Evidence for the benefit of exercise therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes
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Abstract: Exercise interventions are recommended in most guidelines for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Although most guidelines suggest a combination of both aerobic and resistance training, the exact benefits of these interventions remain unclear. Although either modality alone or in combination seems to have an identical impact on glycated hemoglobin levels, resistance training and aerobic training have independent effects on other parameters of cardio-metabolic risk. This review examines the current evidence for aerobic and resistance training on glycemic control, lipid profile, body composition, vascular health, and mental health in patients with type 2 diabetes. The uncertainties surrounding exercise modality, volume and intensity are also addressed.
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Introduction

The prevalence of diabetes has currently reached epidemic proportions, and is a leading global source of mortality and morbidity. Despite a proliferation of new treatment modalities, the expense of treating diabetes is expected to double over the next 25 years. Traditionally, the three cornerstones of therapy for type 2 diabetes have consisted of medications, diet interventions, and exercise. Regular exercise training has been advocated as part of numerous practice guidelines, including the American Diabetes Association, the American College of Sports Medicine, the American Heart Association, and the Canadian Diabetes Association. Despite the implied certainty of these guidelines, there is much debate among investigators over the volume, intensity, and type of exercise that will most benefit this vulnerable population. Due to the complexity and expense involved in randomized controlled trials of exercise, much of the literature is muddled by small subject size and heterogeneity of training intensity, volume, and frequency. Some recent meta-analyses of exercise interventions in patients with type 2 diabetes have helped to clarify some of these issues, but the ideal exercise type and intensity needs to be determined.

Types of exercise

“Exercise” is any “planned or structured physical activity” performed to improve one of the components of physical fitness. Although there are many different types of exercise, there are only two that have been extensively examined in the setting of type 2 diabetes: endurance training and resistance training. “Endurance training” is defined as any exercise designed to increase cardiovascular fitness, often defined as an increase in the maximal uptake of oxygen. “Resistance training” is defined as any...
exercise designed to improve the strength and size of skeletal musculature. The efficacy of endurance training, resistance training, and combination training in patients with type 2 diabetes has been examined to various degrees with respect to glycemic control, lipid profile, body composition, quality of life, and peripheral vascular health.

**Exercise and glycemic control**

The main measure of glycemic control is glycosylated hemoglobin ($\text{HbA1c}$), which gives an overall indication of glycemic control over the previous 12 weeks. It is postulated that aerobic exercise improves glycemic control in type 2 diabetes primarily through increasing insulin sensitivity. A small study utilizing hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamps has demonstrated an improvement in insulin sensitivity with only 15 days of aerobic exercise. A recent meta-analysis by Umpierre et al combined the results of 23 studies with 1533 subjects and demonstrated that a structured aerobic training intervention improved $\text{HbA1c}$ by 0.73% (0.40%–1.06%). The studies all had a training frequency of 2–5 times per week for 30–90 minutes per session over interventions of 12–52 weeks. Overall, it is well established that aerobic exercise improves glycemic control to a moderate extent. By comparison, oral hypoglycemic medications have been shown to reduce glycosylated hemoglobin from 0.5% to 2.0%.

Resistance training has also been considered to improve glycemic control, although it is not as well studied as aerobic training. It is postulated that resistance training improves glycemic control by a different mechanism than aerobic training, mainly through an increase in muscle mass (since skeletal muscle is the main glucose sink for the body) and by increasing glucose transporter type 4 (GLUT-4) expression. An increase in GLUT-4 expression has been supported by inactivity studies involving muscle biopsies in human subjects and in exercise interventions in rats. A meta-analysis of the effects of resistance training by Umpierre et al involving four studies and a total of 261 subjects demonstrated an improvement in $\text{HbA1c}$ of 0.57% (0.01%–1.14%). The frequency of workouts was three times per week and the interventions lasted between 16 and 39 weeks. Overall, resistance training is well established as a treatment to improve glycemic control in type 2 diabetes.

