation and severe tricuspid regurgitation with moderate pulmonary hypertension. We noted the presence of a sinus venosus atrial septal defect (Figure 3A and B). Computed tomography scan of the chest, performed to look for a vascular malformation, found anomalous pulmonary venous return of the right upper pulmonary vein connecting to the superior vena cava. The patient underwent reinsertion of her pacemaker lead the right ventricle on day 12 in. This was confirmed by electrocardiogram (appearance of a left bundle branch block pattern, Figure 4) and by Doppler echocardiography.

**Discussion**

Transvenous implantation of a pacemaker or implantable cardioverter defibrillator lead into the left ventricle is a rare complication of cardiac pacing. The incidence of this complication is not precisely known, but since the advent of two-dimensional echocardiography, it is increasingly diagnosed. The pacemaker lead may enter the left atrium through a patent foramen ovale, or a sinus venosus, ostium primum, or ostium secundum atrial septal defect. However, it can also directly enter into the left ventricle via the aorta by accidental puncture of the subclavian artery or due to an interventricular septal defect. In our patient, we think that the presence of severe tricuspid regurgitation might have aided in directing the lead from the right atrium to the left atrium. Patients with lead misplacement in the left heart chamber may be asymptomatic or may present with complications secondary to arterial emboli. These thromboembolic events can be up to 37% in patients with lead misplacement in the left cavities, according to the literature.

The electrocardiogram is a useful diagnostic tool for detecting the position of a cardiac stimulation lead. Indeed, when the lead is inserted into the right ventricle, the electrocardiographic recording is of a left bundle branch block pattern. Hence the presence of a right bundle branch block pattern after implantation should raise suspicion for a misplacement of leads, as was the case in our patient.
However, one should remember that this right bundle branch block pattern can also be seen in the case of lead placement in the coronary sinus or even occasionally in the apical region of the right ventricle. Chest X-ray with posterior-anterior and lateral views can provide supplementary diagnostic arguments, as was the case in our patient. Indeed, for a correctly placed lead into the right ventricle, a lateral chest X-ray shows the lead anterior and lateral to the sternum and above the diaphragm. On the other hand, a lead located relatively high above the diaphragm in the posterior-anterior view and more posteriorly placed relative to the sternum in the lateral view should suggest misplacement into the left ventricle.

In all cases, two-dimensional transthoracic echocardiography is a more reliable diagnostic method. Indeed, it makes the diagnosis and helps in the search for the presence of cardiac anomalies causing lead misplacement in the left chambers. In its absence, we can use a computed tomography scan of the chest to visualize these cardiac anomalies better. In our case report, it was a sinus venosus atrial septal defect visualized by a transthoracic echocardiography subcostal view. This congenital cardiac anomaly results from faulty partitioning of the posterior interatrial septum, resulting in communication with the superior-posterior portion of the atrial septum at the junction of the superior vena cava and the right atrium. The right superior pulmonary vein overlaps the interatrial septum where it is directly implanted into the right atrium.

Once the diagnosis of misplacement is confirmed, the patient may simply be managed with anticoagulation or by properly reinserting the leads, considering the benefits and potential risks. However, we must acknowledge that since these rare cases were described in the literature, no consensus has been reached on the management of these patients. For patients with a high surgical risk, oral anticoagulation is recommended. It must be said that this option to leave the leads in place under anticoagulation treatment is valid because redoing the procedure is associated with infection. The other option is surgical removal of the lead with median sternotomy under cardiopulmonary bypass, which is associated with an infection rate of 4.5%. However, Trohman et al described a case of percutaneous lead extraction in a patient who was initially anticoagulated and showed no obvious signs of thrombus in contact with the lead by transthoracic echocardiography. However, the latter option has a fairly high risk of thromboembolic complications, especially if the lead remains in place for a long time. In our case, we successfully explanted the lead percutaneously after a short period of anticoagulation and after verifying the absence of thrombus on transthoracic echocardiography.

**Conclusion**

The misplacement of pacemaker leads into the left heart chambers is an uncommon but easily diagnosed complication. To make the diagnosis, emphasis should
be placed on a rigorous analysis of the post-implantation electrocardiogram and chest radiograph, which is cheap and widely available. This complication is easily confirmed by two-dimensional echocardiography, which also allows a search for an associated cardiac anomaly. In the absence of clear consensus, management is based on long-term oral anticoagulation or by surgical removal, or rarely by percutaneous reinsertion.
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