**Exercise and the lipid profile in type 2 diabetes**

The impact of aerobic and resistance exercise interventions on the lipid profile of persons with type 2 diabetes has been difficult to determine, due to numerous small, conflicting studies. A meta-analysis examining the effects of aerobic training on lipid profile was performed by Kelley et al, who found a total of four studies comprising only 220 subjects. Endurance training was found to result in a 5% decrease in low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), but had no impact on high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), total cholesterol, or triglycerides. Two other meta-analyses attempted to examine the effects of both aerobic and resistance training on lipid parameters in type 2 diabetes, but found either no impact or clinically insignificant improvements in HDL-C levels. However, neither of these meta-analyses assessed the risk of bias, which might explain their negative findings. Recently, a more sophisticated meta-analysis by Hayashino et al addressed both bias and study heterogeneity. This study found HDL-C ($n=35$ studies; 2059 patients) was increased by 0.04 mmol/L, and LDL-C ($n=25$ studies; 1807 patients) was decreased by 0.16 mmol/L. Although this impact on low-density lipoprotein is less than that seen with either statin use or diet intervention, it does suggest that exercise is a valuable adjuvant treatment for dyslipidemias in patients with type 2 diabetes.

The results of the meta-analysis done by Hayashino et al are supported by the results of the 4-year Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes) trial, which was a multi-center randomized trial of an intensive lifestyle intervention on cardiovascular risk in subjects with type 2 diabetes. The studied intervention included both dietary and physical fitness (primarily aerobic exercise of a similar intensity to brisk walking) interventions. The program demonstrated improvements in both HDL-C, LDL-C, and total cholesterol levels, although it is difficult to distinguish how much of the improvements were due solely to the exercise component of the intervention.

Overall, more work needs to be done to determine the appropriate type, intensity, and volume of exercise required to improve the lipid profile in type 2 diabetes.

**Exercise and body composition**

Diabetes appears to have an effect on both lean body mass and muscle quality, perhaps due to poor vascular supply and peripheral neuropathy. The effects of different types of exercise interventions on body composition remain uncertain, as most of the numerous studies have been small. An 8-week aerobic exercise intervention demonstrated no impact on body mass index in either Caucasian or African-American subjects but resistance training showed a decrease in body mass index in African-Americans only. Neither intervention in this study...
Exercise and vascular stiffness

Type 2 diabetes has been shown to accelerate the increase in vascular stiffening\(^1\) that occurs during the normal aging process.\(^2\) The relationship between reduced arterial compliance and cardiovascular mortality is well established.\(^3\) Prospective examinations of a moderate aerobic exercise program in middle-aged subjects with type 2 diabetes\(^4\) have demonstrated a decrease in arterial stiffness. It has been hypothesized that mechanical distension during aerobic exercise sessions results in pulsatile “stretching” of the collagen fibers that reverses the glycation-related collagen cross-linking that is responsible for reduced arterial compliance in diabetes.\(^5\) A short-term (12-week) aerobic exercise intervention in older adults with extensive vascular damage due to multiple etiologies (type 2 diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension) demonstrated quite a large decrease in arterial stiffness, without any corresponding improvements in glycemic control, blood pressure, lipid profile, or body composition measures.\(^6\) This suggests that there may be mortality benefits to exercise in the setting of type 2 diabetes, even in the absence of any improvement in glycemic control. Light strength training (the active control arm in the study) seemed to have a neutral impact on arterial stiffness,\(^7\) although this is still under-investigated.

Volume and intensity of exercise required

A recent meta-analysis by Umpierre et al demonstrated that a weekly exercise volume >150 minutes per week resulted in an absolute Hb\(_{\text{A1c}}\) reduction of 0.89% while an exercise intervention <150 minutes per week only reduced Hb\(_{\text{A1c}}\) by 0.36%. This was regardless of the type of exercise undertaken, but the majority of the studies in this meta-analysis consisted of aerobic training (since resistance exercise tended to be reported as number of repetitions rather than duration).\(^8\) However, another study has suggested that exercise intensity tends to have a larger impact on insulin sensitivity, while training volume tends to have more of an impact on Hb\(_{\text{A1c}}\).\(^9\)

Although more work needs to be done to resolve this issue, it appears that the current American Diabetes Association recommendation of more than 150 minutes per week is sound. The role of high-intensity exercise in type 2 diabetes needs further examination, finding a balance between the more recently examined benefits of high-intensity interval training and the potential risks of injury in a sedentary population.\(^10\)
Exercise type

Which type of exercise is better in type 2 diabetes? From a strict glycemic control perspective (specifically the impact on glycated hemoglobin levels), there does not seem to be much difference in benefit. A recent meta-analysis demonstrated similar benefits from endurance training, strength training, and combination training when compared with controls. There did not seem to be any overall benefit of one training modality over another, in terms of glycemic control, with each modality reducing HbA1c by approximately 0.5%. This means that the modality of exercise chosen to improve glycemic control can be matched according to the comorbidities of the patient. In addition, strength training was done less often (approximately 2–3 times per week), so this might be an easier intervention to implement in a sedentary population.

Of course, there are well-documented synergistic benefits to combining both aerobic and strength training that are independent of any impact on glycemic control. Resistance training has well-documented benefits in terms of muscle mass, muscle strength, and level of function, while aerobic training has an independent impact on cardiovascular endurance. This suggests that a combination regime might be the best overall intervention in type 2 diabetes, if one considers issues other than just glycemic control. In addition, the fact that strength training provided similar glycemic benefits with a lower training frequency per week suggests that if the two modalities are combined, they should not occur together on the same day of the week, so as to maximize any synergistic effects.

High-intensity training

Although the benefits of exercise in type 2 diabetes are well established, targets for physical activity are not being met in the majority of the population. The reduction in physical activity is felt to be one of the main reasons for the increasing prevalence of both obesity and the many cardio-metabolic complications of this condition (including diabetes). The main barrier to physical activity cited by all patients, regardless of ethnicity, comorbidities, age, or sex is a perceived “lack of time.” Adherence to standard exercise prescriptions involving prolonged submaximal aerobic exertion has been shown to be extremely poor. This inability of the medical establishment to translate current physical activity guidelines into changes in patient behavior has sparked interest into the role of low-volume (and therefore low time commitment), but high-intensity exercise interventions. The most commonly examined high-intensity intervention is high-intensity interval training (HIT). High-volume HIT has a well-established ability to increase cardiorespiratory fitness to a greater extent than conventional endurance training in normal adults. However, these findings are not particularly useful in addressing the barriers to physical activity in the type 2 diabetes population, since high-volume HIT interventions have approximately the same time commitment as standard aerobic training.

Although there is an underlying biological rationale for HIT, whether low-volume (and therefore short time commitment) HIT can provide similar benefits to the type 2 diabetes population remains to be determined. Unfortunately, the study of low-volume HIT in patients with type 2 diabetes is quite limited. One study by Gillen et al examined effects of a single dose of low-volume HIT (10 × 60 seconds cycling at 90% maximal heart rate) on continuous glucose monitoring. They successfully showed that a single dose of low-volume HIT significantly reduced hyperglycemia over a 24-hour period and also significantly reduced postprandial hyperglycemia. Significantly, these improvements occurred despite the time commitment being well below the current suggested guideline of 150 minutes per week. Although all these results are suggestive of a future role for low-volume HIT in the treatment of type 2 diabetes, much more work needs to be done to further examine the risks and benefits of such a treatment.

Exercise, diabetes, and ethnicity

Several investigations have looked into the efficacy of exercise interventions as treatment for type 2 diabetes in different high-risk populations. A recent meta-analysis found nine trials that examined the effects of resistance and aerobic training in various ethnic populations. The greatest reduction in HbA1c with either resistance or endurance training was found in Indian and Latino populations, as long as the training intervention period was greater than 16 weeks. Interestingly, one trial demonstrated that a short-term (only 12 weeks) combined training intervention reduced glycated hemoglobin in Caucasian subjects but not in Arabian subjects. Various other trials did not show any effect of aerobic training versus control groups in either African-American, Chinese, or Polynesian subject populations. Thus, although much more work needs to be done, there is some indication that the effects of exercise training might have different levels of efficacy on HbA1c levels in different patient populations.

Similarly, exercise appears to have different effects on body composition in populations of different ethnicities. Resistance training appears to have a greater impact on anthropomorphic measures in an African-American population than
in a Caucasian population. Neither aerobic nor resistance training seemed to have any impact on body composition (waist circumference or body fat percentage) in obese Middle-Eastern and Polynesian subjects. A larger study of older Latino subjects, however, demonstrated an increase in whole body fat-free mass with resistance training, as measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. Thus, as with glycemic control, the body compositions of different patient populations may respond to exercise interventions differently.

Exercise and metabolic syndrome
Although “metabolic syndrome” has numerous definitions, it is generally defined as a clustering of cardio-metabolic risk factors associated with insulin resistance. Metabolic syndrome has been shown to greatly increase the risk of type 2 diabetes. The cardio-metabolic risk factors consist of increased central obesity (waist circumference), increased blood pressure, increased triglycerides, decreased HDL-C, and increased fasting plasma glucose. The more time spent being sedentary has been definitively shown to increase the risk of meeting the criteria for metabolic syndrome, supporting the use of exercise interventions to treat this condition. Data from the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study have also shown that increased time spent in physical activity during leisure time was predictive of a greater likelihood of prevention and resolution of metabolic syndrome (even after correcting for weight loss and changes in dietary intake).

A randomized controlled trial by McAuley et al of an intensive aerobic exercise and dietary intervention in 79 insulin-resistant subjects demonstrated significant improvements in insulin sensitivity when compared with the control group (which received standard dietary and physical activity advice). Given that the only difference between the two groups was increased aerobic capacity in the intervention arm, the study suggests that aerobic training is an effective intervention to improve insulin sensitivity. Likewise, a meta-analysis by Strasser et al examining the impact of resistance training in subjects with obesity-related glucose intolerance demonstrated a positive impact on some of the components that cluster together in metabolic syndrome (HbA1c, blood pressure, and central adiposity).

Although numerous studies have shown the benefits of both aerobic and resistance training on each individual component of metabolic syndrome, there have been very few prospective, randomized controlled trials of either aerobic or resistance training in the prevention of metabolic syndrome. Aerobic training in 621 subjects with metabolic syndrome resulted in approximately 30% of subjects no longer having the condition after 20 weeks, with the largest improvements seen in serum triglycerides and blood pressure. Another prospective study by Jurca et al (with a mean follow-up time of about 7 years) demonstrated that measures of muscular strength in 3233 male subjects free of metabolic syndrome were inversely related to the risks of developing this condition. Although the exact amount of aerobic and resistance training still needs to be determined, clearly some combination of these two interventions has a role to play in the treatment of metabolic syndrome.

Conclusion and future directions
Although there are clear benefits of training in terms of glycemic control, lipid profile, body composition, quality of life, and vascular health, much uncertainty remains as to the ideal exercise prescription for adults with type 2 diabetes. Further study needs to be done to determine what the “minimum effective dose” is for each of the various exercise interventions, and how best to combine them. Certainly, the current recommendation of more than 150 minutes of training (regardless of modality) per week appears to be valid, and should be implemented for all patients. The glycemic benefits of exercise training appear to be modest (an approximate decline in HbA1c of 0.5%), which suggests that exercise cannot be a sole intervention but should be an adjunctive to both medication and dietary interventions. Moreover, much more work needs to be done on the mental health benefits of training in the setting of type 2 diabetes, as well as how populations with different ethnicities respond to training.

Clinical recommendations
- When exercise is prescribed in the setting of type 2 diabetes, the volume of exercise should be greater than 150 minutes per week.
- Although it makes no difference for glycemic control, the other non-overlapping benefits of resistance and endurance training suggest that a combination approach (with different modalities on different days of the week) is the best approach.
- Since there is no evidence currently for an ideal ratio of resistance to aerobic training, this should be determined according to the patient’s comorbidities such as musculoskeletal issues or coronary artery disease.
- Although a greater intensity of exercise seems to have a greater impact on insulin sensitivity, this benefit needs to be weighed against the greater risk of injury with high-intensity interventions. In the absence of more definitive studies, patients should undertake the highest intensity exercise possible that is both safe and tolerable.
• We still do not understand the impact of ethnicity on the effectiveness of exercise in diabetes. Different populations may respond differently to different interventions, but there is not yet enough evidence to support different exercise prescriptions in different populations.

• The role of low-volume, HIT still needs to be established.

• Exercise prescriptions are not a primary therapy for type 2 diabetes. Endurance and resistance training should be considered adjunctive therapy in addition to dietary modifications and hypoglycemic agents.
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